```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;
int findMax(int a[], int arraySize){
 int max = a[arraySize-1];
  for (int i = arraySize-2; i>=0; i--)(
   if(a[i] > max)
     max = a[i];
 return max;
int findCount(int a[], int arraySize){
 int count = 0;
 int max2 = findMax(a, arraySize);
  for(int i = 0; max2 >= 1; i++){
   max2 /= 10;
   count = i+I;
 return count;
void Print(int a[], int arraySize){
 for(int i = 0; i<arraySize; i++){</pre>
   cout<<a[i]<<" ";
void digitSort(int a[], int arraySize, int x){
 int digitcopy[IO] = {0};
 int sorted[arraySize];
    digitcopy[(a[i]/x)%10]++;
  for (int i = 1; i<10; i++){
   digitcopy[i] += digitcopy[i-I];
  for (int i = arraySize-I; i>= o; i--)
```

The bottlenecking function in my radix sort is the digitsort function, which causes a runtime of O(3N), which is essentially linear run time, as constants/coefficients do not get factored into the simplified runtime.

D. My sort is stable as I use strictly less than, meaning that duplicates will not be sorted with each other.

```
for (int i = I; i<Io; i++){
    digitcopy[i] += digitcopy[i-I];
}
for(int i = arraySize-I; i>= o; i--){    Linear run time once again, and causes part of the bottleneck.
    sorted[digitcopy[(a[i]/x)%Io]-I] = a[i];
    digitcopy[(a[i]/x)%Io]--;
}
for(int i = o; i<arraySize; i++){    Causes linear runtime yet again.
    a[i] = sorted[i];
}</pre>
```

E. I was unable to get my algorithm to work using constant space, unfortunately. However, I know that using constant space would give the massive advantage in that the size of the array I am sorting wouldn't matter to the sort itself, meaning that if I'm sorting an array that takes half my memory, it will actually be sorted, unlike in a case where O(N) space is used.