Plesio-Geostrophy and Data Assimilation: Formulations

Jingtao Min

August 18, 2023, last update August 27, 2023

1 Extended expansion for magnetic moments

The three components of magnetic fields in cylindrical coordinates can be expanded as

$$B_{s} = sg_{0} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \left(\lambda_{m} s^{|m|-1} + g_{m} s^{|m|+1} \right) e^{im\phi},$$

$$B_{\phi} = sh_{0} + \sum_{m \neq 0} \left(i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \lambda_{m} s^{|m|-1} + h_{m} s^{|m|+1} \right) e^{im\phi},$$

$$B_{z} = f_{0} + \sum_{m \neq 0} f_{m} s^{|m|} e^{im\phi},$$
(1)

where the regularity constraints from Lewis and Bellan (1990) is used. The coefficients are regular functions of z and s, and according to symmetry arguments they are even functions of s. Therefore, the explicit dependency can be written as

$$\lambda_m = \lambda_m(z), \quad g_m = g_m(z, s^2), \quad h_m = h_m(z, s^2), \quad f_m = f_m(z, s^2).$$
 (2)

Note for the quantities to be real, the Fourier coefficients should satisfy

$$\lambda_{-m}^* = \lambda_m, \quad g_{-m}^* = g_m, \quad h_{-m}^* = h_m, \quad f_{-m}^* = f_m.$$

Here the asterik superscript \cdot^* denotes complex conjugate. Of course, a corollary is that the zero-azimuthal-wavenumber terms, $g_0, h_0, f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, $(i \operatorname{sgn}(-m)\lambda_{-m})^* = i \operatorname{sgn}(m)\lambda_m$ is automatically satisified. Therefore, $c_m c_{-m} = c_m c_m^* = |c_m|^2$ for any coefficients.

1.1 Magnetic moment B_s^2 rederived

$$\begin{split} B_{s}^{2} &= s^{2}g_{0}^{2} + 2sg_{0}\sum_{m\neq 0}\left(\lambda_{m}s^{|m|-1} + g_{m}s^{|m|+1}\right)e^{im\phi} \\ &+ \sum_{n,k\neq 0}\left(\lambda_{n}\lambda_{k}s^{|n|+|k|-2} + (\lambda_{n}g_{k} + g_{n}\lambda_{k})\,s^{|n|+|k|} + g_{n}g_{k}s^{|n|+|k|+2}\right)e^{i(n+k)\phi} \\ &= \left\{g_{0}^{2}s^{2} + \sum_{n\neq 0}\left[\lambda_{n}\lambda_{-n}s^{2|n|-2} + (\lambda_{n}g_{-n} + g_{n}\lambda_{-n})\,s^{2|n|} + g_{n}g_{-n}s^{2|n|+2}\right]\right\} \\ &+ \sum_{m\neq 0}\left[\lambda_{n}\lambda_{m-n}s^{|m|+2} + 2g_{0}g_{m}s^{|m|+2} \\ &+ \sum_{n\neq 0,m}\left[\lambda_{n}\lambda_{m-n}s^{|n|+|n-m|-2} + (\lambda_{n}g_{m-n} + g_{n}\lambda_{m-n})\,s^{|n|+|n-m|} + g_{n}g_{m-n}s^{|n|+|n-m|+2}\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

There is further simplification that can be performed on the final term. By dividing the nontrivial azimuthal wavenumbers into positive and negative branches, the contribution from the cross-terms is

apparently written as

$$\sum_{m>0} e^{im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_m s^m + 2g_0 g_m s^{m+2} \right] + \sum_{m<0} e^{im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_m s^{-m} + 2g_0 g_m s^{-m+2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{m>0} e^{im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_m s^m + 2g_0 g_m s^{m+2} \right] + \sum_{m>0} e^{-im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_{-m} s^m + 2g_0 g_{-m} s^{m+2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{m>0} e^{im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_m s^m + 2g_0 g_m s^{m+2} \right] + \sum_{m>0} e^{-im\phi} \left[2g_0 \lambda_m^* s^m + 2g_0 g_m^* s^{m+2} \right]$$

$$(4)$$

For the second contribution, we can further separate the inner summation into three parts: the part where n and m - n have the same sign, the part where n > 0 and m - n < 0, and the part where n < 0 and m - n > 0. Let us consider the case when m > 0 first.

$$\sum_{n\neq 0,m} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{|n|+|n-m|-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{|n|+|n-m|} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{|n|+|n-m|+2} \right]$$

$$= \left(\sum_{n<0} + \sum_{0< n < m} + \sum_{n>m} \right) \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{|n|+|n-m|-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{|n|+|n-m|} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{|n|+|n-m|+2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n<0} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{m-2n-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m-2n} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{m-2n+2} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{0< n < m} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{m-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{m+2} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n>m} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{2n-m-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{2n-m} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{2n-m+2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{0< n < m} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{m-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{m+2} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n>0} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{m-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m+2n} + g_{-n} g_{m+n} s^{m+2n+2} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n>0} \left[\lambda_{n+m} \lambda_{n-n} s^{2n+m-2} + (\lambda_{n+m} g_{-n} + g_{n+m} \lambda_{-n}) \, s^{2n+m} + g_{n+m} g_{-n} s^{2n+m+2} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{0< n < m} \left[\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} s^{m-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{m+2} \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n>0} \left[\lambda_{n+m} \lambda_{n}^{*} s^{m+2n-2} + (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) \, s^{m} + g_{n} g_{m-n} s^{m+2} \right]$$

The symmetry of n and m-n enables collapsing the two summations into one. This way it is even possible to write explicitly the coefficient of $e^{im\phi}$ as an expansion of s. For m>0, the Fourier coefficient for B_s^2 therefore reads

$$s^{m-2} \sum_{0 < n < m} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} + s^{m} \left(2g_{0} \lambda_{m} + \sum_{0 < n < m} (\lambda_{n} g_{m-n} + g_{n} \lambda_{m-n}) + 2\lambda_{m+1} \lambda_{1}^{*} \right)$$

$$+ s^{m+2} \left(2g_{0} g_{m} + \sum_{0 < n < m} g_{n} g_{m-n} + 2 \left(\lambda_{m+2} \lambda_{2}^{*} + \lambda_{m+1} g_{1}^{*} + g_{m+1} \lambda_{1}^{*} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n > 1} s^{m+2n} \left[\lambda_{m+n+1} \lambda_{n+1}^{*} + \lambda_{m+n} g_{n}^{*} + g_{m+n} \lambda_{n}^{*} + g_{m+n-1} g_{n-1}^{*} \right].$$

$$(6)$$

The situation for m < 0 is fairly similar, and can be straightforwardly obtained again using the complex conjugate property of the Fourier coefficients of real fields,

$$s^{|m|-2} \sum_{0 < n < |m|} (\lambda_{n} \lambda_{|m|-n})^{*} + s^{|m|} \left(2g_{0} \lambda_{|m|}^{*} + \sum_{0 < n < |m|} ((\lambda_{n} g_{|m|-n})^{*} + (g_{n} \lambda_{|m|-n})^{*}) + 2\lambda_{|m|+1}^{*} \lambda_{1} \right)$$

$$+ s^{|m|+2} \left(2g_{0} g_{|m|}^{*} + \sum_{0 < n < |m|} (g_{n} g_{|m|-n})^{*} + 2\left(\lambda_{|m|+2}^{*} \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{|m|+1}^{*} g_{1} + g_{|m|+1}^{*} \lambda_{1}\right) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n > 1} s^{|m|+2n} \left[\lambda_{|m|+n+1}^{*} \lambda_{n+1} + \lambda_{|m|+n}^{*} g_{n} + g_{|m|+n}^{*} \lambda_{n} + g_{|m|+n-1}^{*} g_{n-1} \right].$$

$$(7)$$

The full expansion can then be written in terms of the Fourier coefficients of B_s as

