

Firstname	Surname	ID									
H62ECC Coursework - ASSESSMENT CRITERIA FORM - Coursework 2 - Renewable Energy Systems										0 %	
Weighting (% contribution to component may vary)											
Criteria	Very Poor	Poor	Fair	Adequate	Good	Very Good	Excellent	Component Worth %	Component Grade %	Grade Contribution %	
1. Renewable energy territory and technology review (15%)	Fails to meet the standards described in next category.	Limited and inappropriate review of technology and the drivers for technology used.		A reasonable review of technology and believable justification of the drivers and enablers. Some incorrect assumptions and statements.	A reasonable review of technology and believable justification of the drivers and enablers. Statement and assumptions are correct.	A very good technology review and justification. Demonstrated an excellent understanding of the drivers for the technology.	An excellent technology review with relevant references capturing the latest state of art. Demonstrated an excellent understanding of the drivers for the technology and future needs.	15	0	0	
2. Technical review, requirements and key elements part of the RE conversion system (25%)	Fails to summarise the key elements and requirements of the technology.	The components described have minimal relevance and are not capturing the key components.		A reasonable outline of the system design with limited description of the sub-systems. Showing limited understanding of the components required.	A good summary of the key specification is given showing good understanding of the fundamental elements of the system architecture.	Quality summary of the key specification is given showing very good understanding of the fundamental elements of the system architecture.	An excellent technology summary. List of components is provided with a clear description of their functionality. Understanding of the requirements/specifications and their influence to the system.	25	0	0	
3. Description of the RE electrical system implemented and justification of technology used (25%)	Fails to describe the system under study.	A limited outline of the system architecture and justification of its implementation.		A reasonable outline of the RE and electrical system design with reasonable justifications of the current technology.	Good outline of the RE electrical system design with reasonable justifications of the current technology.	A quality system design description with an original description of the technology.	An excellent system design description with clear insights into the technology and wider considerations with original description.	25	0	0	
4. Technology Roadmaps and potential growth/expansion (15%)	Fails to identify the RE technology roadmaps.	Description is not capturing relevant technology roadmaps.		A reasonable outline of the technology roadmaps with limited description of the potential for expansion.	A good summary of the potential growth is given showing good understanding of the fundamental enablers.	Quality summary of the technology roadmaps is given showing very good understanding of the fundamental enablers.	An excellent overview and projection for future technology expansion, with a clear description of how this can be achieved and timeline.	15	0	0	
5. General: Quality, impact and structure of the poster (10%)	Ideas not presented and a poor quality poster with little information or context.	Ideas difficult to follow with little or no use of illustration; Figures are of poor quality. It is unclear what message diagrams convey.		Appropriate use of diagrams, figures and tables that are mostly clear. Poster sections of appropriate length and reasonable sequence and distribution.	The poster is structured well with well-balanced sections. Reasonable use of diagrams, figures and tables. Poster sections of good length with good sequence and distribution.	The poster is structured well with well-balanced sections. Excellent use of diagrams that may be original and clear. Sections of good length with good sequence and distribution.	Quality structure and impact of the poster with excellent use of layout and sections. Excellent use of diagrams that are original and clear.	10	0	0	
6. General: Formatting, language and presentation of poster (10%)	Spelling and grammar contain large number of mistakes. Figures are distorted or poor quality and not referenced. No references were given.	Spelling and grammar contain a large number of mistakes. Figures are not all referenced.		Text written in student's own words is reasonably clear; ideas easy to follow. Largely correct grammar and use of language. All diagrams are referenced unless produced by the student.	Text written in student's own words is clear and concise with correct use of language. Figures and tables mostly cross-referenced in text.	Ideas are generally logically developed and clearly explained. Clear, concise and correct use of language. Figures cross-referenced in text and tables summarise the key elements of the technology.	Complex ideas are logically developed and clearly explained. Clear, concise and correct use of language. Figures cross-referenced in text and tables summarise the key elements of the technology.	10	0	0	
								100		0	
General Comments											