## Notes from the mandatory meeting with TA on 4.04

15:15 - Beginning of the meeting

The meeting was slightly postponed because of the absence of one of the team members. Unfortunately, we needed to proceed without him.

15:17 - Actual beginning of the meeting

15:18 - Product Pitch and HUE discussion

The deadlines for the assignments were extended because of a mistake on the side of the course organizer, therefore:

- deadlines for this Friday were moved to this Tuesday
- deadlines for next Thursday were moved to next Friday

Note: Heuristic Usability Evaluation should include images that clearly indicate the progress made on the basis of the feedback received.

We have agreed to split the work among the team members for the final draft of the Heuristic Usability Evaluation.

This week, we are aiming to finish the coding part of the course, we have also fulfilled almost all of the requirements from the backlog and could therefore facilitate time for code cleanup, extra features or polishing the application.

Note: We are pretty advanced in terms of Product but we cannot forget about the process. In particular, we ought to remember even task division and assigning tags and weights to tasks, as they are included in the grading part of the Process.

Particular team members may have received emails reminding them about the low contribution from a flagging system.

(Seeing the GitInspector part was skipped as the question anticipated the upcoming section)

Note: GitInspector was prohibited from being shown to teams as a measure to tackle the incentive to stop working in case of people with high code contributions.

A question about the long polling has appeared and we explained that it is indeed incorporated in the application, therefore fulfilling one of the requirements.

## 15:23 - Stand-up session

We have shown new features from our application:

- the server is chosen at the beginning of the application
- basic error handling is implemented when the server doesn't exist
- numerous UI improvements
- added username in the overview for clarity
- password requirement for users that didn't join a locked board
- global customization for the board and cards and individual customization for cards
- customization features synchronization
- shortcuts

Note: Password protection shouldn't be combined with the user feature. The anticipated approach is by using Spring Security due to the possibility of violations in custom implementations of security features.

We asked the TA to explain the exact issues in our product pitch script.

## Note:

- the introduction sounds like an ad instead of an introduction and should be changed
- creativity in the product pitch is appreciated but cannot make it look, sound and feel like an ad

## 15:40 - Summary of action points

Next week, we have to finish the product pitch, product and Heuristic Usability Evaluation. The oral examination might incorporate questions about the snippets of our code, especially sections in that certain members contributed the least.

We have raised a question about an *initializ* method as a way to tackle the initialization issue with web sockets.

Note: There is a neat way to solve the initialize method issue.

Note: Almost all team members have already passed the meetings part since we have one exemption left and only one meeting remaining.