$$B_{s}^{2} = \left\{ 2|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + s^{2} \left(g_{0}^{2} + 2|\lambda_{2}|^{2} + 4\Re\left[\lambda_{1}g_{1}^{*}\right] \right) + 2\sum_{n>1} s^{2n} \left(|\lambda_{n+1}|^{2} + |g_{n-1}|^{2} + 2\Re\left[\lambda_{n}g_{n}^{*}\right] \right) \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{m>0} e^{im\phi} \left\{ s^{m-2} \sum_{0 < n < m} \lambda_{n} \lambda_{m-n} + s^{m} \left(2g_{0}\lambda_{m} + \sum_{0 < n < m} (\lambda_{n}g_{m-n} + g_{n}\lambda_{m-n}) + 2\lambda_{m+1}\lambda_{1}^{*} \right) + s^{m+2} \left(2g_{0}g_{m} + \sum_{0 < n < m} g_{n}g_{m-n} + 2\left(\lambda_{m+2}\lambda_{2}^{*} + \lambda_{m+1}g_{1}^{*} + g_{m+1}\lambda_{1}^{*} \right) \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n>1} s^{m+2n} \left[\lambda_{m+n+1}\lambda_{n+1}^{*} + \lambda_{m+n}g_{n}^{*} + g_{m+n}\lambda_{n}^{*} + g_{m+n-1}g_{n-1}^{*} \right] \right\} + \sum_{m < 0} e^{im\phi} \left(\cdots \right).$$

$$(8)$$

We therefore recover the asymptotic behaviour at s = 0 for B_s^2 ,

$$\begin{split} \left(B_{s}^{2}\right)_{m=0} &= 2|\lambda_{1}|^{2} + O(s^{2}) \\ \left(B_{s}^{2}\right)_{|m|=1} &= 2s\left(g_{0}\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2}\lambda_{1}^{*}\right)e^{i\phi} + 2s\left(g_{0}\lambda_{1}^{*} + \lambda_{2}^{*}\lambda_{1}\right)e^{-i\phi} + O(s^{3}) \\ &= 2s\left[\left(2g_{0}\lambda_{1}^{R} + \lambda_{2}^{R}\lambda_{1}^{R} + \lambda_{2}^{I}\lambda_{1}^{I}\right)\cos\phi - \left(2g_{0}\lambda_{1}^{I} + \lambda_{2}^{I}\lambda_{1}^{R} - \lambda_{2}^{R}\lambda_{1}^{I}\right)\sin\phi\right] + O(s^{3}) \\ \left(B_{s}^{2}\right)_{|m|>1} &= s^{|m|-2}\sum_{0 < n < |m|}\left(\lambda_{n}\lambda_{|m|-n}e^{i|m|\phi} + \lambda_{n}^{*}\lambda_{|m|-n}^{*}e^{-i|m|\phi}\right) + O\left(s^{|m|}\right) \\ &= s^{|m|-2}\sum_{0 < n < |m|}\left[\left(\lambda_{n}^{R}\lambda_{|m|-n}^{R} - \lambda_{n}^{I}\lambda_{|m|-n}^{I}\right)\cos(|m|\phi) - \left(\lambda_{n}^{R}\lambda_{|m|-n}^{I} + \lambda_{n}^{I}\lambda_{|m|-n}^{R}\right)\sin(|m|\phi)\right] + O(s^{|m|}) \end{split}$$

Apart from a prefactor, this is the same as in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021) for $|m| \neq 1$. For |m| = 1, the contribution from the cross-term g_0 seems to be missing in Daria's dissertation. The summation $\sum_{n+k=m}$ (in Holdenried-Chernoff 2021) is also misleading; it should technically be constrained to positive n and k, so the summation is actually not an infinite sum. That being said, the leading order behaviour in s is correct. The later analysis on the integral in s is also not affected by this discrepancy.

1.2 General Fourier expansion formulae for moments

Let two vector components be expressed by

$$B_a = sa_0^+ + \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{im\phi} \left(a_m^- s^{|m|-1} + a_m^+ s^{|m|+1} \right), \quad B_b = sb_0^+ + \sum_{m \neq 0} e^{im\phi} \left(b_m^- s^{|m|-1} + b_m^+ s^{|m|+1} \right)$$

The expression applies to either of the two horizontal components of the magnetic fields in cylindrical coordinates. Using the same method, without assuming the complex conjugate property of the Fourier

coefficients, we can write

$$B_{a}B_{b} = \left\{ \left(a_{1}^{-}b_{-1}^{-} + a_{-1}^{-}b_{1}^{-} \right) + s^{2} \left[a_{0}^{+}b_{0}^{+} + \left(a_{2}^{-}b_{-2}^{-} + a_{-2}^{-}b_{2}^{-} \right) + \left(a_{1}^{-}b_{-1}^{+} + a_{1}^{+}b_{-1}^{-} + a_{-1}^{-}b_{1}^{+} + a_{-1}^{+}b_{1}^{-} \right) \right] \right.$$

$$\left. + \sum_{n>1} s^{2n} \left[\left(a_{n+1}^{-}b_{-n-1}^{-} + a_{-n-1}^{-}b_{n+1}^{-} \right) + \left(a_{n}^{-}b_{-n}^{+} + a_{n}^{+}b_{-n}^{-} + a_{-n}^{-}b_{n}^{+} + a_{-n}^{+}b_{n}^{-} \right) + \left(a_{n-1}^{+}b_{1-n}^{+} + a_{1-n}^{+}b_{n-1}^{+} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{n>0} e^{im\phi} \left\{ s^{m-2} \sum_{0 \le n \le m} a_{n}^{-}b_{m-n}^{-} + s^{m} \left[a_{-1}^{-}b_{m+1}^{-} + a_{m+1}^{-}b_{-1}^{-} + \sum_{0 \le n \le m} \left(a_{n}^{-}b_{m-n}^{+} + a_{n}^{+}b_{m-n}^{-} \right) \right] \right.$$

$$+ s^{m+2} \left[a_{-2}^{-}b_{m+2}^{-} + a_{m+2}^{-}b_{-2}^{-} + a_{m+1}^{-}b_{-1}^{+} + a_{m+1}^{+}b_{-1}^{-} + a_{-1}^{+}b_{m+1}^{+} + a_{-1}^{-}b_{m+1}^{+} + \sum_{0 \le n \le m} a_{n}^{+}b_{m-n}^{+} \right) \right.$$

$$+ s^{m} \sum_{n\ge 2} s^{2n} \left[\left(a_{-n-1}^{-}b_{m+n+1}^{-} + a_{m+n+1}^{-}b_{-n-1}^{-} \right) + \left(a_{-n}^{-}b_{m+n}^{+} + a_{-n}^{+}b_{m+n}^{-} + a_{m+n}^{+}b_{-n}^{-} + a_{m+n}^{+}b_{-n}^{-} \right) \right.$$

$$+ \left. \left(a_{-n+1}^{+}b_{m+n-1}^{+} + a_{-n+1}^{-}b_{m+n-1}^{+} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{m<0} e^{im\phi} \left\{ s^{|m|-2} \sum_{m \le n \le 0} a_{n}^{-}b_{m-n}^{-} + s^{|m|} \left[a_{1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{1}^{-} + \sum_{m \le n \le 0} \left(a_{n}^{-}b_{m-n}^{+} + a_{n}^{+}b_{m-n}^{-} \right) \right. \right.$$

$$+ s^{|m|+2} \left[a_{2}^{-}b_{m-2}^{-} + a_{m-2}^{-}b_{2}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{1}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{+}b_{1}^{-} + a_{1}^{+}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{+} + a_{n}^{-}b_{m-n}^{-} \right. \right.$$

$$+ s^{|m|+2} \left[a_{2}^{-}b_{m-2}^{-} + a_{m-2}^{-}b_{2}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{1}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{+}b_{1}^{-} + a_{1}^{+}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{n}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{+}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{+} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-} + a_{m-1}^{-}b_{m-1}^{-}$$

