CSE – 426 Homework 4

Griffin Kent

1) Given two training examples $x^{(1)} = [2,1]^T$, $y^{(1)} = 1$ and $x^{(2)} = [1,-1]^T$, $y^{(2)} = -1$, evaluate the linear and Gaussian kernel (with bandwidth $\sigma = 1$) functions on these two examples. [*Hints: refer to the lecture note of SVM*.]

(Solution): The linear kernel function is defined as the following

$$k_L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi(\mathbf{z}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{z}$$

Where $\psi(x) = x$ is the identity function. Plugging in the two training examples, we obtain:

$$k_L(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = [2,1] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = 2 - 1 = \mathbf{1}.$$

The Gaussian kernel is defined as the following

$$k_G(\boldsymbol{x}, \mathbf{z}) = e^{-\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x} - \mathbf{z}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Plugging in the two training examples, we obtain:

$$k_G(\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \mathbf{x}^{(2)}) = e^{-\frac{(2-1)^2 + (1-(-1))^2}{2}} \approx 0.082.$$

2) Use the training example from Question 1, write down the primal problem for soft-SVM with parameters \mathbf{w} , b, and ξ . [Hints: You need to plug the data into the general formulation and simplify the primal objective function and the constraints.]

(**Solution**): The primal form of the soft-SVM problem is stated as follows:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

$$y^{(i)} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i, \ \forall i$$

$$\xi_i \ge 0, \ \forall i$$

 $\xi_i \geq 0, \ \forall i$ Inputting the training data, we obtain the following:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} (w_1^2 + w_2^2) + C(\xi_1 + \xi_2)$$

$$1 - 2w_1 - w_2 - b \le \xi_1$$

$$1 + w_1 - w_2 + b \le \xi_2$$

$$\xi_1, \xi_2 \ge 0$$

3) Use the training example from Question 1, write down the dual problem for soft-SVM. [Hints: You need to plug the data into the general formulation and simplify the primal objective function and the constraints.]

(**Solution**): The dual of the soft-SVM has the form:

$$\max L(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_i \alpha_j y^{(i)} y^{(j)} K_{ij}$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \alpha_i y^{(i)} = 0$$
$$C \ge \alpha_i \ge 0, \quad i = 1, ..., m$$

Inputting the training data, we obtain the following:

$$\max L(\alpha) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} [\alpha_1 \alpha_1 y^{(1)} y^{(1)} K_{11} + \alpha_1 \alpha_2 y^{(1)} y^{(2)} K_{12} + \alpha_2 \alpha_1 y^{(2)} y^{(1)} K_{21} + \alpha_2 \alpha_2 y^{(2)} y^{(2)} K_{22}]$$

$$s. t \quad \alpha_1 y^{(1)} + \alpha_2 y^{(2)} = 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_1 \ge 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_2 \ge 0$$

Simplifying this, we obtain the form:

$$\max L(\alpha) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} [\alpha_1 \alpha_1 K_{11} - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 K_{12} - \alpha_2 \alpha_1 K_{21} + \alpha_2 \alpha_2 K_{22}]$$

$$s.t \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_1 \ge 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_2 \ge 0$$

If we let K_{ij} be the linear kernel function of the two samples i and j, we obtain the kernel values as: $K_{11} = \|\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}\|^2 = 5$, $K_{12} = K_{21} = \langle \boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, \boldsymbol{x}^{(2)} \rangle = 1$, and $K_{22} = \|\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}\|^2 = 2$. Plugging these into the above primal problem, we obtain the simplified form:

$$\max L(\alpha) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 - \frac{1}{2} [5\alpha_1\alpha_1 - 2\alpha_1\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_2\alpha_2]$$

$$s.t \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_1 \ge 0$$

$$C \ge \alpha_2 \ge 0$$

4) Prove the following statements using the KKT conditions for soft-SVM.

$$\alpha_i = 0 \Leftrightarrow y^{(i)} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) \ge 1. \tag{1}$$

$$0 < \alpha_i < C \Leftrightarrow y^{(i)} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) = 1. \tag{2}$$

$$\alpha_i = C \Leftrightarrow y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) \le 1. \tag{3}$$

[Hints: Refer to the KKT conditions of soft-SVM and then discuss the cases when $\alpha_i = 0$, when $0 < \alpha_i < C$, and when $\alpha_i = C$. The conclusions will be used in the SMO implementation. Refer to the SMO section of the lecture notes for more clues.]

