

- Derive the update formulas of the parameters  $\pi, \mu, \Lambda$  by letting the partial derivative of the lower bound w.r.t. each parameter equal to zero.

$$\begin{aligned}\log P(X; \theta) &= \log \int q(z) \frac{P(X, z; \theta)}{q(z)} dz \\ &\geq \int q(z) \log \frac{P(X, z; \theta)}{q(z)} dz \quad (\odot \text{ Jensen's inequality}) \\ &= \int q(z) \log P(X, z; \theta) dz - \int q(z) \log q(z) dz\end{aligned}$$

The equality holds true when  $q(z) = P(z|X; \theta) \dots (1)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{When eq (1) is true, } \log P(X; \theta) &= \int q(z) \log P(X, z; \theta) dz + C \\ &\quad \left( C := - \int q(z) \log q(z) dz \right)\end{aligned}$$

If there is a dataset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}q(z_{nk}=1) &= \frac{P(x_n, z_{nk}=1; \pi_k, \mu_k, \Lambda_k)}{\sum_{k'=1}^K P(x_n, z_{nk'}=1; \pi_{k'}, \mu_{k'}, \Lambda_{k'})} \\ &= \frac{\pi_k N(x_n | \mu_k, \Lambda_k^{-1})}{\sum_{k'=1}^K \pi_{k'} N(x_n | \mu_{k'}, \Lambda_{k'}^{-1})} = \gamma(z_{nk})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\log P(X; \theta) &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \underline{q(z_{nk}=1)} \underline{\log P(x_n, z_{nk}; \theta)} + C \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \underline{\gamma(z_{nk})} \underline{\log \{ \pi_k N(x_n | \mu_k, \Lambda_k^{-1}) \}} + C \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ \log \pi_k - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k) + C' \right\} + C \\ &\quad (\Sigma_k := \Lambda_k^{-1})\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\log P(X; \theta)}{\partial \mu_k^*} = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 \cdot \Sigma_k^{-1} (x_n - \mu_k^*) \right\} = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \Sigma_k^{-1} x_n = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \Sigma_k^{-1} \mu_k^*$$

$$\therefore \mu_k^* = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) x_n}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk})} = \frac{\bar{S}_k(x)}{\bar{S}_k(1)} \quad \left( \bar{S}_k(1) = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk}, \bar{S}_k(x) = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nk} x_n \right)$$

$$\frac{\log P(X; \theta)}{\partial \Sigma_k^*} = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2 \Sigma_k^*} + \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k^{*-1} (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Sigma_k^{*-1} \right\} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2 \Sigma_k^*} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) = \frac{1}{2} \Sigma_k^{*-2} \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_k^* &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) (x_n - \mu_k) (x_n - \mu_k)^T}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk})} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) x_n x_n^T}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk})} - \mu_k \mu_k^T \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \Sigma_k^* = \frac{\bar{S}_k(x x^T)}{\bar{S}_k(1)} - \mu_k \mu_k^T \quad \left( \bar{S}_k(x x^T) = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) x_n x_n^T \right)$$

By Lagrange's method of undetermined multiplier, determine the optimal value of  $\pi_k$ , satisfying the constraint, i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = 1$$

$$J = \log P(X; \theta) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial J}{\partial \pi_k^*} = \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \frac{1}{\pi_k^*} + \lambda = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) + \pi_k^* \lambda = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk}) \frac{1}{\pi_k^*} - \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk}) = 0$$

$$\pi_k^* = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \gamma(z_{nk})}{\sum_{n=1}^N \gamma(z_{nk})} = \frac{\bar{S}_k(1)}{\bar{S}_k(1)}$$

- Derive the variational/posteriors of the parameters  $\pi, \mu, \Lambda$  by using the formulas (P46)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log q^*(\pi) &= \log P(\pi) + \langle \log P(z/\pi) \rangle_{q(z)} + C \\
 &= \log \text{Dir}(\pi | \alpha_0) + \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \log \pi_k^{z_{nk}} \right\rangle_{q(z)} + C \\
 &= \log \text{Dir}(\pi | \alpha_0) + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \langle z_{nk} \rangle_{q(z)} \log \pi_k + C \\
 &= \log \text{Dir}(\pi | \alpha_0) + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N \underbrace{\delta_{nk}}_{N_k} \log \pi_k + C \\
 &= C' + \sum_{k=1}^K \log \pi_k^{\alpha_{0k} + N_k - 1} \\
 &= \log \text{Dir}(\pi | \alpha) + \text{const}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\Sigma^{-1} = (\sigma, \tau)$

