Chapter 19: Recovery System

Database System Concepts, 7th Ed.

Outline

- Failure Classification
- Storage Structure
- Recovery and Atomicity
- Log-Based Recovery
- Remote Backup Systems

Failure Classification

- **Transaction failure** :
  - **Logical errors**: transaction cannot complete due to some internal error condition
  - **System errors**: the database system must terminate an active transaction due to an error condition (e.g., deadlock)
- **System crash**: a power failure or other hardware or software failure causes the system to crash.
  - **Fail-stop assumption**: non-volatile storage contents are assumed to not be corrupted by system crash
    - Database systems have numerous integrity checks to prevent corruption of disk data
- **Disk failure**: a head crash or similar disk failure destroys all or part of disk storage
  - Destruction is assumed to be detectable: disk drives use checksums to detect failures

Recovery Algorithms

- Suppose transaction T_i transfers \$50 from account *A* to account *B*
  - Two updates: subtract 50 from A and add 50 to B
- Transaction T_i requires updates to A and B to be output to the database.
  - A failure may occur after one of these modifications have been made but before both of them are made.
  - Modifying the database without ensuring that the transaction will commit may leave the database in an inconsistent state
  - Not modifying the database may result in lost updates if failure occurs just after transaction commits
- Recovery algorithms have two parts
  1. Actions taken during normal transaction processing to ensure enough information exists to recover from failures
  2. Actions taken after a failure to recover the database contents to a state that ensures atomicity, consistency and durability

Storage Structure

- **Volatile storage**:
  - Does not survive system crashes
  - Examples: main memory, cache memory
- **Nonvolatile storage**:
  - Survives system crashes
  - Examples: disk, tape, flash memory, non-volatile RAM
  - But may still fail, losing data
- **Stable storage**:
  - A mythical form of storage that survives all failures
  - Approximated by maintaining multiple copies on distinct nonvolatile media
  - See book for more details on how to implement stable storage

Stable-Storage Implementation

- Maintain multiple copies of each block on separate disks
  - copies can be at remote sites to protect against disasters such as fire or flooding.
- Failure during data transfer can still result in inconsistent copies: Block transfer can result in
  - Successful completion
  - Partial failure: destination block has incorrect information
  - Total failure: destination block was never updated
- Protecting storage media from failure during data transfer (one solution):
  - Execute output operation as follows (assuming two copies of each block):
    1. Write the information onto the first physical block.
    2. When the first write successfully completes, write the same information onto the second physical block.
    3. The output is completed only after the second write successfully completes.

Protecting storage media from failure (Cont.)

- Copies of a block may differ due to failure during output operation.
- To recover from failure:
  1. First find inconsistent blocks:
     1. *Expensive solution*: Compare the two copies of every disk block.
     2. *Better solution*:
        - Record in-progress disk writes on non-volatile storage (Flash, Non-volatile RAM or special area of disk).
        - Use this information during recovery to find blocks that may be inconsistent, and only compare copies of these.
        - Used in hardware RAID systems
  2. If either copy of an inconsistent block is detected to have an error (bad checksum), overwrite it by the other copy. If both have no error, but are different, overwrite the second block by the first block.

Data Access

- **Physical blocks** are those blocks residing on the disk.
- **Buffer blocks** are the blocks residing temporarily in main memory.
- Block movements between disk and main memory are initiated through the following two operations:
  - **input** (*B*) transfers the physical block *B* to main memory.
  - **output** (*B*) transfers the buffer block *B* to the disk, and replaces the appropriate physical block there.
- We assume, for simplicity, that each data item fits in, and is stored inside, a single block.

Recovery and Atomicity

- To ensure atomicity despite failures, we first output information describing the modifications to stable storage without modifying the database itself.
- We study **log-based recovery mechanisms** in detail
  - We first present key concepts
  - And then present the actual recovery algorithm

Log-Based Recovery

- A **log** is a sequence of **log records**. The records keep information about update activities on the database.
  - The **log** is kept on stable storage
- When transaction T_i starts, it registers itself by writing a

  $\langle T_i$ **start**$\rangle$ log record

- *Before* T_i executes **write**(X), a log record

  $\langle T_i, X, V_1, V_2 \rangle$

  is written, where V_1 is the value of X before the write (the **old value**), and V_2 is the value to be written to X (the **new value**).
- When T_i finishes it last statement, the log record $\langle T_i$ **commit**$\rangle$ is written.
- Two approaches using logs
  - Immediate database modification
  - Deferred database modification.

