# ReadMe

Coleen Smith 4/2/2019

# Assignment

This assignment will create one R script called run\_analysis.R that does the following. 1. Merges the training and the test sets to create one data set. 2. Extracts only the measurements on the mean and standard deviation for each measurement. 3. Uses descriptive activity names to name the activities in the data set. 4. Appropriately labels the data set with descriptive variable names. 5. From the data set in step 4, creates a second, independent tidy data set with the average of each variable for each activity and each subject.

#### Raw Data

Data collected from the accelerometers from the Samsung Galaxy S smartphone was made available to download. A full description is available at the site where the data was obtained:

http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Human+Activity+Recognition+Using+Smartphones

Here are the data for the project:

dir("UCI\_HAR\_Dataset")

https://d396 qusza 40 orc. cloud front.net/get data %2 Fproject files %2 FUCI %20 HAR %20 Dataset.zip

#### **UCI HAR Dataset**

After downloading and unzipping files, I renamed the directory from "UCI HAR Dataset" to "UCI\_HAR\_Dataset" to avoid possible issues with spaces in a pathname.

```
## [1] "activity_labels.txt" "features_info.txt" "features.txt"
## [4] "README.txt" "test" "train"
```

#### Parent Directory

- activity labels.txt six activities, two columns (number & name), all uppercase, no headers
- features.txt 561 variables, two columns (number & name), mixed case, no headers
  - 46 occurrences of "mean"
  - 10 occurrences of "Mean", all as part of an ordered pair, in 7 rows (555-561)
  - 33 occurrences of "std", only single occurrence/row
- features\_info.txt information on the variables and calculations listed in features.txt
- README.txt overview of project
- test (folder) data from first 30 subjects
- train (folder)- data from remaining 70 subjects

Based on the information included in features\_info.txt, the files in the test and train and train folders are formatted and organized the same way. Since the test folder files are smaller with data from just 30 subjects, they became the starting point to understand the data and prepare the files for merging.

#### Test Folder

```
dir("UCI HAR Dataset/test")
## [1] "Inertial Signals" "subject_test.txt" "X_test.txt"
## [4] "y_test.txt"
To get a sense of how the data were arranged, I also looked at each of the files using atom.
test(folder):
- inertial signals (folder):
- each .txt - a set of 35 element vectors.
- subject test.txt - a single column of numbers, no column headers.
- x_test.txt - rows of 561 element vectors, no column headers.
- y test.txt - a single column of numbers, no column headers.
- "1" 496 occurrences
- "2" 471 occurrences
- "3" 420 occurrences
- "4" 491 occurrences
- "5" 532 occurrences
- "6" 537 occurrences
- \text{Total} = 2947
```

### Train Folder

```
dir("UCI_HAR_Dataset/train")

## [1] "Inertial Signals" "subject_train.txt" "X_train.txt"
## [4] "y_train.txt"
```

Train (folder) mirrors file structure and organization seen in test files, only larger because files represent 70 subjects rather than 30.

# 1. Merge the training and the test sets to create one data set

Based on this assignment's requirements, I decided to follow Wickham approach to tidying data when there is one type of data in multiple tables (Ref: Wickham, Section 3.5).

- 1. Read the files into a list of tables.
- 2. For each table, add a new column that records the original file name.
- 3. Combine all tables into a single table.

In this case, test and train data are one type of data observed for separate groups of people. Thinking ahead to tidy data,

- 1. Each variable forms a column.
  - subject (100)
  - activity (6)
  - features (561)
- 2. Each observation forms a row.

```
An observation is of a given subject
performing a specific activity
represented by 561 measured features
3. Each type of observational unit forms a table.
Interim: test and train in separate tables
Final: test and train data in single table
```

Since the subject, activity and measurements are recorded in separate tables within test and train, the first step would be to create a test data file with subject, activity and measurement observations. Then, do the same for the train data. Since they have the same variables, combine the interim test and train data files using rbind().

