

Supplementary Information to:

General and species-specific recommendations for minimal requirements for the use of cephalopods in scientific research

Giovanna Ponte^{1,2,*,**}, Katina Roumbedakis^{2,3,*}, COST Action FA1301[#],
Viola Galligioni⁴, Ludovic Dickel⁵, Cécile Bellanger⁵, Joao Pereira⁶, Erica A.G. Vidal^{7,†},
Panos Grigoriou⁸, Enrico Alleva⁹, Daniela Santucci⁹, Claudia Gili¹⁰, Giovanni Botta¹¹,
Pamela Imperadore¹, Andrea Tarallo¹, Lars Juergens¹², Emily Northrup¹²,
David Anderson¹³, Arianna Aricò^{2,14}, Marianna De Luca²,
Eleonora Maria Pieroni^{2,*}, Graziano Fiorito^{1,2}

¹ Department of Biology and Evolution of Marine Organisms, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Napoli, Italy

² Association for Cephalopod Research 'CephRes', Napoli Italy

³ Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation, Italy (MAECI) & Dipartimento di Scienze e Tecnologie, Università degli Studi del Sannio, Benevento, Italy

⁴ Comparative Medicine Unit, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

⁵ Normandie Univ., UNICAEN, Ethos (Ethologie Animale et Humaine) UMR 6552, Caen, France

⁶ Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera (IPMA), Divisão de Modelação e Gestão de Recursos de Pesca, Lisbon, Portugal

⁷ Center for Marine Studies, University of Parana, Curitiba, Brazil

⁸ CRETAQUARIUM, Hellenic Centre for Marine Research, 71003 Heraklion, Crete, Greece

⁹ Istituto Superiore di Sanità, Roma, Italy

¹⁰ Department of Marine Animal Conservation and Public Engagement, Stazione Zoologica Anton Dohrn, Napoli, Italy

¹¹ Ministry of Health, Viale Giorgio Ribotta, 5 - 00144 Roma, Italy

¹² Max-Planck-Institut for Brain Research, Frankfurt am Main, Germany

¹³ Pentlands Management Systems, Edinburgh, Scotland

¹⁴ Merck RBM, Ivrea, Torino, Italy

* These Authors mutually contributed to this work

† Contributed via CAPES-Print project (Brazil) and the COST Action FA1301

** Corresponding author:

Dr. Giovanna Ponte

email: g_ponte@cephalopodresearch.org

Keywords: Cephalopods, Animal care, Welfare, Directive 2010/63/EU

#COST Action FA1301 Contributors

People listed here are those belonging to the COST Action FA1301 ‘CephsInAction’^a who provided data, information and comments, and contributed to different extents during the preparation of this work.

The following list is arranged by country in alphabetical order; different contributors are merged by Institution.

AUSTRIA

Oleg Simakov
Department of Molecular Evolution and
Development
University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Tim Wollesen
Integrative Zoology
University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

BELGIUM

Ruth Styfhals
Laboratory of Developmental Neurobiology,
Department of Biology
KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

BRAZIL

Penélope Bastos
Departamento de Aquicultura
Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina,
Florianopolis, Brazil

CANADA

Jennifer Mather
Psychology Department, University of
Lethbridge
Lethbridge, Alberta, Canada

CZECK REPUBLIC

Marie Krausova
Department of Parasitology, Faculty of
Science, University of South Bohemia
České Budějovice, Czechia

DENMARK

Rute de Fonseca
Center for Macroecology, Evolution and
Climate (CMEC)
GLOBE Institute, University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark
The Bioinformatics Centre, Department of
Biology, University of Copenhagen
Copenhagen, Denmark

FRANCE

Anne-Sophie Darmaillacq, Caitlin O’Brien
Groupe mémoire et Plasticité
comportementale,
EA4259, University of Caen Basse-Normandy,
Caen, France

Marie-Laure Begout

Centre de Recherche sur les Ecosystèmes
Marins et Aquacoles
CNRS/IFREMER, B.P. 5, 17137 L’Houmeau,
France

GERMANY

Rita Melo Franco Santos
Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine
Research
Universität Bremen, Bremen, Germany

Frederike D. Hanke

Neuroethology, Institute for Biosciences,
University of Rostock, Rostock, Germany

Jochen Pflueger, Kerstin Warnke
Freie Universität
Berlin, Germany

^a www.cephsinaction.org – see also the agenda of the following meetings:
<http://www.cephsinaction.org/activities/meetings/year2016/cephsinaction-for-directive-201063eu/>;
<http://www.cephsinaction.org/activities/meetings/year2016/what-next/>;
<http://www.cephsinaction.org/activities/meetings/year2016/cephsinaction-meets-ec/>

GREECE

Aspasia Sterioti
CRETAQUARIUM, Hellenic Centre for
Marine Research, 71003 Heraklion, Crete,
Greece

IRELAND

Louise Allcock, Morag Taite
Department of Zoology, Ryan Institute,
National University of Ireland Galway,
Galway, Ireland

Sarah Culloty, Sharon Lynch
University College Cork
Cork, Ireland

ITALY

Michele Cilli
Ospedale Policlinico San Martino
Genova, Italy

Carlo Di Cristo
Department of Biological and Environmental
Sciences
University of Sannio, Benevento, Italy

NORWAY

Lars Helge Stien
Institute of Marine Research
Storebø, Norway

SPAIN

Eduardo Almansa Berro
Instituto Español de Oceanografía (IEO), Santa
Cruz de Tenerife, Spain

Camino Gestal, Angel Guerra
Institute of Marine Research,
Spanish National Research Council (CSIC)
Vigo, Spain

Juan Estefanell
Ciclo Superior Cultivos Acuicolas
Instituto de Educacion Secundaria les Profesor
Cabrera Pérez
Las Palmas, Spain

Manuel Nande

Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Vigo, Spain
& CIMAR/CIIMAR – Interdisciplinary Centre
for Marine & Environmental Research
(Portugal)

Tania Rodriguez-Gonzalez

Marine Aquaculture Station, Murcia Institute
of Agri-Food Research and Development
(IMIDA), Puerto de San Pedro del Pinatar,
Murcia, Spain

Roger Villanueva Lopez

Institut de Ciències del Mar (CSIC), Barcelona,
Spain

PORTUGAL

Antonio Sykes
C. Mar – Centre of Marine Sciences,
Universidade do Algarve, Faro, Portugal

Benjamin Costas Refojos

Centro Interdisciplinar de Investigação
Marinha e Ambiental (CIIMAR), Universidade
do Porto, Matosinhos, Portugal

UNITED KINGDOM

Paul L.R. Andrews
St. George University of London
London, UK
Association for Cephalopod Research
'CephRes' (Italy)

Daniel Osorio

School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex
Brighton, UK

Kerry Perkins

Sea Life
Brighton, UK

Contents

Cephalopods: current scientific interest, diversity of forms and adaptations	5
Source and summary of Information	10
Appendix A – Environment: a short overview	11
Water supply and quality	11
Lighting	16
Noise and vibration	17
Appendix B - Accommodation and care: a short overview	17
Housing	17
Grouping	19
Diet	20
Cleaning	21
Enrichment	21
Practices for cephalopod culture	23
Appendix A - Environment	25
Appendix B - Accommodation and Care; Enrichment	35
Appendix C - Thermal, oxygen and salinity tolerance ranges	47
References	54

Cephalopods: current scientific interest, diversity of forms and adaptations

At the time of finalizing the writing of this work, nine years are now passed after the entry into force of the Directive 2010/63/EU. During this almost a decade, the EU continued to collect statistical data on the use of live animals in research and testing^b, and information for cephalopods is also included. However, data available in the Statistical Reports should be considered preliminary for these invertebrate organisms, also considering the differences in the time of transposition between Member States of the EU, and the required time for the effective implementation of the Directive to these animals.

In analogy to what already proposed by Smith and coworkers,¹ we analyzed original papers indexed by Clarivate Web of Science and published between January 2015 and the first months of 2021 (time span: 2015-2020) with focus on any aspect of cephalopod biology. We combined the query with all of the EU countries (address field), returning 1929 works (note that a similar analysis - by Smith and colleagues in 2013¹ - provided 1231 papers published over a period of five years). In more than one thousand papers the target species was specified or identifiable. For each record we counted the species of cephalopods target of the study and found more than 250 species (for a three years subset see Supplementary Table 1).

Overall, a large number of species has been studied, with some continuing to result to be the most highly utilized (i.e. *Sepia officinalis*, *Octopus vulgaris*).

^b https://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/lab_animals/reports_en.htm

Supplementary Table 1. Cephalopod species studied and number of papers indexed in Clarivate Web of Science, over the last three years (time span: 2017-2020) with a focus on EU countries. A number of works identified their target organism by genus and/or not referring to a given species; these are not included in this list. In some cases, more than five species resulted to be included in the same study; for the sake of this analysis, we considered only the first five cephalopod species.

Species	Papers	Species	Papers
<i>Octopus vulgaris</i>	176	<i>Haliphron atlanticus</i>	4
<i>Sepia officinalis</i>	131	<i>Histioteuthis reversa</i>	4
<i>Loligo vulgaris</i>	52	<i>Sepia orbignyana</i>	4
<i>Dosidicus gigas</i>	25	<i>Abralia veranyi</i>	3
<i>Eledone cirrhosa</i>	15	<i>Alloteuthis subulata</i>	3
<i>Illex coindetii</i>	15	<i>Alluroteuthis antarcticus</i>	3
<i>Illex argentinus</i>	14	<i>Ancistrocheirus lesueurii</i>	3
<i>Nautilus pompilius</i>	13	<i>Argonauta</i>	3
<i>Euprymna scolopes</i>	11	<i>Doryteuthis ghai</i>	3
<i>Octopus cyanea</i>	11	<i>Filippovia knipovitchi</i>	3
<i>Octopus maya</i>	11	<i>Gonatus antarcticus</i>	3
<i>Sepia pharaonis</i>	11	<i>Histioteuthis bonnellii</i>	3
<i>Loligo forbesii</i>	9	<i>Liocranchia reinhardti</i>	3
<i>Todarodes sagittatus</i>	9	<i>Mesonychoteuthis hamiltoni</i>	3
<i>Kondakovia longimana</i>	8	<i>Moroteuthopsis longimana</i>	3
<i>Alloteuthis media</i>	7	<i>Octopus briareus</i>	3
<i>Architeuthis dux</i>	7	<i>Octopus insularis</i>	3
<i>Spirula spirula</i>	7	<i>Ommastrephes bartrami</i>	3
<i>Doryteuthis gahi</i>	6	<i>Pareledone charcoti</i>	3
<i>Eledone moschata</i>	6	<i>Pareledone turqueti</i>	3
<i>Enteroctopus dofleini</i>	6	<i>Pteroctopus tetracirrhus</i>	3
<i>Galiteuthis glacialis</i>	6	<i>Rossia palpebrosa</i>	3
<i>Gonatus fabricii</i>	6	<i>Sepietta oweniana</i>	3
<i>Loligo reynaudii</i>	6	<i>Sthenoteuthis oualaniensis</i>	3
<i>Sepioteuthis lessoniana</i>	6	<i>Todarodes angolensis</i>	3
<i>Abraliopsis morisii</i>	5	<i>Todarodes pacificus</i>	3
<i>Berryteuthis magister</i>	5	<i>Argonauta argo</i>	2
<i>Doryteuthis pealeii</i>	5	<i>Bathypolypus sponsalis</i>	2
<i>Octopus bimaculoides</i>	5	<i>Bathyteuthis bacidifera</i>	2
<i>Octopus mimus</i>	5	<i>Chroteuthis veranyi</i>	2
<i>Pyroteuthis margaritifera</i>	5	<i>Chtenopteryx sicula</i>	2
<i>Vampyroteuthis infernalis</i>	5	<i>Doryteuthis pleii</i>	2
<i>Adelieledone polymorpha</i>	4	<i>Enteroctopus megalocyathus</i>	2
<i>Bathyteuthis abyssicola</i>	4	<i>Gonatus madokai</i>	2
<i>Doryteuthis opalescens</i>	4	<i>Gonatus onyx</i>	2

Species	Papers	Species	Papers
<i>Gonatus pyros</i>	2	<i>Cycloteuthis sirventi</i>	1
<i>Heteroteuthis dispar</i>	2	<i>Enoploteuthis leptura</i>	1
<i>Histioteuthis atlantica</i>	2	<i>Euprymna berryi</i>	1
<i>Illex illecebrosus</i>	2	<i>Euprymna brenneri</i>	1
<i>Loligo pealeii</i>	2	<i>Euprymna morsei</i>	1
<i>Megaeledone setebos</i>	2	<i>Euprymna parva</i>	1
<i>Moroteuthis ingens</i>	2	<i>Fillipovia knipovitchi</i>	1
<i>Moroteuthopsis ingens</i>	2	<i>Galiteuthis armata</i>	1
<i>Nautilus macromphalus</i>	2	<i>Galiteuthis phyllura</i>	1
<i>Octopus campbelli</i>	2	<i>Galiteuthis suhmi</i>	1
<i>Octopus macropus</i>	2	<i>Gonatopsis octopedatus</i>	1
<i>Octopus oliveri</i>	2	<i>Gonatus antarticus</i>	1
<i>Ommastrephes bartramii</i>	2	<i>Gonatus berryi</i>	1
<i>Opisthoteuthis borealis</i>	2	<i>Gonatus kamtschaticus</i>	1
<i>Pareledone aequipapillae</i>	2	<i>Gonatus phoebetriae</i>	1
<i>Psychroteuthis glacialis</i>	2	<i>Helicocranchia pfefferi</i>	1
<i>Rondeletiola minor</i>	2	<i>Heteroteuthinae</i>	1
<i>Rossia macrosoma</i>	2	<i>Heteroteutis dispar</i>	1
<i>Scaergus unicirrhus</i>	2	<i>Histioteuthis arcturi</i>	1
<i>Sepia esculenta</i>	2	<i>Histioteuthis eltaninae</i>	1
<i>Sepia latimanus</i>	2	<i>Histioteuthis hoylei</i>	1
<i>Sepiella inermis</i>	2	<i>Idiosepius paradoxus</i>	1
<i>Sepietta neglecta</i>	2	<i>Japetella diaphana</i>	1
<i>Sepiola atlantica</i>	2	<i>Lampadioteuthis megaleia</i>	1
<i>Slosarczykovia circumantarctica</i>	2	<i>Leachia lemur</i>	1
<i>Sthenoteuthis pteropus</i>	2	<i>Lepidoteuthis grimaldii</i>	1
<i>Todaropsis eblanae</i>	2	<i>Liguriella podophtalma</i>	1
<i>Abralia redfieldi</i>	1	<i>Loligo bleekeri</i>	1
<i>Allonautilus scrobiculatus</i>	1	<i>Loligo duvauceli</i>	1
<i>Amphioctopus fangsiao</i>	1	<i>Loligo edulis</i>	1
<i>Amphioctopus marginatus</i>	1	<i>Loligo forbesi</i>	1
<i>Ancistroteuthis lichtensteinii</i>	1	<i>Loligo opalescens</i>	1
<i>Bathothauma lyromma</i>	1	<i>Loliguncula diomedae</i>	1
<i>Bathypolypus arcticus</i>	1	<i>Martialia hyadesi</i>	1
<i>Bathyteuthis berryi</i>	1	<i>Mastigoteuthis agassizii</i>	1
<i>Batoteuthis skolops</i>	1	<i>Moroteuthis knipovitchi</i>	1
<i>Benthoctopus piscatorum</i>	1	<i>Moroteuthis longimana</i>	1
<i>Boreoteuthis borealis</i>	1	<i>Muusoctopus rigbya</i>	1
<i>Brachiooteuthis riisei</i>	1	<i>Muusoctopus robustus</i>	1
<i>Callistoctopus furvus</i>	1	<i>Neorossia caroli</i>	1
<i>Callistoctopus luteus</i>	1	<i>Nototodarus gouldi</i>	1
<i>Callistoctopus ornatus</i>	1	<i>Nototodarus sloanii</i>	1
<i>Chiroteuthis calyx</i>	1	<i>Octopoteuthis sicula</i>	1
<i>Chiroteuthis mega</i>	1	<i>Octopus americanus</i>	1
<i>Cranchia scabra</i>	1	<i>Octopus bimaculatus</i>	1

Species	Papers	Species	Papers
<i>Octopus hummelincki</i>	1	<i>Sepia bandensis</i>	1
<i>Octopus ocellatus</i>	1	<i>Sepia bertheloti</i>	1
<i>Octopus ornatus</i>	1	<i>Sepia brevimana</i>	1
<i>Octopus rubescens</i>	1	<i>Sepia dollfusi</i>	1
<i>Octopus salutii</i>	1	<i>Sepia elegans</i>	1
<i>Octopus taganga</i>	1	<i>Sepia gibba</i>	1
<i>Octopus tayrona</i>	1	<i>Sepia hierredda</i>	1
<i>Octopus tehuelchus</i>	1	<i>Sepia lycidas</i>	1
<i>Octopus hubbsorum</i>	1	<i>Sepia recurvirostra</i>	1
<i>Ocythoe tuberculata</i>	1	<i>Sepia vermiculata</i>	1
<i>Ocythoe tuberculata</i>	1	<i>Sepiadarium austrinum</i>	1
<i>Ommastrephes brevimanus</i>	1	<i>Sepiella japonica</i>	1
<i>Ommastrephes caroli</i>	1	<i>Sepietta obscura</i>	1
<i>Ommastrephes cylindraceus</i>	1	<i>Sepiola affinis</i>	1
<i>Onychoteuthis borealijaponica</i>	1	<i>Sepiola pfefferi</i>	1
<i>Onykia ingens</i>	1	<i>Sepiola tridens</i>	1
<i>Onykia robsoni</i>	1	<i>Sepiolinae</i>	1
<i>Opisthoteuthis bruuni</i>	1	<i>Sepioteuthis sepioidea</i>	1
<i>Pareledone albimaculata</i>	1	<i>Stoloteuthis leucoptera</i>	1
<i>Pareledone aurata</i>	1	<i>Taningia danae</i>	1
<i>Pterygioteuthis gemmata</i>	1	<i>Taonius notalia</i>	1
<i>Pyroteuthidae</i>	1	<i>Thaumeledone</i>	1
<i>Rossia megaptera</i>	1	<i>Thaumoctopus mimicus</i>	1
<i>Rossia moeller</i>	1	<i>Thysanoteuthis rhombus</i>	1
<i>Sepia aculeata</i>	1	<i>Vampyroteuthis infernalis</i>	1
<i>Sepia andreana</i>	1	<i>Vitreledonella richardi</i>	1
<i>Sepia apama</i>	1		

It is noteworthy to comment that, in some cases, the interaction of EU-based scientists with those operating abroad is the reason why some species, not necessarily mainly utilized in EU countries, resulted as target of the studies considered in this preliminary analysis (e.g., *Dosidicus gigas*, *Octopus cyanea*; see Supplementary Table 1).

