

## ColorLang — Phase 2 Research Plan

Date: November 7, 2025

Purpose: Define a falsifiable, reproducible Phase 2 program to validate or refine ColorLang's claims through rigorous benchmarks, integrity advances, and scale testing.

### Objectives

Validate compression advantage on diverse corpora vs. strong baselines.

Improve robustness via integrity checks and error-correcting encoding.

Characterize performance at scale; explore GPU-backed execution/rendering.

### Workstreams

1) Build corpus and benchmarks (WS1)

2) Integrity + ECC layer (WS2)

3) Scale tests + GPU prototype (WS3)

### WS1 — Corpus and Benchmarks

Corpus tiers:

Tier A (Low entropy): uniform blocks, palettes, tile repetition.

Tier B (Structured): gradients, sparse instruction clusters, typical UI grids.

Tier C (High entropy): pseudo-random color noise controls.

Baselines:

Generic: PNG, WebP-lossless, PNG+zstd, WebP+zstd.

ColorLang: palette, RLE, hybrid; pattern re-introduced with stringified keys.

Protocol:

Compute size (bytes), ratio  $r = S_c/S_o$ , savings  $(1-r)$ .

Decode speed: ms to reconstruct image/program; VM parse+decode throughput (pix/ms).

Optional: full run time for small programs (VM cycles/s).

Reproducibility:

Fix Python version and libs; pin seeds for synthetic corpora.

Emit bench\_results.json per run with metadata (env, commit, params).

## WS2 — Integrity + ECC Layer

Region checksums: per tile (e.g.,  $16 \times 16$ ) SHA-256; optional Merkle over tiles.

ECC palettes: assign hue bands with parity bits or redundant channels.

Robust decode:

Tolerate  $\pm \delta$  hue perturbations; majority vote across redundant pixels.

Validate opcode-class band before operand extraction.

Security hardening: strict bounds, structured exceptions, fuzz target.

## WS3 — Scale Tests + GPU Prototype

Scale matrix: grid sizes  $\{512^2, 1024^2, 2048^2, 4096^2\}$ .

Metrics: render time, parse time, memory footprint, throughput (pix/ms).

GPU path (prototype):

Use a GPU texture to store HSV grid; shader/kernel to map pixels  $\rightarrow$  opcodes.

CPU compare: ensure semantic equivalence for a subset.

Goal: feasibility study, not production-ready speed.

## Metrics and Success Criteria

Compression advantage: Median savings of Hybrid vs. PNG+zstd  $\geq 5\%$  across Tier A/B; allow Tier C parity.

Decode performance: ColorLang decode + parse within  $\pm 10\%$  of PNG decode on Tier A/B.

Robustness: Misdecode rate  $< 1e-6$  under hue noise  $\delta \leq 2$  units using ECC.

Scale viability: 2048<sup>2</sup> grids render+parse in  $< 250$  ms on reference machine.

## Risks and Mitigations

Synthetic bias  $\rightarrow$  Include Tier C controls; report per-tier.

Timing noise  $\rightarrow$  Use high-resolution timers; repeat runs; report variance.

Serialization limits  $\rightarrow$  Stringify pattern keys; consider binary container v2.

GPU complexity  $\rightarrow$  Limit to shader prototype and equivalence tests.

## Deliverables

D1: bench/ harness with dataset generator, codec runners, and report emitter.

D2: Integrity/ECC module integrated into parser/VM; docs and tests.

D3: Scale benchmark report with CPU vs. GPU proto comparison.

D4: Updated thesis appendix with Phase 2 results and discussion.

## Environment and Repro Steps (planned)

pwsh

python -m venv .venv

.\.venv\Scripts\Activate.ps1

python -m pip install -U pip wheel

pip install -r requirements.txt

python bench\run\_benchmarks.py

## Timeline (indicative)

Week 1–2: WS1 corpus + baseline harness; initial results.

Week 3: WS2 integrity/ECC, fuzzing, robustness assessment.

Week 4: WS3 scale tests, GPU prototype, consolidate report.

## Decision Gates

If median savings < 5% vs. PNG+zstd, reposition claims to “competitive” rather than superior.

If robustness targets fail, prioritize ECC redesign before scale.

If GPU path shows no advantage, deprioritize for Phase 3.

## Appendices

A: Metric definitions and formulas.

B: Proposed JSON schema for bench\_results.json.

C: Threats to validity alignment with Challenger Review.