CSCI 447: Project 1

George Engel GeoEngel.z@gmail.com

Department of Engineering Montana State University Bozemane, MT 59715, USA

Troy Oster Toster1011@gmail.com

Department of Engineering Montana State University Bozeman, MT 59715, USA

Dana Parker Danaharmonparker@gmail.com

Department of Engineering Montana State University Bozeman, MT 59715, USA

Henry Soule HSOULE427@GMAIL.COM

Department of Engineering Montana State University Bozeman, MT 59715, USA

Editor: Engel et al.

Abstract

-Give general idea of what we are doing -Summarize our results/findings here too **Keywords:** TODO: Enter keywords for assignment.

1. Introduction

TODO: Add in as: What it is we are using, and how we are using it

The purpose of this project is to implement five different instance-based learning algorithms: k-nearest neighbors, edited nearest neighbors, condensed nearest neighbors, k-means clustering, and k-medoids clustering. To apply these functions, we perform classification and regression on six data sets via 10-fold cross-validation. These six data sets we use include Abalone, Car Evaluation, Image Segmentation, Computer Hardware, Forest Fires, and Wine Quality, found on the UCI Machine Learning Repository.

2. Problem Statement

TODO: State what we want to do/how we plan to do it

In this project we are attempting to answer the question, "Does a reduction in the data set, by way of clustering or filtering the data itself, improve the accuracy of our learning algorithms?" To answer this question, there are several other problems we must tackle beforehand. The first of these problems is to decide how we must pre-process the data. We

pre-process our data sets to rid ourselves of the burdens of man-made input errors and any missing attribute values.

To pre-process the data we must TODO: fill this out.

Next, we have to utilize our five instance-based learning algorithms for to create respective models of classification and regression. We can then use these models to make predictions about the data set for any newly introduced data.

To determine the accuracy of our learning models, we use 10-fold cross-validation. This allows us to verify the validity/accuracy of our models over the entire data set and multiple tests. Next, we use loss functions to get a quantitative value for the accuracy of our models.

TODO: finish this loss functions section. Next, we draw conclusions on the accuracy of the reduced data set models in relation to the non-reduced data set models.

3. Hypotheses

TODO: Add a general hypothesis, and then go into detail for each dataset.

In the data sets that we are attempting to classify, Abalone, Car Evaluation, and Image Segmentation, we hypothesize that k-nearest neighbors after having used condensed nearest neighbors and edited nearest neighbors to reduce the training data will produce a more accurate model for prediction than using k-nearest neighbors without reducing the training data. That is to say that the k-nearest neighbors algorithm will produce a less accurate model than the condense nearest neighbor and the edited nearest neighbor algorithm.

As for the data sets that we are attempting to perform regression against — Computer Hardware, Forest Fires, and Wine Quality — we hypothesize that using k-medoids clustering will create better training data to run k-nearest neighbors against, thus producing a more accurate learning model, rather than using k-means clustering given that k-medoids clustering is more robust to outliers than k-means clustering (TODO: site a source for how we know k-medoids clustering is more robust to outliers). (TODO: make this better lmao)

- 3.1 Abalone
- 3.2 Car
- 3.3 forestfires
- 3.4 machine
- 3.5 segmentation

4. The Algorithms

TODO: Add description of the algorithm used, proper credits to an academic article cited in line, and how we went implemented this algorithm.

TODO: REFERENCESSSESES

We implemented five instance-based learning algorithms for this project: k-nearest neighbors, edited nearest neighbors, condensed nearest neighbors, k-mean clustering, and k-medoids clustering. To perform both classication on Abalone, Car Evaluation, and Image Segmentation, and to perform regression on Computer Hardware, Forest Fires, and Wine Quality, we ultimately must use the k-nearest neighbors algorithm.

What k-nearest neighbors does is, given a new example e, some integer k, and training data T, it finds the k closest examples in T to e. To evaluate how "close" one example is from another, we find the total of each euclidean distance of every respective attribute between one example and another. In the event we are comparing two discrete attributes, we simply add 1 to the total euclidean distance if the discrete attributes are different, or we don't add to the total euclidean distance if they are the same.

5. Our Approach

5.1 Handling missing data

NOTICE: SUBJECT TO CHANGE. We opted to not remove data with missing values that occurs in low quantities, as given the already-small size of the data sets we are working with for this assignment, we thought it best to retain as much data as possible for training purposes.

Rather, we decided to handle missing attribute values by replacing them with attributes selected randomly from a bootstrap distribution generated from all other data points in the database of the same attribute type that do not contain missing values.

It is also worth noting that we do not hard code the symbol used for checking for missing values, but rather the missing value symbol is determined in the configuration '.attr' file that is unique for each database. It was handled in this manner due to inconsistencies between data sets with respect to symbols representing missing data.

5.2 Handling information about Attributes

Due to the fact that the databases had some uniqueness about them, rather than changing them directly to fit a generic format, we found it best to add a short and sweet configuration file for each database that would determine certain values when running our code. This way, we can both easily customize our parameters, and not have to rely on something primitive like command line user input.

The configuration file being referred to is the '.attr' file that exists in the directory of each database. it is required that the respective attribute configuration file ('.attr' file) has the same prefix as the '.data' file for the database in order to function, as our code base looks for the '.data' file in the database directory specified, and then uses the prefix of the file name when locating the attribute file.

The attribute file contains things like the column headers, column index of the attribute we want to classify, a list of indexes of the parameters used for classification, and finally, the last line is what will be used as the 'missing symbol', which is referenced in section (4.1). When running the program, these values are loaded in after the user selects a database from the list presented, and used accordingly.

5.3 Converting continuous (discrete) data into categorical

Since we can't really deal with continuous attributes when using the Naive Bayes algorithm, we have to convert any continuous (quantitative) data to a categorical format.

We do so on a column by column basis, beginning with checking if the column contains quantitative data or not by seeing if it can be converted to a float. If any one of the elements of that column can't be converted, it implies the column is of categorical typing.

Once we find column that we know contains discrete data, we can begin converting it using equal width binning. The equal width binning method finds the smallest and largest values of the quantitative data, subtracts the new found max from the min, and divides the result by the amount of bins. The result is the width of each bin!

From there we simply sort the discrete data into their respective bins in the form of the entire row from the database via the pop() function, and then goes on to convert every value in each respective bin to the value range it belongs to. Value range being the bin bounds. Then the rows are simply recompiled into the database, returned, and repeats this cycle for each column.

Side note: It is fairly obvious we didn't choose this method for it's efficiency, but rather its simplicity, and consistency.

6. Results

General summary of results goes here

After running k-fold cross validation on all of our data sets, we found \dots algorithm(s) performed the best with a 0/1 loss of \dots . This value of a 0/1 loss indicates that \dots . The \dots algorithm performed the worst with a 0/1 loss of \dots . These results could be due to \dots .

7. Conclusions

TODO: Add general conclusion here

To conclude, based on the results of our experiments, ____ algorithms performed the best. This conclusion (confirms/disproves) our hypothesis that the condensed nearest neighbors and edited nearest neighbors algorithms would perform better than regular k-nearest neighbors. We believe this conclusion to be the result of ____.

- 7.1 Abalone
- 7.2 Car
- 7.3 Forest fires
- 7.4 Machine
- 7.5 Segmentation

Acknowledgments