Cephalopod molluscs account for almost 800 marine living species²⁻⁵ and represent about 2% of the populous molluscan phylum they belong to, a Phylum counting more than 46,000 species.⁶

Cephalopods fascinate for their unique biological and cognitive features,⁷ but also have an important value for human consumption^{8,9,c} thus representing an important area of interest for fisheries^{10,11} and aquaculture.^{12,13} Furthermore, they provide an interesting case for society and general public as highlighted by their success in public displays, media¹⁴⁻¹⁷ and human culture¹⁸⁻²⁰.

Cephalopods, in particular coleoids (cuttlefish, squid and octopus), are known for the large set of adaptations characterizing them, likely originated as a consequence of the loss of external protective shells, thus to evolve different strategies and the remarkable cognitive capabilities²¹⁻²³ underlying levels of biological plasticity somehow comparable to ‘higher vertebrates’.²⁴⁻²⁷ The evolution of ‘intelligence’ in cephalopods has been accompanied by an enlargement and enrichment in brain complexity^{28,29} making this subclass (i.e. Coleoidea) as the one endowed with the proportionally largest nervous system among invertebrates, often considered as a traditional example of convergent evolution with vertebrates.^{22,26} Recent investigations on nociception in cephalopods^{30,31} also contributed to discuss about the possibility of pain perception, sentience and consciousness in these animals.³²⁻³⁶

Over the last years, cephalopods utilized in scientific research increased both in number and species diversity (see also Supplementary Table 1), partially because of the renewed interest for this taxon brought by a series of important discoveries, including remarkable RNA editing capabilities³⁷⁻³⁹, and those originating from the complete genome sequencing that now accounts for eight species.⁴⁰⁻⁴⁶

Beyond the vast interest for the neurobiology and behaviour of cuttlefish, squid and octopus that mainly led cephalopod science since the early twentieth century, an ever-growing number of research groups around the world is becoming interested in their

^c see FAO GLOBEFISH data about Cephalopods Market Reports: <http://www.fao.org/in-action/globefish/market-reports/cephalopods/en/> (last visited, June 2021)

genomic organization and evolution, ecology, physiological and behavioural adaptations. Despite the great popularity and scientific relevance, more than 90% of the extant species are little known for their basic biological characteristics.^{47,48}

Cephalopods are stenothermic and stenohaline animals, and therefore possess limited tolerance to rapid or significant changes in temperatures, salinity, quality of the water, as reviewed in numerous studies^{7,12,13,49} (see also Appendices A and B). Active predators they are characterized by short life-span (in the greatest number of cases) and known for high metabolic rate, which requires a high feeding rate, and elevated levels of protein consumption.^{50,51}

Source and summary of Information

Here we provide a brief description about the sources of information and data regarding different aspects for the rearing conditions adopted for a selected list of species belonging to the class Cephalopoda, Phylum Mollusca. The data included herein (see Appendices A and B) originate from various works that reported information about the care of animals under experimental settings for research and aquaculture purposes. A similar approach has been taken in compiling Appendix C that includes thermal, oxygen and salinity tolerance ranges for the target species.

The information summarized in the two appendices provided the basis for defining recommendations about accommodation, management, and care of the most frequently utilized cephalopod species in Europe (see also Table 2, main text); data relevant to different life stages is also provided for each species, whenever available. This information constitutes a dataset (Appendix A: Environment; Appendix B: Accommodation and Care, Enrichment) deriving from an accurate text mining of about one hundred works (experimental studies, reviews, recommendations/white

papers, and guidelines), not only focused on laboratory maintenance and rearing, but also on those reviewing cephalopod culture.^{12,13,52-56}

Appendices A and B are organized following the scheme of the Annex III 'Requirements for establishments and for the care and accommodation of animals' of the Directive 2010/63/EU and in particular: environment (water supply and quality, lighting, noise and vibration), accommodation and care (housing, stocking density, sex ratio, diet), enrichment (social and environmental).⁵⁷

Finally, additional information regarding species-specific tolerance range for temperature, oxygen and salinity according to experimental studies or observations is summarized in Appendix C whose content should be considered as a support to aspects relevant to temperature, dissolved oxygen and salinity included in Appendix A.

Appendix A – Environment: a short overview

As mentioned above, Appendix A includes data about the essential environmental conditions to which live cephalopods should be accommodated. Water supply and quality, lighting, noise and vibration were used as main 'categories'.

Water supply and quality

Several papers and reports have been considered.

Carlson (1991) provided the main source of information for *Nautilus*.¹⁵ Data for cuttlefish were deduced from Jones and McCarthy (2009)⁵⁸ for *Sepia officinalis*, Nabhitabhata (2014)⁵⁹ for *S. pharaonis*. Hanlon et al. (1997)⁶⁰ provided information for *Euprymna scolopes*, Vidal & Boletzky (2014)⁶¹ for *Loligo vulgaris*, while for *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* we referred to Nabhitabhata & Ikeda (2014)⁶². For octopuses we considered

Hanlon & Forsythe (1985)⁶³ for *Octopus bimaculoides*, Vidal et al. (2014)¹³ for *O. vulgaris* and Rosas et al. (2014)⁶⁴ for *O. maya*; these works were complemented by other sources.

Cephalopods are all marine. They need continuous supply of seawater of appropriate salinity (psu), within a range that matches their species-specific physiological requirements, as they are stenohaline.⁵⁵ Essential trace elements might be added if present below the optimal threshold for the species, while copper (and zinc) should be avoided because of their strong effects on salinity and possible (to be verified) interference with respiratory pigment.^{55,65} A minimum value of 21 psu to a maximum of 40 psu have been set for the rearing of *S. pharaonis* juveniles^{66,67} and *O. maya* hatchlings/juveniles¹³, showing how salinity can differently affect development from the time of hatching until maturation (see also Appendix C).

Oxygen solubility is inversely related to water temperature and its consumption is affected by metabolism and body weight, as feeding and swimming cause large amount of oxygen consumption and small specimens have a relatively higher mass-specific oxygen consumption than larger ones¹³. A dissolved oxygen (DO) close to saturation (85-100%) is the desirable value to be controlled when rearing animals with due species-specific considerations (e.g., hypoxia sensitivity of squid is far greater than in cuttlefish or octopuses).^{68,69} A range of 35-65% is considered suboptimal while values between 35-15% are dangerous; values below 15% are considered lethal⁷⁰ even though few species can tolerate hypoxia and low oxygen levels such as *Nautilus* and benthic octopods^{53,71,72} (see also Appendix C). Of course, a technical control is not necessary if the water is circulating continuously, as O₂ saturation is always closed to 100% and there is a free gas exchange at the surface supported by proper filter systems (i.e. protein skimmer).

The quality of water supplied to animals needs to be maintained within a range considered acceptable for supporting the normal activity and physiology of a given species, in relation also to its developmental stage^{55,56}.

Water quality should be monitored daily by considering the most significant parameters able to guarantee the maintenance of healthy specimens. Water flow in tanks and in re-circulatory or filtration systems within tanks has to assure the stability of appropriate levels of seawater quality parameters. As indicated by the Directive 2010/63/EU, seawater «shall be filtered or treated to remove substances harmful» to animals, whenever necessary. In addition, and based on species-specific requirements, seawater flow should be adequate to enable the animal to adopt a locomotor pattern, including swimming, that corresponds to «normal behaviour».^{55,56,73} However, some cephalopod species live in environments which are naturally characterized by high water turbidity^{74,75} and in this case the choice and use of filtration systems should be attentively pondered, in order to possibly match environmental requirements and seawater quality.

Animals should be periodically monitored and appropriate time for acclimatization and adaptation to any changes in water quality conditions should be warranted.^{19,55,65}

As for other marine organisms, seawater systems are based on two main different modalities: open, and closed.⁷⁶ Semi-open and recirculating aquaculture systems (RAS) can also be adopted.^{15,63,76} The open system provides water directly from the sea with less monitoring effort, therefore the technical infrastructure is considered simpler with lower costs for supply. However, these systems must be located on the coast and can be subjected to the risk of contamination together with rough changes in water quality according to seasonal shifts. On the other hand, the closed seawater systems allow for the full monitoring of water parameters (e.g., temperature, salinity, turbidity) providing conditions which are independent from external factors. Nevertheless, the costs and the technical structures are higher when compared to the open systems. Both of them should be endowed with high quality filtration and aeration and must allow for a simple and rapid water exchange.⁵⁵ Strong aeration supplied by airlifts or air stones positioned close to the eggs may guarantee a water flow rate sufficient enough to carry the oxygen needed in order to stimulate a

controlled hatchling phase.^{58,62,77} As for hatchlings, an aeration system able to generate a directional water flow that allows them to easily hover in the water column should be provided.⁶²

In all cases, attention should be drawn to eventual formation of bubbles because they may threaten animal welfare by “attaching” on animals’ skin or remaining trapped inside the mantle, thus leading to potential harmful side effects (e.g., altering the mucous layer/pH). Other authors suggest that water flow can be slowed down through the bottom, thus oxygenating water without producing any bubbles.⁷⁸

The rate of water exchange may vary on a wide range from continuous^{58,78,79} to a few times per day⁸⁰ depending on the species, its developmental stage and the tank size.

Water flow systems can include a mechanical filter (i.e. vortex or swirl/filter socks/brushes) for removing particulate matter, a biological filter for removing ammonia and nitrite, a mechanic filter such as a protein skimmer (foam fractionator) for removing organic molecules (including proteins and amino acids) before they break down into nitrogenous compounds,^{76,81} or chemical filters such as phosphate absorber, epoxide - to bind copper and heavy metals - or charcoal. Ozone can be employed too, although it could prove harmful for cephalopods, particularly eggs and paralarvae, and therefore requires a careful monitoring.^{13,55} UV filters and sterilization, able to kill bacteria, viruses and micro-organisms is suggested for the different phases of species’ cycle.^{58,60,61,82} In addition, the efficiency of filters such as drum filter or protein skimmer could be reduced by cephalopods high metabolism and ink production. Therefore for a safety reserve, biofilters with bigger capacity should be included in designs. Furthermore, a safety mechanism against electric blackout should be present to avoid accidental emission of toxic H₂S.

Water quality is pivotal for the well-being of the captive cephalopods, and proper values of temperature (°C), salinity, pH, and nitrate levels (mg L⁻¹) should be constantly monitored according to species and life forms.⁵⁵

Water temperature should be set and regulated according to the natural range for the species and the geographical area in which it was captured (e.g., 10-24°C for *O. vulgaris* caught in Europe,⁸³ up to 28-30 °C for *O. maya*¹³ and *S. lessoniana*⁶² inhabiting tropical waters) as well as the life form considered. However, a different approach is adopted when specimens are cultured for food consumption or for experimental purposes.

Cephalopods are poikilotherm, thus by increasing the temperature to the optimal maximum for the species a rapid growth will be favored in the short-term. However, if animals are intended to be utilized for experimental purposes or public display, cooling the seawater has been suggested to help promoting a longer lifespan for the animals¹³ (see Appendix C). We have no accurate knowledge of the effects on the physiology of individuals and animal welfare linked to the above-mentioned changes in key environmental conditions for housing the individuals. Of course, we are aware of the marked capacity of adaptation/plasticity of the species here considered, but solicit *ad hoc* studies attempted to assess animal welfare and potential harm (and benefits).

Since cephalopods demand a high protein diet, the buildup of ammonia and nitrogenous waste might accumulate and become potentially toxic to the animals, causing behavioral changes and alteration of the chromatic patterning⁵⁵. A variable range of nitrogenous levels can be observed among species and their life stage (e.g., *S. lessoniana* of the temperate countries⁸⁴).

Cephalopods do not tolerate rough changes in pH levels, as this directly impacts hemocyanin's oxygen-carrying properties. It is related to water solutes and the presence of soluble gases. Monitoring pH levels is crucial in closed-water systems in

which water exchange is less efficient; a range from 5.9 (for hatchlings of *S. pharaonis*)⁶⁶ to 8.4 (for hatchlings of *S. pharaonis*,⁶⁶ and of *S. lessoniana*^{66,67}, and for *L. vulgaris*⁸⁵) is reported (Appendix A).

Lighting

Main information derived from: Carlson (1991; *Nautilus* spp.)¹⁵, Panetta et al. (2017, *S. officinalis*)⁷⁸, Yasumuro & Ikeda (2016, *S. pharaonis*)⁸⁶, Hanlon (1997, *E. scolopes*)⁶⁰, Vidal et al. (2002, *L. vulgaris*)⁸⁷, Ikeda et al. (2009, *S. lessoniana*)⁸⁸, Forsythe & Hanlon (1988, *O. bimaculoides*)⁸⁹, Vidal et al., (2014, *O. vulgaris*)¹³ and Rosas et al., (2014, *O. maya*)⁶⁴.

As stated in Part A, Annex III of Directive 2010/63/EU, light intensity and photoperiod shall «satisfy the biological requirements of the animals» and, at the same time «the needs for the performance of husbandry procedures and inspection of the animals».⁵⁷ However, there is only limited knowledge about the wavelength optimum for cephalopods,⁹⁰ and there is also evidence of adaptation to gradual changes in light/dark regime in some species (see Fiorito et al.⁵⁵ and references therein). It is preferable to let cephalopods circadian rhythm be regulated by the natural environment⁹¹⁻⁹³ to avoid any impairment in their physiological and behavioral patterns (e.g., mating, spawning, hatching).⁷⁷ However, in the cases this is not possible a photoperiod (L:D) of 12:12 is usually set especially in aquaculture setups,^{58,86,94} although not preferred.

When the natural pattern has to be followed, seasonal changes in day/night length should be respected (e.g., 16:8 in summer and 8:16 in winter⁹⁵ or 14:10-10:14^{87,93,96}). Under artificial settings (i.e. fluorescent or metal halide lighting), generally very low light intensities are preferable, especially for eggs and hatchlings (around 1-15 lx)^{13,87,97,98} or in black-walled and white-bottom tanks (60–250 lx).⁹⁶ Higher light intensities are used in black tanks (500–700 lx),⁹³ reaching values up to 900 lx⁹⁹ at the water surface while in outdoor conditions, water-repellent nets can be employed in order to excess lighting.^{13,91}

Noise and vibration

Information on noise and/or vibration is not included in Appendix A, as it derives mainly from welfare guidelines.⁵⁵ Husbandry facilities must be located in low disturbance areas, and holding rooms «shall where appropriate be provided with noise insulation and absorption materials».⁵⁷ Background vibrations should also be minimized such as those related to pumps or ventilation units, and the use of sound-attenuating, sanitizable material and rubber pads for the wall is encouraged, as cephalopods could suffer from low-frequency noise traumas, altering their physiology and behavior. For further considerations on how to reduce noise and disturbances in both housing and experimental areas, see Fiorito et al. (2015)⁵⁵ and references therein.

Appendix B - Accommodation and care: a short overview

The appendix includes information collected, from our source of information, about accommodation and care for the animals. Housing, grouping and diet were used as variables describing this category. Furthermore, 'enrichment' has been added in Appendix B; it includes here social or environmental enrichment, considering species-specific biology and needs.

Housing

The majority of information derives from Carlson (1991)¹⁵ for *Nautilus*, Panetta et al. (2017)⁷⁸ for *Sepia officinalis*, Yasumuro & Ikeda (2016)⁸⁶ for *S. pharaonis*, Hanlon et al. (1997)⁶⁰ for *Euprymna scolopes*, Vidal & Boletzky (2014)⁸² for *Loligo vulgaris*, Ikeda et al.

(2003)¹⁰⁰ for *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*, Hanlon & Forsythe (1985)⁶³ for *Octopus bimaculoides*, and includes also Rosas et al. (2014)⁶⁴ for *O. maya* and Vidal et al. (2014)¹³ for *O. vulgaris*. We also considered the application of a series of care-taking and welfare-promoting methods and techniques, that foster animals' expression of the Five Freedoms. According to the paragraph 3.3(c) of Part A Annex III of Directive 2010/63/EU animal enclosures «design and construction shall be such that no injury to the animals is caused, [...] they shall be made from materials that will withstand cleaning and decontamination techniques. The design of animal enclosure floors shall be adapted to the species and age of the animals and be designed to facilitate the removal of excreta». ⁵⁷ In the case of cephalopods, the most suitable tank should be selected depending on the size, life stage and biological needs and therefore, shape, volume and minimum water depth should be chosen accordingly (for further details see 'Species-specific recommendations, main text). As emerges from our text-mining, different shapes of aquaria have been adopted over the years for different cephalopod species with baskets or floating net cages and circular- or rectangular-shaped being the most adopted ones, respectively for eggs and adults.^{58,78} Much more attention is paid on the color and volume of the tank. In fact, the majority of cephalopods is reared in dark-toned,^{60,87,101,102} opaque-walled containers^{61,86} to avoid or reduce any potential disturbance and interference with their physiological and biological needs,¹⁰¹ but tanks completely white,¹⁰³ grey-white⁸⁶ or with black walls and white bottom⁹⁶ have also been adopted.

The size in terms of volume capacity is crucial for the welfare of the animals, in that it must allow for natural behavior (e.g., Nautilidae require tanks higher than wider for displaying their nictemeral vertical migration,¹⁰⁴ while Sepiidae need large bottom areas as a proper spawning substrate^{105,106}). A covering for the tanks is generally recommended for preventing both escape of the animals and introduction of foreign elements, such as contaminants, chemicals or other organisms.⁵⁵ Materials and position related to water surface should not threaten animal welfare in compliance with recommendations for animal enclosures referred in paragraph 3.3(c) of Part A

Annex III of Directive 2010/63/EU.⁵⁷ As mentioned above, minimum water depth should respect the natural lifestyle and properly follow the growth rate of the specimens,¹⁰⁷ starting from few millimeters for early life stages,⁶¹ to >60 cm for adults of large cephalopod species^{59,84} or 140 cm for *Nautilus*.⁷⁹

Grouping

Information included in this subsection derives from Carlson¹⁵, for *Nautilus* Sykes et al. (2003)¹⁰⁸ for *Sepia officinalis*, Nabhitabhata (2014)⁵⁹ for *S. pharaonis*, Hanlon et al. (1997)⁶⁰ for *Euprymna scolopes*, Hanlon (1990)⁸² for *Loligo vulgaris*, Nabhitabhata & Ikeda (2014)⁶² for *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*, Hanlon & Forsythe (1985)⁶³ for *Octopus bimaculoides*, and considers Rosas et al. (2014)⁶⁴ for *O. maya* and Domingues et al. (2010)¹⁰⁹ for *O. vulgaris*.