(**Proofs**): The soft-SVM primal problem is stated as follows:

$$\min \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_i$$

$$y^{(i)} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) \ge 1 - \xi_i, \ \forall i$$

$$\xi_i \ge 0, \ \forall i$$

The corresponding Lagrangian function is

$$L(\mathbf{w}, b, \xi, \alpha, \mu) = \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 + C \sum_{i=1}^{m} \xi_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i (y^{(i)} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) - 1 + \xi_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_i \xi_i$$

Where α_i are Lagrange multipliers that correspond to the constraints $y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}^{(i)}+b) \geq 1-\xi_i$ and μ_i are Lagrange multipliers that correspond to the constraints $\xi_i \geq 0$.

Proving (1):

Given $\alpha_i = 0$, by complementary slackness, we know that the constraint $y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b)$ is not active, indicating that $\xi_i = 0$, and the following condition must hold,

 $\alpha_i(y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T\mathbf{x}^{(i)}+b)-1+\xi_i)=0$ (complementary slackness).

Therefore,

$$y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) - 1 + \xi_i \ge 0$$
$$\rightarrow y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) \ge 1.$$

Proving (2):

Given $0 < \alpha_i < C$, by complementary slackness, the constraint $y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b)$ must be active and due to the relationship $C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$, we know that $\mu_i > 0$, indicating that $\xi_i = 0$ (by the KKT condition $\mu_i \xi_i = 0$). Thus, we have

$$y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) - 1 + \xi_i = 0$$

 $\to y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) = 1.$

Proving (3):

Given $\alpha_i = C$, by the relationship $C - \alpha_i - \mu_i = 0$, we know that $\mu_i = 0$, indicating that $\xi_i > 0$ (by the KKT condition $\mu_i \xi_i = 0$). By complimentary slackness, we also know that the constraint $y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) - 1 + \xi_i \ge 0$ must be active. Thus, we have

$$y^{(i)}(\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + b) = 1 - \xi_i$$

$$y^{(i)}(\boldsymbol{w}^T\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}+b)\leq 1.$$

5) (Graduate Only) Prove that there is a function $\psi([x_1, x_2])$, that maps from the input space \mathbb{R}^2 to a higher dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n , n > 2, so that the polynomial kernel $k(x, z) = (\langle x, z \rangle + 1)^2$ can be written as $\langle \psi(x), \psi(z) \rangle$. [Hints: Refer to PRML Eq. (6.12) for help and write down the formula of the function ψ .]

(**Proof**): Recall that a kernel function is defined as the following:

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = \langle \psi(\mathbf{x}), \psi(\mathbf{z}) \rangle = \psi(\mathbf{x})^T \psi(\mathbf{z}).$$

If we let $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^2$, then given the polynomial kernel $k(x, z) = (\langle x, z \rangle + 1)^2$, we have

$$k(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = (\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \rangle + 1)^{2} = (\mathbf{x}^{T} \mathbf{z} + 1)^{2}$$

$$= (x_{1}z_{1} + x_{2}z_{2} + 1)^{2}$$

$$= x_{1}^{2}z_{1}^{2} + x_{1}x_{2}z_{1}z_{2} + x_{1}z_{1} + x_{1}x_{2}z_{1}z_{2} + x_{2}^{2}z_{2}^{2} + x_{2}z_{2} + x_{1}z_{1} + x_{2}z_{2} + 1$$

$$= x_{1}^{2}z_{1}^{2} + x_{2}^{2}z_{2}^{2} + 2x_{1}x_{2}z_{1}z_{2} + 2x_{1}z_{1} + 2x_{2}z_{2} + 1$$

$$= \left[x_{1}^{2}, x_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2}x_{1}x_{2}, \sqrt{2}x_{1}, \sqrt{2}x_{2}, 1\right] \left[z_{1}^{2}, z_{2}^{2}, \sqrt{2}z_{1}z_{2}, \sqrt{2}z_{1}, \sqrt{2}z_{2}, 1\right]^{T}$$

$$= \psi(\mathbf{x})^{T}\psi(\mathbf{z})$$

Where the feature mapping takes the form

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \psi([x_1, x_2]) = [x_1^2, x_2^2, \sqrt{2}x_1x_2, \sqrt{2}x_1, \sqrt{2}x_2, 1]^T$$

Therefore, we can see that there exists a function $\psi([x_1, x_2])$ that maps from the input space \mathbb{R}^2 to the higher dimensional space \mathbb{R}^6 .