Overview of dxml and Lessons Learned

by Jonathan M Davis





All Text Formats Suck

dconf 2018



All Text Formats Suck

HOW STANDARDS PROLIFERATE: (SEE: A/C CHARGERS, CHARACTER ENCODINGS, INSTANT MESSAGING, ETC.)

SITUATION: THERE ARE 14 COMPETING STANDARDS.

14?! RIDICULOUS! WE NEED TO DEVELOP ONE UNIVERSAL STANDARD THAT COVERS EVERYONE'S USE CASES. YEAH!

SOON:

SITUATION: THERE ARE 15 COMPETING STANDARDS.



std.xml

• std.xml has been considered substandard for years.

No attempts to replace it have been completed.

▶ 2010? attempt never resulted in public code.

► GSoC student for std.experimental.xml went MIA.



Why Write a Solution in D?



Why Write a Solution in D?

• Dynamic arrays make D a fantastic fit for parsing.

```
struct DynamicArray(T)
{
    size_t length;
    T* ptr;
}
```



Design Principles / Constraints / Guidelines / Goals

- Range-based: It must accept arbitrary ranges of characters.
- It must take advantage of slicing semantics.
- If the input type is string, the output type must be string.
- No auto-decoding.
- Minimize heap allocations.



XML I Care About / My Bias

• Start Tags and End Tags

Attributes on Start Tags

• Text Between Tags

Comments



DOCTYPE Definition

• Provides information for validating the XML document.

• Allows for inserting XML from other XML documents.

<foo>&bar;</foo>



dxml does not support the DTD

• Slicing semantics are incompatible with DTD support.

 dxml cannot properly handle XML documents containing non-standard entity references.

• dxml either throws on such entity references or just validates that they're syntactically valid.



• Build higher level parsers on lower level parsers.



• Build higher level parsers on lower level parsers.

• What's the lowest level that makes sense?



• Build higher level parsers on lower level parsers.

What's the lowest level that makes sense?

• A range of entities.



- Build higher level parsers on lower level parsers.
- What's the lowest level that makes sense?
- A range of entities.
- A range following the tree structure seemed desirable but ultimately not reasonably feasible.



Common XML Parser Types

• DOM: Document Object Model

• SAX: Simple API for XML

• StAX: Streaming API for XML



dxml is a Range-Based StAX / Pull Parser

"Streaming API for XML (StAX) is an application programming interface (API) to read and write XML documents, originating from the Java programming language community." - wikipedia

A StAX / Pull Parser essentially provides an iterator for linearly parsing an XML file.



Parsing Order

```
<root>
    <foo>
        <bar>some text</par>
    </foo>
    <baz>other text</paz>
</root>
<root>
<foo>
<bar>
some text
</bar>
<baz>
other text
</baz>
</foo>
</root>
```



Tag Stack is Problematic

- Validating end tags requires a tag stack.
- Keeping track of the tag path requires a tag stack.
- Maintaining a tag stack requires allocating memory.
- Maintaining a tag stack becomes very problematic for the range API.



Why a Tag Stack Seemingly Makes Ranges Not Work

- A range of entities which know their path means maintaining a tag stack per entity.
- When a range is saved, it needs its own tag stack.
- Both of these meaning allocating memory, which really isn't acceptable.



dxml Became Cursor-Based

• save doesn't work, so it can't be a forward range.

 The entity can't be saved / copied, so an input range doesn't work.

 A cursor can provide access to the data without having to duplicate the tag stack.



Epiphanies

• Not being able to save really sucks.



Epiphanies

• Not being able to save really sucks.

• Usage showed that path was not useful.



Epiphanies

• Not being able to save really sucks.

• Usage showed that path was not useful.

• The XML only needs to be validated once.



