Note 1: PROOFS -proof = finite seamence of steps (logical deductions) which estabilishes the truth of desired statement -axioms = postulates
-accepted without proof · NOTATION AND BASIC FACTS -set of integers is closed under addition and multiplication - set of natural numbers too - sum or product of two integers is an integer -a divides b (alb) iff there is an integer a such that b=aa -natural number is prime if it is divisible only by 1 and itself -notation := indicates definition - Q:= 6 defines variable a as having value 6 · DIRECT PROOF - starts by assuming P(x) for generic value of x and eventually concludes Q(x) through chain of implications -> EX: Let O< n< 1000 be an integer. It sum of digits of n is divisible by 9, then n is divisible by 8. -eauvalent to (the Z+) (n<1000) => (sum divisible by 3 sous lad ston : 700 => n divisible by 9) - PROOF: n=100a+10b+c (n=abc in decimal) -assume that sum is divisible by 9: a+b+c=9k n=100a+10b+c=9k+99a+9b=9(k+11a+b) -> n is divisible by S -converse is also true n=Sl=>100a+10b+c=3l=>95a+9b+(a+b+c)=3l =) a+b+c=9(l-11a-b) · PROOF BY CONTRAPOSITION - P=)Q is equivalent to 7Q=>7P - EX: Let n be IV. If no is even n is even - PROOF: Assume n is odd, then is odd. 5 n=2k+1 n2=412+41+1=2(212+211)+1 -> odd (contradiction)

No. L. 2: PROOFS

-EX Suppose we place n object into k boxes. If n>k, then at least one box must contain more than one object. - PROOF: Suppose all boxes contain at most one object. We have to show that then nek n=n1+n2+...+nK - we know that each no is at most 1 ny +nz + ... + hx = 1+1+1+...+1 $n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k \leq k$ $n \leq k$ · PROOF BT CONTRADICTION -assume the claim you want to prove is false, then you show that this leads to nonsence (contradiction) -> conclude that your claim must be true - to prove P, assume TP=)..=) R=)..7R -conclusion 7P=>7RAR (contradiction) of Pholds - 7P => False contrapositive True =>P -EX: There are infinitely many prime numbers. - PROOF: note that every natural number greater than 1 has a prime factor -suppose there are finitely many prime numbers (k) +3 h + 2 p 1 p 2 1 ... 1 p 2 + 18 = 3 + 10 h + 000 h = 1 Q=p1.p2....pu+1 - we know a has prime factor p -> PII. .. Pk are all primes, p must be one of them - p divides p,pz...px=r -> pla and plr but plan 7-because Q-r=1 p=1 -> p is not prime (contradiction) - EX JZ is irrational - PROOF: Assume JZ is rational Mads= JZ = a where a and b have no common factor other than 1 2 = \frac{a^2}{b^2} = 2 a^2 = 2b^2 = 2 a^2 must be even = 2 a = 2c =>2b2=4c2 => b2=2c2 => b is even => a, b have common factor (contradiction)

	· PROOF BY CASES!
	-EX There exist irrational numbers x and y such that x is rational -PROOF: demonstrate single x and y such that x is rational
	-let x=Jz and y=Jz
	-> case JZ is rational
	- yields our claim
	-> case Jz is irrational
	-let x=52 and y=52
	$x_{2} = (\sqrt{2})_{12} = \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} = \sqrt{2}_{2} = 2$
	- we obtained rational number from two irrationals
	- we obtained rational number from two irrationals - since one of two cases must hold, statement is true
	-non-constructive proof
	-prove that X exists without revealing what X is
V	-useful for proving inequalities
	-such as triangle inequality x, y EIR 1x+y=1x1+1y1
	· COMMON ERRORS WHEN USING PROOFS
	-when writing proofs, don't assume the claim you want to proof
	- never forset to consider case where your variables take on
	the value O
	- be careful when mixing regative numbers and inequalities
	-multiplying inequality by regative number flips the direction
184	of inequality
	· STYLE AND SUBSTANCE IN PROOFS
	- Lheorem, lemma
40	
);	
a	
4	
4 A	
£	