Cephalopods are either solitary-living species, occasionally and opportunistically involved in schooling behaviors, and gregarious, therefore their natural social 'inclinations', from hatching to maturation, should be respected when reared in animal enclosures. For the few species tolerating or preferring a 'social' lifestyle, grouping can be adopted considering their natural environment and the maximum stocking density that must guarantee each animal a 'living space' (see 'minimum water surface area for each additional animal in group-holding', Main Text). High stocking densities are acceptable for eggs and hatchling phases^{63,108} while for adults the density reduces with the growth of large-sized species¹¹⁰. When specifically considering specimens of opposite sex, the most employed solutions adopted in controlled conditions is a sex ratio (M:F) of 1:2 or 1:3 resembling natural settings and avoiding competition,^{59,111,112} and more rarely a 1:1 ratio.^{60,64,84}

Diet

As briefly mentioned in the Introduction, cephalopods present an enormous range of feeding strategies, including active predation, scavenging and filtering from debris and substrates. All cephalopods are exclusively carnivore, many of them are opportunistic and feed upon a wide range of prey while others are more selective.^{113,114,115} Interesting insight into the possibility of feeding cephalopods not only on live and dead animals but also upon artificial diets, comes from the evidence of cephalopod culture or laboratory rearing techniques.^{12,115}

The source of information considered here originates for: *Nautilus* from Carlson (1991)¹⁵, *S. officinalis* from Jones & McCarthy (2009)⁵⁸, *S. pharaonis* from Nabhitabhata (2014)⁵⁹, *E. scolopes* from Hanlon (1997)⁶⁰, *L. vulgaris* from Tardent (1962)¹¹⁶, *S. lessoniana* from Nabhitabhata et al. (1996)¹¹⁷, *O. bimaculoides* from Forsythe & Hanlon (1988)⁸⁹, and also from Vidal et al. (2014)¹³ for *O. vulgaris* and Rosas et al. (2008)¹¹⁸ for *O. maya*.

Cephalopods are carnivores (in the greatest majority of cases) and are well known for several predatory strategies adopted.¹¹⁵ These animals are usually fed a wide range of organisms among their natural prey, such as crustaceans, molluscs and even small fishes.^{13,55} It is recommended to provide cephalopods with a proper feeding regime according to the specific developmental stage and lifestyle, paying attention particularly to the type, frequency and quantity. Paragraph 3.4(a) Part A, Annex III of Directive 2010/63/EU, states that «the form, content and presentation of the diet shall meet the nutritional and behavioral needs of the animal».⁵⁷ Live organisms are preferable as food, resembling natural environmental conditions, but under laboratory settings, cephalopods can be trained and adapt to several different types of food, from dead or frozen prey^{119,120} to artificial mixtures.^{61,88} Depending on the purpose of the study in which they enter (e.g., research, aquaculture, public display), cephalopods are fed *ad libitum* or on a daily base. However, taking into account, the

differential duration of digestion among species, some authors feed animals few times a day⁸⁷ or even every two days⁶³ with an amount related to species and developmental stages (e.g., 5% of their body weight per day for adults; 35-50% for juveniles of *O. maya*).⁶⁴

Cleaning

Information on cleaning is not always reported in experimental works, therefore it was not included as part of Appendix B. However, some Authors provided recommendations or methods concerning cleaning, such as Carlson for *Nautilus*¹⁵, Jones & McCarthy (2009)⁵⁸ for *Sepia officinalis*, Nabhitabhata (2014)⁵⁹ for *S. pharaonis*, Vidal & Boletzky (2014)⁶¹ for *Loligo vulgaris*, Vidal et al. (2014)¹³ for *O. vulgaris* and Rosas et al. (2014)⁶⁴ for *O. maya*.

High hygienic conditions are minimum standard for every holding facility and establishment dealing with animals. Regular and efficient cleaning schedules have to be respected.⁵⁷ As for animal enclosures, cleaning is closely related to the water exchange rate and depends on the seawater and filtration system adopted (see Appendix A). For these reasons a proper daily monitoring of water parameters is necessary to prevent contamination, waste accumulation and any potential health and welfare consequences on the animals. Disinfectants and detergents should be carefully managed to avoid any aversive reaction for the animals or sudden alteration of water composition. The use of natural cleaning substances (e.g., vinegar) maybe considered when cleaning tanks in absence of seawater and of course animals. Siphoning^{15,58} and cleaning robots⁶¹ are widely adopted systems used in support to filtration, UV sterilization and water exchange for different species.

Enrichment

Major source of information is Barord & Basil (2014)¹⁰⁴ for *Nautilus*, Sykes et al. (2014)⁹¹ for *S. officinalis* and Yasumuro & Ikeda (2016)⁸⁶ for *S. pharaonic*; Hanlon et al. (1997)⁶⁰

and Hanlon (1990)⁸² for *E. scolopes* and *L. vulgaris*, respectively. Nabhitabhata & Ikeda (2014)⁶² were considered for *S. lessoniana*, Forsythe & Hanlon (1988)⁸⁹ for *O. bimaculoides*. Methods usually adopted for aquaculture as included in Iglesias & Fuentes (2014)¹⁰² for *O. vulgaris* and Rosas et al. (2014)⁶⁴ for *O. maya* where also considered, whenever pertinent.

According to the principle of Five Freedoms, animals should be free of expressing their natural behavior and free from any source of discomfort. Animal enclosures and captivity, with their unnatural conditions, may induce stress to animals – and in the long-term even harmful (e.g., stereotypies, self-harm, etc.) - thus representing one of the major concerns for welfare in animal facilities. For these reasons, social and environmental enrichment are by now a minimum requirement for experimental animals and should always be adapted to the species but also to the individual needs.⁵⁷ The natural behavior of the majority of cephalopod species is still unknown, and this makes the choice of appropriate stimuli difficult. However, cephalopods should be provided with the appropriate and sufficient ‘amount’ of physical, cognitive and sensory stimuli able to foster a healthy behavioral development and to keep their curiosity/motivation high.

Several enrichment strategies may be adopted that enclose almost all the topics discussed in the previous sections.

Sufficient space should be provided in order to let these animals develop and freely express their wide range of locomotory and behavioral repertoire. Moreover, presenting the subjects with new objects or puzzles might be a good way to promote the display of specific abilities like visual/tactile systems and problem solving.

As mentioned above (see Grouping section), the species-specific social behavior should be respected, therefore solitary-living species should be individually reared while social animals should be housed in groups provided that sufficient individual space is guaranteed. The environment surrounding each species should be properly set by considering both the animal care and welfare – avoiding any potential source

of infection, contamination or injuries - and the accessibility for the operators⁵⁵. Whenever possible, natural elements usually found in the geographical area of the species are recommended, resembling the wild conditions in which animals were captured. Proper tank shape and color together with wall textures are considered as attractive features according to the cephalopod species; plants, rocks and shells are all mutually used while gravel or sand may be desirable as spawning substrate for benthic species. Shelters and dens are considered mandatory for cephalopod (depending on the species life-style) while other objects (e.g., corals, traps) can represent a valuable enrichment for these animals.⁶⁵ Feeding can also be considered as a fundamental part of the enrichment in that by varying the prey species, feeding modality (i.e. avoiding *ad libitum* feeding) texture and presentation, animal motivation is stimulated.

Moreover, enrichment strategies in establishments shall be regularly reviewed and updated in order to ensure all animals needs are met according to the increasing acquisition of knowledge about these species' biology, with the further aim of easing researchers' current challenges when dealing with cephalopods under laboratory settings.^{55,57}

Practices for cephalopod culture

It is noteworthy to report that from the analysis of the literature we carried out for the sake of this work, we identified some information relevant to the housing, care and management of the broodstock and mature females of cephalopod species. Most of the data summarized below are reviewed in Iglesias et al.¹² In brief, suggested values for tank size and shape, water parameters (exchange rate, temperature, salinity, DO), stocking density and sex ratio together with information about environmental setting and parameters (diet, photoperiod, social and environmental enrichment) are provided, when available, in various studies for: *S. officinalis*^{58,91,107}, *S. pharaonis*^{59,111}, *E. scolopes*⁶⁰, *L. vulgaris*^{61,82,121}, *S. lessoniana*^{62,122}, *O. maya*^{64,123} and *O. vulgaris*.^{83,102,124,125} These

data should not be considered as welfare recommendations, but simply as an overview of the common practices for aquaculture of the most relevant cephalopod species and therefore of the conditions that allow to maximize the growth and reproduction of the animals.

Appendix A - Environment

Overview of the essential environmental conditions to which live cephalopods should be accommodated for research purposes. Following the categories considered in Annex III of the Directive 2010/63/EU, information about water supply and quality, and lighting together with reference to the relevant works are listed in this appendix (in some instances we also considered Vidal et al.¹³ and Fiorito et al.⁵⁵). Notes about noise and vibration are not included here (see text for details). Cephalopod species are listed in taxonomic order following FAO annotated catalogue of cephalopods species^{2,4,126} (for the list of species and rationale for their inclusion, see also “Target species” and Table 1 and Table 2 in main text). Information included in ‘Water exchange’ have been reported following original values and unit of measurements contained in cited works. Abbreviations utilized – **ACF**: Activated Carbon Filtration; **BF**: Biological filtration; **CF**: Chemical filtration; **CR**: Circulation Rate; **DO**: dissolved oxygen; **DO >95%**: dissolved oxygen (close to saturation); **FL**: Fluorescent light; **ilb**: incandescent bulbs; **MF**: Mechanical filtration; **N/A**: information not available; **Nat**: Natural (environmental) light; **NCR**: Natural Circadian Rhythm; **NS**: Not Specified; **oFl**: Open Flow; **OptR**: Optimal range; **Oz**: Ozone; **PS**: Protein skimmer; **RAS**: Recirculating Aquaculture System; **sFl**: Semi-Open Flow; **UgF**: Undergravel filter; **UV**: Ultraviolet sterilization; **w**: week; **psu** (practical salinity unit): defined as the total amount by weight of dissolved salts in one kilogram of seawater.

		Water supply and quality										Lighting		
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type
Nautilidae														
Nautilus														
Adults ^d	oFl; RAS ¹⁵	Airlift ^{e, 15}	25% ¹⁵ 40%/w ¹⁵ 600L h ⁻¹ or 900L h ⁻¹ ¹⁵ ; 50L min ⁻¹ ⁷⁹	MF, BF, UgF ¹⁵ UV ⁷⁹	15-27 ¹⁰⁴	34-36 ⁵⁴	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ¹²⁹	<0.10 ^d	<20.0 ^d	8 ⁷⁹ ; 8.2 ¹⁰⁴	N/A	Red Spot; FL ¹⁵	75-150W ¹⁵ ; 200W ⁷⁹
Sepiidae														
Sepia officinalis														
Eggs	oFl (flow-through) ¹³⁰ sFl; RAS ⁹¹	Air stones ^{f, 58} ; slow water flow ^{d, 131,78}	~1.5 L min ⁻¹ ⁵⁸ ; ~350 L hr ⁻¹ ⁷⁸	MF, BF ⁵⁸ NS ^{g,82} UV, PS ⁵⁸	18–25 ¹³⁰ ; 12-25 ¹³² OptR: 15–18 ¹³³ ; 15±0.5 ⁷⁸ ; similar to wild ⁵⁸	30-32 ⁷⁸	>95% ^{58,78,132}	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.06 ⁷⁸	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.01 ⁷⁸	<20.0 ⁸⁵ ; <5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁷⁸	>7.5 ⁵⁸ ; 8.0-8.2 ⁷⁸ ; 7.7-8.2 ⁸⁵	NCR ⁹¹ ; 12:12 h ⁷⁸	N/A	< 200 ¹³⁰
Hatchlings	oFl, sFl, RAS ^{91,58}	N/A	NS ^{h, 91}	MF, BF ⁵⁸ NS ^{f,82} UV, PS ⁵⁸	20±2 ⁹¹ ; 21–24, 15±0.5 ⁷⁸	32-38 ⁸⁵ ; 30-32 ⁷⁸	>90% ⁹¹ >95% ^{58,78}	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.06 ⁷⁸	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.01 ⁷⁸	<20.0 ⁸⁵ ; <5.0 ⁷⁸	>7.5 ⁵⁸ ; 8.0–8.2 ⁷⁸	NCR ^{i,91} ; 12:12 h ⁷⁸	FL ^{91,78}	100 ^{i, 77}

^d Suitable also for sub-adults and juvenile^{82, 83}

^e Slow water flow through bottom; bubbles should be avoided

^f Strong aeration close to the eggs or underneath them¹¹

^g Reported as “Scaled filtration” by Authors

^h Reported as “High water-flow rates” by Authors

ⁱ Taking into account latitude and longitude of the original geographic origin

^j Measured at the air–water interface

		Water supply and quality											Lighting		
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Sepiidae, <i>Sepia officinalis</i> -continued															
	Juveniles	oFl, sFl, RAS ^{13,91}	Air stones ⁹¹	~2 L min ⁻¹ ⁵⁸ 80% d ⁻¹ /55L h ⁻¹ ¹³⁴	MF, BF ⁵⁸ NS ^{1,82} UV, PS ^{58,134}	15±0.5 ⁷⁸ 19 ¹³⁴	25-38 ⁵⁸ ; 30-32 ⁷⁸ 33.5 ¹³⁴	>95% ⁵⁸ , ⁷⁸	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.06 ⁷⁸ <0.5 ¹³⁴	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.01 ^{78,134}	<20.0 ⁸⁵ ; <5.0 ⁷⁸	>7.5 ⁵⁸ ; 8.0– 8.2 ^{78,134}	NCR ⁹¹ ; 12:12 h ^{58,78,134}	FL ^{78,91}	200 or less ^{j,k,58,91} shading nets ^{l,13,91}
	Adults	oFl, sFl, RAS ^{13,91}	Air stones ⁹¹	~2 L min ⁻¹ ⁵⁸	MF, BF ⁵⁸ NS ^{1,82} UV, PS ⁵⁸	12-25 ¹³² 15±0.5 ⁷⁸	25-38 ⁵⁸ ; 30-32 ⁷⁸	>95% ⁵⁸ , ⁷⁸	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.06 ⁷⁸	<0.10 ^{58,85} ; <0.01 ⁷⁸	<20.0 ⁸⁵ <5.0 ⁷⁸	>7.5 ⁵⁸ ; 8.0– 8.2 ⁷⁸	NCR ⁹¹ ; 12:12 h ^{58,78} ; Dark >12 h/day ^{m 135}	FL ^{78,91}	200 or less ^{j,i,13,58,91} shading nets ^{k,91}
Sepiidae <i>Sepia pharaonis</i>															
	Eggs	oFl, RAS ⁵⁹ ; sFl ¹³⁶	Air blower ¹³⁶	1 L min ⁻¹ ^{n, 59} ;	ACF ⁵⁹ ; UV ¹³⁶	25–28 ^{o, (cited in 59),137-139} ; 27-31 ¹³⁸ 28-32 ¹³⁶	34-36 ¹³⁸ ; 22.5- 37.5 ⁶⁷ 21-39 ^(cited in 59)	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ¹³⁹	<0.05 ¹³⁹	<50.0 ¹³⁹	7.8- 8.2 ¹³⁸	^{p,59} ;	N/A	Shading nets ¹²²

^k For Indoor tanks

¹ For Outdoors tanks: to prevent excess lighting as well as pH and salinity descent due to rainfall

^m Stimulates sexual maturation in the female

ⁿ In oFl; Add. Info: turbidity should be maintained as low as possible through filtration and/or prior sedimentation

^o Low hatching rate from cultured broodstocks, reared at 25°C ⁴⁴

^p Artificial and unnatural L:D periods should be avoided

		Water supply and quality											Lighting		
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
	Hatchlings	oFl, RAS ⁵⁹ ; sFl ¹³⁶	Air blower through air stone ¹³⁶	80% day ⁻¹ ¹³⁶	Indigen filter, UV ¹³⁶	27-32 ¹³⁸ 28-32 ¹³⁶	32-36 ¹³⁸ ; 28-36 ⁶⁷ 35 ¹³⁶ 21-39 ^(cited in 59)	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ^{(cited in 59), 139}	<0.10 ^{(cited in 59);} <0.05 ¹³⁹	<20.0 ^{(cited in 59);} <50.0 ¹³⁹	5.9-8.4 ⁶⁶ ; 7.6-8.2 ¹³⁸ 7.7-8.2 ¹³⁶	Positive phototaxis ^{q, 140}	N/A	N/A
	Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁵⁹ ; sFl ¹³⁶	Airlift ⁵⁹	50-80% d ⁻¹ ⁵⁹ 2 L min ⁻¹ ^r ; 20 L min ⁻¹ ^s ; 60% and 30 % d ⁻¹ ¹³⁸	NS [†] ⁵⁹	25-28 ⁵⁹ 28-32 ¹³⁶	N/A	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ^{(cited in 59), 139}	<0.10 ^{(cited in 59);} <0.05 ¹³⁹	<20.0 ^{(cited in 59);} <50.0 ¹³⁹	6.0–8.4 ^{67, 66} ; 7.6-8.3 ¹³⁹	12:12 ⁸⁶	Nat ⁵⁹ ; FL ⁸⁶	N/A
	Adults	oFl, RAS ⁵⁹ ; sFl ¹³⁶	Airlift ⁵⁹	N/A	NS ^{v, 59}	25-28 ⁵⁹ 28-32 ¹³⁶	N/A	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ^{139r}	<0.10 ^{(cited in 59);} <0.05 ¹³⁹	<20.0 ^{(cited in 59);} <50.0 ¹³⁹	7.6-8.3 ¹³⁹	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sepiolidae															
<i>Euprymna scolopes</i>															
	Eggs	oFl, RAS ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	21-25 ⁶⁰	30-37 ⁶⁰	N/A	<0.10 ^{82, 141} ¹	<0.10 ^{82, 141}	<20.0 ^{82, 141}	>8.0 ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings	oFl, RAS ⁶⁰	N/A	~22 L min ⁻¹ . <u>weekly</u> : ~30% ⁶⁰	BF ^u , particulate and ACF, UV ⁶⁰	21-25 ⁶⁰	30-37 ⁶⁰	N/A	<0.10 ^{82, 141} ¹	<0.10 ^{82, 141}	<20.0 ^{82, 141}	>8.0 ⁶⁰	12:12 h ⁶⁰	Nat or FL ⁶⁰	^v

^q Wild hatchlings had a strong positive phototaxis, but this is different from observations in captivity, where this species has a moderate positive phototaxis