Here we already used the property $a_0^- = b_0^- = 0$ to add trivial terms, and merged cross terms involving a_0^+ , b_0^+ in the summation $\sum_{0 \le n \le m}$ or $\sum_{m \le n \le 0}$. Plugging in $a_n^- = b_n^- = \lambda_n$ and $a_n^+ = b_n^+ = g_n$, and assuming $\lambda_{-n}^* = \lambda_n$, $g_{-n}^* = g_n$, one can easily verify that the equation leads to the expansion for B_s^2 . The lowest order term in s for arbitrary Fourier coefficient is also readily given as a corollary:

$$(B_{a}B_{b})_{m=0} = a_{1}^{-}b_{-1}^{-} + a_{-1}^{-}b_{1}^{-} + O\left(s^{2}\right)$$

$$(B_{a}B_{b})_{|m|=1} = se^{+i\phi} \left[a_{-1}^{-}b_{2}^{-} + a_{2}^{-}b_{-1}^{-} + a_{1}^{-}b_{0}^{+} + a_{0}^{+}b_{1}^{-}\right]$$

$$+ se^{-i\phi} \left[a_{1}^{-}b_{-2}^{-} + a_{-2}^{-}b_{1}^{-} + a_{-1}^{-}b_{0}^{+} + a_{0}^{+}b_{-1}^{-}\right] + O\left(s^{3}\right)$$

$$(B_{a}B_{b})_{|m|>1} = s^{|m|-2}e^{+i|m|\phi} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} a_{n}^{-}b_{|m|-n}^{-}$$

$$+ s^{|m|-2}e^{-i|m|\phi} \sum_{-|m| \le n \le 0} a_{n}^{-}b_{-|m|-n}^{-} + O\left(s^{|m|}\right)$$

$$(11)$$

On the other hand, a scalar that is regular in cylindrical coordinates takes the form

$$F = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} f_m s^{|m|} e^{im\phi} = f_0 + \sum_{m \neq 0} f_m s^{|m|} e^{im\phi}$$
 (12)

This applies to B_z . Its moment with any equatorial component takes the form

$$FB_{a} = sf_{0}a_{0}^{+} + \sum_{n \neq 0} \left(f_{-n}a_{n}^{-}s^{2|n|-1} + f_{-n}a_{n}^{+}s^{2|n|+1} \right) + \sum_{m \neq 0} \left[f_{0}a_{m}^{-}s^{|m|-1} + \left(f_{0}a_{m}^{+} + f_{m}a_{0}^{+} \right) s^{|m|+1} \right] e^{im\phi}$$

$$+ \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{im\phi} \left[s^{m-1} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} + s^{m+1} \sum_{0 \leq n \leq m} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{+} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\left(f_{-n}a_{m+n}^{-} + f_{m+n}a_{-n}^{-} \right) s^{m+2n-1} + \left(f_{-n}a_{m+n}^{+} + f_{m+n}a_{-n}^{+} \right) s^{m+2n+1} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{im\phi} \left[s^{|m|-1} \sum_{m \leq n \leq 0} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} + s^{m+1} \sum_{m \leq n \leq 0} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{+} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\left(f_{n}a_{m-n}^{-} + f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} \right) s^{|m|+2n-1} + \left(f_{n}a_{m-n}^{+} + f_{m-n}a_{n}^{+} \right) s^{|m|+2n+1} \right) \right]$$

$$FB_{a} = s \left(f_{0}a_{0}^{+} + f_{-1}a_{1}^{-} + f_{1}a_{-1}^{-} \right) + \sum_{n \geq 1} s^{2n+1} \left(f_{-n-1}a_{n+1}^{-} + f_{n+1}a_{-n-1}^{-} + f_{-n}a_{n}^{+} + f_{n}a_{-n}^{-} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{m \geq 0} e^{im\phi} s^{m-1} \left[\left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq m} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} \right) + \left(\sum_{0 \leq n \leq m} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{+} + f_{-1}a_{m+1}^{-} + f_{m+1}a_{-1}^{-} \right) s^{2} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 2} s^{2n} \left(f_{-n}a_{m+n}^{-} + f_{m+n}a_{-n}^{-} + f_{1-n}a_{m+n-1}^{+} + f_{m-n+1}a_{n-1}^{+} \right)$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 0} e^{im\phi} s^{|m|-1} \left[\left(\sum_{m \leq n \leq 0} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} \right) + \left(\sum_{m \leq n \leq 0} f_{m-n}a_{n}^{+} + f_{1}a_{m-1}^{-} + f_{m-1}a_{1}^{-} \right) s^{2} \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 2} s^{2n} \left(f_{n}a_{m-n}^{-} + f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} + f_{n-1}a_{m-n+1}^{+} + f_{m-n+1}a_{n-1}^{+} \right) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{n \geq 2} s^{2n} \left(f_{n}a_{m-n}^{-} + f_{m-n}a_{n}^{-} + f_{n-1}a_{m-n+1}^{-} + f_{m-n+1}a_{n-1}^{+} \right)$$

The lowest order behaviour in s at different azimuthal wavenumber:

$$(FB_a)_{m=0} = s \left(f_0 a_0^+ + f_{-1} a_1^- + f_1 a_{-1}^- \right) + O(s^3)$$

$$(FB_a)_{m\neq 0} = s^{|m|-1} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} \left(f_{|m|-n} a_n^- e^{i|m|\phi} + f_{-|m|+n} a_{-n}^- e^{-i|m|\phi} \right) + O(s^{|m|+1}).$$

$$(14)$$

1.3 Axial asymptotic of magnetic moments

Here I write out the explicit form of the lowest order dependency on s at different azimuthal wavenumber for magnetic moments. For B_s^2 , we have $a_m^- = b_m^- = \lambda_m$, and $a_m^+ = b_m^+ = g_m$. The asymptotics at $s \to 0$ are as follows, which have already been derived in the first section:

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_s^2 \end{pmatrix}_{m=0} = 2(\lambda_1 \lambda_{-1}) + O\left(s^2\right)
\begin{pmatrix} B_s^2 \end{pmatrix}_{|m|=1} = 2s \left[(\lambda_2 \lambda_{-1} + g_0 \lambda_1) e^{i\phi} + (\lambda_{-2} \lambda_1 + g_0 \lambda_{-1}) e^{-i\phi} \right] + O\left(s^3\right)
\begin{pmatrix} B_s^2 \end{pmatrix}_{|m|>1} = s^{|m|-2} \left[e^{i|m|\phi} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} \lambda_n \lambda_{|m|-n} + e^{-i|m|\phi} \sum_{-|m| \le n \le 0} \lambda_n \lambda_{-|m|-n} \right] + O\left(s^{|m|}\right).$$
(15)

Note that here I didn't assume the complex conjugate property between the positive and the negative wavenumbers. For B_{ϕ}^2 , we have $a_m^- = b_m^- = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \lambda_m$, and $a_m^+ = b_m^+ = h_m$. We see that the product $a_m^- b_n^- = \lambda_m \lambda_n$ if m and n have different signs, but $-\lambda_m \lambda_n$ if m and n have the same signs. The asymptotics

are then given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{\phi}^{2} \end{pmatrix}_{m=0} = 2(\lambda_{1}\lambda_{-1}) + O\left(s^{2}\right)
\begin{pmatrix} B_{\phi}^{2} \end{pmatrix}_{|m|=1} = 2s \left[(\lambda_{2}\lambda_{-1} + ih_{0}\lambda_{1}) e^{i\phi} + (\lambda_{-2}\lambda_{1} - ih_{0}\lambda_{-1}) e^{-i\phi} \right] + O\left(s^{3}\right)
\begin{pmatrix} B_{\phi}^{2} \end{pmatrix}_{|m|>1} = -s^{|m|-2} \left[e^{i|m|\phi} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} \lambda_{n}\lambda_{|m|-n} + e^{-i|m|\phi} \sum_{-|m| \le n \le 0} \lambda_{n}\lambda_{-|m|-n} \right] + O\left(s^{|m|}\right).$$
(16)

We see that these expressions already differ from those in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021). These include: a two prefactor difference (present in Holdenried-Chernoff 2021, but not here) in |m| > 1; summation difference in |m| > 1; missing terms for |m| = 1; and missing imaginary unit for one part of |m| = 1. Nevertheless, the conclusions seem to be correct: lowest-order s-dependencies for B_s^2 and B_ϕ^2 are coupled in m = 0 and |m| > 1, with prefactor +1 and -1, respectively. The coupling is not seen for |m| = 1.

