

# Atomistic resolution structure and dynamics of lipid bilayers in simulations and experiments

O. H. Samuli Ollila<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Aalto University*

(Dated: September 28, 2015)

Abstract.

## INTRODUCTION

**1.Samuli: Add citations to the introduction** Atomistic resolution molecular dynamics simulations of lipid bilayers are nowadays widely used technique to seek answer to various research questions. Typically interactions between other biological molecules (e.g. proteins, drugs, ions etc.) and lipids are studied but sometimes also lipid properties are directly under interest. The questions are often biologically motivated and the atomistic resolutions simulations gives very detailed information which is experimentally unattainable.

When simulations are used in this kind of studies, it is necessary to understand the limitations of the method and also the accuracy of the used model. In the pioneering atomistic resolution lipid bilayer simulations the quality of the simulation respect to reality was measured mainly by comparing the acyl chain order parameters and area per molecule between experiments and simulations. Especially some simulation models reproduced these amazingly well which led to the wide usage of these models.

Despite of the success of the models to reproduce the acyl chain properties and molecular density more or less correctly, already early days it was pointed out by comparing simulations to various experiments that the glycerol backbone and choline headgroup order structure may not have been correctly described. However, at the time simulations were very short compared to currently accessible timescales and it was not clear if the molecules had time to sample all the states the model would predict. Also the method to quantitatively measure atomistic resolution molecular dynamics and compare to simulations was not available, thus the real sampling timescales were not known. For these reasons the estimates of the quality of headgroup were inconclusive on the early days of molecular dynamics simulations of lipid bilayers and the issue has gained more attention only very recently.

While the C-H bond order parameters for all hydrocarbon segments are yet the core parameter to quantify the lipid model quality, the area per molecule is quite generally replaced with form factor. The main reason is that the area per molecule is calculated from the scattering data using a model (set of assumptions). Thus, when this value is compared to the value from simulations, the simulations are not compared directly to experiments but to a value which comes from another model (set of assumptions to calculate the area per molecule). For this reason the area per molecule is nowadays replaced by comparison between form factor from simulations and x-ray or neutron scattering.

In this review we discuss the current state of the art methods to compare the atomistic resolution lipid structure and dynamics in simulations to the experiments. The C-H bond order parameters measured with NMR and form factors measured with x-ray or neutron scattering are discussed for structural comparison, and spin lattice relaxation rates for the comparison of dynamics. The main advantages of these parameters are that the experimental techniques are non-invasive, they are measured from multilamellar phase which is practically always present in simulations as well due to periodic boundary conditions and that the compared quantity (order parameter, spin lattice relaxation and form factor) is achieved from the actual experimental data in a robust way. The experimental results from these experimental techniques are also highly reproducible and the measured timescales are appropriate for the comparison to simulations. Also several other experimental parameters and techniques are used to quantify the simulation quality, however, none of these is as robust as order parameters, spin relaxation rates or form factor. The most commonly used other techniques are shortly discussed in the end of the review.

## C-H BOND ORDER PARAMETERS AS ATOMISTIC RESOLUTION STRUCTURAL MEASURE

**Here will be described:**

How are the order parameters measured.

What is the primary experimental observable.

How accurate are the experimental results.

How order parameter is calculated from simulations.

How accurate are the order parameters from simulations.

What can be learned about the structure when comparing order parameters between experiments and simulations

2.This is quite straightforward to write for me and there is quite good support from the work done for NMRLipids project. I will write the first version as soon as I can.

## C-H BOND DYNAMICS FROM SPIN RELAXATION RATES AND SIMULATIONS

Here will be described:

How the rotational dynamics measured by using NMR relaxation experiments.

How the relaxation experiments are connected and compared with simulations.

What can be learned and what has been learned about the rotational dynamics from the comparison between spin relaxation and simulations

3.This is quite straightforward to write for me and there is quite good support from our recent work [1]. I will write the first version as soon as I can.

## STUCTURE FACTORS FROM SCATTERING AND SIMULATIONS

For this section I would be more than happy for some help

Here will be described:

How are the form factors are measured.