^r 60 L tanks

^s 500 or 1,000 L tanks

[†] Reported as “Shared filtration” by Authors

^u In RAS: 1 mm filter (composed of crushed oyster shell with an UgF)

^v Lower light enhanced feeding, whereas bright light seemed to retard it¹³

		Water supply and quality											Lighting		
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
	Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	21-25 ⁶⁰	30-37 ⁶⁰	N/A	<0.10 ^{82,141}	<0.10 ^{82,141}	<20.0 ^{82,141}	>8.0 ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Adults	oFl, RAS ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	21-25 ⁶⁰	30-37 ⁶⁰	N/A	<0.10 ^{82,141}	<0.10 ^{82,141}	<20.0 ^{82,141}	>8.0 ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A

Loliginidae

Loligo vulgaris

Eggs	oFl, RAS ^{61,82} or flow through ⁶¹	Highly aerated seawater ^{d,61}	NS ^{h,w,87,142}	BF, UV ^{61,82}	14-22 ⁶¹	34-38 ^{61,85} ; 34-42 ¹⁴³	5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<20.0 ⁸⁵	7.7-8.2 ⁸⁵ ; 7.8-8.4 ¹⁴³	NCR ^{92,143} ; 16L/8D or 8L/16D ^{x,95}	FL ⁹⁵	1-6 ⁸⁷
Hatchlings	oFl, RAS ^{61,82}	Artificial aeration ^{d,y,85,61}	5.7 L m ⁻¹ (1.0-1.4 cm s ⁻¹) ⁸⁷	BF, UV ^{61,82}	12-22 ⁶¹	34-38 ⁸⁵ ; 34-42 ¹⁴³	5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<20.0 ⁸⁵	7.7-8.2 ⁸⁵ ; 7.8-8.4 ¹⁴³	NCR ¹⁴³ ; 10L/14D ⁸⁷	FL ^{z,87}	1-6 ⁸⁷
Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁸²	N/A	2 d ⁻¹ ^{aa,80}	BF, UV ^{61,82}	12-22 ⁶¹	34-38 ⁸⁵	5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<20.0 ⁸⁵	7.7-8.2 ⁸⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A
Adults	oFl, RAS ⁸²	N/A	N/A	BF, UV ^{61,82}	12-22 ⁶¹ 17-21 ¹⁴⁴	34-38 ⁸⁵ 33-35 ¹⁴⁴	5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<0.10 ⁸⁵	<20.0 ⁸⁵	7.7-8.2 ⁸⁵	NCR ¹⁴⁴	N/A	N/A

^w Eggs should be suspended underneath the water inflow of the tanks and/or maintained through an upwelling flow of water

^x Summer/Winter photoperiods

^y Not in the rearing tank; it should be in a separate treatment tank

^z Covered with red plastic filter to reproduce natural light conditions

^{aa} Partially renewed, or in slowly running seawater

	Water supply and quality											Lighting		
	Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Loliginidae														
Sepioteuthis lessoniana (Tropical countries)														
Eggs	oFl, RAS ⁶²	NS ^{bb,62}	1 L min ⁻¹ (cited in 62) 75-80% d ⁻¹ ¹⁴⁵	ACF(cited in 62)	~28 ¹²² 28-30 ¹⁴⁵	30–33 ¹²² ; 25-35 ¹⁴⁵	>5.0 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁴⁵	<0.06(cited in 62)	<0.08(cited in 62)	N/A	6.0–8.0 ¹²² ; 7.0–8.5 ¹⁴⁵	NCR ^{cc,62}	N/A	N/A
Hatchlings	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	dd, ⁶²	N/A	24–30(cited in 62); 20–24(cited in 62);	23–36(cited in 62), ⁶⁷	N/A	<0.06(cited in 62)	<0.08(cited in 62)	N/A	6.3–8.4(cited in 62), ⁶⁷	N/A	Mixed ^{84,88,100,146,147} FL , metal halide bulbs(cited in 62)	cited in 62
Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	N/A	24–30(cited in 62); 20–24(cited in 62)	23–36(cited in 62), ⁶⁷	N/A	<0.06(cited in 62)	<0.08(cited in 62)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	5-85 ^{ee,146}
Adults	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	N/A	24–30(cited in 62); 20–24(cited in 62)	23–36(cited in 62), ⁶⁷	N/A	<0.06(cited in 62)	<0.08(cited in 62)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	<85 ^{ff} (Ikeda, unpub. obs. in ⁶²)

^{bb} Reported as “sufficient water current to carry enough oxygen to the capsules” by Authors

^{cc} The use of lighting and unnatural daily L:D periods should be avoided; the use of camouflage net that reduces light efficiency by 80% is recommended

^{dd} Aeration has to be managed to generate a directional flow; direct current allows them to hover in the water column

^{ee} Juveniles avoid both the brightest part (85 Lx) and darkest part (5 Lx) of the tank

^{ff} Adults avoid the brightest (85Lx) areas of tanks

		Water supply and quality										Lighting			
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Loliginidae															
Sepioteuthis lessoniana (Temperate countries)															
	Eggs	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	Particle filter, ACF, UV ^{62,110} PS, UgF ¹¹⁰	20-25 ¹⁴⁸	35.8±1.2 ⁸⁸ 34-36 ¹¹⁰ 35 ¹⁴⁸	N/A	<0.10 ^{110,148} 48 0.009–0.044 ⁸⁴ ; 4.7 ± 11.7 ⁸⁸	<0.10 ¹⁴⁸ <0.02 ¹¹⁰ ; 0.023–0.069 ⁸⁴ ; 0.3 ⁸⁸	<50 ¹¹⁰ ; <20 ¹⁴⁸ 9.6–36.0 ⁸⁴ ; 114±73 ⁸⁸	7.9–8.2 ⁸⁴ ; 7.8±0.2 ⁸⁸ >8.0 ¹¹⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	Particle filter, ACF, UV ^{62,110} PS, UgF ¹¹⁰	N/A	35.8 ± 1.2 ⁸⁸ 34-36 ¹¹⁰ 35 ¹⁴⁸	N/A	<0.10 ^{110,148} 48 0.009–0.044 ⁸⁴ ; 4.7 ± 11.7 ⁸⁸	<0.10 ¹⁴⁸ <0.02 ¹¹⁰ 0.023–0.069 ⁸⁴ ; 0.3 ⁸⁸	<50 ¹¹⁰ ; <20 ¹⁴⁸ 9.6–36.0 ⁸⁴ ; 114 ± 73 ⁸⁸	7.9–8.2 ⁸⁴ ; 7.8±0.2 ⁸⁸ >8.0 ¹¹⁰	Mixed ⁸⁸ (12L:12D) ⁸	Nat, FL ⁸⁸	10–100 ^{hh,84}
	Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	MF(cited in 62)	20–23 ^{62,88,100} ; 21–26 ^{84,88,146}	35.8 ± 1.2 ⁸⁸	N/A	<0.10 ¹⁴⁸ ; 0.009–0.044 ⁸⁴ ; 4.7 ± 11.7 ⁸⁸	<0.10 ¹⁴⁸ ; 0.023–0.069 ⁸⁴ ; 0.3 ⁸⁸	<20 ¹⁴⁸ <50; 9.6–36.0 ⁸⁴ ; 114±73 ⁸⁸	7.9–8.2 ⁸⁴ ; 7.8±0.2 ⁸⁸	Mixed ⁸⁸ (12L:12D) ⁸	Nat, FL ⁸⁸	10–100 ^{hh,84}
	Adults	oFl, RAS ⁶²	N/A	N/A	MF ⁸⁸	20–23 ^{62,88,100} ; 21–26 ^{84,88,146}	35.8 ± 1.2 ⁸⁸	N/A	<0.10; 0.009–0.044 ⁸⁴ ; 4.7 ± 11.7 ⁸⁸	<0.10; 0.023–0.069 ⁸⁴ ; 0.3 ⁸⁸	<50; 9.6–36.0 ⁸⁴ ; 114 ± 73 ⁸⁸	7.9–8.2 ⁸⁴ ; 7.8±0.2 ⁸⁸	Mixed ⁸⁸ (12L:12D) ⁸	Nat, FL ⁸⁸	110–100 ^{hh,84}

^{ss} Natural circadian rhythm and artificial light were mentioned by Authors

^{hh} Incident lighting was increased with an overhead 400-W metal halide light

	Water supply and quality											Lighting		
	Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Octopodidae														
Octopus bimaculoides														
Eggs	RAS ⁶³	N/A	N/A	BF, MF ⁱⁱ , PS, UV ⁶³	18-25, preferred: 22-25 ⁶³	32-38, preferred: 34-36 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>7.5, preferred: 7.7-8.2 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hatchlings	RAS ⁶³	N/A	N/A	BF, MF ⁱⁱ , PS, UV ⁶³	18-25, preferred: 22-25 ⁶³	32-38, preferred: 34-36 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>7.5, preferred: 7.7-8.2 ⁶³	Mixed ^{ii, 89}	Nat, FL ⁸⁹	N/A
Juveniles	RAS ⁶³	N/A	N/A	BF, MF ⁱⁱ , PS, UV ⁶³	18-25; preferred: 22-25 ⁶³	32-38; preferred: 34-36 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>7.5, preferred: 7.7-8.2 ⁶³	Mixed ^{ii, 89}	Nat, FL Error! Bookmark not defined. ⁸⁹	N/A
Adults	RAS ⁶³	N/A	N/A	BF, MF ⁱⁱ , PS, UV ⁶³	18-25; preferred: 22-25 ⁶³	32-38; preferred: 34-36 ⁶³	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	>7.5, preferred: 7.7-8.2 ⁶³	Mixed ^{ii, 89}	Nat, FL ⁸⁹	N/A

ⁱⁱ Filter cartridges 35mm

ⁱⁱ Natural circadian rhythm and fluorescent light from 08:00 to 17:00

		Water supply and quality										Lighting			
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Octopodidae <i>Octopus vulgaris</i>															
	Eggs	oFl, RAS ⁸⁵ ; sFl ⁹⁶	N/A	N/A	MF ^{kk} , CF, PS, UV ¹³	15-18 ⁸⁵	27–38 ⁸⁵	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<20.0 ^{13,85}	7.8-8.2 ^{13,85}	N/A	N/A	11–15 ¹³
	Paralarvae	oFl, RAS ⁸⁵ ; sFl ⁹⁶	By air stones ^{149,150} or open tube aeration ^{9,6ll}	1st week: green-water 100-150% d ⁻¹ ^{96,124,151}	MF, CF, PS, UV ¹³	19 -25 ¹²⁴ OptR: 20–22 ¹²	27–38 ⁸⁵ OptR: 32-35 ¹⁰²	>95% ⁸⁵ 6-8 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁰²	<0.10(cited in ¹⁰²), ^{13,85}	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<20.0 ^{13,85}	7.8-8.2 ^{13,85}	NCR or 14:10 h ^{mm,93,96}	Nat, ilb, FL ¹⁰²	500–700 ⁹³ 60–250 ^{nn,96}
	Juveniles	oFl, RAS ⁸⁵	N/A	N/A	MF, CF, PS, UV or Oz ^{oo,13}	10-20 ⁸³ OptR: 16-21 ¹⁵²	27–38 ⁸⁵ 29–34 ¹⁵³	>95% ⁸⁵	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<20.0 ^{13,85}	7.8-8.2 ^{13,85}	NCR ¹³	N/A	From 60 ⁹⁶ to 900 ⁹⁹
	Adults	oFl, RAS ⁸⁵	N/A	N/A	MF, CF, PS, UV or Oz ^{oo,13}	10-20 ⁸³ OptR : 16-21 ¹⁵²	27–38 ⁸⁵ 29–34 ¹⁵³	OptR: 65-100%; SubOp t: 35-65% ⁷⁰	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<0.10 ^{13,85}	<20.0 ^{13,85}	7.8-8.2 ^{13,85}	12DL/12D ^{9,6}	N/A	N/A

^{kk} using sand, gravel, floss, filter pads or filter bags

^{ll} Water flow: gentle water circulation; Add. info: care should be taken to avoid entrapment of air bubbles inside the mantle cavity. Devices for superficial or bottom water distribution to prevent bubbles^{52, 56}

^{mm} In black-walled and white-bottom tanks

ⁿⁿ Higher light intensities are used in black tanks; lower light intensities in black-walled and white-bottom tanks

^{oo} Ozone can harm and kill cephalopods, particularly eggs and paralarvae and requires careful monitoring

		Water supply and quality											Lighting		
		Seawater system	Aeration	Water exchange	Filtration and water treatment	Temperature (°C)	Salinity (psu)	DO (mg L ⁻¹ or % sat)	NH ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₂ (mg L ⁻¹)	NO ₃ (mg L ⁻¹)	pH	Photoperiod (L:D)	Type	Light intensity (lx)
Octopodidae															
Octopus maya															
	Eggs	oFl, sFl, RAS ⁶⁴	Airlift ^{e,64}	10% d ⁻¹ ¹⁵⁴	Anthracite earth filter and 50 µm bag filter, UV and PS ⁶⁴	OptR: 22-26 ¹⁵⁵	>32 ⁶⁴ ; 36 ¹³	>5 mgL ⁻¹ ⁶⁴	<1 ⁶⁴	<0.15 ¹³	<20.0 ^{13,85}	>8 ⁶⁴	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings (~0.7 cm ML)	oFl, sFl, RAS ⁶⁴	Airlift ^{e,64}	3% d ⁻¹ ¹⁵⁴	Vertical filter (15 mm), filter bags (100 mm) and rotational water filter; UV and PS ⁶⁴	>28 OptR: 25±1 ⁶⁴	36-40 ¹³	>5 mgL ⁻¹ ⁶⁴	<1; 0.2±0.05 ⁶⁴	<0.15 ¹³	<20.0 ^{13,85}	>8 ⁶⁴	12:12 h ⁹⁴ NCR ⁶⁴	N/A	N/A
	Juveniles (>5 cm ML)	oFl, sFl, RAS ⁶⁴	Airlift ^{e,64}	3% d ⁻¹ ¹⁵⁴	vertical filter (15 mm), filter bags (100 mm) and rotational water filter; 50 µm bag filter, UV and PS ⁶⁴	22-30 ¹³ OptR: 24-26 ⁶⁴	36-40 ¹³	>5 mgL ⁻¹ ⁶⁴ ; 80% ¹⁵⁴	<1 ⁶⁴	<0.15 ¹³	<20.0 ^{13,85}	>8 ^{13,64} ; 7.8-8.2 ^{85,154}	12:12 h ⁹⁴ NCR ^{PP,64}	N/A	Nat ⁶⁴ ; 30 lx cm ⁻² ¹³
	Adults (>8 cm ML)	oFl, sFl, RAS ⁶⁴	Airlift ^{e,64}	10% d ⁻¹ ¹⁵⁴	anthracite earth filter and 50 µm bag filter; UV and PS ⁶⁴	26-29 ⁶⁴	>32 ⁶⁴	>5 mgL ⁻¹ ^{13,64}	<1 ^{13,64}	<0.15 ¹³	<20.0 ^{13,85}	>8 ^{13,64}	Natural ⁶⁴	N/A	Nat ⁶⁴

PP Direct sunlight should be reduced 70% by shading

Appendix B - Accommodation and Care; Enrichment

Overview of the conditions utilized for accommodation and care for live cephalopods for research purposes. Following the Annex III of the Directive 2010/63/EU, information about housing, grouping and diet together with reference to the relevant works are listed in this appendix. The appendix also includes information relevant to the enrichment (considered as social and/or environmental enrichment). The information included in the tabularized overview also refers to general recommendations by Vidal et al.¹³ and Fiorito et al.⁵⁵ Cephalopod species are listed in taxonomic order following FAO annotated catalogue of cephalopods species^{2,4,126} (for the list of species and rationale for their inclusion, see also “Target species” and Table 1 and Table 2 in main text). Abbreviations utilized – **Bk**: Basket; **c**: crab; **cop**: copepod; **Cr**: crustacean; **Crc**: Circular; **Cyl**: Cylindrical; **CylCon**: cylindro-conical; **d.o.**: days old; **f**: fish; **FNc**: Floating Net cage; **FSB**: Fish Spawning Box; **g**: gastropod; **hatch**: hatchling; **Hx**: Hexagonal; **ind**: individual; **l**: lobster; **m**: molt; **mol**: mollusc; **my**: mysid; **N/A**: information not available; **Nb**: Net bag; **Nc**: Net cage; **NS**: Not Specified; **RAS**: Recirculating Aquaculture System; **Rct**: Rectangular; **RctMBk**: Rectangular Mesh Basket; **Rcw**: Raceway; **s**: shrimp; **sCrc**: semi-Circular; **sq**: squid; **Sqr**: Square; **Sv**: Sieve; **zp**: zooplankton. **psu** (practical salinity unit): defined as the total amount by weight of dissolved salts in one kilogram of seawater.

	Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
	Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
	Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
Nautilidae												
Nautilus												
Adults ^{qq}	Hx ⁷⁹ sCrc ¹⁵	N/A	340-15,000 L ¹⁵ ; 160 cm high × 70 cm wide each face ⁷⁹	Yes ¹⁵	140 ⁷⁹	N/A	12 ind. m ⁻³ ¹⁵	s, f, c ¹⁵ , l, m ^{15,104} , fish heads ¹⁰⁴	3-6 days w ⁻¹ ¹⁵ ; 1-2 d ⁻¹ ¹⁵	N/A	Yes ^{15,79,104}	Walls’ texture ¹⁰⁴ ; gravel ¹⁵ ; shelters ⁷⁹
Sepiidae												
Sepia officinalis												
Eggs	RctMBk ⁵⁸ ; Sy ^{rr,78}	N/A	22x15x18 cm in ~150 L ⁵⁸ ; 45Øx30cm in 130×75cm ⁷⁸ FSB (20x10x10 cm) ^{ss}	Mesh lid ⁵⁸	NS ^{tt} , ¹⁰⁷	N/A	~50 /Bk ⁵⁸ ; 2000 /250 L ¹³⁰ ; Up to 5 L ^{ss}	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{qq} Suitable also for sub-adults and juvenile^{82,83}

^{rr} Embryonic development should be completed in bowl-shaped tanks⁸⁵

^{ss} L. Juergens, personal communication

^{tt} Reported as “Water column should be low. While cuttlefish grows, the water column should be increased to generate more volume” by Authors

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Hatchlings	CylBk ¹³⁴ , or Rcw ^{91,101} <u>2-4 weeks:</u> CrcSv ⁷⁸ , FSB (20x10x10 cm) ^{ss}	Preferr ed: black ⁹¹ , ¹⁰¹	<u>Hatching Bk</u> 1,500L ^{91,101} ; <u>Sv:</u> 23Øx5.5cm ⁷⁸ <u>Cyl:</u> 30Øx10cm, 707 cm ² ¹³⁴	N/A	5.5 ⁷⁸	N/A	<u>Hatchling Bk:</u> 500 hatch. m ⁻² ¹⁰⁸ ; <u>Sv:</u> 20 hatch./sieve (~725 hatch. m ⁻²) ⁷⁸ 4 hatch./ tank ¹³⁴ <u>Net or box</u> min 100cm ² , 40cm ² per animal ^{uu,ss}	my, s ^{13,134,156- 161} <i>P. varians</i> ¹⁶² ; Gammarids ^{7 8}	2 d ⁻¹ ⁵⁸ ; 3 d ⁻¹ ⁷⁸	<i>Ad libitum</i> ^{58,78} ; <u>Optimum:</u> from 16.2% of BW (10 d.o.) to 10% BW by 40 d.o. ¹⁶³	Preferred in groups ⁹¹	shelters, sand (1-2 cm) ⁵⁸ ; Plastic plants; narrow PVC Pipes (min 5x3.5cm; max 11.5x12.5cm) ⁷⁸
	Juveniles	Preferred: Crc ⁹¹ , Sqr ^{13,58} or Rct ^{13,58,78}	Preferr ed: black ⁹¹	250L ^{119,156} to 9,000L tanks ^{13,106} ; 130x75 cm ⁷⁸ <u>Cyl:</u> 30Øx10cm, 707 cm ² ¹³⁴	N/A	15 ⁵⁸	N/A	16-33 ¹⁰⁵ / 20-33 ¹⁰⁷ ind. m ⁻² ^{vv} 120 ind. m ⁻² ^{uu,108} ; 2cm ML: 70 m ⁻² ⁷⁸ 4 ind./tank ¹³⁴ ; min 500 cm ² , 300 cm ² per animal ^{ss}	Cr ^{119,120,160} , c ^{158,159} ; s ^{106,119}	2 d ⁻¹ ⁵⁸ ; 3 d ⁻¹ ⁷⁸	<i>Ad libitum</i> ^{58,78}	Preferred in groups ⁹¹ , once able to catch shrimp single- housing is recommended ^{ss}	shelters, sand (1-2 cm) ⁵⁸ ;
	Adults	Preferred: Crc ^{ww,91} Sqr or Rct ^{13,58}	Preferr ed: black ⁹¹	250 ^{119,156} to 9,000 L tanks ^{13,106}	N/A	18 ^{ss}	1:2 ⁹¹	min 1200 cm ² , 500 cm ² per animal ^{ss}	N/A	2 d ⁻¹ ⁵⁸	<i>Ad libitum</i> ⁵⁸	Preferred in groups ⁹¹ grouped only for breeding purposes ^{ss}	shelters, sand (1-2 cm) ⁵⁸ ;

^{uu} Flow-through seawater systems

^{vv} In RAS^{58,60}

^{ww} to promote mating

	Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
	Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
	Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
Sepiidae												
Sepia pharaonis												
Eggs	Bk (5 mm mesh size) any shape ^(cited in 59) Crc ⁸⁶ or Nb ¹⁶⁴	xx, ⁸⁶	Crc plastic arenas : 24Ø ⁸⁶	N/A	13 ⁸⁶	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hatchlings	Crc ⁸⁶	Gray-white walls ⁸⁶	25Ø×20 cm depth ^{ss,86}	N/A	30 ⁵⁹ ; +50 mm ss, ⁵⁹	N/A	500 ind. m ⁻² ⁵⁹	my, fy, ⁵⁹ ; s ¹³⁹	2 d ⁻¹ ¹³⁶	Ad libitum ⁵⁹ ; 20–28% BW d ⁻¹ (cited in 59)	Solitary, occasionally gregarious ^{zz,59}	Sand, small stones, gravel or rocks ⁸⁶

^{xx} Walls covered using black cloths

^{yy} preferably crustaceans to fish; adult *Artemia* spp. as a supplementary or reserve food

^{zz} group behaviour is beneficial for the training period during which they feed on dead prey

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Juveniles	Cyl ¹³⁷ ; Rct ⁸⁶ ; Steel-framed FNc ^(cited in 59) ; RctRcw ^{139,165}	Gray-white ⁸⁶	<u>FNc</u> : 60×80×40 cm ^(cited in 59) ; <u>Cyl</u> : 60 L; 500 L; 1,000 L ¹³⁸ ; 3,000 L ¹³⁷ ; <u>RctRcw</u> : 65,000 L ^{139,165} 27–107 d.o: 54×38×20 cm, 107-117 d.o: 60×43.5×40 cm ⁸⁶	N/A	<u>FNc</u> : 30 ^(cited in 59)	N/A	375–400 ind. m ⁻² (10 d.o); 280–300 ind. m ⁻² (20 d.o); 500 ¹³⁷ to 200 ^{59,137} or 100 ind. m ⁻² ¹³⁸ (30-d.o)	30-50-d.o (~20-30 mm ML): f ^{aaa,59} , my ¹³⁷ ; s (trained after 70 days) ¹³⁹	2 d ⁻¹ ⁵⁹	<i>Ad libitum</i> ⁵⁹	Solitary, occasionally aggregative ^{zz}	Sand, small stones, gravel or rocks ⁸⁶
	Adults	Cyl ¹³⁷ ; Steel-framed FNc ^(cited in 59) ; RctRcw ^{139,165}	Gray-white ⁸⁶	<u>FNc</u> : 60×80×40 cm ^(cited in 59) ; <u>Cyl</u> : 60 L; 500 L; 1,000 L ¹³⁸ ; 3,000 L ¹³⁷ ; <u>RctRcw</u> : 65,000 L ^{139,165} <u>107-117 d.o</u> : 60×43.5×40 cm ⁸⁶	N/A	N/A	1:3 ⁵⁹	10 ind m ⁻³ ¹³⁸ (180 d.o; ~145 mm ML and 325 g):	N/A	N/A	N/A	Solitary, occasionally aggregative ^{zz,(cited in 59)}	Sand, small stones, gravel or rocks ⁸⁶

^{aaa} training the animals to feed on dead food

	Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
	Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
	Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
Sepiolidae												
Euprymna scolopes												
Eggs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hatchlings	Crc (200 mm-mesh screen bottom in a seawater tray tank of 3-6 cm) ⁶⁰	Black ⁶⁰	25Ø cm ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	zp, Cr, f ^{6bb,60}	1-5 d ⁻¹ ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	Sand ⁶⁰
Juveniles	Rct ⁶⁰	N/A	35×39 cm ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	s (Crangon and Palaemonetes spp.) ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sand (~1-2 cm) ⁶⁰
Adults	Rct ⁶⁰	N/A	35×39 cm;	N/A	N/A	N/A	2-3 in 35 X 39 cm ⁶⁰	s (Crangon; Palaemonetes spp.) ⁶⁰	N/A	N/A	N/A	Sand (~1-2 cm) ⁶⁰
Loliginidae												
Loligo vulgaris												
Eggs	N/A	N/A	220 L (95×40 cm) ⁸⁷	N/A	N/A	N/A	five to ten ^{ccc,61}	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

^{bbb} hatchlings are voracious predators that prefer very large prey relative to their own size

^{ccc} egg strands suspended with nylon thread in the tanks and/or in an upwelling flow of water; small bunches of eggs allow proper aeration

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Hatchlings	Cyl ⁶¹	Black ⁸⁷	220 L (95x40 cm) ⁸⁷	N/A	N/A	N/A	25 L ⁻¹ ⁶¹	<i>Artemia</i> , c, cop, my, f, zp ⁶¹	4-5 d ⁻¹ ⁸⁷	50–150 L ⁻¹ ⁸⁷	N/A	N/A
	Juveniles	Crc ^{82,144,166} Rcw ⁸²	Opaque walls ⁶¹	25Ø-40 cm (5-45 L) ⁸⁰	N/A	40-100 ⁶¹	ddd ⁸²	N/A	f, s ⁸² or artificial diets ⁶¹	N/A	N/A	Groups ⁸²	N/A
	Adults	Crc ^{82,144,166} , Rcw ⁸²	Black sides and white bottom ¹⁴⁴	2.0mØ; long-oval Rcw 10×2.0 m: 10,000 L ^{61,82}	N/A	N/A	ddd ⁸² 1:1 ⁶¹	10-15 squids/tank (~150-250mm ML) ⁸²	f ¹¹⁶ , s ^{82,116} ; artificial diets ⁶¹	1 d ⁻¹ ¹¹⁶	15% BW d ⁻¹ ⁸² ; <i>ad libitum</i> ⁶¹	Groups ⁸²	N/A

Loliginidae

Sepioteuthis lessoniana (Tropical countries)

	Eggs	Fiber glass or FNC (2.5mm mesh size) ¹⁴⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings	Crc ⁶² or Nc ¹⁴⁵	N/A	Crc: 2.0–3.0 mØ ⁶² ; Nc: 1.5×1.5×1.5 m ¹⁴⁵	N/A	50 ^{eee,62}	N/A	5-10 ind.L ⁻¹ ⁶² ; Nc: 300-400 ¹⁴⁵	up to 30 d.o: my ^(cited in 62) ; prey size ~50–200% of their ML ⁶² ; f ^(cited in 62) ;	N/A	<i>Ad libitum</i> ⁶² ; ~28 % of BW d ⁻¹ (cited in 62); ~7 (1-22) d ⁻¹	Group ⁶²	N/A

ddd It is better to maintain the squids of the same size and sex in the tanks to avoid competition

eee As the squid grow, the water depth is increased by 5 cm every day or every second day

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Juveniles	FNc (13-mm mesh size net) <small>(cited in 62)</small>	N/A	1.0x1.0x1.0m ; efficient size: 3.0x3.0x3.0 m ¹⁴⁵	N/A	N/A	N/A	5–10 ind·m ⁻² (3-7 ind·m ⁻³) ^(cited in 62) <u>N</u> c: 9 m ⁻³ ; 250 ind/cage ¹⁴⁵	f ⁶²	2 d ⁻¹ ⁶²	<i>Ad libitum</i> ⁶²	N/A	N/A
	Adults	FNc (13-mm mesh size net) <small>(cited in 62)</small>	N/A	2.0x2.5x2.0 m; submerged to a depth of 1.5 m (7.5 m ³ seawater) ^(cited in 62)	N/A	N/A	1:1 ¹²²	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Loliginidae

Sepioteuthis lessoniana (Temperate countries)

Eggs	Crc ^{84,146,148,167,168}	Black or dark grey ¹¹⁰	30Ø×27cm, 20 L ¹⁶⁷ ; 70Ø×34cm, 120 L ¹⁶⁸ ; 1.8Ø m (3000L) ^{84,146,148}	Styrofoam cover ⁸⁴	60 ⁸⁴	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Hatchlings	Crc ^{(cited in 62,88,100,110,146,}	Black ⁸⁴ or dark grey ¹¹⁰	20, 50 ^{88,100,110,146} or 120 L ⁶² 1.5 or 2.5 mØ ¹¹⁰	Styrofoam cover ⁸⁴	75-125 ¹¹⁰	N/A	N/A	my ¹⁴⁶ (34-38), f ^{88,100,146}	N/A	Ad libitum ⁶² 60–300 d.o: 20–35 % BW·d ⁻¹ ^{1 fff,146}	N/A	N/A

^{fff} Estimated feeding rate in RAS

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Juveniles	Rcw or Crc ^{84,88,100,110,146}	N/A	Rcw: 2.4×6.1×0.9 m, 15,000 L; Crc: 6.5Ø×1.75 m, 50,000L ^{84,110,146} or 4.0Ø×1.0 m, 10,000 L ^{88,100}	N/A	N/A	N/A	5-6 ind· m ⁻³ (15,000 L Rcw or 50,000 L Crc) ^{84,110,146}	Dead organisms ⁶² ; mixture of live and dead frozen prey (fish) ^{88,100}	N/A	N/A	Group ¹⁶⁹	N/A
	Adults	Rcw or Crc ^{84,88,100,110,146}	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A variety of prey items, either alive or dead ⁶²	N/A	Ad libitum ^{88,62} ; 60–300 d.o: 20–35 % BW·d ⁻¹ fff ¹⁴⁶	N/A	N/A

Octopodidae

Octopus bimaculoides

	Eggs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.0-5.0 ⁸⁹	N/A	300–700 hatch. m ⁻² ⁶³	Cr, s, c, f, g ⁸⁹	Every two days ⁶³	Ad libitum; ~20% BW d ⁻¹ ⁶³	N/A	>1 ind ⁻¹ ceramic cylinders ^{hhh} (1.0Ø×1.0cm) ⁸⁹
	Juveniles	Rct ⁶³	N/A	20l (120×60×28 cm) to 400 (240×60×28 cm) L ⁶³	N/A	4.0-5.0 ⁸⁹	N/A	N/A	Cr, s c, f, g ⁸⁹	N/A	Ad libitum; 20 to 5% BW d ⁻¹ ⁶³	N/A	>1 ind ⁻¹ PVC pipes ⁸⁹

⁸⁸⁸ to avoid starvation and cannibalism

^{hhh} fairly evenly distributed throughout the tank

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Adults	Rct ⁶³	N/A	201 (120×60×28 cm) to 400 (240×60×28 cm) L ⁶³	Yes, 6 mm layer ⁶³	15-20 ^{iii,63,89}	N/A	N/A	Cr, s, c, f, g ⁸⁹	N/A	Ad libitum; ~5% octopus BW d ⁻¹ ⁶³	N/A	>1 ind ⁻¹ PVC pipes ⁸⁹
Octopodidae													
<i>Octopus vulgaris</i>													
	Eggs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Paralarvae	CylCon ¹⁰²	Dark tanks ¹⁰ ² White ¹⁰³ or black walls and white bottom ⁹⁶	CylCon: 500 and 1,000 L ¹⁰²	N/A	N/A	N/A	10 ind L ⁻¹ ¹⁰²	s, c, cop, <i>Artemia</i> (enriched or not), my ^{13,102}	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Juveniles	RctFNc ¹⁷⁰ Cyl ¹⁵²	Opaque-grey ⁵⁵	4 m ³ ¹⁷⁰ 400 L ¹⁵²	N/A	40 ⁵⁵	N/A	Tanks: 10 kg m ⁻³ and 20 kg m ⁻³ ¹¹² ; FNc: similar-sized 10-14 kg m ⁻³ ^{170,171} ; 4, 8 and 15 kg m ⁻³ ^{jjj,109}	Cr, mol, f ^{13,124,125,172} 5	1 d ⁻¹ ¹⁵²	Ad libitum ¹⁵²	Group rearing not recommended ⁵⁵	Shelters ¹²⁴ sand ⁵⁵

ⁱⁱⁱ for octopuses up to 1 kg

^{jjj} mortality was significantly lower in low density groups

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet			Social	Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Adults	Rct ^{112,150} Cyl ¹⁵²	Opaque-grey ⁵⁵	5-10 m ³ ¹¹² 2 m ³ (3.6x1.1m) ¹⁰⁹ 400 L ¹⁵²	Solid mesh cover ¹⁰⁹	50 ¹⁰⁹ 60- 70 ¹⁵⁰	Brood stock: 1:3 ^{13,149} ; 1:1 ¹¹²	Tanks: 10 kg m ⁻³ and 20 kg m ⁻³ ^{jjj,112} ; ENC: similar-sized 10-14 kg m ⁻³ ^{170,171} ; 4, 8 and 15 kg m ⁻³ ^{jjj,109}	Cr, mol f ^{13,124,125,172 173}	1 d ⁻¹ ^{109,152}	Ad libitum ¹⁵² 5% BW d ⁻¹ ¹⁰⁹	Group rearing not recommended ⁵⁵	Shelters ^{kkk,124} sand ⁵⁵
Octopodidae													
<i>Octopus maya</i>													
	Eggs	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Hatchlings (~0.7 cm ML)	Rct ^{64,94}	Black ⁶⁴	5.0x1.5x0.4 m (7.5 m ³) ⁶⁴ ; 1.4x0.7x0.5 m (500L) ⁹⁴	N/A	N/A	N/A	50-60 ind. m ⁻² ⁶⁴	No food in the first 5–7 days ¹⁷⁴ ; <i>Artemia</i> , zp, amphipods, isopods, c ¹⁷⁵ ; squid paste-bound gelatine ^{118,176}	2 d ⁻¹ ⁶⁴ ; 3 d ⁻¹ ¹³	90-100 % WW d ⁻¹ ^{13,64}	N/A	3 shells ind ⁻¹ (e.g., <i>Melongena corona bispinosa</i> or <i>Strombus pugilis</i>) ^{13,64}

^{kkk} especially when males and females are kept together

		Accommodation and care										Enrichment	
		Housing					Grouping		Diet				Environment
		Tank shape	Tank color	Tank size / volume	Lid	Minimum water depth (cm)	Sex ratio (M:F)	Stocking density	Type	Frequency	Quantity		
	Juveniles (>5 cm ML)	Crc ⁶⁴	Black ⁶⁴	6.0Ø m ⁶⁴ ; 12,000 L ¹²³	N/A	50 ^{64,154}	N/A	10-25 juveniles m ⁻² ⁶⁴	c, Cr ¹⁷⁵ ; squid paste-bound gelatine ^{118,176} see review in ¹³	2 d ⁻¹ ⁶⁴ ; 3 d ⁻¹ ¹³	50 % WW ⁶⁴ 90-100% d ⁻¹ ¹³	N/A	3 shells ind ⁻¹ (e.g. <i>Melongena corona bispinosa</i> or <i>Strombus pugilis</i> (juveniles up to 10g WW) ^{13,64} PVC tubes, pots and cages ⁶⁴ ; 3 ind ⁻¹ ¹³
	Adults (>8 cm ML)	Crc ⁶⁴ ;	Black ⁶⁴	6.0Ø m ⁶⁴ ; 12,000 L ¹²³	N/A	50 ⁶⁴	1:1 ⁶⁴	2.9 - 3.8 kg m ⁻³ ^{III,154}	c, f, sq, s ⁶⁴	2 d ⁻¹ ⁶⁴	5% WW d ⁻¹ ¹³	N/A	PVC tubes, pots and cages ⁶⁴

^{III} for animals with initial body weight between 300-500 g

Appendix C - Thermal, oxygen and salinity tolerance ranges

Overview of the thermal, oxygen and salinity tolerance ranges for the target species. Data are derived from the analysis of the available literature with focus on studies that rely on physiological measures.