# Read test files into a list of tables: subject\_test, X\_test, and Y\_test data

From a bookkeeping perspective, a good place to start is with the number lines per file. The number of data lines in the source file should equal the number of lines in the destination file. [Back in the dark ages when file transfer protocol included a magnetic tape and FedEx Overnight, I spent a day looking for 13 "lost" lines out of 25,000 - lesson burned.]

```
## [1] 561
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 2947
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 2947
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 1 TRUE
```

According to README.txt and features\_info.txt, features.txt represents the names of the 561 variables recorded. Since the 561 variable list already contains the summary data (mean & std) required to comoplete the assignment, the merge for this assignment will not include the additional detail observations contained in the inertial signals test and train folders.

Using read.table, create a data frame from each file: subject\_test.txt, X\_test.txt and Y\_test.txt.

```
subject test <- read.table("UCI HAR Dataset/test/subject test.txt", header = FALSE)
    str(subject_test)
## 'data.frame':
                    2947 obs. of 1 variable:
## $ V1: int 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
   X_test <- read.table("UCI_HAR_Dataset/test/X_test.txt", header = FALSE)</pre>
    ## This is a looooong output, just need highlights
    str(X test, list.len = 5)
## 'data.frame':
                    2947 obs. of 561 variables:
   $ V1
         : num 0.257 0.286 0.275 0.27 0.275 ...
                -0.0233 -0.0132 -0.0261 -0.0326 -0.0278 ...
   $ V2
          : num
   $ V3
          : num -0.0147 -0.1191 -0.1182 -0.1175 -0.1295 ...
         : num -0.938 -0.975 -0.994 -0.995 -0.994 ...
   $ V4
         : num -0.92 -0.967 -0.97 -0.973 -0.967 ...
   $ V5
```

Create a character vector from features.txt to use later in naming the Y\_test data frame columns. Note, the features.txt file has some duplicate variable names, differing only by row/line number index. For example, "fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-1,8" appears at row 303, 317, and 331. There are three groups of 14 variables that start with "fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()" and end with an ordered pair in rows 303-344. Use readLines to keep line number index with variable name.

```
features <- readLines("UCI_HAR_Dataset/features.txt")
str(features)

## chr [1:561] "1 tBodyAcc-mean()-X" "2 tBodyAcc-mean()-Y" ...
print (features[303:316])

## [1] "303 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-1,8" "304 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-9,16"
## [3] "305 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-17,24" "306 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-25,32"
## [5] "307 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-33,40" "308 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-41,48"
## [7] "309 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-49,56" "310 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-57,64"
## [9] "311 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-1,16" "312 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-17,32"
## [11] "313 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-33,48" "314 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-49,64"
## [13] "315 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-1,24" "316 fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()-25,48"</pre>
```

For readibility, set column names before combining tables. Use conventions described in README.txt and features\_info.txt files. This will make it easier later to filter "mean" and "std" variables.

```
names(subject_test) <- "subject"
names(Y_test) <- "activity_number"
## Since features.txt contains two columns feature number and feature name
names(X_test) <- features</pre>
```

With the exception of adding column names, no data has been transformed or filtered. This is useful in case something goes wrong downstream and need to reset to original values. Each file (subject\_test.txt, X\_test.txt and Y\_test.txt) has 2947 rows, and represent different variables of a single observation.