Magic for Efficient Ranges

```
struct TagStack
    struct SharedState
        Taken[] tags;
        Tuple!(Taken, TextPos)[] attrs;
        size_t maxEntities
    }
    SharedState* state:
    size_t entityCount;
    int depth;
alias Taken
    typeof(takeExactly(byCodeUnit(R.init), 42));
```



Non-Exception Allocations in Parser

```
static create()
{
   TagStack tagStack;
   tagStack.state = new SharedState;
   tagStack.state.tags.reserve(10);
   tagStack.state.attrs.reserve(10);
   return tagStack;
}
```



dxml Modules

dxml.dom

dxml.parser

• dxml.util

dxml.writer

dconf 2018



dxml.parser

```
auto range = parseXML(xml);
```

```
auto range = parseXML!simpleXML(xml);
```



dxml.parser : Config

```
struct Config
{
   auto skipComments = SkipComments.no;
   auto skipPI = SkipPI.no;
   auto splitEmpty = SplitEmpty.no;
   auto throwOnEntityRef = ThrowOnEntityRef.yes;
}
```



dxml.parser: makeConfig

dconf 2018



Tracking Document Position

```
struct TextPos
{
   int line = 1;
   int col = 1;
}
```



Tracking Document Position

```
struct TextPos
{
   int line = 1;
   int col = 1;
}
```

```
enum PositionType
{
    lineAndCol,
    line,
    none,
}
```



Class Forward Ranges Are Terrible

- They are very inefficient to save.
- They don't have a valid init value.

```
Oproperty auto save()
{
    // The init check nonsense is because of ranges whose init values blow
    // up when save is called (e.g. a range that's a class).
    auto retval = this;
    if(retval._name !is typeof(retval._name).init)
        retval._name = _name.save;
    if(retval._text.input !is typeof(retval._text.input).init)
        retval._text.input = _text.input.save;
    if(retval._savedText.input !is typeof(retval._savedText.input).init)
        retval._savedText.input = _savedText.input.save;
    return retval;
}
```



dxml.parser

```
auto range = parseXML(xml);
```

```
auto range = parseXML!simpleXML(xml);
```



EntityRange.Entity

```
EntityType type();
TextPos pos();
SliceOfR name();
auto attributes();
SliceOfR text();
```

```
static if(isDynamicArray!R || hasSlicing!R)
   alias SliceOfR = R;
else
   alias SliceOfR = typeof(takeExactly(R.init, 42));
```



enum EntityType

```
cdata
comment
elementStart
elementEnd
elementEmpty
рi
text
```



Sample XML



```
auto range = parseXML(xml);

// Skipped: <?xml foobar sally?>

// <?do something?>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.pi);
assert(range.front.name == "do");
assert(range.front.text == "something");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <root>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "root");
range.popFront();

// <!-- no comment -->
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.comment);
assert(range.front.text == " no comment ");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <foo>some text</foo>
assert(range.front.type ==
                           EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "foo");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.text);
assert(range.front.text == "some text");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "foo");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <bar/>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEmpty);
assert(range.front.name == "bar");
range.popFront();
// <baz></baz>
assert(range.front.type ==
                           EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "baz");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "baz");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <![CDATA[see data run]]>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.cdata);
assert(range.front.text == "see data run");
range.popFront();

// </root>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "root");
range.popFront();
assert(range.empty);
```





```
auto range = parseXML!simpleXML(xml);
// Skipped: <?xml foobar sally?>
// Skipped: <?do something?>
```



```
// <root>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "root");
range.popFront();

// Skipped: <!-- no comment -->
```



```
// <foo>some text</foo>
assert(range.front.type ==
                           EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "foo");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.text);
assert(range.front.text == "some text");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "foo");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <bar/>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "bar");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "bar");
range.popFront();
// <baz></baz>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "baz");
range.popFront();
assert(range.front.type ==
                            EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "baz");
range.popFront();
```



```
// <![CDATA[see data run]]>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.cdata);
assert(range.front.text == "see data run");
range.popFront();

// </root>
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "root");
range.popFront();
assert(range.empty);
```



dxml.parser - Attributes

```
auto xml = '<foo width="14" height='27'/>';
auto range = parseXML(xml);
auto attrs = range.front.attributes;
assert(attrs.front.name == "width");
assert(attrs.front.value == "14");
attrs.popFront();
assert(attrs.front.name == "height");
assert(attrs.front.value == "27");
attrs.popFront();
assert(attrs.empty);
```



dxml.parser Helper Functions

skipContents

skipToPath

skipToEntityType

skipToParentEndTag



dxml.parser: skipToPath, skipContents

```
auto xml = "<superuser>\n"
               <foo>\n" ~
                   <bar>\n" ~
                       <baz>text
                   </bar>\n" ~
             </foo>\n" ~
          "</superuser>";
auto range = parseXML!simpleXML(xml);
range = range.skipToPath("foo/bar");
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(range.front.name == "bar");
range = range.skipContents();
assert(range.front.type == EntityType.elementEnd);
assert(range.front.name == "bar");
```



Implementation Notes

• std.algorithm is used minimally.