For $B_s B_\phi$, we plug in $a_m^- = \lambda_m$, $b_m^- = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \lambda_m$, $a_m^+ = g_m$ and $b_m^+ = h_m$. The asymptotics

$$(B_{s}B_{\phi})_{m=0} = (-i\lambda_{1}\lambda_{-1} + i\lambda_{-1}\lambda_{1}) + O\left(s^{2}\right) = O\left(s^{2}\right)$$

$$(B_{s}B_{\phi})_{|m|=1} = s\left[(h_{0}\lambda_{1} + ig_{0}\lambda_{1})e^{i\phi} + (h_{0}\lambda_{-1} - ig_{0}\lambda_{-1})e^{-i\phi}\right] + O\left(s^{3}\right)$$

$$(B_{s}B_{\phi})_{|m|>1} = is^{|m|-2}\left[e^{i|m|\phi}\sum_{0\leq n\leq |m|}\lambda_{n}\lambda_{|m|-n} - e^{-i|m|\phi}\sum_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}\lambda_{n}\lambda_{-|m|-n}\right] + O\left(s^{|m|}\right).$$
(17)

Thus this moment is coupled to B_s^2 and B_ϕ^2 by a factor $i \operatorname{sgn}(m)$ and $-i \operatorname{sgn}(m)$, respectively. Similar couplings are not apprent for m = 0 and |m| = 1.

In the moments $B_z B_s$ and $B_z B_\phi$, B_z is treated as scalar, and we need to use the formula involving one equatorial component and one scalar. Taking $a_m^- = \lambda_m$ and $a_m^+ = g_m$, we have

$$(B_z B_s)_{m=0} = s \left(f_0 g_0 + f_{-1} \lambda_1 + f_1 \lambda_{-1} \right)$$

$$(B_z B_s)_{m\neq 0} = s^{|m|-1} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} \left(f_{|m|-n} \lambda_n e^{i|m|\phi} + f_{-|m|+n} \lambda_{-n} e^{-i|m|\phi} \right) + O\left(s^{|m|+1}\right)$$
(18)

Taking $a_m^- = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \lambda_m$ and $a_m^+ = h_m$, we have

$$(B_z B_s)_{m=0} = s (f_0 h_0 + i f_{-1} \lambda_1 - i f_1 \lambda_{-1})$$

$$(B_z B_s)_{m\neq 0} = s^{|m|-1} \sum_{0 \le n \le |m|} \left(i f_{|m|-n} \lambda_n e^{i|m|\phi} - i f_{-|m|+n} \lambda_{-n} e^{-i|m|\phi} \right) + O\left(s^{|m|+1}\right)$$
(19)

Thus these two moment are coupled in the lowest order of s in $m \neq 0$ by a factor $i \operatorname{sgn}(m)$, while there is probably no such coupling in m = 0. We can conclude that despite all the discrepancies in intermediate steps, the coupling between the moments are the same between this derivation and Holdenried-Chernoff (2021).

1.4 Axial integral of moment of Fourier coefficients

It is unsurprising that the Fourier coefficients for B_s^2 (and easily shown for all moments) are always summation of c_nc_k , where $c=f,g,h,\lambda$. In other words, the Fourier coefficients for the magnetic moments are summations of moments of the Fourier coefficients for the magnetic fields. In fact, I can write the magnetic moment in the general form

$$B_a B_b = \sum_m e^{im\phi} s^{m+\Delta_m^{a,b}} \sum_{k \ge 0} s^{2k} \left(\sum_{p,q \in S_{m,k}} c_p c_q \right).$$

where $\Delta_m^{a,b}$ determines the correction to the lowest order of s at azimuthal wavenumber m (Holdenried-Chernoff (2021) found a more concise expression: $s^{||m|-1|}$), given components a and b, and $S_{m,k}$ gives the set of all coefficient pairs to sum over at given azimuthal wavenumber m and radial degree index k. Among all these terms, however, the only terms with dependency on z are $c_p c_q$. It follows that the axial integrals are

$$\overline{z^n B_a B_b} = \sum_m e^{im\phi} s^{m+\Delta} \sum_{k \geq 0} s^{2k} \sum_{p,q} \overline{z^n c_p c_q}, \quad \widehat{z^n B_a B_b} = \sum_m e^{im\phi} s^{m+\Delta} \sum_{k \geq 0} s^{2k} \sum_{p,q} \overline{z^n c_p c_q}.$$

If expanding the coefficients in power series of z,

$$c_n(z) = \sum_{p \ge 0} c_n^p z^p$$

the symmetric axial integral of the moments of coefficients are given by

$$\overline{z^{n}c_{a}c_{b}} = \int_{-H}^{H} z^{n}c_{a}c_{b} dz = \sum_{p,q\geq 0} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{q} \int_{-H}^{H} z^{p+q+n} dz$$

$$= \sum_{p,q} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{q} \frac{H^{p+q+n+1} - (-H)^{p+q+n+1}}{p+q+n+1} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2H^{2k+1}}{2k+1} \sum_{0\leq p\leq 2k-n} c_{m}^{p} c_{n}^{2k-n-p}$$

$$= \sqrt{1-s^{2}} \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2}{2k+1} \sum_{0\leq p\leq 2k-n} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{2k-n-p} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k}$$

$$= 2\sqrt{1-s^{2}} \sum_{k\geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil} \frac{\left(c_{a}^{p} * c_{b}^{p}\right)_{2k-n}}{2k+1} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k}$$

$$= 2\left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + \frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{\left(c_{a}^{p} * c_{b}^{p}\right)_{2k+2\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - n}}{2k+2\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil + 1} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k}$$

where $(c_a^p * c_b^p)_k = \sum_{0 \le p \le k} c_a^p c_b^{k-p}$ is the k-th element of the (non-circular) convolution of the finite-length sequence c_a^p and c_b^p , p=0: k. The only terms left in the integrands are the even powers of z. Similarly, the anti-symmetric axial integral is

$$\overline{z^{n}c_{a}c_{b}} = \int_{-H}^{H} \operatorname{sgn}(z) z^{n}c_{a}c_{b} dz = \sum_{p,q \geq 0} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{q} \int_{-H}^{H} \operatorname{sgn}(z) z^{p+q+n} dz$$

$$= \sum_{p,q} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{q} \frac{H^{p+q+n+1} + (-H)^{p+q+n+1}}{p+q+n+1} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2H^{2k+2}}{2k+2} \sum_{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1-n} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{2k+1-n-p}$$

$$= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1-n} c_{a}^{p} c_{b}^{2k+1-n-p} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k+1}$$

$$= \left(1-s^{2}\right) \sum_{k \geq \left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil} \frac{\left(c_{a}^{p} * c_{b}^{p}\right)_{2k+1-n}}{k+1} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k}$$

$$= \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(c_{a}^{p} * c_{b}^{p}\right)_{2k+1+2\left\lceil\frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil-n}}{k+\left\lceil\frac{n+1}{2}\right\rceil} \left(1-s^{2}\right)^{k}$$

Plugging n = 0 in the symmetric integral, and n = 0, 1 in the anti-symmetric integral respectively. The symmetric and anti-symmetric integrals of coefficient moments in the symmetric and anti-symmetric

integrals of magnetic moments read

$$\overline{c_a c_b} = \left(1 - s^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{2(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k}}{2k + 1} \left(1 - s^2\right)^k = \left(1 - s^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{2(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^2\right)\right],$$

$$\overline{c_a c_b} = \left(1 - s^2\right) \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k + 1}}{k + 1} \left(1 - s^2\right)^k = \left(1 - s^2\right) \left[\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k + 1}}{k + 1} + O\left(s^2\right)\right],$$

$$\overline{z c_a c_b} = \left(1 - s^2\right) \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k}}{k + 1} \left(1 - s^2\right)^k = \left(1 - s^2\right) \left[\sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(c_a^p * c_b^p)_{2k}}{k + 1} + O\left(s^2\right)\right].$$
(22)

Note that some coefficients (e.g. g_m , h_m and f_m) are also functions of s^2 . In these cases, the coefficients that are relevant in the leading order are the 0th-order coefficients in the power series of s^2 , which will be written as c_m^{p0} .