Species listed as indicated in legend to Appendices A and B. Abbreviations utilized - #: recommended values for maximizing growth deriving from aquaculture studies; **ArB**: Arterial Blood; **ISD**: Low Stocking Density; **N/A**: information not available; **oFl**: Open Flow; **OptR**: Optimal Range; **RAS**: Recirculating Aquaculture System; **Rec**: Recommended; **S**: Summer; **VeB**: Venous Blood; **Tol**: Tolerance; **W**: Winter. **psu** (practical salinity unit): defined as the total amount by weight of dissolved salts in one kilogram of seawater.

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range (wild)	Thermal tolerance (Lab)	Oxygen tolerance (Lab)	Salinity tolerance (Lab)
Nautilidae <i>Nautilus</i>	Adults	can thrive with wide changes of temperature within few minutes: 6-24°C or 8-30°C ¹⁵ ; animals do not approach shallow water at 28°C ¹⁵	15-27°C ¹⁰⁴ ; animals do not survive at 27°C for longer than 48h ¹⁵ ; a rhythmic day/night cycle (18-21°C) is recommended to mimic normal migration patterns ^{mmm,15}	high tolerance for low O ₂ and hypoxia ^{71,72} ; blood O ₂ capacity of 2.30±0.57 vol%, P ₅₀ is 16.9 mmHg at pH 7.45 and 18°C ¹⁷⁷ ♦ average ArB-P _{O2} =99.2±31.7 mmHg, fully O ₂ -saturated ♦ average VeB-P _{O2} =20.4 ±12.9 mmHg, 65% O ₂ -saturated ¹⁷⁷	34.5-35.6 psu, the lowest both in the surface and at 800 m while the highest at 180 m in Papua Guinea ¹⁷⁸ <i>N. pompilius</i> : osmolarity is reduced <19% of seawater salinity when shell is half emptied; <i>N. macromphalus</i> : change in cameral liquid volume from 50% to 0% with a rise in salinity from 20-30‰, to seawater salinity ¹⁷⁹
	Eggs	15-20°C ¹⁸⁰	OptR:15-25°C ^{130,133} but embryos do not develop <12°C ^{130#} ; successful hatching at 20°C ^{nnn,181,182}	can survive > 8 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁸²	28-35 psu ^{ooo,181,182} ; most successful hatching >29.8 psu and no hatching <23 psu ¹⁸³ ; when water salinity < 18psu eggs regressed in size and maturation stopped ¹⁸²
Sepiidae <i>Sepia officinalis</i>	Hatchlings	S: 14-19°C, W: 11.7-18°C ¹⁸⁰	OptR:15-18°C ¹³³ ; 25°C ^{PPP}	can survive 7 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁸²	Developmental rate decreased at salinity values of 28.7 psu or less at 17°C; below 22.4 psu embryos with morphological malformations were found ¹⁸³
	Juveniles	S: 14-19°C, W: 11.7-18°C ¹⁸⁰	15-24°C ¹⁸⁰	Critical P _{O2} at ambient O ₂ levels (4-7 mg L ⁻¹): ♦ smaller individuals: 40 mmHg ♦ larger animals: ~ 70 mmHg ^{qqq,184,185} ; recovery is still possible at 2 mg L ⁻¹ for a period of at least 1h ¹⁸⁶	25-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ^{58#}
	Adults	S:14-19°C, W:11.7-18°C ¹⁸⁰	OptR:11-23°C ¹⁸⁷ ; can survive ranges of 7.0-26.8°C with a gradual increase or decrease of 1°C h ⁻¹ ^{rrr,187,188}	O ₂ -transfer functions nicely between 11-23°C for 15°C-acclimated cuttlefish but further acute warming or cooling leads to progressive internal hypoxia, time-limited survival, and eventually, death ¹⁸⁷	25-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ^{58#}

^{mmm} even though a temperature fluctuation regimen opposite to that observed in nature is applied to reduce condensation on windows¹⁰.

ⁿⁿⁿ and salinity of 28-35 psu

^{ooo} at 18-25°C

^{PPP} L. Juergens personal communication

^{qqq} O₂-binding capacity of 3 mM at rest with about 80% release of bound oxygen to tissues at 17°C

^{rrr} but accumulation of inorganic phosphate was found below 8°C and above 26.8°C

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range (wild)	Thermal tolerance (Lab)	Oxygen tolerance (Lab)	Salinity tolerance (Lab)
Sepiidae <i>Sepia pharaonis</i>	Eggs	18-24°C at the coasts for hatching ²	27-31°C ¹⁶⁴	incubated at 8.01 ± 1.23 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁸⁹	can survive 27-33 psu; optimum: 30 psu ¹⁸⁹ ; >80% eggs hatched at 24, 28, 32 and 36 psu and failed to hatch at 16, 20, 40 and 44 psu, OptR:21.8-36.6 psu ⁶⁷
	Hatchlings	Tropical distribution 24-28°C ¹⁹⁰	23-28°C (ISD) ¹⁶⁵	8.01 ± 1.23 mg L ⁻¹ ¹⁸⁹	24-33 psu ¹⁸⁹ ; >80% survival at 24, 28, 32 and 36 psu OptR:21.4-39.4 psu ⁶⁷
	Juveniles	Tropical distribution 24-28°C ¹⁹⁰	23-28°C (ISD) ¹⁶⁵	Critical P _{O2} =1.09 ± 0.12 mg L ⁻¹ at 28.5°C ¹⁹¹ ; cuttlefishes moved rapidly and irregularly when O ₂ < P _{O2} , O ₂ consumption accelerated, and the animals died within 10–15 min ¹⁹¹	34-39 psu ¹⁶⁵ #
	Adults	18-24°C at the coasts for spawning ²	23-28°C (ISD) ¹⁶⁵ ; at 21°C little success in culturing consecutive generations ¹³⁹	6.24±0.48 - 7.33±0.81 mg L ⁻¹ ^{192,193}	34-39 psu ¹⁶⁵ #

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range in nature	Thermal tolerance in captivity	Oxygen tolerance in captivity	Salinity tolerance in captivity
Sepiolidae <i>Euprymna scolopes</i>	Eggs	25-29°C ^{sss,194}	OptR:21-25°C; Tol:18-19°C ⁶⁰	N/A	30-37 psu ⁶⁰
	Hatchlings	25-29°C ^{sss,194}	OptR:21-25°C; Tol:18-19°C ⁶⁰	N/A	30-37 psu ⁶⁰
	Juveniles	25-29°C ^{sss,194}	OptR:21-25°C; Tol:18-19°C ⁶⁰	N/A	30-37 psu ⁶⁰
	Adults	25-29°C ^{sss,194}	OptR:21-25°C; Tol:18-19°C ⁶⁰	Survive 7-11 mg L ⁻¹ after 21h shipment in plastic bags ⁶⁰	30-37 psu ⁶⁰
Loliginidae <i>Loligo vulgaris</i>	Eggs	14-19°C (peak at 16.5°C) off North-west Portugal ¹⁹⁵	Normal hatching at 12-24°C ^{ttt} ; deformed or dead embryos at 6, 8, 10, 26, and 28°C ¹⁹⁶	Rec: 5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	32-42 psu with the highest hatching success at 38 psu and the lowest at 42 psu ¹⁹⁷
	Hatchlings	14-19°C (peak at 16.5°C) off North-west Portugal ¹⁹⁵	10-22°C ^{198,199} #	Rec: 5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	32-42 psu with the highest hatching success at 38 psu and the lowest at 42 psu ¹⁹⁶
	Juveniles	14-19°C (optimum at 16.5°C) ¹⁹⁵	12-22°C ⁶¹ #	Rec: 5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵	Rec:34-38 psu ⁸⁵
	Adults	15-17°C ¹⁹⁵	12-22°C ⁶¹ #	Rec: 5 mg L ⁻¹ ⁸⁵ ; P ₅₀ = ~30.6 -75.0 mmHg at 10-20°C and pH 7.4 ²⁰⁰	Rec:34-38 psu ⁸⁵
Loliginidae <i>Sepioteuthis lessoniana</i> (Tropical countries)	Eggs	Regularly found at 28°C ⁶² #	<i>oFl</i> : 24–30°C; <i>RAS</i> : 20–24°C; Rec: 28°C ⁶² #	N/A	30–33 psu ¹²² #; 22–37 psu to achieve a hatching rate of at least 50 % ^{67,201}
	Hatchlings	20-27°C ¹⁴⁸	<i>oFl</i> : 24–30°C; <i>RAS</i> : 20–24°C ⁶² #	N/A	23–36 psu ^{66,67,201}
	Juveniles	20-27°C ¹⁴⁸	<i>oFl</i> : 24–30°C; <i>RAS</i> : 20–24°C ⁶² #	O ₂ concentration was not less than 2.5 ml L ⁻¹ as dyspneic levels are reached at 1.6 ml L ⁻¹ at 30°C ²⁰²	23–36 psu ^{66,67,201}
	Adults	20-27°C ¹⁴⁸	<i>oFl</i> : 24–30°C; <i>RAS</i> : 20–24°C ⁶² #	N/A	23–36 psu ^{66,67,201}

^{sss} shallow coastal waters of the Central Pacific and Hawaiian islands

^{ttt} allow 80 % of hatching success; if temperature should be changed it is recommended to change no more than 1°C per 24h

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range in nature	Thermal tolerance in captivity	Oxygen tolerance in captivity	Salinity tolerance in captivity
Loliginidae <i>Sepioteuthis lessoniana</i> (Temperate countries)	Eggs	18-24°C ⁶²	N/A	N/A	>80% eggs hatched at 24, 28, 32 psu and failed to hatch at 12 and 40 psu, OptR:22.5-37.5 psu ⁶⁷
	Hatchlings	18-24°C ⁶²	N/A	N/A	>70% survival at 28 and 32 psu; OptR:23.2-35.5 psu ⁶⁷
	Juveniles	18-24°C ⁶²	20–26°C ^{62,88,100} # mortality increases when temperature is < 20°C ²⁰³	N/A	Animals stop feeding at 27.5-28 psu and mortality increases at 23.7 psu similarly to <i>S. sepioidea</i> ²⁰⁴ . At low salinity animals hyperexcitation and violent reactions to neutral stimuli were observed as well as sudden and rapid mortality, accompanied by uncontrolled swimming motion ⁶⁷
	Adults	18-24°C ⁶²	20–26°C ^{62,88,100} # mortality increases when temperature is < 20°C ²⁰³	P ₅₀ = ~20.0 -29.1 mmHg at 15-25°C and pH 7.4 ²⁰⁰	Animals stop feeding at 27.5-28 psu and mortality increases at 23.7 psu similarly to <i>S. sepioidea</i> ²⁰⁴ . At low salinity animals hyperexcitation and violent reactions to neutral stimuli were observed as well as sudden and rapid mortality, accompanied by uncontrolled swimming motion ⁶⁷
Octopodidae <i>Octopus bimaculoides</i>	Eggs	17-24°C ⁴	18-25°C; OptR:22-25°C ⁶³	N/A	32-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ⁶³
	Hatchlings	17-24°C ⁴	18-25°C; OptR:22-25°C ⁶³	N/A	32-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ⁶³
	Juveniles	17-24°C ⁴	18-25°C; OptR:22-25°C ⁶³	N/A	32-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ⁶³
	Adults	17-24°C ⁴	18-25°C; OptR:22-25°C ⁶³	min critical P ₅₀ : 16 mmHg at 10°C ⁵⁰	32-38 psu, OptR:34-36 psu ⁶³

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range in nature	Thermal tolerance in captivity	Oxygen tolerance in captivity	Salinity tolerance in captivity
Octopodidae <i>Octopus vulgaris</i>	Eggs	10-20°C (Europe) ⁸³ up to 28°C (Caribbean tropics) ²⁰⁵	Rec: 15-18°C ⁸⁵ ; Tol:14°C ²⁰⁶	N/A	Rec: 27–38 psu ⁸⁵
	Paralarvae	10-20°C (Europe) ⁸³ up to 28°C (Caribbean tropics) ²⁰⁵	19 -25°C ¹²⁴ # OptR:20–22°C ¹⁰² #	6-8 mg L ⁻¹ , should not fall below 4 mg L ⁻¹ ¹²⁴ #	Survival ranged from 60 to 83% at 28 psu, 73–100% at 30 psu and 100% between 33–37 psu ²⁰⁷
	Juveniles	10-20°C (Europe) ⁸³ up to 28°C (Caribbean tropics) ²⁰⁵	OptR:19.5-23°C (spring-summer) and 23.5-12.3°C (autumn-winter) ¹⁵³	If the O ₂ concentration in the experimental tank fell below 2.5 mg L ⁻¹ , the experiment was terminated earlier, since below 2 mg L ⁻¹ octopuses begin to show signs of distress and O ₂ consumption declines ²⁰⁸	27–38 psu ^{85,153}
	Adults	10-20°C (Europe) ⁸³ up to 28°C (Caribbean tropics) ²⁰⁵	OptR:19.5-23°C (spring-summer) and 23.5-12.3°C (autumn-winter) ^{152,153}	In normoxia: ♦ average ArB-PO ₂ =78.1±2.9 mmHg, 98% O ₂ -saturated ♦ average VeB-PO ₂ =30.0 ±3.2 mmHg, 14% O ₂ -saturated In hypoxia O ₂ consumption declined markedly below an ambient PO ₂ of 90 mmHg ²⁰⁹ Critical PO ₂ is 2.3±0.57 mg L ⁻¹ at 17-20°C ⁷⁰ ; ♦ optimal range: 65-100%, ♦ suboptimal: 35-65%, ♦ dangerous: below 35%, ♦ lethal: below 15% ⁷⁰ ; if O ₂ <2.5 mg L ⁻¹ , the experiment is stopped as <2 mg L ⁻¹ octopuses begin experience distress and O ₂ consumption declines ²⁰⁸	27–38 psu ^{85,153}

Order/species	Life Phase	Thermal range in nature	Thermal tolerance in captivity	Oxygen tolerance in captivity	Salinity tolerance in captivity
Octopodidae <i>Octopus maya</i>	Eggs	24-27°C ²¹⁰	24-27°C ²¹⁰ ; OptR:22-26°C ¹⁵⁵ ; <27°C embryos experience changes in the oxidative system and do not recover; at 30°C, embryo metabolic rate is 25 and 50% lower than at 26 and 22°C, respectively ^{64#}	5.0-6.7 mg L ⁻¹ ^{64,211#}	32-36 psu ^{13,64#}
	Hatchlings (~ 0.7 cm ML)	24-27°C ²¹⁰	24-28°C ^{13#} OptR:25±1 ^{64#}	5.0-6.7 mg L ⁻¹ ^{64,211#}	36-40 psu to favor growth ^{13#}
	Juveniles (>5 cm ML)	24-27°C ²¹⁰	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◆ 8.1-31.8°C after accl. at 18°C ◆ 11.6-32.7°C after accl. at 22°C ◆ 13.7-34.8°C after accl. at 26°C ◆ 19.0-36.5°C after accl. at 30°C²¹¹ OptR:24-26 ^{64#}	5.0-6.7 mg L ⁻¹ ^{64,211}	36-40 psu to favor growth ^{13#}
	Adults (>8 cm ML)	24-27°C, 28-30°C negatively affects reproductive performance ²¹⁰	OptR:24-27°C ^{154,210} ; Tol:30 ^{64#}	5.0-6.7 mg L ⁻¹ ^{64,211#}	> 32 psu to favor spawning ^{64#}

References

1. Smith JA, Andrews PL, Hawkins P, et al. Cephalopod research and EU Directive 2010/63/EU: Requirements, impacts and ethical review. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2013; 447: 31-45.
2. Jereb P and Roper C. *Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of species known to date. Volume 1. Chambered Nautiluses and Sepioids (Nautilidae, Sepiidae, Sepiolidae, Sepiadariidae, Idiosepiidae and Spirulidae)*. Rome, Italy: FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2005, p.262.
3. Jereb P and Roper C. *Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of species known to date. Volume 2. Myopsid and Oegopsid Squids*. Rome, Italy: FAO, 2010, p.605.
4. Jereb P, Roper C, Norman M, et al. *Cephalopods of the World. An Annotated and Illustrated Catalogue of Species Known to Date. Volume 3. Octopods and Vampire Squids*. Roma, Italy: FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2016, p.370.
5. Sweeney MJ and Roper CF. Classification, type localities, and type repositories of recent Cephalopoda. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology* 1998: 561-599.
6. Bouchet P, Bary S, Héros V, et al. How many species of molluscs are there in the world's oceans, and who is going to describe them?
Combien d'espèces de mollusques y a-t-il dans les océans, et qui va les décrire ? In: Héros V SE and Bouchet P (eds) *Tropical Deep-Sea Benthos*. Paris: Muséum national d'Histoire naturelle, 2016, pp.9-24.
7. Lishchenko F, Perales-Raya C, Barrett C, et al. A review of recent studies on the life history and ecology of European cephalopods with emphasis on species with the greatest commercial fishery and culture potential. *Fisheries Research* 2021; 236: 105847. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2020.105847>.
8. Mouritsen OG and Styrbæk K. Cephalopod gastronomy — a promise for the future. *Frontiers in Communication* 2018; 3: 38.
9. Sundaram S. Various uses of cephalopods. *Fishing Chimes* 2009; 29: 23-25.
10. Pierce G, Allcock A, Bruno I, et al. *Cephalopod biology and fisheries in Europe*. Report no. 978-87-7482-078-9, August 2010. ICES Cooperative Research Report.
11. Roumbedakis K, Pierce GJ, Pita C, et al. *Sustainability Toolkit for Cephalopod Fisheries: Cephs & Chefs Project*. Report no. 9727896936, 2021. Aveiro, Portugal: UA Editora – Universidade de Aveiro.
12. Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R. *Cephalopod culture*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2014, p.494.