#### Combine test tables into a single table

Each table represents a unique subset of variables, and are linked by the order of the rows rather than a specific, shared variable. Use cbind() rather than one of the dplyr join() commands to merge the three train data files. . Bind in order of subject (subject\_test), activity (Y\_test), then recorded measurements (X\_test). Review the result.

```
test_merged <- cbind(subject_test, Y_test, X_test)
    str(test_merged, list.len = 5)

## 'data.frame': 2947 obs. of 563 variables:
## $ subject : int 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...</pre>
```

```
## $ activity_number : int 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 ...

## $ 1 tBodyAcc-mean()-X : num 0.257 0.286 0.275 0.27 0.275 ...

## $ 2 tBodyAcc-mean()-Y : num -0.0233 -0.0132 -0.0261 -0.0326 -0.0278 ...

## $ 3 tBodyAcc-mean()-Z : num -0.0147 -0.1191 -0.1182 -0.1175 -0.1295 ...

## [list output truncated]
```

With test\_merged's 2947 observations, no rows lost. 563 column variables represent subject and activity number columns added to 561 feature measurements.

## Read train files into a list of tables: subject\_train, X\_train, and Y\_train data

As mentioned before, the test and train files have identical structure and organization. Although larger, they can be processed in the same way: count lines, name the columns, create a data frame for each.

```
## [1] 7352
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 7352
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 7352
## attr(,"lastLineHasNewline")
## [1] TRUE
```

Each train data files has 7352 lines.

## \$ V1: int 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 ...

Using read.table, create a data frame from each file: subject train.txt, X train.txt and Y train.txt.

```
subject train <- read.table("UCI HAR Dataset/train/subject train.txt", header = FALSE)
    str(subject_train)
## 'data.frame':
                    7352 obs. of 1 variable:
## $ V1: int 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
   X_train <- read.table("UCI_HAR_Dataset/train/X_train.txt", header = FALSE)</pre>
   ## This is a looooong output, just need highlights
    str(X train, list.len = 5)
## 'data.frame':
                    7352 obs. of 561 variables:
##
   $ V1 : num 0.289 0.278 0.28 0.279 0.277 ...
   $ V2 : num -0.0203 -0.0164 -0.0195 -0.0262 -0.0166 ...
   $ V3 : num -0.133 -0.124 -0.113 -0.123 -0.115 ...
   $ V4 : num -0.995 -0.998 -0.995 -0.996 -0.998 ...
##
   $ V5 : num -0.983 -0.975 -0.967 -0.983 -0.981 ...
##
     [list output truncated]
   Y train <- read.table("UCI HAR Dataset/train/Y train.txt", header = FALSE)
   str(Y_train)
## 'data.frame':
                    7352 obs. of 1 variable:
```

```
For readibility, set column names to match subject_test, X_test, Y_test. Since X_test and X_train have identical structure, use the features vector created earlier to name columns.
```

```
names(subject_train) <- "subject"
names(Y_train) <- "activity_number"
## Since features,txt contains two columns feature number and feature name
names(X_train) <- features</pre>
```

With the exception of adding column names, no data has been transformed or filtered. This is useful in case something goes wrong downstream and need to reset to original values. Each file (subject\_train.txt, X train.txt and Y train.txt) has 7352 rows, and represent different variables of a single observation.

## Combine train tables into a single table

Like the test data, each train table represents a unique subset of variables, and are linked by the order of the rows rather than a specific, shared variable. Use cbind() rather than one of the dplyr join() commands to merge the three train data files. Bind in order of subject (subject\_train), activity\_number (Y\_train), then recorded measurements (X\_train). Review the result.

```
train merged <- cbind(subject train, Y train, X train)</pre>
    str(train_merged, list.len = 5)
## 'data.frame':
                   7352 obs. of 563 variables:
## $ subject
                                              : int
                                                   1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
## $ activity_number
                                              : int 5555555555...
   $ 1 tBodyAcc-mean()-X
                                                    0.289 0.278 0.28 0.279 0.277 ...
   $ 2 tBodyAcc-mean()-Y
##
                                              : num -0.0203 -0.0164 -0.0195 -0.0262 -0.0166 ...
##
   $ 3 tBodyAcc-mean()-Z
                                              : num -0.133 -0.124 -0.113 -0.123 -0.115 ...
     [list output truncated]
```

With train\_merged's 7352 observations, no rows lost. 563 variables represent subject and activity\_number columns added to 561 feature measurements.