 Keeping track of the column and line number is not straightforward with using std.algorithm.

• In some cases (e.g. startsWith), using a custom algorithm reduces how often the same data is iterated.



dxml.dom: DOMEntity

```
EntityType type();
TextPos pos();
SliceOfR name();
SliceOfR[] path(); // Not on EntityRange.Entity
auto attributes();
SliceOfR text();
DOMEntity[] children(); // Not on EntityRange.Entity
```



dxml.dom: parseDom

```
auto dom = parseDOM(xml);
auto dom = parseDOM!simpleXML(xml);
auto dom = parseDOM(parseXML(xml));
```



Sample XML



dxml.dom: parseDom

```
auto dom = parseDOM(xml);
// <root>
auto root = dom.children[0];
assert(root.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(root.name == "root");
// <baz>more text </baz>
auto baz = root.children[3];
assert(baz.type == EntityType.elementStart);
assert(baz.name == "baz");
auto text = baz.children[0];
assert(text.type == EntityType.text);
assert(text.text == "more text");
```



dxml.util - parsing

decodeXML / asDecodedXML

parseCharRef

parseStdEntityRef

• stripIndent / withoutIndent



Standard Entity References

```
enum StdEntityRef
   amp = "&", // &
   gt = ">", // >
   lt = "<", // <
   apos = "'", // '
   quot = """, // "
```



dxml.util: decodeXML, asDecodedXML



dxml.util: stripIndent



dxml.util: stripIndent

```
assert (range.front.text
                 int getAnswer()\n"
       п
                 \{ n'' \}
        п
                      return 42;\n" ~
                 }\n" ~
       п
       п
             ");
assert(range.front.text.stripIndent() ==
       "int getAnswer()\n" ~
       "\{ n"
             return 42;\n" ~
       "}"):
```



dxml.util - writing

encodeText

• encodeAttr

encodeCharRef



dxml.util: encodeText, encodeAttr



dxml.writer: XMLWriter

```
auto writer = xmlWriter(appender!string());
writer.writeStartTag("root", Newline.no);
writer.openStartTag("foo");
writer.writeAttr("a", "42");
writer.closeStartTag();
writer.writeText("here is some text");
writer.writeEndTag("foo");
writer.writeEndTag("root");
```



dxml.writer: XMLWriter



struct vs class

• XMLWriter needs to be a reference type.

• It's wasteful to allocate it by default.



struct vs class

- XMLWriter needs to be a reference type.
- It's wasteful to allocate it by default.
- With copying, assignment, and default initialization disabled, a struct can be treated as a reference type without allocating.



struct vs class

- XMLWriter needs to be a reference type.
- It's wasteful to allocate it by default.
- With copying, assignment, and default initialization disabled,
 a struct can be treated as a reference type without allocating.
- A class must be allocated, but scope and -dip1000 arguably would make it reasonable to use scope to avoid that allocation.



Benchmarking

111 MiB file 10 times / 1.1 MiB file 1000 times

dmd

| dxml.parser | 15 seconds | |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| dxm.dom | 35 seconds | 2.33x stax |
| std.xml | 3 minutes 40 seconds | 14.66× stax / 6.28× dom |

ldc

| dxml.parser | 10 seconds | |
|-------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| dxm.dom | 25 seconds | 2.5x stax |
| std.xml | 2 minutes 30 seconds | 15x stax / 6x dom |



Future

- More helper functions.
- Add support for writing from a DOM.
- Add configuration options for skipping some validation?
- Improve error messages.
- Optimizations / implementation improvements.

• Phobos?



Questions?