Lab 5

George Onwubuya

November 6, 2018

1 Reduction Sum

1.1 Output

Setting up the problem...0.000019 s
Input size = 1000
Allocating device variables...0.203124 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000065 s
Launching kernel...0.000103 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000052 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000057 s
Input size = 2000
Allocating device variables...0.176309 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000059 s
Launching kernel...0.000123 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000035 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000053 s
Input size = 4000
Allocating device variables...0.179124 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000068 s
Launching kernel...0.000105 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000026 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000095 s
Input size = 8000
Allocating device variables...0.177338 s

Copying data from host to device...0.000086 s Launching kernel...0.000115 s Copying data from device to host...0.000026 s Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000184 s
Input size = 16000
Allocating device variables...0.140137 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000087 s
Launching kernel...0.000099 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000023 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000385 s
Input size = 32000
Allocating device variables...0.155782 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000166 s
Launching kernel...0.000108 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000026 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000803 s
Input size = 64000
Allocating device variables...0.141736 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000190 s
Launching kernel...0.000108 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000026 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.001595 s
Input size = 128000
Allocating device variables...0.139249 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000328 s
Launching kernel...0.000107 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000036 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.010696 s
 Input size = 1000000
Allocating device variables...0.158959 s
Copying data from host to device...0.002293 s

Launching kernel...0.000158 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000028 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.019773 s
Input size = 2000000
Allocating device variables...0.187990 s
Copying data from host to device...0.004141 s
Launching kernel...0.000205 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000039 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.038021 s
Input size = 4000000
Allocating device variables...0.181643 s
Copying data from host to device...0.007546 s
Launching kernel...0.000308 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000046 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

1.2 Performance Analysis

1.2.1 Array Size

Execution Time (seconds) for Each Process								
Elements(m)	Setting Up	DeviceVar	HostToDevice	Kernel	DeviceToHost			
1000	0.000019	0.203124	0.000065	0.000103	0.000052			
2000	0.000057	0.176309	0.000059	0.000123	0.000035			
4000	0.000053	0.179124	0.000068	0.000105	0.000026			
8000	0.000095	0.177338	0.000086	0.000115	0.000026			
16000	0.000184	0.140137	0.000087	0.000099	0.000023			
32000	0.000385	0.155782	0.000166	0.000108	0.000026			
64000	0.000803	0.141736	0.000190	0.000108	0.000026			
128000	0.001595	0.139249	0.000328	0.000107	0.000036			
1000000	0.010696	0.158959	0.002293	0.000158	0.000028			
2000000	0.019773	0.187990	0.004141	0.000205	0.000039			
4000000	0.038021	0.181643	0.007546	0.000308	0.000046			

1.2.2 Comments

The different execution times relate to the number of elements in different ways. The execution times for allocating device variables generally are similar because the same device variables will be allocated regardless of the size of the array.

The execution times for setting up the problem and copying data from host to device are directly proportional to the number of elements. I assumed that the time taken to launch the kernel would follow the same trend but the results do not show this trend. This maybe due to the fact that a noticeable difference can be observed with large element array sizes only. The time it takes to copy data from the device to the host is generally the same because we one value is copied to host.

1.3 Answers

1.3.1 a

A single thread block will synchronize about $log_2(BlockSize)$.

1.3.2 b

Every thread should minimally perform one operation and that is the loading of the elements into shared memory. The maximum number of 'real' operations would $1 + \log_2(BlockSize)$. The average number of 'real' operations would be $(1 + \log_2(BlockSize))/BlockSize$

1.4 Kernel

```
*****************************
             (C) Copyright 2010 The Board of Trustees of the
   *cr
   *cr
                     University of Illinois
                      All Rights Reserved
   *cr
   *cr
     #define BLOCK_SIZE 512
9
  //#define SIMPLE
10
11
  __global__ void reduction(float *out, float *in, unsigned size)
12
13
14
     Load a segment of the input vector into shared memory
15
     Traverse the reduction tree
16
     Write the computed sum to the output vector at the correct
17
     index
18
     ******************************
19
20
```

```
#ifdef SIMPLE
21
                          __shared__ float in_s[2*BLOCK_SIZE];
22
                         int idx = 2 * blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
23
                         in_s[threadIdx.x]
                                                                                                                          = ((idx)
                                                                                                                                                                                                < size)?
25
                          \rightarrow in[idx]:
                                                                                                         0.0f);
                          in_s[threadIdx.x+BLOCK_SIZE] = ((idx + BLOCK_SIZE < size)?</pre>
26

    in[idx+BLOCK_SIZE]: 0.0f);

27
                         for(int stride = 1; stride < BLOCK_SIZE<<1; stride <<= 1) {</pre>
                                       __syncthreads();
29
                                       if(threadIdx.x % stride == 0)
30
                                                                 in_s[2*threadIdx.x] += in_s[2*threadIdx.x +
31

    stride];

                         }
32
33
            #else
34
35
                         // INSERT KERNEL CODE HERE
                          __shared__ float in_s[BLOCK_SIZE];
37
                          int idx =2*blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
                          in_s[threadIdx.x] = ((idx < size) ? in[idx] : 0.0f) + ((idx + idx) + idx) + (idx + i
                           \rightarrow BLOCK_SIZE < size) ? in[idx + BLOCK_SIZE]: 0.0f);
                         for(int stride = BLOCK_SIZE/2; stride >= 1; stride >>= 1){
42
                          __syncthreads();
44
45
                                       if(threadIdx.x < stride)</pre>
                                                                 in_s[threadIdx.x] += in_s[threadIdx.x + stride];
48
                         }
49
51
52
53
             #endif
55
                          if(threadIdx.x == 0)
56
                                       out[blockIdx.x] = in_s[0];
57
           }
```