⊙  $q^*(\pi) = \text{Dir}(\pi | \alpha)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \log q^*(\mu, \Lambda) &= \log P(\mu, \Lambda) + \langle \log P(x/\mu, \Lambda) \rangle_{q(z)} + \text{const.} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \log N(\mu_k | m_0, (\beta_0 \Lambda_k)^{-1}) W(\Lambda_k | w_0, v_0) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \langle z_{nk} \rangle_{q(z)} \log N(x_n | \mu_k, \Lambda_k^{-1}) + \text{const.} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \log N(\mu_k | m_0, (\beta_0 \Lambda_k)^{-1}) + \sum_{k=1}^K \log W(\Lambda_k | w_0, v_0) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \delta_{nk} \log N(x_n | \mu_k, \Lambda_k^{-1}) + \text{const.} \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_k - m_0)^T \beta_0 \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_0) + \frac{1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| + \text{const.} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[w_0^{-1} \Lambda_k] + \frac{v_0 - D - 1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{nk} \left( \log |\Lambda_k| - (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Lambda_k (x_n - \mu_k) + \text{const.} \right) \right\} \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| - \frac{1}{2} (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Lambda_k (x_n - \mu_k) + \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

$B(w, v_0) / |\Lambda_k|^{(v_0 - D - 1)/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[w_0^{-1} \Lambda_k]\right)$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_k - m_0)^T \beta_0 \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_0) + \frac{1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| + \text{const.} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [W_0^{-1} \Lambda_k] + \frac{V_0 - D - 1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} N_k \log |\Sigma_k| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Lambda_k (x_n - \mu_k) + N_k \times \text{const.} \right\} \quad (1)$$

We can factorize  $\log q^*(\mu, \Lambda)$  as:

$$\log q^*(\mu, \Lambda) = \log q^*(\mu | \Lambda) + \log q^*(\Lambda)$$

This means that the terms which depends on  $\mu$  from (1) correspond to

Therefore,

$$\log q^*(\mu | \Lambda) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \left\{ (\mu_k - m_0)^T \beta_0 \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_0) + N_k (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Lambda_k (x_n - \mu_k) \right\} + \text{const} \quad (2)$$

We assume that  $p(\mu | \Lambda) p(\Lambda)$  is a Gaussian-Wishart distribution, so  $q^*(\mu | \Lambda)$  should be a multiple Gaussian distribution, and  $q^*(\Lambda)$  should be a Wishart distribution.

Therefore,  $q^*(\mu_k | \Lambda_k)$  should be represented as:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} q^*(\mu_k | \Lambda_k) &= \mathcal{N}(\mu_k | m_k, (\beta_k \Lambda_k)^{-1}) \\ \beta_k &:= \beta_0 + N_k, \quad m_k = \frac{1}{\beta_k} (\beta_0 m_0 + \sum_{n=1}^N x_n) \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$\log q^*(\mu_k | \Lambda_k) = \frac{1}{2} \log |\beta_k \Lambda_k| - \frac{\beta_k}{2} (\mu_k - m_k)^T \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_k) + \text{const}$$

$$\therefore \log q^*(\Lambda_k) = \log q^*(\mu_k, \Lambda_k) - \log q^*(\mu_k | \Lambda_k)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} (\mu_k - m_0)^T \beta_0 \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_0) + \frac{1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k|$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [W_0^{-1} \Lambda_k] + \frac{V_0 - D - 1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k|$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} N_k \log |\Lambda_k| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_{nk} (x_n - \mu_k)^T \Lambda_k (x_n - \mu_k)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| + \frac{\beta_k}{2} (\mu_k - m_k)^T \Lambda_k (\mu_k - m_k) + \text{const.} \quad 2$$

$$= \frac{V_k - D - 1}{2} \log |\Lambda_k| - \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} [\Lambda_k W_k^{-1}] + \text{const.} \quad (3)$$

Omit complex transformations of  $W_k^{-1}$

$$W_0^{-1} = A X, \quad \Lambda_k = X^T \\ \text{Tr} [A_k X X^T] = X^T A_k X \\ = \Lambda_k W_0^{-1}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_k^{-1} = \beta(\mu_k - m_0)(\mu_k - m_0)^T - W_0^{-1} + \sum_{n=1}^N \gamma_{nR} (x_n - \mu_R)(x_n - \mu_R)^T - \beta_k(\mu_k - m_k)(\mu_k - m_k)^T \\ V_k = V_0 + N_k \end{pmatrix}$$

Comparing ③ to  $W(\Lambda/W, V)$ , we can get:

$$\underline{q^*(\Lambda_k) = W(\Lambda_k | W_k, V_k)}$$

And we can derive  $q^*(\mu, \Lambda)$  as;

$$\begin{aligned} q^*(\mu, \Lambda) &= q^*(\mu | \Lambda) q^*(\Lambda) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^K N(\mu_k | m_k, (\beta_k \Lambda_k)^{-1}) W(\Lambda_k | W_k, V_k) \end{aligned}$$


---