# For each test\_merge and train\_merge table, add a new column that records the original file name.

Install and load dplyr packages. Use mutate() to create a new variable to identify the original file (test or train).

```
test_add <- mutate(test_merged, original_file = "test")
    ## sanity check ... expecting 564
    ncol(test_add)

## [1] 564
    tail(test_add$original_file)

## [1] "test" "test" "test" "test" "test"
    train_add <- mutate(train_merged, original_file = "train")
    ## sanity check ... expecting 564
    ncol(test_add)

## [1] 564
    tail(train_add$original_file)

## [1] "train" "train" "train" "train" "train" "train"</pre>
```

Using ncol confirms that each new table now has 564 columns. Explicitly specifying new column by name in tail operation, demonstrates original\_name was added to test\_merged and train\_merged and was filled.

## Combine test add and train add tables into a single table

Since the test\_add and train\_add tables have the same column organization, use rbind to combine into a single table.

```
test_train <- rbind(test_add, train_add)
## 2947 from test + 7352 from train = 10299 in test_train</pre>
```

```
## matches the 10299 instances recorded in raw data
nrow(test_train)
```

```
## [1] 10299
```

Row binding test\_add and train\_add tables creates a single data frame with 10299 rows: 2947 from test plus 7352 from train. Total rows bound matches the 10299 instances recorded in raw data source's webpage (http://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Human+Activity+Recognition+Using+Smartphones)

# Is it tidy?

The test\_train dataset is tidy, if not pretty.

#### Each variable forms a column.

The final test\_train data frame includes 564 variables for subject, activity, origin file plus 561 measured features. While some of the features represent computed values such as mean, they are a single observed variable.

#### Each observation forms a row.

For this dataset, an observation is of a given subject, performing a specific activity, described by 561 measured features, and labeled by origin (test or train).

#### Each type of observational unit forms a table.

The collection of variables, although from different samples, represent the same type of observations (subject, activity, measured features) and includes a variable that identifies the original source of the observation.

#### **Tidy Data**

Based on Wickham's standards, the data frame test\_train is tidy. Although not explicitly mentioned in the definition of tidy data, Wickham also discusses the order in which variables should appear in a dataset:

"Fixed variables describe the experimental design and are known in advance... Measured variables are what we actually measure in the study. Fixed variables should come first, followed by measured variables, each ordered so that related variables are contiguous."

By this standard, subject, activity and original file would be fixed variables and should appear contiguously and first. Measured variables would be the items described by the feature measurements. This could be accomplished by moving the original file column to the first column of the data frame.

```
## new dataframe with fixed variable first
tidyframe <- select(test_train, 564, 1:563)</pre>
```

Tidy data addresses the shape and organization of data that make it software readible rather than what makes it human readible: tidyframe is tidy data. Descriptive variable names will be addressed later in the assignment (see sections 3 & 4).

# 2. Extract only the measurements on the mean and standard deviation for each measurement.

Based on features\_info.txt, observed features representing mean or standard deviation include the string "mean()", "std()" or "Mean" in their name. Since tidyframe already includes variable names, grep can extract a list of columns containing the target strings.

```
## use grep to find target strings
   mean_std_columns <- grep("Mean|mean()|std()", names(tidyframe), value = TRUE)</pre>
   mean_std_tidyframe <- select(tidyframe, original_file, activity_number, subject, mean_std_columns)
    str(mean std tidyframe,list.len = 5)
## 'data.frame':
                   10299 obs. of 89 variables:
## $ original_file
                                                    "test" "test" "test" "test" ...
                                             : chr
## $ activity_number
                                             : int 5555555555...
## $ subject
                                                    2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
                                              : int
## $ 1 tBodyAcc-mean()-X
                                             : num 0.257 0.286 0.275 0.27 0.275 ...
## $ 2 tBodyAcc-mean()-Y
                                              : num -0.0233 -0.0132 -0.0261 -0.0326 -0.0278 ...
     [list output truncated]
```

There were 89 total occurrences of the strings "mean()", "Mean" and "std()" in the original features.txt file. However, there were three rows where "Mean" appeared twice. Including the three fixed variables (original file, activity number, subject) the final data frame correctly includes 89 variables.

