A. delivery	B. alternative	C. enormously	D. floating	E. analyzed	F. process
G. determine	H. visible	I. messy	J. disturbingly	K. patterns	



Everyone knows that the Internet has changed how business operate, governments function and people live. However, a new, less <u>41</u> technological trend is just as transformative: "big data." Big data starts with the fact that there is a lot more information <u>42</u> around these days

than ever before and it is being put to extraordinary new uses.

Consider language translation, for example. When IBM first started to work on machine translation in the 1990s, it just fed a small number of high-quality translation into a computer and programmed it to infer which word in one language is the best <u>43</u> for another. Although this revolutionized the task of translation, the result was far from being perfect. Then, in 2006, Google burst in. Instead of millions of pages of texts, the search giant <u>45</u> billions, from corporate websites to documents in every language from the European Union. The result is that its translations are much better than IBM's were and it covers 65 languages. Large amounts of <u>46</u> data defeated small amounts of cleaner data.

Another good example of how big data can be \_\_\_47\_\_ helpful is online shopping. Using data collected from customer shopping habits, today, Amazon can \_\_\_48\_\_ who is most likely to purchase what and when. Details such as your history and wish list help the company gain a *glimpse* (一瞥) into your interests. Goods will then be dispatched to a *logistics center*(物流中心) near you and get packed before you even order, meaning that when you do make an online purchase, sameday 49 would be possible.

With big data, instead of trying to understand exactly why an engine breaks down or why a drug's side effect disappears, researchers can instead collect and analyze massive quantities of information about such events and everything that is associated with them, looking for \_\_\_\_\_\_ that might help predict future occurrences.

Big data answers not why but what. Finally, it will mark the moment when the "information society" finally fulfills the promise implied by its name.

A. approval	B. invaders	C. address	ed D. se	rving E. in	npact
F. response	G. influential	H. rescued	I. mixed	J. potential	K. engaged

The German Chancellor, Angela Merkel has been named TIME's 2015 Person of the Year. She's led Germany since 2005 and is \_\_41\_\_ her third time.

"TIME" has named a person of a year since 1927. The recipient is someone or some group that for better or worse is considered to have had a major \_\_42\_\_ on world events. It is decided by the editors of the \_\_43\_\_ magazine. Last year the title went to Ebola fighters and the year before that, Pope Francis.

TIME Magazine gave a number of reasons why it chose Merkel, from how she's handled Europe's economic crisis, to how she's responded to terrorist attacks in the region, to how she's \_\_44\_\_ the continent's ongoing refugee crisis. Her high \_\_45\_\_ rating in Germany has slipped recently because many Germans don't agree with her \_\_46\_\_ to that crisis. So, the reaction in her home country was \_\_47\_\_.

Nancy Gibbs, the editor of TIME wrote that the Chancellor was awarded the title for "asking more of her country than most politicians would dare, for standing firm against tyranny."

She also praised Merkel, the first woman to be named the title for 29 years, for her leadership during the refugee crisis. "At a moment when much of the world is once more \_\_48\_\_ in a debate about the balance between safety and freedom, the Chancellor is asking a great deal of the German people, and by their example, the rest of us as well. She views refugees as victims to be \_\_49\_\_ rather than \_\_50\_\_ to be repelled..."

A. moderately	B. chances	C. accommodate	D. volume	E. conflicting	F. flow
G. constant	H. tapped	I. instinct	J. seemingly	K. slowing	
There's not	hing that will ru	in your day faster th	an being stuck i	n a traffic jam all	morning, and
it's even worse	when there's (4	11) no rea	son for it. Ther	e's a lot of inter	esting science
behind traffic, the	ough, and while	e understanding it mi	ght not make sit	ting in it any bett	er, it can teach
you how to avoid	d some of the m	nistakes we all make	behind the whee	el.	
1. The way we n	nerge (合并) ca	uses problems			
Whether yo	u're merging fr	om the left or the ri	ght, (42)	are good that	t you're doing
it wrong and caus	sing all sorts of	problems. When mo	st people see tha	it they need to me	erge, their first
(43) is	to do it right av	way. They brake, slow	w down, speed u	p, and change lar	nes in between
oncoming traffic	c. According to	the Minnesota dep	partment of Tra	nsportation, that	's completely
wrong. Sudden (	(44)	causes traffic to bac	k up, a problem	that's made wo	rse by sudden
lane changes and	l other cars brak	king to (45)	_ the merging to	raffic.	
So what sho	ould you do? Ex	cactly what you prob	ably blame driv	ers for doing: wa	uiting until the
last minute. If y	ou do that, trat	ffic will fall into a	more natural pa	ttern called a "z	ipper merge",
meaning there a	re no surprises	, no sudden braking	g, and a smooth	er transition from	n one lane to
another, which co	uts down on ba	ckups. This does, of	course, rely on o	other drivers to le	t you in at the
last minute and b	e polite enough	n not to cut you off,	which causes all	sorts of other pr	oblems.
2. You are causi	ng the traffic j	ams you hate			
		n chalked up to (取得			
but it turns out	that even heav	y traffic can (47) _	smoot	hly if people m	aintain a (48)
		is that we can't. Res			
slightly stepping	on their brakes	can have a terrible	effect on the traf	fic around them.	
On even (4	9) b	usy road, it can tak	e only a few m	inutes for traffic	to grind to a
complete halt be	chind someone	who (50)	their brakes to	let another drive	er merge. The
standstill usually	occurs severa	l minutes after the	braking, well at	fter the person th	nat causes the
problem in the fi	rst place has go	one on his way.			