1 Dual Formulation of Elastic Net with Intercept

First, to write the optimization problem of elastic net in a matrix form, and denote D = [0, I], the optimization problem becomes:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg \min_{\beta} \frac{1}{2} ||y - X\beta||_2^2 + \lambda_1 ||D\beta||_1 + \lambda_2 ||D\beta||_2^2$$

The augmented Lagrangian for the problem is:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}||y - z||_2^2 + \lambda_1||\omega||_1 + \lambda_2||\omega||_2^2 + u^{\tau}(z - X\beta) + v^{\tau}(\omega - D\beta)$$

By taking the derivatives with respect to z and β , we could obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = z - y + u \\ 0 &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \beta} = -X^{\tau}u - D^{\tau}v \end{aligned}$$

Since the first column of X is filled with ones and the first column of D is filled with zeros, the first row of $-X^{\tau}u-D^{\tau}v=0$ gives $\mathbf{1}^{\tau}u=0$ and due to D=[0,I], the rest rows give that $-X_j^{\tau}u=v_j$. Moreover, since the rest dimensions of ω is penalized element-wisely in the augmented Lagrangian, we can minimize over ω by minimizing over each ω_i , $i\geq 2$, that is, we have to minimize $\lambda_1|\omega_i|+\lambda_2\omega_i^2-u^{\tau}X_i\omega_i$ for each dimension of ω , where X_i denotes the ith column of X, therefore:

$$\min_{\omega_i} \lambda_1 |\omega_i| + \lambda_2 \omega_i^2 - u^{\tau} X_i \omega_i$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0 & if \quad |u^{\tau} X_i| \le \lambda_1 \\ -\frac{(\lambda_1 - |u^{\tau} X_i|)^2}{4\lambda_2} & if \quad |u^{\tau} X_i| > \lambda_1 \end{cases}$$

By taking all the above back to the Lagrangian, we obtain the dual problem as:

$$d^* = \min_{u} \frac{1}{2} ||y - u||_{2}^{2} + \sum_{j:|X_{j}^{\tau}u| > \lambda_{1}} \frac{(\lambda_{1} - |u^{\tau}X_{i}|)^{2}}{4\lambda_{2}}$$

$$subject \quad to \quad \mathbf{1}^{\tau}u = 0$$

First denote $f(u) = \sum_{j:|X_j^{\tau}u|>\lambda_1} \frac{(\lambda_1 - |u^{\tau}X_i|)^2}{4\lambda_2}$, clearly f(u) is of quadratic form,

 $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^{\tau}Au + a^{\tau}u + b$, where b is a constant and does not matter in the optimization of the dual problem, A and a are:

$$A = \frac{1}{2\lambda_2} X_E X_E^{\tau}$$

$$E := \left\{ i : |X_i^{\tau} u| > \lambda \right\}$$

$$a = \frac{\lambda_1}{2\lambda_2} \left(\sum_{i: X_i^{\tau} < -\lambda_1} X_i - \sum_{i: X_i^{\tau} > \lambda_1} X_i \right)$$

The dual problem could also be written in a proximal form:

$$\hat{u} = \mathbf{prox}_{\tilde{f}}(y)$$

$$\tilde{f} = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{1}^{\tau}u = 0)f(u) + \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{1}^{\tau}u \neq 0)\infty$$

After transforming f(u) into a quadratic form, we could write the Lagrangian for the dual problem back again:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}||y - u||_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}u^{\tau}Au + a^{\tau}u + b + \lambda \mathbf{1}^{\tau}u$$

By taking the derivative with respect to u, we could obtain:

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial u} = u - y + Au + a + \lambda \mathbf{1} = 0$$

By shifting the terms, u could be written as a formula of y and λ : $u = (I+A)^{-1}(y-a-\lambda \mathbf{1})$, by taking the derivative with respect to y at both sides, we could obtain the Jacobian matrix J of the proximal operator $\mathbf{prox}(\tilde{f})$ at y as:

$$J = (I + A)^{-1} - (I + A)^{-1} \mathbf{1} \nabla (\hat{\lambda})^{\tau}$$

where $\nabla(\hat{\lambda})^{\tau}$ denotes the gradient of λ as a function of y.

By taking $u = (I+A)^{-1}(y-a-\lambda \mathbf{1})$ back to the Lagrangian, the dual problem will become a second-order equation of λ :

$$d^* = \max_{\lambda} \frac{1}{2} ||y - (I+A)^{-1}(y - a - \lambda \mathbf{1})||_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(y - a - \lambda \mathbf{1})^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}(y - a - \lambda \mathbf{1}) + a^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}(y - a - \lambda \mathbf{1}) + \lambda \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}(y - a - \lambda \mathbf{1})$$

More specifically, the second-order term is:

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
1 ^{au} $(I+A)^{-2}$ **1** + $\frac{1}{2}$ **1** ^{au} $(I+A)^{-1}$ $A(I+A)^{-1}$ **1** - **1** ^{au} $(I+A)^{-1}$ **1**

and the first-order term is:

$$2\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}(y-a) - \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-2}(y-a) - \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}(y-a)$$

Thus by solving the second-order equation, we could obtain

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{2\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}(y-a) - \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-2}(y-a) - \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}(y-a)}{\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-2}\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1} - 2\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}}$$

and the gradient

$$\nabla(\hat{\lambda}) = \frac{2(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1} - (I+A)^{-2}\mathbf{1} - (I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-2}\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}A(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1} - 2\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}}$$

By taking the gradient back to $J=(I+A)^{-1}-(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}\nabla(\hat{\lambda})^{\tau}=(I+A)^{-1}-\frac{(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}}{\mathbf{1}^{\tau}(I+A)^{-1}\mathbf{1}}$, we could obtain the Jacobian.