2 Prefix Scan

2.1 Output

Setting up the problem...0.000021 s
Input size = 1000
Allocating device variables...0.160909 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000054 s
Launching kernel...0.000112 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000029 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000032 s
Input size = 2000
Allocating device variables...0.182564 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000061 s
Launching kernel...0.000342 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000037 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000062 s
Input size = 4000
Allocating device variables...0.179288 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000069 s
Launching kernel...0.000363 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000040 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000132 s
Input size = 8000
Allocating device variables...0.139090 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000067 s
Launching kernel...0.000324 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000045 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000205 s
Input size = 16000
Allocating device variables...0.163738 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000106 s
Launching kernel...0.000346 s

Copying data from device to host...0.000056 s Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000459 s
Input size = 32000
Allocating device variables...0.154225 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000128 s
Launching kernel...0.000349 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000154 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.000772 s
Input size = 64000
Allocating device variables...0.137835 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000227 s
Launching kernel...0.000356 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000261 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.001514 s
Input size = 128000
Allocating device variables...0.140842 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000374 s
Launching kernel...0.000365 s
Copying data from device to host...0.000512 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.002899 s
Input size = 256000
Allocating device variables...0.141219 s
Copying data from host to device...0.000667 s
Launching kernel...0.000377 s
Copying data from device to host...0.001167 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.010954 s
Input size = 1000000
Allocating device variables...0.140619 s
Copying data from host to device...0.002301 s
Launching kernel...0.000527 s
Copying data from device to host...0.002632 s

Verifying results...TEST PASSED

Setting up the problem...0.020591 s
Input size = 2000000
Allocating device variables...0.156192 s
Copying data from host to device...0.004212 s
Launching kernel...0.000766 s
Copying data from device to host...0.005400 s
Verifying results...TEST PASSED

2.2 Performance Analysis

2.2.1 Array Size

Execution Time (seconds) for Each Process								
Elements(m)	Setting Up	DeviceVar	HostToDevice	Kernel	DeviceToHost			
1000	0.000021	0.160909	0.000054	0.000112	0.000029			
2000	0.000032	0.182564	0.000061	0.000342	0.000037			
4000	0.000062	0.179288	0.000069	0.000363	0.000040			
8000	0.000132	0.139090	0.000067	0.000324	0.000045			
16000	0.000205	0.163738	0.000106	0.000346	0.000056			
32000	0.000459	0.154225	0.000128	0.000349	0.000154			
64000	0.000772	0.137835	0.000227	0.000356	0.000261			
128000	0.001514	0.140842	0.000374	0.000365	0.000512			
256000	0.002899	0.141219	0.000667	0.000377	0.001167			
1000000	0.010954	0.140619	0.002301	0.000527	0.002632			
2000000	0.020591	0.156192	0.004212	0.000766	0.005400			

2.2.2 Comments

The different execution times relate to the number of elements in different ways. The execution times for allocating device variables generally are similar because the same device variables will be allocated regardless of the size of the array. The execution times for setting up the problem, copying data from host to device and vice-versa are directly proportional to the number of elements. I assumed that the time taken to launch the kernel would follow the same trend but the results do not support this assumption. Maybe it has to do with the fact that a noticeable difference in launch time can only be observed with large elements. The code 'fails' after two million elements because of the floating point limitations that produce inaccurate results which exceed the relative error.

2.3 Answers

2.3.1 a

In the code, a thread block or a block size is defined as 512 which is a multiple of 2. There is a check that ensures when the global index exceeds the size of the input array, the remaining threads in the thread block load zeroes. To improve the speed up performance of the code the input elements were loaded into shared memory which is faster than global memory. Mathematical operations found in the up sweep and down sweep portions of the kernel such as multiplying or dividing by two were defined using binary shift which is faster than its arithmetic counterpart. To improve the efficient use of the memory banks, a memory bank offset was calculated and added to the different points in the shared memory in order to avoid memory banking conflicts.

