CSE – 426 Homework 2

Griffin Kent

1) Verify that $\sigma(-z) = 1 - \sigma(z)$.

(**Proof**): By the definition of the sigmoid function, we know that

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$

Thus, we have

$$1 - \sigma(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{1 + e^{-z}}{1 + e^{-z}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$
$$= \frac{1 + e^{-z} - 1}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{e^{-z}}{1 + e^{-z}} = \frac{e^{z}e^{-z}}{e^{z}(1 + e^{-z})}$$
$$= \frac{1}{e^{z} + 1} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{z}} = \sigma(-z).$$

Therefore, completing the proof. ■

2) Suppose the probability of y = 1 given z is $\sigma(z) = \mathbb{P}(y = 1|z)$. Using the fact that $y \in \{0,1\}$, verify that $f(y) = \sigma(z)^y (1 - \sigma(z))^{1-y}$ is indeed the conditional probability of the random variable y given z.

(**Proof**): Since $y \in \{0,1\}$, it can only take on one of two values and thus is a Bernoulli distributed random variable. The standard form of the probability density function of a Bernoulli random variable is given by

$$f(Y = y) = \mathbb{P}(y)^{y} (1 - \mathbb{P}(y))^{1-y}$$

Where $\mathbb{P}(y)$ is defined as the probability of y taking on the value of 1. Since in this case $\mathbb{P}(y=1|z) = \sigma(z)$, we obtain the following conditional probability density function for y given z by substituting in the defined probability:

$$f(y|z) = \sigma(z)^{y} (1 - \sigma(z))^{1-y}$$

Thus, completing the proof. ■

3) Find $\frac{d}{dz} \log(1 - \sigma(z))$ and $\frac{d}{dz} \log(\sigma(z))$.

(**Solution**): Solving for $\frac{d}{dz} \log(1 - \sigma(z))$ first, we have

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log(1-\sigma(z)) = \frac{d}{dz}\log(\sigma(-z)) = \frac{d}{dz}\log\left(\frac{1}{1+e^z}\right)$$
$$= (1+e^z)\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^z}\right)$$

$$= (1 + e^{z}) \left(\frac{(1 + e^{z}) \frac{d}{dz} (1) - (1) \frac{d}{dz} (1 + e^{z})}{(1 + e^{z})^{2}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{0 - e^{z} \frac{d}{dz} (z)}{(1 + e^{z})} = -\frac{e^{z}}{1 + e^{z}} = -\frac{e^{-z} e^{z}}{e^{-z} (1 + e^{z})}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} = -\sigma(z).$$

Now, solving for $\frac{d}{dz}\log(\sigma(z))$, we have the following

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log(\sigma(z)) = \frac{d}{dz}\log\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}\right) = (1+e^{-z})\frac{d}{dz}\left(\frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}\right)$$

$$= (1+e^{-z})\left(\frac{(1+e^{-z})\frac{d}{dz}(1) - (1)\frac{d}{dz}(1+e^{-z})}{(1+e^{-z})^2}\right)$$

$$= \frac{0-e^{-z}\frac{d}{dz}(-z)}{(1+e^{-z})} = \frac{e^{-z}}{1+e^{-z}}$$

Then, from the properties we proved in question (1) above, we have

$$\frac{d}{dz}\log(\sigma(z)) = \frac{e^{-z}}{1+e^{-z}} = 1-\sigma(z).$$

4) Find the partial derivatives of the first entry of the output of the softmax function

$$softmax([z_1, z_2, ..., z_k]) = \left[\frac{e^{z_1}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}, \frac{e^{z_2}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}, ..., \frac{e^{z_k}}{\sum_j e^{z_j}}\right] = [\phi_1, \phi_2, ..., \phi_k]$$

With respect to z_1 and z_2 .

(Solution): To start, we will take the partial derivative of the first entry of the output of the softmax function with respect to z_1 .

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \left(\frac{e^{z_{1}}}{\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}}} \right) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} (e^{z_{1}}) - (e^{z_{1}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} \left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} \\
= \frac{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right) (e^{z_{1}})}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} - \frac{\left(e^{z_{1}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} (e^{z_{1}} + e^{z_{2}} + \dots + e^{z_{k}})}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} \\
= \frac{\left(e^{z_{1}} \right)}{\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}}} - \frac{\left(e^{z_{1}} \right) (e^{z_{1}})}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} = \phi_{1} - \phi_{1}^{2}.$$

Now, taking the partial derivative of the first entry of the output of the softmax function with respect to z_2 , we have

$$\frac{\partial \phi_{1}}{\partial z_{2}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} \left(\frac{e^{z_{1}}}{\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}}} \right) = \frac{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} (e^{z_{1}}) - (e^{z_{1}}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} \left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} \\
= \frac{0 - (e^{z_{1}})(e^{z_{2}})}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} = 0 - \frac{(e^{z_{1}})(e^{z_{2}})}{\left(\sum_{j} e^{z_{j}} \right)^{2}} = -\phi_{1}\phi_{2}.$$

5) (Graduate Only) Prove that the Hessian matrix of the negative log-likelihood function for logistic regression is positive semi-definite.

(Proof): The negative log-likelihood function (cross-entropy loss function) for logistic regression is defined as the following

$$-\ell(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\log L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \{y^{(i)}\log \sigma(z^{(i)}) + (1-y^{(i)})\log \sigma(-z^{(i)})\}$$

Where m is the number of training examples $\{(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^m$. Likewise, the Hessian matrix of the negative log-likelihood function is defined as

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbf{x}^{(i)} (\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{T} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}) (1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{T} \mathbf{x}^{(i)}))$$

Where $\mathbf{\Sigma} = \operatorname{diag}\left(\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\left(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right)\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with the *i*-th diagonal element being $\sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\left(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{X}^T = [\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, \dots, \boldsymbol{x}^{(m)}]$, where each $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}$ is a column vector. (*Logistic Regression Lecture Notes*).

By definition, a matrix will be positive semi-definite if all its eigenvalues $\lambda_i \geq 0$. Since \boldsymbol{H} is a symmetric matrix, (Using the properties defined in Section 3.13 of the *Linear Algebra Review and Reference*) we know that \boldsymbol{X} is an orthogonal matrix and $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is the diagonal matrix whose entries are the eigenvalues of \boldsymbol{H} . Thus, we have

$$\lambda_i = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \left(1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) \right)$$

Since the sigmoid function maps any real number to the range of (0,1), we know that

$$\lambda_i = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) (1 - \sigma(\boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})) \ge 0, \forall i = 1, 2, ..., m.$$

Therefore, since we have shown for the hessian matrix H that the eigenvalues $\lambda_i \ge 0$, we know that the matrix H must be positive semi-definite.