

# Global Normalization via a Coverage Parameter ( $o > 1$ ) $\Rightarrow$ FLT: A Coq-Verified Conditional Route

Grigoriy Dedenko

**Abstract.** We develop a *global-normalization* reading of Dedenko’s idea. A single real factor  $o > 1$  is postulated to serve all putative counterexamples to Fermat’s equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  with  $n > 2$ , yielding the *coverage* identity  $\text{pow}(o, n) = 2 \cdot \text{INR}(n)$ . Under the *maximum-coverage* condition (the same  $o$  covers precisely the exponents  $n \in \{1, 2\}$  and no others), the Coq development proves  $o = 2$  and shows that  $\text{pow}(2, n) = 2 \cdot \text{INR}(n)$  can hold only for  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ . Hence any putative solution with  $n > 2$  yields a contradiction, and Fermat’s Last Theorem follows. The normalization premise is kept as an explicit hypothesis over  $\mathbb{N}$ ; bridge lemmas connect the real equation  $\text{pow}(o, n) = 2 \cdot \text{INR}(n)$  with the integer comparison  $2^n$  vs.  $2n$ . The classical parametrization  $(z, x) = (m^n + p^n, m^n - p^n)$  and parity identities appear only as motivation and are not used in the final contradiction. This coverage-parameter presentation replaces the earlier fixed-base GN(2) wording.

**Keywords:** Fermat’s Last Theorem · global normalization · coverage · Coq · formal verification

## 1 Introduction

We consider Fermat’s equation

$$x^n + y^n = z^n, \quad x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

In the present reading the analysis is collapsed by a single global normalizer  $o > 1$  and a coverage predicate:

**Definition 1 (Coverage predicate).** For a real  $o > 1$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , set

$$\text{covers\_with}(o, n) :\iff \text{pow}(o, n) = 2 \cdot \text{INR}(n).$$

**Definition 2 (Global normalization hypothesis).** There exists a fixed  $o > 1$  such that for every integer  $n > 2$  and all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x^n + y^n = z^n \implies \text{covers\_with}(o, n).$$

**Definition 3 (Maximum coverage).** The same  $o$  satisfies  $\text{covers\_with}(o, 1)$  and  $\text{covers\_with}(o, 2)$ , and for all  $n$ , if  $\text{covers\_with}(o, n)$  then  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Theorem 1 (Conditional on global normalization (coverage parameter)).** Assume there exists  $o > 1$  satisfying Definitions 2 and 3. Then the Fermat equation  $x^n + y^n = z^n$  has no solutions in  $\mathbb{N}$  for any  $n > 2$ .

## 2 Motivation: algebraic setup and parity (not used in the core)

Following the standard trick, set  $z := m^n + p^n$  and  $x := m^n - p^n$  (initially over  $\mathbb{R}$  so that ring equalities are straightforward). Then

$$y^n = z^n - x^n = (m^n + p^n)^n - (m^n - p^n)^n$$

is the odd–binomial sum. Passing to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , one obtains that  $z \pm x$  are even; in Coq this is captured by lemmas `sum_diff_from_parameters_R`, `sum_diff_from_parameters_Z`, and `parity_condition_Z`. These parity facts are logically independent from the final step and are included for completeness only.

### 3 Coq formalization: naturals core with a real wrapper

The development proves the elementary growth comparisons  $2^n > 2n$  for  $n \geq 3$  (and  $3^n > 2n$  for  $n \geq 1$ ), and packages them into the lemma `pow_eq_linear_positive` showing that  $2^n = 2 \cdot n$  forces  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ .

**Coverage predicate (Coq).**

Definition `covers_with (o : R) (n : nat) := pow o n = 2 * INR n.`

**Global normalization and maximum coverage (Coq, section hypotheses).**

Variable `o : R.`

Hypothesis `normalization_gt1 : 1 < o.`

Hypothesis `maximum_coverage :`

`covers_with o 1%nat /\`

`covers_with o 2%nat /\`

`(forall n, covers_with o n -> n = 1%nat \/ n = 2%nat).`

Hypothesis `normalization_equation :`

`forall (n x y z : nat),`

`2 < n ->`

`Nat.pow x n + Nat.pow y n = Nat.pow z n ->`

`covers_with o n.`

From these, the Coq file derives:

- `o = 2` (lemma `normalization_parameter_is_two` via `pow(o, 1) = 2`),
- the contradiction for all  $n > 2$  (lemma `normalization_forces_small_exponent`).