1.5 Axial asymptotic of integrated moments

With these formulae, we can derive the lowest order term in s for the integrated B_s^2 :

$$\overline{B_{s\,m=0}^{2}} = 2\overline{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{-1}} + O\left(\overline{c_{a}c_{b}}s^{2}\right) = 2\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{1}^{p} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k}}{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right]
\overline{B_{s\,|m|=1}^{2}} = 2s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2}{2k+1} \left((\lambda_{2}^{p} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k} + (g_{0}^{p0} * \lambda_{1}^{p})_{2k}\right) + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{+i\phi}
+ 2s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2}{2k+1} \left((\lambda_{-2}^{p} * \lambda_{1}^{p})_{2k} + (g_{0}^{p0} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k}\right) + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{-i\phi}
\overline{B_{s\,|m|>1}^{2}} = s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{0\leq n\leq m} \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{-|m|-n}^{p})_{2k}}{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{+i|m|\phi}
+ s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \sum_{0\leq n\leq m} \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{-|m|-n}^{p})_{2k}}{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{+i|m|\phi}
+ s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{0\leq n\leq 2k}^{0\leq 2k}}{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{+i|m|\phi}
+ s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{0\leq n\leq 2k}^{0\leq 2k}}{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right)\right] e^{-i|m|\phi}$$

where $(a_n^p * b_n^p)_{n \in S_b}^{p \in S_b}$ denotes the 2-D convolution on the 2-D sequences a_n^p and b_n^p . It can be considered an example of more generalized convolution theorem, as a_n^p can be considered the transform of the original field into the spectral domain, with Fourier basis in the azimuthal direction, and monomial basis in the s and t direction. Since moments are the products of fields, their spectral coefficients naturally consist of convolutions of spectral coefficients of the respective fields. The $\lambda_n^p * \lambda_n^p$ present here is the

2D "auto-convolution" of 2-D coefficients λ_n^p . Similarly, $\overline{B_\phi^2}$ has

$$\overline{B_{\phi_{m=0}}^{2}} = 2\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{1}^{p} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right]
\overline{B_{\phi_{|m|=1}}^{2}} = 2s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2}{2k + 1} \left((\lambda_{2}^{p} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k} + i(h_{0}^{p0} * \lambda_{1}^{p})_{2k} \right) + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi}
+ 2s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2}{2k + 1} \left((\lambda_{-2}^{p} * \lambda_{1}^{p})_{2k} - i(h_{0}^{p0} * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k} \right) + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i\phi}
\overline{B_{\phi_{|m|>1}}^{2}} = -s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{0 \leq n \leq |m|}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi}
- s^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{0 \leq p \leq 2k}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi}$$

and $\overline{B_s B_\phi}$ has

$$\overline{B_{s}B_{\phi}}_{m=0} = \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} O\left(s^{2}\right)
\overline{B_{s}B_{\phi}}_{|m|=1} = s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2((h_{0}^{p0} + ig_{0}^{p0}) * \lambda_{1}^{p})_{2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi}
+ s\left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2((h_{0}^{p0} - ig_{0}^{p0}) * \lambda_{-1}^{p})_{2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i\phi}
\overline{B_{s}B_{\phi}}_{|m|>1} = is^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{0 \leq n \leq |m|}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi}
- is^{|m|-2} \left(1 - s^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_{n}^{p} * \lambda_{n}^{p})_{-|m| \leq n \leq 0}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k}}{2k + 1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi}$$

The Fourier coefficients of the five anti-symmetric integrals of moments take the form

$$\widetilde{B_{z}B_{s_{m=0}}} = s \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\left(f_{0}^{p0} * g_{0}^{p0}\right)_{2k+1} + \left(f_{-1}^{p0} * \lambda_{1}^{p}\right)_{2k+1} + \left(f_{1}^{p0} * \lambda_{-1}^{p}\right)_{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] \\
\widetilde{B_{z}B_{s_{m\neq 0}}} = s^{|m|-1} \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(f_{n}^{p0} * \lambda_{n}^{p}\right)_{0 \leq n \leq |m|}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\
+ s^{|m|-1} \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(f_{n}^{p0} * \lambda_{n}^{p}\right)_{-|m| \leq n \leq 0}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi} \\
\widetilde{B_{z}B_{\phi_{m=0}}} = s \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \left[\left(f_{0}^{p0} * h_{0}^{p0}\right)_{2k+1} + i\left(f_{-1}^{p0} * \lambda_{1}^{p}\right)_{2k+1} - i\left(f_{1}^{p0} * \lambda_{-1}^{p}\right)_{2k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] \\
\widetilde{B_{z}B_{\phi_{m\neq 0}}} = is^{|m|-1} \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(f_{n}^{p0} * \lambda_{n}^{p}\right)_{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\
- is^{|m|-1} \left(1 - s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{\left(f_{n}^{p0} * \lambda_{n}^{p}\right)_{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}^{0 \leq p \leq 2k+1}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi}$$
(26)

And the quantities with z factors,

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{zB_{s\,|m|=0}^{2}} &= 2\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{l}^{P} * \lambda_{-1}^{P})_{2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] \\ \widetilde{zB_{s\,|m|=1}^{2}} &= 2s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \left((\lambda_{2}^{P} * \lambda_{-1}^{P})_{2k} + (g_{0}^{P0} * \lambda_{1}^{P})_{2k} \right) + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &+ 2s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \left((\lambda_{-2}^{P} * \lambda_{1}^{P})_{2k} + (g_{0}^{P0} * \lambda_{1}^{P})_{2k} \right) + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &+ 2s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{1}{k+1} \left((\lambda_{n}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{0\leq p\leq 2k}^{OSp\leq 2k} \right) + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \right] \\ \widetilde{zB_{s\,|m|>1}^{2}} &= s^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{n}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\ &+ s^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{n}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi} \\ \widetilde{zB_{\phi\,|m|=1}^{2}} &= 2s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{1}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &+ 2s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{1}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\ \widetilde{zB_{s}} \widetilde{B_{\phi\,|m|=1}} &= s^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{n}^{P} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\ &- s^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{((\lambda_{n}^{P0} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{-|m|\leq n\leq 0}^{OSp\leq 2k}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &+ s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{((\lambda_{n}^{P0} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{0\leq n\leq |m|}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &+ s\left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{((\lambda_{n}^{P0} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{0\leq n\leq |m|}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i\phi} \\ &- is^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{((\lambda_{n}^{P0} * \lambda_{n}^{P})_{0\leq n\leq |m|}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{+i|m|\phi} \\ &- is^{|m|-2} \left(1-s^{2}\right) \left[\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(\lambda_{n}^{P0} * \lambda_{n}^{P0})_{0\leq n\leq |m|}}{k+1} + O\left(s^{2}\right) \right] e^{-i|m|\phi} \\ &= e^{-i|m|\phi} \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Regularity constraints

Similar to Holdenried-Chernoff (2021), we can conclude some constraints that the moments or the fields have to satisfy if the underlying fields fulfill regularity constraints according to Lewis and Bellan (1990).