# 3. Use descriptive activity names to name the activities in the data set

An activity id/number and name is listed in activity\_labels.txt for each unique activity in the dataset. Read the activity\_labels.txt file into a table to use with a join to replace activity numbers with names in tidyframe.

```
activity_labels <- read.table("UCI_HAR_Dataset/activity_labels.txt", header = FALSE)
str(activity_labels)

## 'data.frame': 6 obs. of 2 variables:
## $ V1: int 1 2 3 4 5 6

## $ V2: Factor w/ 6 levels "LAYING", "SITTING",..: 4 6 5 2 3 1</pre>
```

Before doing any join based on a key, like activity, double check to make sure there will be a mapping for each. An outer join will substitute an NA for missed joins, but it would be better to know ahead of time about missing data.

```
uactivity <- unique(tidyframe$activity_number)
str(uactivity)

## int [1:6] 5 4 6 1 3 2

uactivity <- unique(mean_std_tidyframe$activity_number)
str(uactivity)</pre>
```

From the above, there are 6 unique activities in tidyframe and mean\_std\_tidyframe, with values ranging from 1-6.

int [1:6] 5 4 6 1 3 2

Assured there are no missing values use mutate to add activity name, which is more descriptive that a number.

```
## An activity id/number and name is listed in activity_labels.txt for each unique activity
activity_labels <- read.table("UCI_HAR_Dataset/activity_labels.txt", header = FALSE)
## name the columns
names(activity_labels) <- c("activity_number", "activity_name")

## apply names to mean_std_tidyframe using join
activity_tidyframe <- inner_join(mean_std_tidyframe, activity_labels, by = "activity_number")
## move column to be contiguous with fixed variables
activity_tidyframe <- select (activity_tidyframe, original_file, activity_name, subject, 4:89)

uactivity <- unique(activity_tidyframe$activity_number)
str(uactivity)</pre>
```

#### ## NULL

the new data frame activity\_tidyframe includes a fixed variable, activity\_name, to describe the activities in the dataset.

# 4. Appropriately label the data set with descriptive variable names.

The column names for the subject, activity and measured variables were assigned earlier to the subject\_, Y\_, and X\_ tables just before the three were merged to create the test\_merged and train\_merged data frames.

Naming the columns before the cbind() merges, allowed for better visual inspection of new data frames. Used str() to review the merge and make sure that columns were bound in the correct order. With subject and activity\_number both being numeric, it might be more challenging to scroll through the new data frames to make sure the columns had been bound in the correct order.

#### How descriptive?

The question remains, could the variable names be more descriptive? It depends on the consumer. To one who extracts and studies this type of data, the names are very descriptive. There is always a trade off between how descriptive to make a variable name, and how practical it would be to use a very long name for data exploration and study.

Assuming the consumer of the final dataset is knowledgeable about the field, the variable names listed in features.txt would be satisfactory. However, they might appreciate some standardization and fine tuning.

#### Possible to standardize variable names from features.txt?

#### Remove leading digits from measured variables

Earlier, it was discovered there are three groups of 14 identical variables that start with "fBodyAccbandsEnergy()" and end with an ordered pair in lines 303 through 344 of features.txt. The only way to make these names unique was to include the row number. Are any of these variables included in activity\_tidyframe?

```
grep("fBodyAcc-bandsEnergy()", names(activity_tidyframe), value = TRUE)
```

None of these variables are included with the Mean, mean(), or std() calculated measurements extracted to create activity\_tidyframe. They do not need to be included in the standardizing process for the activity\_tidyframe dataset. The leading digits can be removed.

