## Mathworks:

MATLAB toolboxes > Fuzzy Logic (do the tutorials)

LSE (least squares estimator) → used to optimize the linear parameters of a system

$$\vec{\theta} = \{\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3, \dots, \theta_n\}^T$$
$$\vec{u}_i = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_p\}^T$$

m – training data pairs

$$\{\vec{u}_1, y_1\}, \{\vec{u}_2, y_2\}, \dots, \{\vec{u}_m, y_m\}$$
  
 $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m$ 

$$\underline{A}\vec{\theta} = \vec{y}$$

$$\vec{\theta} = (\underline{A}^T \underline{A})^{-1} \underline{A}^T \vec{y}$$

This is **offline training** (speed of operation is not a primary concern)

- you use all the training data pairs at once.

**Recursive**, or **online training**, is when training data pairs are used one after the other, or one at a time.

## 4.2 Recursive Lease Squares Estimator (LSE)

Suppose m —training data pairs.

 $k^{th}$  training data pair

 $k^{th}$  training operation

$$0 \le k \le m-1$$
  
(In MATLAB:  $1 \le k \le m$ )

Corresponding to the  $k^{th}$  training data pair: 1, 2, ... , k

$$\underbrace{f_1(\vec{u}_1) \quad f_2(\vec{u}_1) \quad \cdots \quad f_n(\vec{u}_1)}_{f_1(\vec{u}_2) \quad f_2(\vec{u}_2) \quad \cdots \quad f_n(\vec{u}_2)} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_1(\vec{u}_k) \quad f_2(\vec{u}_k) \quad \cdots \quad f_n(\vec{u}_k) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_n \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_k \\ y_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}}_{y_{k+1}}$$

$$\underline{A}\vec{\theta}_k = \vec{y}$$

$$\vec{\theta}_k = \underbrace{(\underline{A}^T \underline{A})^{-1}}_{\mathbf{A}^T} \vec{y}$$

If  $(k+1)^{th}$  training data pair is available:

$$\{\vec{u}_{k+1}, y_{k+1}\}$$

Will do  $(k+1)^{th}$  update operation:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{A}{\vec{a}_{k+1}^T} \end{bmatrix} \vec{\theta}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \vec{y} \\ y_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{\theta}_{k+1} = \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A}{\vec{a}_{k+1}^T} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A}{\vec{a}_{k+1}^T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{A}{\vec{a}_{k+1}^T} \end{bmatrix}^T \begin{bmatrix} \vec{y} \\ y_{k+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\vec{\theta}_{k+1} \sim \vec{\theta}_k + \text{update (modification)}$$

Introduce:

$$\underline{P}_{k} = (\underline{A}^{T}\underline{A})^{-1}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k}^{-1} = \underline{A}^{T}\underline{A}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1} = \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [\underline{A}^{T} \quad \vec{a}_{k+1}] \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \underline{A} \\ \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1} = [\underline{A}^{T}\underline{A} + \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}\vec{a}_{k+1}]^{-1}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{-1} = \underline{A}^{T}\underline{A} + \vec{a}_{k+1}\vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k}^{-1} = \underline{P}_{k}^{-1} + \vec{a}_{k+1}\vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} = \underline{P}_{k}^{-1} + \vec{a}_{k+1}\vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} = \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} + \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} + \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} = \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} + \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} + \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} = \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} + \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} + \underline{P}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{T} = \underline{P}$$

From Eq. (4):

$$\underline{P}_k^{-1}\vec{\theta}_k = \underline{P}_k^{-1}\underline{P}_k\underline{A}^T\vec{y}$$
$$\underline{A}^T\vec{y} = \underline{P}_k^{-1}\vec{\theta}_k$$

Eq. (5) becomes:

$$\vec{\theta}_{k+1} = \underline{P}_{k+1} \left[ \underline{P}_k^{-1} \vec{\theta}_k \quad \vec{a}_{k+1}^T y_{k+1} \right]$$

From Eq. (3):

$$\underline{P}_{k}^{-1} = \underline{P}_{k+1}^{-1} - \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \vec{a}_{k+1}$$

Eq. (5) becomes:

$$\vec{\theta}_{k+1} = \underline{P}_{k+1} \left[ (\underline{P}_{k+1}^{-1} - \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^T) \vec{\theta}_k \quad \vec{a}_{k+1} y_{k+1} \right]$$

$$= \left[ \mathbf{I} - \underline{P}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^T \right] \vec{\theta}_k + \underline{P}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1} y_{k+1}$$

$$= \vec{\theta}_k - \underline{P}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^T \vec{\theta}_k + \underline{P}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1} y_{k+1}$$

$$\vec{\theta}_{k+1} = \vec{\theta}_k + \underline{P}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1} (y_{k+1} - \vec{a}_{k+1}^T \vec{\theta}_k)$$

$$(6)$$

From Eq. (3):

$$\underline{P}_{k+1}^{-1} = \underline{P}_{k}^{-1} + \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} 
\underline{P}_{k+1} = \left[\underline{P}_{k}^{-1} + \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}\right]^{-1} 
\mathbf{A}$$

Formula:

$$(A + BC)^{-1}$$

$$= A^{-1} - A^{-1}B(I + CA^{-1}B)^{-1}CA^{-1}$$

$$A = \underline{P}_{k}^{-1}$$

$$B = \vec{a}_{k+1}$$

$$C = \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1} = \underline{P}_{k} - \underline{P}_{k} \vec{a}_{k+1} (I + \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \underline{P}_{k} \vec{a}_{k+1})^{-1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \underline{P}_{k}$$

$$\underline{P}_{k+1} = \underline{P}_{k} - \frac{\underline{P}_{k} \vec{a}_{k+1} \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \underline{P}_{k}}{I + \vec{a}_{k+1}^{T} \underline{P}_{k}} \vec{a}_{k+1}$$

$$\boxed{7}$$

Use Eq. (6) and Eq. (7) to do recursive LSE and update  $\vec{\theta}_{k+1}$ 

Initialization:

$$\underline{P_0} = \alpha I$$

Where  $\alpha$  is a larger number (1000, 10000, etc.).

From this, you can generate:

→ To be used in project

$$(\vec{\theta}_0 \dots \vec{\theta}_1 \dots \vec{\theta}_2 \dots)$$

## 4.3 Gradient Algorithms

Non-linear parameter optimization method.

For linear parameters, LSE is the general method – not many methods are required.

Compared to linear parameter optimization, there are many optimization methods for non-linear systems, but this one is the basic one (most general).

$$\vec{\theta} = \left[\vec{\theta}_1, \vec{\theta}_2, \dots, \vec{\theta}_n\right]^T$$

Objective function (error function):

$$E(\vec{\theta})$$

We want to minimize this function.

But,  $\theta$  can have many values, and it's possible that different numbers produce the same value:

Consider:

$$2x_1^2 + x_2p$$

When 
$$x_1 = 1$$
,  $x_2 = 1$ ,  $E(\theta) = 3$ 

When 
$$x_1 = 2$$
,  $x_2 = -5$ ,  $E(\theta) = 3$ 

These points would be on the same 'error height'