2.4 Kernel

```
*************************
    *cr
                  (C) Copyright 2010 The Board of Trustees of the
    *cr
                            University of Illinois
    *cr
                             All Rights Reserved
    *cr
         **********************************
   #define BLOCK_SIZE 512
9
10
   #define NUM_BANKS 32
11
   #define LOG_NUM_BANKS 5
12
13
   #ifdef ZERO_BANK_CONFLICTS
   #define CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(n) ((n) >> NUM_BANKS + (n) >> (2 *
15
   → LOG_NUM_BANKS))
16
   #define CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(n) ((n) >> LOG_NUM_BANKS)
17
   #endif
18
19
20
21
   // Define your kernels in this file you may use more than one
   → kernel if you
   // need to
23
24
   // INSERT KERNEL(S) HERE
25
26
```

```
__global__ void preScanKernel(float *out, float *in, unsigned
       size, float *sum){
        // INSERT CODE HERE
28
            __shared__ float a_s[(2 * BLOCK_SIZE) +
            int idx = 2 * blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
30
            int thid = threadIdx.x;
            thid += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(thid);
33
            int thid_BS = threadIdx.x + BLOCK_SIZE;
           thid_BS += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(thid_BS);
36
                         = ((idx)
           a_s[thid]
                                               < size)? in[idx]:
37
            \rightarrow 0.0f);
            a_s[thid_BS] = ((idx + BLOCK_SIZE < size)?</pre>

    in[idx+BLOCK_SIZE]: 0.0f);

39
40
            unsigned int ai, bi;
            unsigned int numThreads, stride;
42
            for(numThreads = BLOCK_SIZE, stride = 1; numThreads > 0;
44
            \rightarrow numThreads >>= 1, stride <<= 1){
45
                    ai = (2 * threadIdx.x * stride + stride - 1);
46
                    bi = (2 * threadIdx.x * stride + 2 * stride - 1);
                    ai += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(ai);
49
                    bi += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(bi);
50
            __syncthreads();
52
53
                    if(threadIdx.x < numThreads)</pre>
                            a_s[bi] += a_s[ai];
            }
56
            if(threadIdx.x == 0){
                    int last_elem = 2 * BLOCK_SIZE - 1;
                    last_elem += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(last_elem);
60
                    if(sum != NULL){
                            sum[blockIdx.x] = a_s[last_elem];
62
                    a_s[last_elem] = 0;
64
            }
66
```

```
for(numThreads = 1, stride = BLOCK_SIZE; numThreads <=</pre>
68
            → BLOCK_SIZE; numThreads <<= 1, stride >>= 1){
69
                   ai = (2 * threadIdx.x * stride + stride - 1);
                   bi = (2 * threadIdx.x * stride + 2 * stride - 1);
71
                   ai += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(ai);
                   bi += CONFLICT_FREE_OFFSET(bi);
75
                   __syncthreads();
                   if(threadIdx.x < numThreads){</pre>
                   float temp = a_s[bi];
79
                   a_s[bi] += a_s[ai];
80
                   a_s[ai] = temp;
                   __syncthreads();
           }
           if(idx < size)</pre>
           out[idx] = a_s[thid];
86
           if(idx + BLOCK_SIZE < size)</pre>
           out[idx + BLOCK_SIZE] = a_s[thid_BS];
90
   }
91
92
    __global__ void addKernel(float *out, float *sum, unsigned size)
94
95
       // INSERT CODE HERE
96
           int idx = 2 * blockIdx.x * blockDim.x + threadIdx.x;
           if(idx < size)</pre>
99
           out[idx] += sum[blockIdx.x];
101
           if(idx + BLOCK_SIZE < size)</pre>
           out[idx + BLOCK_SIZE] += sum[blockIdx.x];
103
105
    107
   Setup and invoke your kernel(s) in this function. You may also
    \rightarrow allocate more
   GPU memory if you need to
       *****************************
    void preScan(float *out, float *in, unsigned in_size)
```

```
{
112
             float *sum;
             unsigned num_blocks;
114
             cudaError_t cuda_ret;
             dim3 dim_grid, dim_block;
116
117
             num_blocks = in_size/(BLOCK_SIZE*2);
118
             if(in_size%(BLOCK_SIZE*2) !=0) num_blocks++;
119
120
             dim_block.x = BLOCK_SIZE; dim_block.y = 1; dim_block.z =
             dim_grid.x = num_blocks; dim_grid.y = 1; dim_grid.z = 1;
122
123
             if(num_blocks > 1) {
124
                     cuda_ret = cudaMalloc((void**)&sum,

→ num_blocks*sizeof(float));
                     if(cuda_ret != cudaSuccess) FATAL("Unable to
126

¬ allocate device memory");
                     preScanKernel<<<dim_grid, dim_block>>>(out, in,
128

    in_size, sum);

                     preScan(sum, sum, num_blocks);
129
                     addKernel <<< dim_grid, dim_block>>> (out, sum,
130

    in_size);
131
                     cudaFree(sum);
132
             }
             else
134
                     preScanKernel<<<dim_grid, dim_block>>>(out, in,
135

    in_size, NULL);
    }
```