#### Standardized capitals in variable names

According to Wickham there are several approaches to creating variable names. The UCI HAR Dtaset authors chose the camelback style... for data frame variables and ... for file names. With the exception of data frame and vectors used internally in run\_analysis.R, their standard was followed for this assignment.

Because special characters like dashes and parentheses can create problems, use gsub to remove or replace them.

```
names_dash_to_under <- gsub("-", "_", names(activity_tidyframe))
names_no_paren <- gsub("\\(\\)", "", names_dash_to_under)</pre>
```

Before doing a global substitution, its a good idea to see what your search parameters return. Do you get all occurrences? Did your expression get greedy?

```
grep("^[0-9]{1,3} ", names_no_paren, value = TRUE)
```

```
[1] "1 tBodyAcc_mean_X"
##
    [2] "2 tBodyAcc_mean_Y"
##
    [3] "3 tBodyAcc_mean_Z"
##
    [4] "4 tBodyAcc_std_X"
##
##
    [5]
       "5 tBodyAcc_std_Y"
    [6] "6 tBodyAcc_std_Z"
##
        "41 tGravityAcc_mean_X"
    [7]
##
        "42 tGravityAcc mean Y"
##
    [8]
    [9]
       "43 tGravityAcc mean Z"
##
##
   [10] "44 tGravityAcc std X"
   [11] "45 tGravityAcc_std_Y"
##
   [12]
       "46 tGravityAcc std Z"
       "81 tBodyAccJerk_mean_X"
##
   [13]
   [14] "82 tBodyAccJerk mean Y"
   [15] "83 tBodyAccJerk_mean_Z"
   [16]
       "84 tBodyAccJerk_std_X"
       "85 tBodyAccJerk_std_Y"
   [17]
   [18] "86 tBodyAccJerk_std_Z"
   [19] "121 tBodyGyro_mean_X"
   [20] "122 tBodyGyro_mean Y"
   [21] "123 tBodyGyro mean Z"
  [22] "124 tBodyGyro std X"
  [23] "125 tBodyGyro_std_Y"
   [24] "126 tBodyGyro_std_Z"
##
   [25] "161 tBodyGyroJerk mean X"
   [26] "162 tBodyGyroJerk mean Y"
   [27] "163 tBodyGyroJerk mean Z"
   [28] "164 tBodyGyroJerk_std_X"
  [29] "165 tBodyGyroJerk std Y"
   [30] "166 tBodyGyroJerk_std_Z"
##
   [31] "201 tBodyAccMag_mean"
   [32]
       "202 tBodyAccMag_std"
   [33] "214 tGravityAccMag_mean"
   [34] "215 tGravityAccMag_std"
       "227 tBodyAccJerkMag_mean"
   [35]
   [36] "228 tBodyAccJerkMag_std"
   [37]
        "240 tBodyGyroMag mean"
  [38] "241 tBodyGyroMag_std"
## [39] "253 tBodyGyroJerkMag mean"
```

```
## [40] "254 tBodyGyroJerkMag_std"
  [41] "266 fBodyAcc mean X"
  [42] "267 fBodyAcc mean Y"
  [43] "268 fBodyAcc_mean_Z"
##
   [44]
       "269 fBodyAcc_std_X"
       "270 fBodyAcc std Y"
   [45]
       "271 fBodyAcc std Z"
   [47] "294 fBodyAcc_meanFreq_X"
   Γ487
       "295 fBodyAcc meanFreq Y"
   [49] "296 fBodyAcc_meanFreq_Z"
   [50] "345 fBodyAccJerk_mean_X"
   [51] "346 fBodyAccJerk_mean_Y"
   [52]
       "347 fBodyAccJerk_mean_Z"
       "348 fBodyAccJerk_std_X"
   [53]
       "349 fBodyAccJerk_std_Y"
   [54]
   [55] "350 fBodyAccJerk_std_Z"
       "373 fBodyAccJerk_meanFreq_X"
##
   [56]
       "374 fBodyAccJerk meanFreq Y"
   [58] "375 fBodyAccJerk_meanFreq_Z"
   [59] "424 fBodyGyro mean X"
##
   [60]
       "425 fBodyGyro_mean_Y"
       "426 fBodyGyro_mean_Z"
       "427 fBodyGyro_std_X"
   [62]
       "428 fBodyGyro std Y"
##
   [63]
##
   [64] "429 fBodyGyro std Z"
   [65] "452 fBodyGyro_meanFreq_X"
   [66] "453 fBodyGyro_meanFreq_Y"
   [67]
       "454 fBodyGyro_meanFreq_Z"
   [68]
       "503 fBodyAccMag_mean"
   [69]
       "504 fBodyAccMag_std"
   [70] "513 fBodyAccMag_meanFreq"
   [71]
       "516 fBodyBodyAccJerkMag_mean"
   [72] "517 fBodyBodyAccJerkMag_std"
   [73] "526 fBodyBodyAccJerkMag_meanFreq"
   [74] "529 fBodyBodyGyroMag mean"
       "530 fBodyBodyGyroMag_std"
   [75]
  [76] "539 fBodyBodyGyroMag meanFreq"
  [77] "542 fBodyBodyGyroJerkMag_mean"
   [78] "543 fBodyBodyGyroJerkMag_std"
       "552 fBodyBodyGyroJerkMag_meanFreq"
  [79]
  [80] "555 angle(tBodyAccMean,gravity)"
   [81] "556 angle(tBodyAccJerkMean), gravityMean)"
       "557 angle(tBodyGyroMean,gravityMean)"
       "558 angle(tBodyGyroJerkMean,gravityMean)"
   [83]
       "559 angle(X,gravityMean)"
   [85] "560 angle(Y,gravityMean)"
  [86] "561 angle(Z,gravityMean)"
```

