CS130 - LAB 3 - Debugging

Today's lab will be about debugging programs using GDB and valgrind. If you are using Linux/MacOS, GDB should be already installed. You can install valgrind on Ubuntu using *$ sudo apt get install valgrind* and on MacOS using *brew install valgrind*. If you are using a Windows machine, you will need to use of the machines with Linux in the department to finish this lab. You can connect remotely to the machines in the lab if you want to test this at home.

GDB

GDB helps you understand the execution of the program by allowing you to run a code line by line and check variable values on-the-fly. Say we have the following program called *factorial* in a file *factorial.cpp*:

```
1.  float factorial(int n) {
2.    float i = n;
3.    for (n--; n >= 0; --n)
4.          i *= n;
5.    return i;
6.  }
```

To run GDB or valgrind, we need to compile *factorial* with debug symbols and we can do this by passing -g to the GCC compiler. After compiled

To run factorial with GDB, we type ***gdb factorial***.

This will start GDB and load the debug symbols. We can run the program by typing ***run***. If the program crashes, it will stop at the part of the code where the problem happened. To see the code where the problem happened, you can type ***list***. We can also see what the value of the variables are by typing ***print <variable>***. For instance, if we want to see the value of n in line 4. of factorial, we can type *print n*.

Before you run the program, you can also add breakpoints that will make the program stop at a specific line of code before continuing. To do this, you can type ***breakpoint <filename>:<line of code>***. For instance, if we want to check the values n in factorial.cpp, we can type *breakpoint factorial.cpp:4*.

A quick guide to GDB can be found at:
https://web.eecs.umich.edu/~sugih/pointers/summary.html

Valgrind

Valgrind helps us understand if there are memory violations in our program (among other things). For instance, the following program may not crash but we know it is wrong because we should not be accessing a memory position at index 2 of the array.

```
1. int main() {
2.     int *array = new int[2];
3.     array[0] = 0;
4.     array[1] = 0;
5.     array[2] = 0; // what will happen here?
6.     return array[2];
7. }
```

We can run valgrind by typing **valgrind <program call>.** Assuming the above code binary is called *test,* then we can do *valgrind test.* Here is the output of valgrind when we run test:

```
==16319== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==16319== Copyright (C) 2002-2017, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
==16319== Using Valgrind-3.13.0 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==16319== Command: ./test
==16319==
==16319== Invalid write of size 4
==16319==    at 0x1086B0: main (main.cpp:5)
==16319==  Address 0x5b82c88 is 0 bytes after a block of size 8 alloc'd
==16319==    at 0x4C3089F: operator new[](unsigned long) (in
/usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload_memcheck-amd64-linux.so)
==16319==    by 0x10868B: main (main.cpp:2)
==16319==
==16319== Invalid read of size 4
==16319==    at 0x1086BA: main (main.cpp:6)
==16319==  Address 0x5b82c88 is 0 bytes after a block of size 8 alloc'd
==16319==    at 0x4C3089F: operator new[](unsigned long) (in
/usr/lib/valgrind/vgpreload_memcheck-amd64-linux.so)
==16319==    by 0x10868B: main (main.cpp:2)
==16319==
==16319==
==16319== HEAP SUMMARY:
==16319==     in use at exit: 8 bytes in 1 blocks
==16319==   total heap usage: 2 allocs, 1 frees, 72,712 bytes allocated
==16319==
==16319== LEAK SUMMARY:
==16319==    definitely lost: 8 bytes in 1 blocks
==16319==    indirectly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==16319==      possibly lost: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==16319==    still reachable: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==16319==         suppressed: 0 bytes in 0 blocks
==16319== Rerun with --leak-check=full to see details of leaked memory
==16319==
==16319== For counts of detected and suppressed errors, rerun with: -v
==16319== ERROR SUMMARY: 2 errors from 2 contexts (suppressed: 0 from 0)
```

The first problem is an invalid write of size 4 (bytes) on line 5. The second is a read of the same memory address. Note also the last line in bold which is saying that we allocated 8 bytes but we never free that memory, which is also a problem.

CS130 - LAB 3 - Debugging Problems

Name: **SID:**

The codes we will be working with can be found on iLearn.

1. Run **valgrind** on prog-1.
   a. What type of error do we get and why?

      A memory leak and an access violation.

      In resize function we did not delete the original data array after copy the new one.

      In append function we deleted the entire array which contains the param we passed in as reference.

   b. How prog-1 can be changed so we don't get this error anymore?

      We need to delete [] data in resize function

      We can get rid of the reference symbol in append function param.

2. Run **valgrind** on prog-2.
   a. What type of error do we get and why?

      We get invalid write of size 2 because there is no dynamic binding in generic function

   b. How prog-1 can be changed so we don't get this error anymore?

      Move the set_name function into object class and make it virtual

3. Run **gdb** on prog-3.
   a. The program should stop with segmentation fault exception. Type **list** to see the region where the program stopped. In which line of code is the program crashing?

      Line 11

   b. Use the command **print** <statement> with the variables that are being accessed on the line where the program is crashing. Why the program crashed in this case and how we can fix it?

      unsigned int will go back to the largest number if i reaches zero, so infinite loop

4. Run **valgrind** on prog-4.
   a. What type of error do we get and why?

      Stack smashing

      A c style string must terminate with \0

   b. How prog-1 can be changed so we don't get this error anymore?

      Terminate the string with \0 and set char array size to string.size()

5. Run **gdb** on prog-5 and follow the steps below.
   a. The program should stop with an segmentation fault exception. In which line of code is the program crashing?

      Line 56

   b. Why the program crashed in this case and how we can fix it? (you may want to see the *list* and *node* structures in the source code for this)

      When we want to add after the tail, next is nullptr and we can't access prev from nullptr

      We should add a condition checking if the node after n is nullptr, if it isn't then
      we can set prev to node a, otherwise, do nothing

   c. Compile and run the program again using **gdb.** The program should crash again. Try using **list** and **print** to figure out why the program is crashing and briefly explain your reasoning. What changes need to be made in the code to fix this problem?

      Line 64

      Same problem as before, if the node we want to remove is the head or tail, we can't access from nullptr.

      Add conditions to check if the node being removed is head or tail

   d. Compile and run the program again using **valgrind.** The program should display an error. Why do we get this error and how we can fix it?

      Invalid read of size 8

      A dangling pointer.

      Make a temp node and delete the temp while probing head forward

6. Using **gdb** and **valgrind** (use the best for each situation), briefly describe all problems in prog-6 and propose fixes for each one of the problems.

   In the overloaded constructor, n is not initialized when constructing new array, we should use size instead

   We also need a copy constructor

   The array is null after adding/subtracting because the temp array r will be destroyed after function call,
   thus the reference to it does not exist any more.

   We just need to remove the reference symbol of the return value.