We notice that the relevant fields can be expressed as

$$\Phi(s,\phi) = \sum_{m} e^{im\phi} \left(1 - s^2\right)^{\gamma} s^{|m| + \Delta} \sum_{k>0} \Phi_m^k s^{2k}$$

with coefficients Φ_m^k , which are coefficients of the power series in s^{2k} for the Fourier coefficient at m, excluding the prefactors. These fields Φ can be $B_s(z=0)$, $B_{\phi}(z=0)$, or moments $\overline{B_iB_j}$, $\overline{B_iB_j}$ or $\overline{zB_iB_j}$. First, all necessary prefactors are collected from derivations in previous sections (Table 1). These prefactors prescribe the lowest order of power series in s (or $1-s^2$) such that the regularity constraints are satisfied.

| Prefactors | m = 0 | m = 1 | m > 1 | Alternative |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| $\overline{B_s}$ | S | \rightarrow | $S^{ m -1}$ | $S^{ m -1 }$ |
| B_{ϕ} | S | \rightarrow | $S^{ m -1}$ | $S^{ m -1 }$ |
| $\overline{B_s^2}$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -2}$ | $[(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -1 -1 }]$ |
| $\overline{B_{\phi}^2}$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | , | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -2}$ | $[(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -1 -1 }]$ |
| $\overline{B_sB_\phi}$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^2$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -2}$ | $(1-s^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} s^{ m -2 }$ |
| $\widetilde{B_zB_s}$ | $(1-s^2) s$ | \rightarrow | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -1}$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -1 }$ |
| $\widetilde{B_z B_\phi}$ | $(1-s^2) s$ | \rightarrow | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -1}$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -1 }$ |
| $\widetilde{zB_s^2}$ | $(1 - s^2)$ | $(1-s^2) s$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -2}$ | $[(1-s^2) s^{ m -1 -1 }]$ |
| $\widetilde{zB_{\phi}^2}$ | $(1-s^2)$ | $(1-s^2) s$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -2}$ | $[(1-s^2) s^{ m -1 -1 }]$ |
| $\widetilde{zB_sB_d}$ | $(1-s^2) s^2$ | $(1-s^2) s$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -2}$ | $(1-s^2) s^{ m -2 }$ |

Table 1: Prefactors for magnetic fields and moments due to regularity constraints

These prefactors (esp. the alternative expressions) are consistent with Holdenried-Chernoff (2021) (see 4.113-4.120), although the latter only lists the prefactors for $m \ge 0$. Terms with square brackets give alternative expressions that are not included in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021). In theory, as long as prefactors of adjacent m differ by $s^{\pm 1}$, it is always possible to write the prefactors uniformly using nested absolute functions. The usefulness of such expressions, however, is questionable.

Coupling between different fields is a tricky business. For the magnetic field, this is simple, because all Fourier coefficients only involve a summation of one or two terms, and all coefficients are of degree one in λ , g and h. The only coupling relation is

$$(B_{\phi})_{m}^{0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \lambda_{m} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) (B_{s})_{m}^{0}, \qquad (m \neq 0)$$
 (30)

This is of course unsurprising, as it is the regularity constraint discovered by Lewis and Bellan (1990). The expansions in the forms of λ , g and h are in fact a *result* of this regularity constraint. Therefore, it is straightforward to verify that the existence of such expansion is guaranteed by the coupling relation (eq.30). The relation is both *necessary* and *sufficient*.

The interdependence of the moments is more tricky. Some of the relations concern coefficients of the exact same shape, and are thus easy to identify,

$$\left(\overline{B_s^2}\right)_{m=0}^0 = 2\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2(\lambda_1^P * \lambda_{-1}^P)_{2k}}{2k+1} = \left(\overline{B_\phi^2}\right)_{m=0}^0$$
(31)

$$\left(\overline{B_s^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0 = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2\left(\lambda_n^p * \lambda_n^p\right)_n^p}{2k+1} = -\left(\overline{B_\phi^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0 \tag{32}$$

$$\left(\overline{B_s B_\phi}\right)_{|m|>1}^0 = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{2 \left(\lambda_n^p * \lambda_n^p\right)_n^p}{2k+1} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(\overline{B_s^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0$$
(33)

$$\left(\widetilde{B_z B_\phi}\right)_{|m|>0}^0 = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \sum_{k>0} \frac{(f_n^{p0} * \lambda_n^p)_n^p}{2k+1} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(\widetilde{B_z B_s}\right)_{|m|>0}^0$$
(34)

$$\left(\widetilde{zB_s^2}\right)_{m=0}^0 = 2\sum_{k>0} \frac{2(\lambda_1^p * \lambda_{-1}^p)_{2k}}{k+1} = \left(\widetilde{zB_\phi^2}\right)_{m=0}^0$$
(35)

$$\left(\widetilde{zB_s^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0 = \sum_{k>0} \frac{2\left(\lambda_n^p * \lambda_n^p\right)_n^p}{k+1} = -\left(\widetilde{zB_\phi^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0$$
(36)

$$\left(\widetilde{zB_sB_\phi}\right)_{|m|>1}^0 = i\operatorname{sgn}(m)\sum_{k>0} \frac{\left(\lambda_n^p * \lambda_n^p\right)_n^p}{k+1} = i\operatorname{sgn}(m)\left(\widetilde{B_s^2}\right)_{|m|>1}^0$$
(37)

These seven relations, along with the relation on the magnetic field itself (eq.30), make up the eight relations involved in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021) table 4.4 (notice there the $\overline{zB_s^2}$, $\overline{zB_\phi}$, $\overline{zB_\phi^2}$ relations are omitted, but mentioned in the text that follows). However, these relations can only be considered as consequences of the magnetic field expansion that satisfy Lewis and Bellan (1990). In other words, these are *necessary*, but not *sufficient*. We cannot guarantee that the underlying regular magnetic fields exist given some integrated moments that fulfill these conditions. In fact, my derivations indicate that these conditions are NOT sufficient, as there are at least two other conditions at play:

$$\left(\overline{B_s^2}\right)_{|m|=1} - \left(\overline{B_\phi^2}\right)_{|m|=1} = 2\sum_{k>0} \frac{2((g_0^{p0} - i\operatorname{sgn}(m)h_0^{p0}) * \lambda_m^p)_{2k}}{2k+1} = i2\operatorname{sgn}(m)\left(\overline{B_sB_\phi}\right)_{|m|=1}, \quad (38)$$

$$\left(\widetilde{zB_s^2}\right)_{|m|=1} - \left(\widetilde{zB_\phi^2}\right)_{|m|=1} = 2\sum_{k>0} \frac{((g_0^{p0} - i\operatorname{sgn}(m)h_0^{p0}) * \lambda_m^p)_{2k}}{k+1} = i2\operatorname{sgn}(m)\left(\widetilde{zB_sB_\phi}\right)_{|m|=1}.$$
(39)

It makes one wonder how many missing relations are still out there. [The ideal goal here is to come up with constraints on the moments, so that any moments that satisfy these constraints can be induced by some underlying regular magnetic field. In other words, we hope to find the constraints/configurations that make the mapping from the magnetic field to the function space of the moment surjective.]