What is the primary experimental observable.

On these questions I do not know the answer and it is not exactly clear from where I can find the answers. More specifically:

4.Which is the experimental quantity that the scattering machinery exactly puts out?

How the form factor is determined from the experimental observables?

Which assumptions are needed here?

There is already some discussion about this in the blog by Peter Heftberger and Georg Pabst, but any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids-V-Review/issues/1>

How accurate are the experimental form factors.

5.Has this been discussed in the literature already? Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids-V-Review/issues/2>

How the form factor is calculated from simulations and compared to experimental ones.

6.As far as I have understood, the form factor is simply a Fourier transform of electron density. I have some quick and dirty scripts to calculate those in the NMRLipids III repository:

<https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/blob/master/scratch/FFactor/FFstructCALC.sh>

<https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/tree/master/scratch/FFactor>

However, I have not been able to install the SIMtoEXP program (<http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00232-010-9254-5>) so I have not been able to check my script against the standard method. This should be straightforward issue and should become clear once I check the details. Anyway, any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids-V-Review/issues/3>

How accurate are the calculated form factors from simulations.

7. I think that from statistical point of view accuracy is quite high, however I am not sure about the effect of undulations etc. Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: [https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids\\_V-Review/issues/4](https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/4)

What can be learned about the structure when comparing the form factors between experiments and simulations

8. I have thought that if the form factor is reproduced by the simulation, the electron density profile should be reasonable. However, since some people are tuning the peak highs for better agreement, I am not sure. There is also some connection to the thickness. There is already some discussion about this in the blog with Peter Heftberger and Georg Pabst. Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: [https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids\\_V-Review/issues/5](https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/5)

## CONCLUSIONS

\* samuli.ollila@aalto.fi

[1] T. M. Ferreira, O. H. S. Ollila, R. Pigliapochi, A. P. Dabkowska, and D. Topgaard, J. Chem. Phys. **142**, 044905 (2015), URL <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/jcp/142/4/10.1063/1.4906274>.

## ToDo

	P.
1. Samuli: Add citations to the introduction . . . . .	1
2. This is quite straightforward to write for me and there is quite good support from the work done for NMRLipids project. I will write the first version as soon as I can. . . . .	2
3. This is quite straightforward to write for me and there is quite good support from our recent work [1]. I will write the first version as soon as I can. . . . .	2
4. Which is the experimental quantity that the scattering machinery exactly puts out? How the form factor is determined from the experimental observables? Which assumptions are needed here? There is already some discussion about this in the blog by Peter Heftberger and Georg Pabst, but any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/1">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/1</a> . . . . .	2
5. Has this been discussed in the literature already? Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/2">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/2</a> . . . . .	2
6. As far as I have understood, the form factor is simply a Fourier transform of electron density. I have some quick and dirty scripts to calculate those in the NMRLipids III repository: <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/blob/master/scratch/FFactor/FFstructCALC.sh">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/blob/master/scratch/FFactor/FFstructCALC.sh</a> <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/tree/master/scratch/FFactor">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NmrLipidsCholXray/tree/master/scratch/FFactor</a> However, I have not been able to install the SIMtoEXP program ( <a href="http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00232-0">http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs00232-0</a> ) so I have not been able to check my script against the standard method. This should be straightforward issue and should become clear once I check the details. Anyway, any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/3">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/3</a> . . . . .	2
7. I think that from statistical point of view accuracy is quite high, however I am not sure about the effect of undulations etc. Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: <a href="https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/4">https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/4</a> .	3

8. I have thought that if the form factor is reproduced by the simulation, the electron density profile should be reasonable. However, since some people are tuning the peak highs for better agreement, I am not sure. There is also some connection to the thickness. There is already some discussion about this in the blog with Peter Heftberger and Georg Pabst. Any kind of information from full explanation with citations to the hints of relevant literature are helpful here. The more detailed discussion can be found at: [https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids\\_V-Review/issues/5](https://github.com/NMRLipids/NMRLipids_V-Review/issues/5) . . . . .