13. Vidal EAG, Villanueva R, Andrade JP, et al. Chapter One - Cephalopod Culture: Current Status of Main Biological Models and Research Priorities. In: Vidal EAG (ed) *Advances in Marine Biology*. Academic Press, 2014, pp.1-98.
14. Anderson RC. Cephalopods at the Seattle Aquarium. *International Zoo Yearbook* 1987; 26: 41-48. DOI: 10.1111/j.1748-1090.1987.tb03132.x.
15. Carlson BA. Collection and aquarium maintenance of Nautilus. In: N.H SWB and Landman (eds) *Nautilus*. Springer, 2010, 1991, pp.563-578.
16. McClain CR. Likes, comments, and shares of marine organism imagery on Facebook. *PeerJ* 2019; 7: e6795.
17. Wood JB and Shaw CMH. CephSchool: a pedagogic portal for teaching biological principles with cephalopod molluscs. In: 2003 Joint Conference on Digital Libraries, 2003 Proceedings 27-31 May 2003 2003, pp.401-402.
18. Nakajima R, Shigeno S, Zullo L, et al. Cephalopods between science, art, and engineering: a contemporary synthesis. *Frontiers in Communication* 2018; 3: 20.
19. De Sio F, Hanke FD, Warnke K, et al. E Pluribus Octo–Building Consensus on Standards of Care and Experimentation in Cephalopod Research; a Historical Outlook. *Frontiers in physiology* 2020; 11: 645.
20. Wikipedia. Cephalopods in popular culture, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cephalopods_in_popular_culture (2021, accessed June 2021).
21. Amodio P, Boeckle M, Schnell AK, et al. Grow smart and die young: why did cephalopods evolve intelligence? *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 2019; 34: 45-56.
22. Packard A. Cephalopods and fish: the limits of convergence. *Biological Reviews* 1972; 47: 241-307.
23. Schnell AK, Amodio P, Boeckle M, et al. How intelligent is a cephalopod? Lessons from comparative cognition. *Biological Reviews* 2020; Early View: 1-17. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12651>.
24. Brown ER and Piscopo S. Synaptic plasticity in cephalopods; more than just learning and memory? *Invertebrate Neuroscience* 2013; 13: 35-44. journal article. DOI: 10.1007/s10158-013-0150-4.
25. Marini G, De Sio F, Ponte G, et al. Behavioral Analysis of Learning and Memory in Cephalopods. In: Byrne JH (ed) *Learning and Memory: A Comprehensive Reference (Second Edition)*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Academic Press, Elsevier, 2017, pp.441-462.
26. Shigeno S, Andrews PLR, Ponte G, et al. Cephalopod brains: an overview of current knowledge to facilitate comparison with vertebrates. *Frontiers in Physiology* 2018; 9: 952.

27. Young JZ. Computation in the learning system of cephalopods. *Biol Bull* 1991; 180: 200-208.
28. Ponte G, Taite M, Borrelli L, et al. Cerebrotypes in Cephalopods: Brain Diversity and Its Correlation With Species Habits, Life History, and Physiological Adaptations. *Frontiers in Neuroanatomy* 2020; 14: 105.
29. Nixon M and Young JZ. *The brains and lives of Cephalopods*. New York: Oxford University, 2003.
30. Crook RJ and Walters ET. Nociceptive behavior and physiology of molluscs: animal welfare implications. *Ilar Journal* 2011; 52: 185-195.
31. Crook RJ. Behavioral and neurophysiological evidence suggests affective pain experience in octopus. *iScience* 2021; 24: 102229. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2021.102229>.
32. Edelman DB and Seth AK. Animal consciousness: a synthetic approach. *Trends in Neurosciences* 2009; 32: 476-484.
33. Di Cristina G, Andrews P, Ponte G, et al. The impact of Directive 2010/63/EU on cephalopod research. *Invertebrate Neuroscience* 2015; 15: 8.
34. Ponte G, Andrews P, Galligioni V, et al. Cephalopod Welfare, Biological and Regulatory Aspects: An EU Experience. In: Carere C and Mather J (eds) *The Welfare of Invertebrate Animals*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp.209-228.
35. Birch J, Schnell AK and Clayton NS. Dimensions of Animal Consciousness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 2020; 24: 789-801. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2020.07.007>.
36. Birch J, Burn C, Schnell A, et al. *Review of the Evidence of Sentience in Cephalopod Molluscs and Decapod Crustaceans*. 2021. London: London School of Economics and Political Science
37. Garrett S and Rosenthal JJC. RNA Editing Underlies Temperature Adaptation in K⁺ Channels from Polar Octopuses. *Science* 2012; 335: 848-851.
38. Garrett SC and Rosenthal JJC. A Role for A-to-I RNA Editing in Temperature Adaptation. *Physiology Bethesda* 2012; 27: 362-369.
39. Liscovitch-Brauer N, Alon S, Porath HT, et al. Trade-off between Transcriptome Plasticity and Genome Evolution in Cephalopods. *Cell* 2017; 169: 191-202.e111. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.025.
40. Zarrella I, Herten K, Maes GE, et al. The survey and reference assisted assembly of the *Octopus vulgaris* genome. *Sci Data* 2019; 6: 13. DOI: 10.1038/s41597-019-0017-6.
41. Kim B-M, Kang S, Ahn D-H, et al. The genome of common long-arm octopus *Octopus minor*. *GigaScience* 2018; 7. DOI: 10.1093/gigascience/giy119.

42. Belcaid M, Casaburi G, McAnulty SJ, et al. Symbiotic organs shaped by distinct modes of genome evolution in cephalopods. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 2019; 116: 3030-3035. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1817322116.
43. da Fonseca RR, Couto A, Machado AM, et al. A draft genome sequence of the elusive giant squid, *Architeuthis dux*. *Gigascience* 2020; 9 2020/01/17. DOI: 10.1093/gigascience/giz152 10.1093/gigascience/giz152.
44. Zhang Y, Mao F, Mu H, et al. The genome of *Nautilus pompilius* illuminates eye evolution and biomineralization. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2021. DOI: 10.1038/s41559-021-01448-6.
45. Albertin CB, Simakov O, Mitros T, et al. The octopus genome and the evolution of cephalopod neural and morphological novelties. *Nature* 2015; 524: 220-224.
46. Whitelaw BL, Cooke IR, Finn J, et al. Adaptive venom evolution and toxicity in octopods is driven by extensive novel gene formation, expansion, and loss. *GigaScience* 2020; 9. DOI: 10.1093/gigascience/giaa120.
47. Norman MD, Nabhitabhata J and Lu CC. An updated checklist of the cephalopods of the South China Sea. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 2016; Suppl. No. 34.
48. O'Brien CE, Roumbedakis K and Winkelmann IE. The current state of cephalopod science and perspectives on the most critical challenges ahead from three early-career researchers. *Frontiers in physiology* 2018; 9: 700.
49. Moltschanowskyj NA, Hall K, Lipinski MR, et al. Ethical and welfare considerations when using cephalopods as experimental animals. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 2007; 17: 455-476.
50. Seibel BA and Childress JJ. Metabolism of benthic octopods (Cephalopoda) as a function of habitat depth and oxygen concentration. *Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers* 2000; 47: 1247-1260.
51. Ikeda T. Routine metabolic rates of pelagic marine fishes and cephalopods as a function of body mass, habitat temperature and habitat depth. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2016; 480: 74-86.
52. von Boletzky S, von Boletzky MV, Frösch D, et al. Laboratory rearing of Sepiolinae (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Marine Biology* 1971; 8: 82-87. journal article. DOI: 10.1007/bf00349349.
53. Boletzky Sv and Hanlon RT. A review of the laboratory maintenance, rearing and culture of cephalopod molluscs. *Mem Natl Mus Victoria* 1983; 44: 147-187.
54. Boyle PR. *The UFAW handbook on the care and management of cephalopods in the laboratory*. Potters Bar Universities Federation for Animal Welfare, 1991, p.915.

55. Fiorito G, Affuso A, Basil J, et al. Guidelines for the Care and Welfare of Cephalopods in Research -A consensus based on an initiative by CephRes, FELASA and the Boyd Group. *Laboratory Animals* 2015; 49: 1-90. DOI: 10.1177/0023677215580006.
56. Fiorito G, Affuso A, Anderson DB, et al. Cephalopods in neuroscience: Regulations, Research and the 3Rs. *Invert Neurosci* 2014; 14: 13-36.
57. European Parliament and Council of the European Union. Directive 2010/63/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes, (2010, <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX:32010L0063>).
58. Jones N and McCarthy I. Aquaculture rearing techniques for the common cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* and the Atlantic bobtail squid *Sepioloatlantica*. *Sustainable Environmentally Friendly Diversification of Aquaculture for the Atlantic region of Europe*. 2009, pp.6-13.
59. Nabhitabhata J. *Sepia pharaonis*. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.205-224.
60. Hanlon RT, Claes MF, Ashcraft SE, et al. Laboratory culture of the sepiolid squid *Euprymna scolopes*: a model system for bacteria-animal symbiosis. *The Biological Bulletin* 1997; 192: 364-374.
61. Vidal EAG and Boletzky Sv. *Loligo vulgaris* and *Doryteuthis opalescens*. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.271-313.
62. Nabhitabhata J and Ikeda Y. *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.315-347.
63. Hanlon RT and Forsythe JW. Advances in the laboratory culture of octopuses for biomedical research. *Laboratory Animal Science* 1985; 35: 33-40.
64. Rosas C, Gallardo P, Mascaró M, et al. Octopus maya. *Cephalopod culture*. Springer, 2014, pp.383-396.
65. Grimpe G. Pflege, Behandlung und Zucht der Cephalopoden fur zoologische und physiologische Zwecke. In: Überhalden E (ed) *Handbuch der biologischen Arbeitsmethoden*. Berlin, Wien: Verlag Urban & Schwarzenberg, 1928, pp.331-402.
66. Nabhitabhata J, Kbinrum S and Soodmee Y. Effect of pH on survival rate of cephalopods hatchlings, *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* Lesson; *Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg. *Technical Paper No 17/1991* 1991: 1-13.
67. Nabhitabhata J, Asawangkune P, Amornjaruchit S, et al. Tolerance of eggs and hatchlings of neritic cephalopods to salinity changes. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication* 2001; 25: 91-99.

68. Portner HO, Webber DM, Boutilier RG, et al. Acid-base regulation in exercising squid (*Illex illecebrosus*, *Loligo pealei*). *American Journal of Physiology-Regulatory, Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 1991; 261: R239-R246.
69. Wolvekamp HP. Über den Sauerstofftransport durch Hämocyanin von *Octopus vulgaris* Lam. und *Sepia officinalis* L. *Zeitschrift für vergleichende Physiologie* 1938; 25: 541-547. DOI: 10.1007/BF00338295.
70. Valverde JC and García BG. Suitable dissolved oxygen levels for common octopus *Octopus vulgaris* (Cuvier, 1797) at different weights and temperatures: analysis of respiratory behaviour. *Aquaculture* 2005; 244: 303-314.
71. Wells MJ. Oxygen uptake and the effect of feeding in Nautilus. *The Veliger* 1987; 30: 69-75.
72. Wells MJ. The cephalopod heart: the evolution of a high-performance invertebrate pump. *Experientia* 1992; 48: 800-808.
73. Borrelli L, Gherardi F and Fiorito G. *A catalogue of body patterning in Cephalopoda*. Firenze University Press, 2006, p.1-622.
74. Cartron L, Josef N, Lerner A, et al. Polarization vision can improve object detection in turbid waters by cuttlefish. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2013; 447: 80-85.
75. Hanlon RT, Hixon RF and Hulet WH. Survival, Growth, and Behavior of the Loliginid Squids *Loligo plei*, *Loligo pealei*, and *Lolliguncula brevis* (Mollusca, Cephalopoda) in Closed Sea-Water Systems. *Biological Bulletin* 1983; 165: 637-685.
76. Colt J and Huguenin JE. *Design and operating guide for aquaculture seawater systems*. 2nd ed.: Elsevier Science, 2002, p.336.
77. Sykes AV, Quintana D and Andrade JP. The effects of light intensity on growth and survival of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) hatchlings and juveniles. *Aquaculture Research* 2013; 45: 2032-2040.
78. Panetta D, Solomon M, Buresch K, et al. Small-scale rearing of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) for research purposes. *Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology* 2017; 50: 115-124.
79. Hamada T, Mikami S and Okutani T. Experience with aquarium rearing of Nautilus in Japan. *Nautilus*. Springer, 2010, pp.579-583.
80. Boletzky Sv. Elevage de Céphalopodes en aquarium. *Vie et Milieu* 1974; 24: 309-340.
81. Lekang O-I. *Aquaculture engineering*. 2nd ed.: Wiley-Blackwell, 2007, p.403.
82. Hanlon RT. Maintenance, rearing, and culture of teuthoid and sepioid squids. *Squid as experimental animals*. Springer, 1990, pp.35-62.

83. Vaz-Pires P, Seixas P and Barbosa A. Aquaculture potential of the common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier, 1797): a review. *Aquaculture* 2004; 238: 221-238.
84. Walsh LS, Turk PE, Forsythe JW, et al. Mariculture of the loliginid squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* through seven successive generations. *Aquaculture* 2002; 212: 245-262.
85. Boletzky SV and Hanlon RT. A Review of the Laboratory Maintenance, Rearing and Culture of Cephalopod Molluscs. *Memoirs of the National Museum of Victoria* 1983; 44: 147-186.
86. Yasumuro H and Ikeda Y. Environmental enrichment accelerates the ontogeny of cryptic behavior in pharaoh cuttlefish (*Sepia pharaonis*). *Zoological Science* 2016; 33: 255-265.
87. Vidal E, DiMarco F, Wormuth J, et al. Optimizing rearing conditions of hatchling loliginid squid. *Marine Biology* 2002; 140: 117-127.
88. Ikeda Y, Ueta Y, Anderson FE, et al. Reproduction and life span of the oval squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* (Cephalopoda: Loliginidae): comparison between laboratory-cultured and wild-caught squid. *Marine Biodiversity Records* 2009; 2.
89. Forsythe JW and Hanlon RT. Behavior, body patterning and reproductive biology of *Octopus bimaculoides* from California. *Malacologia* 1988; 29: 41-55.
90. Lange GD and Hartline PH. Retinal responses in squid and octopus. *Journal of comparative physiology* 1974; 93: 19-36.
91. Sykes AV, Domingues P and Andrade JP. *Sepia officinalis*. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.175-204.
92. Boletzky Sv. Observations on the early post-embryonic development of *Loligo vulgaris* (Mollusca, Cephalopoda). *Rapport Commission Internationale Mer Méditerranée* 1979; 25: 10.
93. Iglesias J and Fuentes L. Research on the production of hatchery-reared juveniles of cephalopods with special reference to the common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*). In: Allan G and Burnell G (eds) *Advances in Aquaculture Hatchery Technology*. Elsevier, 2013, pp.374-403.
94. Avila-Poveda OH, Colin-Flores RF and Rosas C. Gonad development during the early life of *Octopus maya* (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *The Biological Bulletin* 2009; 216: 94-102.
95. Villanueva R, Moltschaniwskyj NA and Bozzano A. Abiotic influences on embryo growth: statoliths as experimental tools in the squid early life history. *Reviews in fish Biology and Fisheries* 2007; 17: 101.
96. De Wolf T, Lenzi S and Lenzi F. Paralarval rearing of *Octopus vulgaris* (Cuvier) in Tuscany, Italy. *Aquaculture Research* 2011; 42: 1406-1414.
97. Nabhitabhata J. *Rearing experiment on the economic cephalopod I: Long finned squid Sepioteuthis lessoniana*, Lesson. 1978. Rayong, Thailand: Rayong Brackish Water Fisheries Station, Department of Fisheries

98. Nabhitabhata J and Kbinrum S. *The culture of long-finned squid, Sepioteuthis lessoniana Lesson*. 1981. Rayong, Thailand: Rayong Brackishwater Fisheries Station, Brackishwater Fisheries Division, Department of Fisheries.
99. Villanueva R. Experimental rearing and growth of planktonic *Octopus vulgaris* from hatching to settlement. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 1995; 52: 2639-2650.
100. Ikeda Y, Sakurazawa I, Sakurai Y, et al. Initial trials of squid rearing, maintenance and culture at the Brain Science Institute of RIKEN. *Aquaculture Science* 2003; 51: 391-400.
101. Sykes AV, Domingues PM, Márquez L, et al. The effects of tank colours on the growth and survival of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*, Linnaeus 1758) hatchlings and juveniles. *Aquaculture Research* 2011; 42: 441-449.
102. Iglesias J and Fuentes L. *Octopus vulgaris*. Paralarval culture. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.427-450.
103. Carrasco JF, Rodriguez C and Rodriguez M. Cultivo intensivo de paralarvas de pulpo (*Octopus vulgaris*, Cuvier) utilizado como base de la alimentación zoeas vivas de crustáceos. In: *IX Congreso Nacional de Acuicultura Sevilla, Spain* 2005.
104. Barord GJ and Basil JA. *Nautilus*. *Cephalopod culture*. Springer, 2014, pp.165-174.
105. Domingues P and Márquez L. Effects of culture density and bottom area on growth and survival of the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture International* 2010; 18: 361-369.
106. Sykes AV, Pereira D, Rodríguez C, et al. Effects of increased tank bottom areas on cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*, L.) reproduction performance. *Aquaculture Research* 2013; 44: 1017-1028.
107. Forsythe JW, DeRusha RH and Hanlon RT. Growth, reproduction and life span of *Sepia officinalis* (Cephalopoda: Mollusca) cultured through seven consecutive generations. *Journal of Zoology* 1994; 233: 175-192.
108. Sykes AV, Domingues PM, Loyd M, et al. The influence of culture density and enriched environments on the first stage culture of young cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture International* 2003; 11: 531-544.
109. Domingues P, Garcia S and Garrido D. Effects of three culture densities on growth and survival of *Octopus vulgaris* (Cuvier, 1797). *Aquaculture International* 2010; 18: 165-174.
110. Lee PG, Turk PE, Forsythe JW, et al. Cephalopod culture: physiological, behavioral and environmental requirements. *Aquaculture Science* 1998; 46: 417-422.
111. Chotiyapuita C and Yamrungreung A. Trap fisheries for squid and their impact on spawning. *South African Journal of Marine Science* 1998; 20: 285-291.

112. Iglesias J, Sánchez FJ, Otero JJ, et al. Culture of octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*, Cuvier): Present knowledge, problems and perspectives. *Cahiers Options Méditerranéennes* 2000: 313-321.
113. Hanlon RT and Messenger JB. *Cephalopod behaviour*. Cambridge University Press, 1996, p.232.
114. Nixon M, Young JZ and Young JZ. *The brains and lives of cephalopods*. Oxford University Press, 2003, p.392.
115. Villanueva R, Perricone V and Fiorito G. Cephalopods as predators: a short journey among behavioral flexibilities, adaptations, and feeding habits. *Frontiers in Physiology* 2017; 8: 598.
116. Tardent P. Keeping *Loligo vulgaris* L. in the Naples aquarium. *Bull Inst Océanogr Monaco, special No A* 1962: 41-46.
117. Nabhitabhata J, Kakhai N, Suriyawarakul J, et al. Feeding and cost of seed production of cephalopods, *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* Lesson; *Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg; *Sepiella inermis* Orbigny: Technical paper no. 1/1996. 1996.
118. Rosas C, Tut J, Baeza J, et al. Effect of type of binder on growth, digestibility, and energetic balance of *Octopus maya*. *Aquaculture* 2008; 275: 291-297.
119. Sykes AV, Domingues PM and Andrade JP. Effects of using live grass shrimp (*Palaemonetes varians*) as the only source of food for the culture of cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture International* 2006; 14: 551-568.
120. Domingues P, Sykes A, Sommerfield A, et al. Effects of feeding live or frozen prey on growth, survival and the life cycle of the cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture International* 2003; 11: 397-410.
121. Arnold JM. Mating behavior and social structure in *Loligo pealii*. *The Biological Bulletin* 1962; 123: 53-57.
122. Nabhitabhata J, Nilaphat P, Promboon P, et al. Performance of simple large-scale cephalopod culture system in Thailand. *Phuket Mar Biol Cent Res Bull* 2005; 66: 337-350.
123. Roumbedakis K, Mascaró M, Martins ML, et al. Health status of post-spawning *Octopus maya* (Cephalopoda: Octopodidae) females from Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. *Hydrobiologia* 2018; 808: 23-34.
124. Iglesias J, Sánchez FJ, Bersano JGF, et al. Rearing of *Octopus vulgaris* paralarvae: present status, bottlenecks and trends. *Aquaculture* 2007; 266: 1-15.
125. Sánchez FJ, Valverde JC and García BG. *Octopus vulgaris*: ongrowing. In: Iglesias J, Fuentes L and Villanueva R (eds) *Cephalopod culture*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2014, pp.451-466.