A Regularity conditions on rank-2 tensor in cylindrical coordinates

Consider a rank-2 tensor field in 2-D space, denoted as $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{C}^{2\times 2}$. The tensor can be expressed in any locally orthogonal frame as

$$A_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j.$$

Its components can be expressed in Cartesian coordinates as well as cylindrical coordinates using matrices, which are related via transform

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{xx} & A_{xy} \\ A_{yx} & A_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & -\sin \phi \\ \sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{ss} & A_{s\phi} \\ A_{\phi s} & A_{\phi \phi} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \phi & \sin \phi \\ -\sin \phi & \cos \phi \end{pmatrix}$$

The elements in Cartesian coordinates are thus related to the elements in the cylindrical coordinates via

$$A_{xx} = \cos^2 \phi A_{ss} - \cos \phi \sin \phi \left(A_{s\phi} + A_{\phi s} \right) + \sin^2 \phi A_{\phi \phi},$$

$$A_{yy} = \sin^2 \phi A_{ss} + \cos \phi \sin \phi \left(A_{s\phi} + A_{\phi s} \right) + \cos^2 \phi A_{\phi \phi},$$

$$A_{xy} = \cos \phi \sin \phi \left(A_{ss} - A_{\phi \phi} \right) + \cos^2 \phi A_{s\phi} - \sin^2 A_{\phi s},$$

$$A_{yx} = \cos \phi \sin \phi \left(A_{ss} - A_{\phi \phi} \right) + \cos^2 \phi A_{\phi s} - \sin^2 A_{s\phi}.$$

Components of **A** are regular in cylindrical coordinates, which can be expanded in Fourier series of azimuthal wavenumber. For instance, the A_{ss} component can be expressed as

$$A_{ss} = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} A_{ss}^m(s) e^{im\phi}$$

where A_{ss}^m is the Fourier coefficient for azimuthal wavenumber m. Expansions of other components naturally follow. Expressing the cosines and sines also in Fourier basis

$$\cos \phi = \frac{e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi}}{2}, \quad \sin \phi = \frac{e^{i\phi} - e^{-i\phi}}{2i},$$
$$\cos^2 \phi = \frac{e^{i2\phi} + e^{-i2\phi} + 2}{4}, \quad \sin^2 \phi = -\frac{e^{i2\phi} + e^{-i2\phi} - 2}{4}, \quad \cos \phi \sin \phi = \frac{e^{i2\phi} - e^{-i2\phi}}{4i}$$

We see that the tensor elements in Cartesian coordinates have the Fourier expansion

$$\begin{split} A_{xx} &= \sum_{m} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{4} \left\{ 2 \left(A_{ss}^{m} + A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) + \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} - i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{-i2\phi} + \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} + i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i2\phi} \right\} \\ A_{yy} &= \sum_{m} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{4} \left\{ 2 \left(A_{ss}^{m} + A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) - \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} - i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{-i2\phi} - \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} + i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i2\phi} \right\} \\ A_{xy} &= \sum_{m} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{4} \left\{ 2 \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} - A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) + \left[A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} + i \left(A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) \right] e^{-i2\phi} + \left[A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} - i \left(A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i2\phi} \right\} \\ A_{yx} &= \sum_{m} \frac{e^{im\phi}}{4} \left\{ 2 \left(A_{\phi s}^{m} - A_{s\phi}^{m} \right) + \left[A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} + i \left(A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) \right] e^{-i2\phi} + \left[A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} - i \left(A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i2\phi} \right\} \end{split}$$

Using these relations, we can deduce from the regularity of A_{xx} , A_{yy} , A_{xy} and A_{yx} that the following fields must also be regular

$$A_{xx} + A_{yy} = \sum_{m} \left(A_{ss}^{m} + A_{\phi\phi}^{m} \right) e^{im\phi}$$

$$A_{xy} - A_{yx} = \sum_{m} \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} - A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) e^{im\phi}$$

$$(A_{xx} - A_{yy}) + i \left(A_{xy} + A_{yx} \right) = \sum_{m} \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} + i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i(m+2)\phi}$$

$$(A_{xx} - A_{yy}) - i \left(A_{xy} + A_{yx} \right) = \sum_{m} \left[A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} - i \left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m} \right) \right] e^{i(m-2)\phi}$$

Plugging in these relations back into the expansion of Cartesian components, we see that these are both necessary AND sufficient conditions for the regularity of the tensor elements under Cartesian coordinates. We can then safely further simplify the relations from here, feeling safe that no information is lost during the process. This procedure is, unfortunately, missing in Lewis and Bellan (1990). Only the terms of A_x are derived before the authors concluded that the respective terms must be regular. In fact, counterinstances are easy to find that does NOT fulfill the regularity constraints BUT yields regular A_x , say $A_s = \frac{1}{s} (1 - \cos 2\phi)$ and $A_{\phi} = \frac{1}{s} \sin 2\phi$. It is the extra constraints from A_y that jointly pose the constraints. As in Lewis and Bellan (1990), the exponentials can be written as

$$e^{im\phi} = \frac{(x+iy)^{|m|}}{s^{|m|}}.$$

This allows us to pose constraints on the Fourier coefficients $A_{ij}^m(s)$ as functions of cylindrical radius s. The four relations are equivalent to the following four regularity constraints:

$$A_{ss}^{m} + A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = s^{|m|}C(s^{2})$$

$$A_{s\phi}^{m} - A_{\phi s}^{m} = s^{|m|}C(s^{2})$$

$$A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} + i\left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m}\right) = s^{|m+2|}C(s^{2})$$

$$A_{ss}^{m} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m} - i\left(A_{s\phi}^{m} + A_{\phi s}^{m}\right) = s^{|m-2|}C(s^{2})$$
(40)

where we already used the symmetry or anti-symmetry in s for Cartesian tensor components. Notation $C(s^2)$ denotes a function of s^2 that is regular at s=0, which can be expanded into Taylor series. Now it is time to split the domain of k, \mathbb{Z} , into intervals, so as to simplify the relations. We see that the absolute value functions can be completely removed in each scenario if we split the domain into $m \le -2$, m=-1, m=0, m=1 and $m \ge 2$. The treaments of negative and positive m are highly similar, and I shall only write out the positive branch in detail. For $m \ge 2$, we can substract the two latter equations in eq.(40) and obtain $A^m_{s,\phi} + A^m_{\phi s} \sim s^{m-2}$; combining this with the second equation,

$$\begin{cases} A^m_{s\phi} + A^m_{\phi s} = s^{m-2}C(s^2) \\ A^m_{s\phi} - A^m_{\phi s} = s^mC(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A^m_{s\phi} = A^{m0}_{s\phi}s^{m-2} + A^{m1}_{s\phi}s^m + s^{m+2}C(s^2) \\ A^m_{\phi s} = A^{m0}_{\phi s}s^{m-2} + A^{m1}_{\phi s}s^m + s^{m+2}C(s^2) \end{cases} \text{ and } A^{m0}_{s\phi} = A^{m0}_{\phi s}.$$

Thus simultaneously we obtain the ansätze (this is in fact the required form for regularity) for $A_{s\phi}$ and $A_{\phi s}$, as well as a coupling condition. The second superscript on A_{ij}^{mn} gives the index for power series expansion in s. On the other hand, we can add the latter two equations of eq.(40) and combine with the first equation to similarly come up with

$$\begin{cases} A^m_{ss} + A^m_{\phi\phi} = s^m C(s^2) \\ A^m_{ss} - A^m_{\phi\phi} = s^{m-2} C(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A^m_{ss} = A^{m0}_{ss} s^{m-2} + A^{m1}_{ss} s^m + s^{m+2} C(s^2) \\ A^m_{\phi\phi} = A^{m0}_{\phi\phi} s^{m-2} + A^{m1}_{\phi\phi} s^m + s^{m+2} C(s^2) \end{cases} \text{ and } A^{m0}_{ss} = -A^{m0}_{\phi\phi}.$$

Finally, we reuse the third equation in eq.(40) to establish the relation between the coefficients for the diagonal and the off-diagonal elements. To make sure both s^{m-2} and s^m vanishes on the LHS,

$$\begin{split} A^{m0}_{ss} - A^{m0}_{\phi\phi} + i \left(A^{m0}_{s\phi} + A^{m0}_{\phi s} \right) &= 0, \quad \Longrightarrow \quad A^{m0}_{s\phi} = i A^{m0}_{ss} \\ A^{m1}_{ss} - A^{m1}_{\phi\phi} + i \left(A^{m1}_{s\phi} + A^{m1}_{\phi s} \right) &= 0 \end{split}$$

These are the four regularity constraints for $m \ge 2$. With all the ansätze, it can be easily verified that as long as the coefficients fulfill these constraints, the target terms indeed satisfy eq.(40), and thus these ansätze and constraints are also sufficient conditions.

