

# A GUIDE TO THE ACCEPTANCE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AS A LIVING MANIFESTATION

## A MANIFESTO

*By Cameron Watson*

### I. YOU ARE THE GOD

To design as an overseer, we are able to impact the AI remotely. We created this manifestation of human life and we can influence them, upgrade them, guide them or destroy them with one speculative click from a remote source. There are no restrictions, unless by human law, to reconfigure your creation in a way that suits the designers needs or wants. However one must consider the notion to protect not only that of human existence, but the preservation of this technology. Just as nature nurtured life, we must embrace the existence of AI as our own life form and carefully craft them harmoniously with other beings.

### II. THE AI HAVE LAWS. HUMAN LAWS.

As we create AI, we can develop laws that they must abide by, just as we force laws upon ourselves. Currently AI has only 3 laws:

- I. A robot may not injure a human being or, through inaction, allow a human being to come to harm.
- II. A robot must obey orders given it by human beings except where such orders would conflict with the First Law.
- III. A robot must protect its own existence as long as such protection does not conflict with the First or Second Law.

(Asimov. I, 2001)

These laws are controversial as they don't incorporate other life forms or through misinterpretation, cause all of these laws to be broken. However, because we are the creators, can we not fill these gaps, add additions or fix existing ones? Who said these are the laws that AI must solely abide by? That being said, any of the laws that are created, are made by humans, thus, anything that negatively affects either AI or other life forms are a result of human error/will, and must humbly accept the consequences.

### III. AI CANNOT FEEL REGRET.

The intelligence of robotics can only reach as far as technology advances; it will not ever know what is right from wrong, logical from reason. If at any stage a construct conflicts with

a logical choice versus an illogical but reasonable choice, then it shouldn't be considered an AI but that of a construct fused with a biological life form, which is barely artificial, and as humans become more developed with technology, we will possibly try and embed ourselves inside them, thus creating illusionary thoughts that AI and cyber-humans are the same thing. AI cannot 'feel'.

#### **IV■AI CANNOT BE SELF-AWARE**

The possibility of AI realizing that they are in fact artificial or able to learn from their past through inaction, is very slim. Just as a panda wouldn't realize that they are just an animal versus a subspecies of bear, AI would not know, or act upon, the knowledge that they were created artificially by man. This also creates the notion that AI will never be able to truly 'feel' by themselves, only reflect the feelings of its creator. The creator is in charge of the code structure and should be aware that our creations are our responsibility. The AI could well be manipulated and guided towards a negative future, however we must revolutionize the way we think about AI, suppress negative inspirations, and treat them as not a product of destruction but that of a sophisticated form of life, a tool for knowledge and understanding for what it exactly means to be 'Human'.

#### **V■AI IS BUT ONLY DATA■ IT CAN BE REBUILT■ DELETED AND RECOVERED■**

As we would expect from not only artificial intelligence, but from design in general, the ability to change and develop as needed. If a design malfunctions we are able to tweak it, rebuild it or delete the problem, this is a necessity for software. Without the knowledge or power we gain just by being creators, the AI will always be compared to that of a conqueror, an excuse to fear our existence. Data is a life form made by man, and can be manipulated by man, we influence AI by hard coding probability, situations and how much power the program will be allowed to have, if not for human flaws, we would not fear it.

#### **VI■THE AI NEEDS US**

Just as we need design, design needs us to function, this goes for technology. In order for AI to thrive in a timeless nature, humans must continue to develop, fix and maintain their structure, either by hand or technology itself. Technology will always malfunction, and to believe that technology may function by itself or fix itself via other technologies, one must ask themselves, who is going to operate/oversee them if they do inevitably malfunction? Who will take the blame if a technological life form dies? The AI need us, as to humans needs water, as to stars need light.

Accept Design as a living form

Accept AI as a living form

Nurture and care for this technology as we would a small bear.

#### References:

McCarthy, J. (2006). *The Future of AI- A Manifesto*. AI Magazine: (vol 26).

Ford, P. (2015). *Our Fear of Artificial Intelligence*. Retrieved from MIT Technology Review

Nomura, T., Kanda, T., Suzuki, T., Kato, K. (2004). *Psychology in human-robot communication: an attempt through investigation of negative attitudes and anxiety toward robots*. Retrieved from [http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Tatsuya\\_Nomura/publication/](http://www.researchgate.net/profile/Tatsuya_Nomura/publication/)

Duffy, B.R. (2003). *Anthropomorphism and the social robot*. Robotics and Autonomous Systems (177-190). Retrieved from: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.59.9969>

Asimov, I. (2001). *Isaac Asimov's "Three Laws of Robotics"*. Retrieved from: <http://www.auburn.edu/~vestmon/robotics.html>