For convenience, the explicit choice  $o = 2$  is verified to realise maximum coverage:

Lemma `covers_two_one : covers_with 2 1%nat. (* pow(2,1) = 2 . INR(1) *)`

Lemma `covers_two_two : covers_with 2 2%nat. (* pow(2,2) = 2 . INR(2) *)`

Lemma `covers_two_only_small (n : nat) :`

`covers_with 2 n -> n = 1%nat \/ n = 2%nat.`

Combining the global normalization with this explicit choice yields `fermat_last_theorem_via_maximum_coverage`.

### 4 What is *not* assumed

The reading here does *not* rely on any unconditional congruence like  $(m^n + p^n)^n - (m^n - p^n)^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2n}$  (which is false in general), nor on a fixed-base hypothesis `GN(2)`. Algebraic parametrization and parity serve as motivation/consistency checks and are not used in the final step.

### 5 Article–Coq correspondence

### 6 Conclusion

Under the single global-normalization premise encoded by a coverage parameter  $o > 1$ , the Coq file derives FLT for all  $n > 2$  using only elementary growth lemmas and the maximum-coverage principle that isolates  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ . Parity constraints from the parametrization are checked separately. This coverage-parameter presentation replaces the earlier fixed-base `GN(2)` wording.

Article (item)	Coq formalization (lemma/theorem)
Algebraic parametrization over $\mathbb{R}$ ; integer parity facts	<code>sum_diff_from_parameters_R</code> , <code>sum_diff_from_parameters_Z</code> , <code>parity_condition_Z</code> .
Coverage predicate and bridge to naturals	<code>covers_with</code> , <code>covers_two_nat</code> , <code>INR_two_mul_nat</code> .
Growth vs. linear comparison; $2^n = 2 \cdot n \Rightarrow n \in \{1, 2\}$	<code>pow2_gt_linear</code> , <code>pow3_gt_linear</code> , <code>pow_eq_linear_positive</code> .
Global normalization and maximum coverage (hypotheses/section)	<code>normalization_gt1</code> , <code>maximum_coverage</code> , <code>normalization_equation</code> .
Consequences: $o = 2$ and contradiction for $n > 2$	<code>normalization_parameter_is_two</code> , <code>normalization_forces_small_exponent</code> .
Explicit realisation with $o = 2$ ; final FLT corollaries	<code>covers_two_one</code> , <code>covers_two_two</code> , <code>covers_two_only_small</code> , <code>fermat_last_theorem_from_global_normalization</code> , <code>fermat_last_theorem_via_maximum_coverage</code> .

Table 1. Mapping between the paper's steps and the Coq development.

## Appendix: selected Coq declarations (names)

```

sum_diff_from_parameters_R,      sum_diff_from_parameters_Z,      parity_condition_Z,
no_parameters_if_parity_violation,      no_parameters_if_odd,
pow2_gt_linear,      pow3_gt_linear,      pow_eq_linear_positive,      covers_two_nat,
INR_two_mul_nat,      covers_with,      normalization_gt1,      maximum_coverage,
normalization_equation,      normalization_parameter_is_two,
normalization_forces_small_exponent,      covers_two_one,      covers_two_two,
covers_two_only_small,      fermat_last_theorem_from_global_normalization,
fermat_last_theorem_via_maximum_coverage.

```

## References

1. A. Wiles. Modular elliptic curves and Fermat's Last Theorem. *Annals of Mathematics* 141 (1995), 443–551.
2. G. L. Dedenko. The “Difficulties” in Fermat's Original Discourse on the Indecomposability of Powers Greater Than a Square: A Retrospect. Preprint, 2025. DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.24342.32321](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.24342.32321).
3. The Coq Development Team. The Coq Proof Assistant. <https://coq.inria.fr>.