Looks like we have 86 variables with leading digits plus a space. Looks fine: 89 variables, three fixed, leaves 86.

```
names_no_digits <- gsub("^[0-9]{1,3} ", "", names_no_paren)
names(activity_tidyframe) <- names_no_digits</pre>
```

Last but not least, one of our variables has an extra ")" in its name: angle(tBodyAccJerkMean), gravityMean).

Remove the extra closing parentheses.

5. From the data set in step 4, create a second, independent tidy data set with the average of each variable for each activity\_number and each subject.

# Resources

In addition to lecture notes, several resources were very helpful in strategizing and working with the datasets in the assignment.

# **Packages**

R. utils - Utility functions useful when programming and developing R packages.

Bengtsson, H. The R.oo package - Object-Oriented Programming with References Using Standard R Code, Proceedings of the 3rd International Workshop on Distributed Statistical Computing (DSC 2003), ISSN 1609-395X, Hornik, K.; Leisch, F. & Zeileis, A. (ed.), 2003

dplyr - provides a flexible grammar of data manipulation. It's the next iteration of plyr, focused on tools for working with data frames (hence the d in the name). Maintainer: Hadley Wickham hadley@rstudio.com (0000-0003-4757-117X). Authors: Romain François (0000-0002-2444-4226), Lionel Henry, Kirill Müller (0000-0002-1416-3412), Other contributors: RStudio [copyright holder, funder].

# Tidy Data

David Hood's "thoughtfulbloke" blog at https://thoughtfulbloke.wordpress.com/2015/09/09/getting-and-cleaning-the-assignme (04/02/2019) Hadley Wickham's "Tidy Data" paper at https://vita.had.co.nz/papers/tidy-data.pdf (04/03/2019)

Hadley Wickam & Garrett Grolemund, R for Data Science

#### General R

R Markdown Cheatsheet at https://www.rstudio.com/wp-content/uploads/2016/03/rmarkdown-cheatsheet-2.  $0.\mathrm{pdf}$