Transaction Commit

- A transaction is said to have committed when its commit log record is output to stable storage
  - All previous log records of the transaction must have been output already
- Writes performed by a transaction may still be in the buffer when the transaction commits, and may be output later

Concurrency Control and Recovery

- With concurrent transactions, all transactions share a single disk buffer and a single log
  - A buffer block can have data items updated by one or more transactions
- We assume that *if a transaction T_i has modified an item, no other transaction can modify the same item until T_i has committed or aborted*
  - i.e., the updates of uncommitted transactions should not be visible to other transactions
    - Otherwise, how to perform undo if T_1 updates A, then T_2 updates A and commits, and finally T_1 has to abort?
  - Can be ensured by obtaining exclusive locks on updated items and holding the locks till end of transaction (strict two-phase locking)
- Log records of different transactions may be interspersed in the log.

Undo and Redo Operations

- **Undo and Redo of Transactions**
  - **undo**(T_i) -- restores the value of all data items updated by T_i to their old values, going backwards from the last log record for T_i
    - Each time a data item X is restored to its old value V a special log record <T_i , X, V> is written out
    - When undo of a transaction is complete, a log record <T_i **abort**> is written out.
  - **redo**(T_i) -- sets the value of all data items updated by T_i to the new values, going forward from the first log record for T_i
    - No logging is done in this case

Recovering from Failure

- When recovering after failure:
  - Transaction T_i needs to be undone if the log
    - Contains the record <T_i **start**>,
    - But does not contain either the record <T_i **commit**> *or* <T_i **abort**>.
  - Transaction T_i needs to be redone if the log
    - Contains the records <T_i **start**>
    - And contains the record <T_i **commit**> *or* <T_i **abort**>

Recovering from Failure (Cont.)

- Suppose that transaction T_i was undone earlier and the <T_i **abort**> record was written to the log, and then a failure occurs,
- On recovery from failure transaction T_i is redone
  - Such a **redo** redoes all the original actions of transaction T_i *including the steps that restored old values*
    - Known as **repeating history**
    - Seems wasteful, but simplifies recovery greatly

Checkpoints

- Redoing/undoing all transactions recorded in the log can be very slow
  - Processing the entire log is time-consuming if the system has run for a long time
  - We might unnecessarily redo transactions which have already output their updates to the database.
- Streamline recovery procedure by periodically performing **checkpointing**
  1. Output all log records currently residing in main memory onto stable storage.
  2. Output all modified buffer blocks to the disk.
  3. Write a log record < **checkpoint** *L*> onto stable storage where *L* is a list of all transactions active at the time of checkpoint.
  4. All updates are stopped while doing checkpointing

Checkpoints (Cont.)

- During recovery we need to consider only the most recent transaction T_i that started before the checkpoint, and transactions that started after T_i.
  - Scan backwards from end of log to find the most recent <**checkpoint** *L*> record
  - Only transactions that are in *L* or started after the checkpoint need to be redone or undone
  - Transactions that committed or aborted before the checkpoint already have all their updates output to stable storage.
- Some earlier part of the log may be needed for undo operations
  - Continue scanning backwards till a record <T_i **start**> is found for every transaction T_i in *L*.
  - Parts of log prior to earliest <T_i **start**> record above are not needed for recovery, and can be erased whenever desired.

Example of Checkpoints



- T_1 can be ignored (updates already output to disk due to checkpoint)
- T_2 and T_3 redone.
- T_4 undone

Recovery Algorithm

Failure with Loss of Nonvolatile Storage

- So far we assumed no loss of non-volatile storage
- Technique similar to checkpointing used to deal with loss of non-volatile storage
  - Periodically **dump** the entire content of the database to stable storage
  - No transaction may be active during the dump procedure; a procedure similar to checkpointing must take place
    - Output all log records currently residing in main memory onto stable storage.
    - Output all buffer blocks onto the disk.
    - Copy the contents of the database to stable storage.
    - Output a record <**dump**> to log on stable storage.

Remote Backup Systems

Remote Backup Systems

- Remote backup systems provide high availability by allowing transaction processing to continue even if the primary site is destroyed.



Remote Backup Systems (Cont.)

- **Detection of failure**: Backup site must detect when primary site has failed
  - to distinguish primary site failure from link failure maintain several communication links between the primary and the remote backup.
  - Heart-beat messages
- **Transfer of control**:
  - To take over control backup site first perform recovery using its copy of the database and all the long records it has received from the primary.
    - Thus, completed transactions are redone and incomplete transactions are rolled back.
  - When the backup site takes over processing it becomes the new primary
  - To transfer control back to old primary when it recovers, old primary must receive redo logs from the old backup and apply all updates locally.