126. Jereb P and Roper C. *Cephalopods of the world. An annotated and illustrated catalogue of cephalopod species known to date. Volume 2. Myopsid and Oegopsid Squids*. Rome, Italy: FAO, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, 2010, p.605.
127. O'Dor RK, Wells J and Wells MJ. Speed, jet pressure and oxygen consumption relationships in free-swimming Nautilus. *Journal of experimental biology* 1990; 154: 383-396.
128. Dunstan A, Bradshaw CJA and Marshall J. Nautilus at risk—estimating population size and demography of *Nautilus pompilius*. *PloS ONE* 2011; 6: e16716.
129. Spotte S. *Fish and invertebrate culture: water management in closed systems*. Wiley, 1979, p.179.
130. Sykes AV, Domingues PM, Correia M, et al. Cuttlefish culture: state of art and future trends. *Vie et Milieu* 2006; 56: 129-137.
131. O'Brien CE, Bellanger C, Jozet-Alves C, et al. Stressful conditions affect reproducing cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*), reducing egg output and quality. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 2018; 75: 2060-2069.
132. Boletzky SV, Erlwein B and Hofmann DK. The *Sepia* egg: a showcase of cephalopod embryology. *Vie et Milieu* 2006; 56: 191-201.
133. Boucaud O. Energy consumption of the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* L.(Mollusca: Cephalopoda) during embryonic development, preliminary results. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 1991; 49: 333-340.
134. Koueta N and Boucaud-Camou E. Food intake and growth in reared early juvenile cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* L.(Mollusca Cephalopoda). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 1999; 240: 93-109.
135. Koueta N, Camou-Boucaud E and Renou AM. Gonadotropic mitogenic activity of the optic gland of the cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*, during sexual maturation. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 1995; 75: 391-404.
136. Chacko D and Patterson J. Laboratory rearing of the pharaoh's cuttlefish, *Sepia pharaonis* (Erhenberg, 1831) through multiple generations in a 'semi-closed' water system. *Asian Fisheries Science* 2010; 23: 240-253.
137. Nabhitabhata J and Nilaphat P. Life cycle of cultured pharaoh cuttlefish, *Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg, 1831. *Phuket Marine Biological Center Special Publication* 1999; 19: 25-40.
138. Unnikrishnan C, Andrews J and Anil MK. Growth, behavior, and mating of pharaoh cuttlefish (*Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg) in captivity. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture-Bamidgeh* 2005; 57: 5-31.
139. Minton JW, Walsh LS, Lee PG, et al. First multi-generation culture of the tropical cuttlefish *Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg, 1831. *Aquaculture International* 2001; 9: 379-392.

140. Nair KP, Thomas PA, Gopakumar G, et al. Some observations on the hatching and post-hatching behaviour of the cuttlefish *Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg. *CMFRI Bulletin* 1985; 37: 157-159.
141. Spotte S. *Marine aquarium keeping*. John Wiley & Sons, 1993.
142. Villanueva R, Arkhipkin A, Jereb P, et al. Embryonic life of the loliginid squid *Loligo vulgaris*: comparison between statoliths of Atlantic and Mediterranean populations. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2003; 253: 197-208.
143. D'Aniello A, D'Onofrio G, Pischetola M, et al. Effect of pH, salinity and Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺ K⁺ and SO₄²⁻ ions on hatching and viability of *Loligo vulgaris* embryo. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Physiology* 1989; 94: 477-481.
144. Mladineo I, Valić D and Jozić M. Spawning and early development of *Loligo vulgaris* Lamarck, 1798, under experimental conditions. *Acta Adriatica* 2003; 44: 77-83.
145. Ahmad T and Usman. Bigfin squid culture: the Indonesian experience. *Phuket Mar Biol Cent Spec Publ* 1997; 17: 285-287.
146. Lee PG, Turk PE, Yang WT, et al. Biological characteristics and biomedical applications of the squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* cultured through multiple generations. *The Biological Bulletin* 1994; 186: 328-341.
147. Tsuchiya M. On the culture of the squid, *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* Lesson (Loliginidae), from hatching to the whole life in Iriomote Island, Okinawa. *Bull Inst Oceanic Res Dev, Tokai Univ, Notes* 1982; 4: 49-70.
148. Forsythe JW, Walsh LS, Turk PE, et al. Impact of temperature on juvenile growth and age at first egg-laying of the Pacific reef squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* reared in captivity. *Marine Biology* 2001; 138: 103-112.
149. Fuentes L, Iglesias J, Sánchez FJ, et al. Métodos de transporte de paralarvas y adultos de pulpo *Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier, 1797. *Boletín Instituto Español de Oceanografía* 2005; 21: 155-162.
150. Okumura S, Kurihara A, Iwamoto A, et al. Improved survival and growth in *Octopus vulgaris* paralarvae by feeding large type *Artemia* and Pacific sandeel, *Ammodytes personatus*: Improved survival and growth of common octopus paralarvae. *Aquaculture* 2005; 244: 147-157.
151. Viciano E, Iglesias J, Lago MJ, et al. Fatty acid composition of polar and neutral lipid fractions of *Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier, 1797 paralarvae reared with enriched on-grown *Artemia*. *Aquaculture Research* 2011; 42: 704-709.
152. Giménez FA and García BG. Growth and food intake models in *Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier (1797): influence of body weight, temperature, sex and diet. *Aquaculture International* 2002; 10: 361-377.

153. Delgado M, Gairín JL, Carbó R, et al. Crecimiento de *Octopus vulgaris* en tanques en El Delta Del Ebro: efecto de la densidad de cultivo y de la salinidad. In: *Book of abstracts, XIII Congreso Nacional de Acuicultura Castelldefels, Barcelona* 2011.
154. Domingues P, López N and Rosas C. Preliminary trials on the use of large outdoor tanks for the ongrowing of *Octopus maya* juveniles. *Aquaculture Research* 2012; 43: 26-31.
155. Caamal-Monsreal C, Uriarte I, Farias A, et al. Effects of temperature on embryo development and metabolism of *O. maya*. *Aquaculture* 2016; 451: 156-162.
156. Correia M, Domingues PM, Sykes A, et al. Effects of culture density on growth and broodstock management of the cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758). *Aquaculture* 2005; 245: 163-173.
157. Correia M, Palma J and Andrade JP. Effects of live prey availability on growth and survival in the early stages of cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758) life cycle. *Aquaculture Research* 2008; 39: 33-40.
158. Domingues PM, Kingston T, Sykes A, et al. Growth of young cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus 1758) at the upper end of the biological distribution temperature range. *Aquaculture Research* 2001; 32: 923-930.
159. Domingues PM, Sykes A and Andrade JP. The use of *Artemia* sp. or mysids as food source for hatchlings of the cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis* L.); effects on growth and survival throughout the life cycle. *Aquaculture International* 2001; 9: 319-331.
160. Domingues PM, Sykes A and Andrade JP. The effects of temperature in the life cycle of two consecutive generations of the cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* (Linnaeus, 1758), cultured in the Algarve (South Portugal). *Aquaculture International* 2002; 10: 207-220.
161. Domingues P, Poirier R, Dickel L, et al. Effects of culture density and live prey on growth and survival of juvenile cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*. *Aquaculture International* 2003; 11: 225-242.
162. Domingues P, Sykes A, Sommerfield A, et al. Growth and survival of cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) of different ages fed crustaceans and fish. Effects of frozen and live prey. *Aquaculture* 2004; 229: 239-254.
163. Koueta N and Boucaud-Camou E. Basic growth relations in experimental rearing of early juvenile cuttlefish *Sepia officinalis* L. (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2001; 265: 75-87.
164. Anil MK, Andrews J and Unnikrishnan C. Growth, behavior, and mating of pharaoh cuttlefish (*Sepia pharaonis* Ehrenberg) in captivity. *Israeli Journal of Aquaculture* 2005; 57: 25-31.
165. Barord GJ, Keister KN and Lee PG. Determining the effects of stocking density and temperature on growth and food consumption in the pharaoh cuttlefish, *Sepia pharaonis*, Ehrenberg 1890. *Aquaculture International* 2010; 18: 271-283.

166. Neill SSJ. Notes on squid and cuttlefish; keeping, handling and colour-patterns. *Pubbl Staz Zool Napoli* 1971; 39: 64-69.
167. Ikeda Y, Sakurazawa I, Ito K, et al. Rearing of squid hatchlings, *Heterololigo bleekeri* (Keferstein 1866) up to 2 months in a closed seawater system. *Aquaculture Research* 2005; 36: 409-412.
168. Sugimoto C and Ikeda Y. Comparison of the ontogeny of hunting behavior in pharaoh cuttlefish (*Sepia pharaonis*) and oval squid (*Sepioteuthis lessoniana*). *The Biological Bulletin* 2013; 225: 50-59.
169. Sugimoto C and Ikeda Y. Ontogeny of schooling behavior in the oval squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*. *Fisheries Science* 2012; 78: 287-294.
170. Rodríguez C, Carrasco JF, Arronte JC, et al. Common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris* Cuvier, 1797) juvenile ongrowing in floating cages. *Aquaculture* 2006; 254: 293-300.
171. Chapela A, González ÁF, Dawe EG, et al. Growth of common octopus (*Octopus vulgaris*) in cages suspended from rafts. *Scientia Marina* 2006; 70: 121-129.
172. Estefanell JA. *Optimización de las condiciones de engorde y avances en el conocimiento de los requerimientos nutricionales de pulpo común Octopus vulgaris (Cuvier, 1797)*. Doctoral Dissertation, Universidad de Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, 2012.
173. Cobo DQ. *Valoración de los requerimientos nutricionales de reproductores de pulpo común (Octopus vulgaris)*. Doctoral Dissertation, Universidad de La Laguna, 2009.
174. Martínez R, López-Ripoll E, Avila-Poveda OH, et al. Cytological ontogeny of the digestive gland in post-hatching *Octopus maya*, and cytological background of digestion in juveniles. *Aquatic Biology* 2011; 11: 249-261.
175. Van Heukelem WF. Laboratory maintenance, breeding, rearing, and biomedical research potential of the Yucatan octopus (*Octopus maya*). *Laboratory Animal Science* 1977; 27: 852-859.
176. Rosas C, Valero A, Caamal-Monsreal C, et al. Effects of dietary protein sources on growth, survival and digestive capacity of *Octopus maya* juveniles (Mollusca: Cephalopoda). *Aquaculture Research* 2013; 44: 1029-1044.
177. Johansen K, Redmond JR and Bourne GB. Respiratory exchange and transport of oxygen in *Nautilus pompilius*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 1978; 205: 27-35.
178. Oki K, Shinomiya A, Tanabe K, et al. Environmental Background of the Habitat of *Nautilus* off the Southeastern Coast of Port Moresby, Papua New Guinea. *Research Center for the South Pacific Occasional Papers* 1991; Departmental Bulletin Paper: 17-20.
179. Ward PD. Cameral liquid in *Nautilus* and ammonites. *Paleobiology* 1979: 40-49.

180. Domingues PM, Bettencourt V and Guerra Á. Growth of *Sepia officinalis* in captivity and in nature. *Vie et Milieu* 2006; 56: 109-120.
181. Palmegiano GB and d'Apote MP. Combined effects of temperature and salinity on cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis* L.) hatching. *Aquaculture* 1983; 35: 259-264.
182. Barile NB, Cappabianca S, Antonetti L, et al. New protocols to improve the deposition and hatching of *Sepia officinalis*' eggs. *Vet Ital* 2013; 49: 367-374.
183. Paulij WP, Bogaards RH and Denuce JM. Influence of salinity on embryonic development and the distribution of *Sepia officinalis* in the Delta Area (South Western part of The Netherlands). *Marine Biology* 1990; 107: 17-23.
184. Johansen K, Brix O, Kornerup S, et al. Factors affecting O₂-uptake in the cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*. *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 1982; 62: 187-191.
185. Johansen K, Brix O and Lykkeboe G. Blood gas transport in the cephalopod, *Sepia officinalis*. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 1982; 99: 331-338.
186. Wolf G, Verheyen E, Vlaeminck A, et al. Respiration of *Sepia officinalis* during embryonic and early juvenile life. *Marine Biology* 1985; 90: 35-39.
187. Melzner F, Mark FC and Pörtner HO. Role of blood-oxygen transport in thermal tolerance of the cuttlefish, *Sepia officinalis*. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 2007; 47: 645-655. DOI: 10.1093/icb/pcm074.
188. Melzner F, Bock C and Pörtner HO. Critical temperatures in the cephalopod *Sepia officinalis* investigated using in vivo ³¹P NMR spectroscopy. *J Exp Biol* 2006; 209: 891-906. 2006/02/17. DOI: 10.1242/jeb.02054.
189. Peng RB, Wang PS, Jiang MW, et al. Effect of salinity on embryonic development of the cuttlefish *Sepia pharaonis*. *Journal of the World Aquaculture Society* 2017; 48: 666-675.
190. Choe S. On the eggs, rearing, habits of the fry, and growth of some cephalopods. *Bulletin of Marine Science* 1966; 16: 330-347.
191. Jiang M, Yang H, Peng R, et al. 1H NMR-based metabolomic analysis of cuttlefish, *Sepia pharaonis* (Ehrenberg, 1831) exposed to hypoxia stresses and post-anoxia recovery. *Science of the Total Environment* 2020; 726: 138317.
192. Jiang M, Peng R, Han Q, et al. Effects of temperature on the growth and microstructure formation of cuttlebone from cuttlefish *Sepia pharaonis*. *Molluscan Research* 2020; 40: 112-119. DOI: 10.1080/13235818.2020.1718830.
193. Jiang M, Peng R, Wang S, et al. Growth performance and nutritional composition of *Sepia pharaonis* under artificial culturing conditions. *Aquaculture Research* 2018; 49: 2788-2798.

194. Lee PN, McFall-Ngai MJ, Callaerts P, et al. The Hawaiian bobtail squid (*Euprymna scolopes*): a model to study the molecular basis of eukaryote-prokaryote mutualism and the development and evolution of morphological novelties in cephalopods. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols* 2009; 2009: pdb-emo135.
195. Moreno A, Azevedo M, Pereira J, et al. Growth strategies in the squid *Loligo vulgaris* from Portuguese waters. *Marine Biology Research* 2007; 3: 49-59.
196. Şen H. Temperature tolerance of loliginid squid (*Loligo vulgaris* Lamarck, 1798) eggs in controlled conditions. *Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 2005; 5: 53-56.
197. Şen H. Incubation of European Squid (*Loligo vulgaris* Lamarck, 1798) eggs at different salinities. *Aquaculture Research* 2005; 36: 876-881.
198. Villanueva R. Effect of temperature on statolith growth of the European squid *Loligo vulgaris* during early life. *Marine Biology* 2000; 136: 449-460.
199. Márquez L, Larson M and Almansa E. Effects of temperature on the rate of embryonic development of cephalopods in the light of thermal time applied to aquaculture. *Reviews in Aquaculture* 2021; 13: 706-718.
200. Brix O, Bårdgard A, Cau A, et al. Oxygen-binding properties of cephalopod blood with special reference to environmental temperatures and ecological distribution. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 1989; 252: 34-42.
201. Nabhitabhata J, Kbinrum S and Soodmee Y. *Effect of salinity on hatching rate of big fin squid, Sepioteuthis lessoniana Lesson*. 1991. Rayong, Thailand: Rayong Coastal Aquaculture Station, Coastal Aquaculture Division, Department of Fisheries.
202. Segawa S. Effect of temperature on oxygen consumption of juvenile oval squid *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*. *Fisheries Science* 1995; 61: 743-746.
203. Ueta Y. Feeding and growth of the oval squid, *Sepioteuthis lessoniana* in captivity. *Tokushima Suishi Jiho* 2000: 125-132.
204. LaRoe ET. The culture and maintenance of the loliginid squids *Sepioteuthis sepioidea* and *Doryteuthis plei*. *Marine Biology* 1971; 9: 9-25.
205. Putnam HM and Edmunds PJ. The physiological response of reef corals to diel fluctuations in seawater temperature. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 2011; 396: 216-223.
206. Nande M, Domingues P and Rosas C. Effects of Temperature on the Embryonic Development of *Octopus vulgaris*. *Journal of Shellfish Research* 2018; 37: 1013-1019.
207. Castellano GC, da Veiga MPT, Mazzini FS, et al. Paralarvae of *Octopus vulgaris* Type II are stenohaline conformers: relationship to field distribution and dispersal. *Hydrobiologia* 2018; 808: 71-82.

208. Katsanevakis S, Stephanopoulou S, Miliou H, et al. Oxygen consumption and ammonia excretion of *Octopus vulgaris* (Cephalopoda) in relation to body mass and temperature. *Marine Biology* 2005; 146: 725-732.
209. Houlihan DF, Innes AJ, Wells MJ, et al. Oxygen consumption and blood gases of *Octopus vulgaris* in hypoxic conditions. *Journal of Comparative Physiology* 1982; 148: 35-40. DOI: 10.1007/BF00688885.
210. López-Galindo L, Galindo-Sánchez C, Olivares A, et al. Reproductive performance of *Octopus maya* males conditioned by thermal stress. *Ecological Indicators* 2019; 96: 437-447.
211. Noyola J, Caamal-Monsreal C, Díaz F, et al. Thermopreference, tolerance and metabolic rate of early stages juvenile *Octopus maya* acclimated to different temperatures. *Journal of Thermal Biology* 2013; 38: 14-19.