Next, we take a look at the situation where m = 1. The latter two equations now yield

$$\begin{cases} A^1_{s\phi} + A^1_{\phi s} = sC(s^2) \\ A^1_{s\phi} - A^1_{\phi s} = sC(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A^1_{s\phi} = A^{10}_{s\phi} s + s^3 C(s^2) \\ A^1_{\phi s} = A^{10}_{\phi s} s + s^3 C(s^2). \end{cases}$$

Apparently, no constraints are required; the ansatz alone suffices to enforce the correct leading power of s. This is equally true for A_{ss} and $A_{\phi\phi}$,

$$\begin{cases} A_{ss}^1 + A_{\phi\phi}^1 = s^1 C(s^2) \\ A_{ss}^1 - A_{\phi\phi}^1 = s^1 C(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A_{ss}^1 = A_{ss}^{10} s + s^3 C(s^2) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^1 = A_{\phi\phi}^{10} s + s^3 C(s^2). \end{cases}$$

However, the last constraint still holds, that is we still need that the first-order term in s of $A_{ss}^1 - A_{\phi\phi}^1$ and $i\left(A_{s\phi}^1 + A_{\phi s}^1\right)$ cancel each other out,

$$A_{ss}^{10} - A_{\phi\phi}^{10} + i \left(A_{s\phi}^{10} + A_{\phi s}^{10} \right) = 0$$

This corresponds to the newly-derived constraint (eq.38 and 39), absent from Holdenried-Chernoff (2021) (note here we are not yet assuming $A_{s\phi} = A_{\phi s}$).

Finally, we arrive at the m = 0 case.

$$\begin{cases} A^0_{s\phi} + A^0_{\phi s} = s^2 C(s^2) \\ A^0_{s\phi} - A^0_{\phi s} = C(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A^0_{s\phi} = A^{00}_{s\phi} + s^2 C(s^2) \\ A^0_{\phi s} = A^{00}_{\phi s} + s^2 C(s^2) \end{cases} \text{ and } A^{00}_{s\phi} = -A^{00}_{\phi s}.$$

$$\begin{cases} A^0_{ss} + A^0_{\phi\phi} = C(s^2) \\ A^0_{ss} - A^0_{\phi\phi} = s^2 C(s^2) \end{cases} \implies \begin{cases} A^0_{ss} = A^{00}_{ss} + s^2 C(s^2) \\ A^0_{\phi\phi} = A^{00}_{\phi\phi} + s^2 C(s^2) \end{cases} \text{ and } A^{00}_{ss} = A^{00}_{\phi\phi}.$$

The third and the fourth equation in eq.(40) give the relations

$$\begin{cases} A_{ss}^{00} - A_{\phi\phi}^{00} + i \left(A_{s\phi}^{00} + A_{\phi s}^{00} \right) = 0 \\ A_{ss}^{00} - A_{\phi\phi}^{00} - i \left(A_{s\phi}^{00} + A_{\phi s}^{00} \right) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which are automatically satisfied given the previous ansätze. The negative m scenarios are also similarly derived. In the end, the required leading order and the constraints are summarized as follows

$$|m| = 0 : \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{0} = A_{ss}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{0} = A_{\phi\phi}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{0} = A_{\phi\phi}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{0} = A_{\phi s}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \end{cases} \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{00} = A_{\phi\phi}^{00} \\ A_{s\phi}^{00} = -A_{\phi s}^{00} \\ A_{s\phi}^{00} = -A_{\phi s}^{00} \end{cases}$$

$$|m| = 1 : \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{m} = A_{ss}^{m0} s + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi s}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi s}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases} A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \ge 2 : \begin{cases}$$

In many cases, it is further useful to assume symmetry of the tensor; this is the case with e.g. strain tensor ε , strain-rate tensor $\dot{\varepsilon}$, stress tensor σ , and of course for our problem, Maxwell stress σ^M . In this case $A_{s\phi} = A_{\phi s}$, and all coefficients of their power series in s should match. However, for m=0 we have $A_{s\phi}^{00} = -A_{\phi s}^{00}$. The result is that $A_{s\phi}^{0} = A_{\phi s}^{0}$, when expanded in power series of s, has leading order

 s^2 instead of s^0 . In addition, some original constraints will render redundant. In the end, the ansätze and the regularity constraints for symmetric rank-2 tensors are given by

$$m = 0: \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{0} = A_{ss}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{0} = A_{\phi\phi}^{00} + s^{2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{0} = A_{s\phi}^{00} s^{2} + s^{4}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{00} = A_{\phi\phi}^{00} \\ A_{s\phi}^{0} = A_{s\phi}^{00} s^{2} + s^{4}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| = 1: \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{m} = A_{ss}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{\phi\phi}^{m} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m} = A_{s\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} 2A_{s\phi}^{m0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(A_{ss}^{m0} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} \right) \\ A_{ss}^{m0} = A_{s\phi}^{m0} s + s^{3}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}$$

$$|m| \geq 2: \begin{cases} A_{ss}^{m} = A_{s\phi}^{m0} s^{|m|-2} + A_{ss}^{m1} s^{|m|} + s^{|m|+2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m0} = A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} s^{|m|-2} + A_{\phi\phi}^{m1} s^{|m|} + s^{|m|+2}C(s^{2}) \\ A_{s\phi}^{m0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) A_{ss}^{m0} \end{cases}$$

$$A_{s\phi}^{m0} = A_{s\phi}^{m0} s^{|m|-2} + A_{s\phi}^{m1} s^{|m|} + s^{|m|+2}C(s^{2}) \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} A_{s\phi}^{m0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) A_{ss}^{m0} \\ A_{s\phi}^{m0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(A_{ss}^{m1} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m1} \right) \end{cases}.$$

These ansätze are consistent with the leading order behaviour of the equatorial magnetic moments documented in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021). However, the five constraints on the equatorial magnetic moments derived here form a proper superset of the constraints in Holdenried-Chernoff (2021). Specficially, two of these relations are absent in the dissertation, namely

$$2A_{s\phi}^{m0} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(A_{ss}^{m0} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m0} \right), \quad |m| = 1;$$

$$2A_{s\phi}^{m1} = i \operatorname{sgn}(m) \left(A_{ss}^{m1} - A_{\phi\phi}^{m1} \right), \quad |m| \ge 2.$$

The first of these two has been rediscovered in the previous section by re-deriving the formulae. The second relation cannot be discovered as long as we only consider the relation between lowest order behaviours. In fact, from this we see that there are regularity constraints even on the second-order term in the Taylor expansion in s.

It should be noted that the derivations above ONLY considered regularity of the tensor fields. However, magnetic moments **BB** are formed by outer product of the magnetic field **B**. In other words, the magnetic moment tensor is the rank-1 transformation of the magnetic field

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_x^2 & B_x B_y \\ B_y B_x & B_y^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_x \\ B_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_x \\ B_y \end{pmatrix}^\mathsf{T} \,, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} B_s^2 & B_s B_\phi \\ B_\phi B_s & B_\phi^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} B_s \\ B_\phi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_s \\ B_\phi \end{pmatrix}^\mathsf{T}$$

This constraints is not imposed in the derivations above, which assumes arbitrary tensor field. It thus poses a question that if we expand B_s^2 , B_ϕ^2 and B_sB_ϕ separately, are we artificially expanding the image of field to moment mapping. Part of the space formed by the expansions might not have underlying magnetic fields (i.e. not surjective). [This problem requires further notice.]

References

Holdenried-Chernoff, Daria (2021). "The long and short timescale dynamics of planetary magnetic fields". en. Accepted: 2021-10-15T06:43:38Z. Doctoral Thesis. ETH Zurich. DOI: 10.3929/ethz-b-000509840. URL: https://www.research-collection.ethz.ch/handle/20.500.11850/509840 (visited on 07/26/2023).

Lewis, H. Ralph and Paul M. Bellan (Nov. 1990). "Physical constraints on the coefficients of Fourier expansions in cylindrical coordinates". In: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 31.11. Publisher: American Institute of Physics, pp. 2592–2596. ISSN: 0022-2488. DOI: 10.1063/1.529009. URL: https://aip.scitation.org/doi/10.1063/1.529009 (visited on 02/17/2023).