FOR AUGUST 2022 WORKSHOP

1. Linearization

Expanding to first order about x:

$$f(x + \Delta x) \approx f(x) + J\Delta x,$$

where Jacobian $\mathbf{J} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_f \times n_x}$. Now writing residual $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) \approx \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$,

$$\mathbf{J}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r},$$

which is a linear least squares problem with solution:

$$\Delta \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{J})^{-1} \mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{r}$$

For an objective function $\phi = ||\mathbf{d} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})||$, you can prove with a little multivariable calculus that gradient $\nabla_x \phi = -\mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{r}$, which sort of all begins to make sense now, as the new

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} - \gamma \nabla_x \phi$$

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{x}$$

with Hessian inverse approximated by $(\mathbf{J}^t\mathbf{J})^{-1}$ as step length γ . The direction to walk down (since gradient points up) can be identified with $-\nabla_x \phi$ given by $\mathbf{J}^t\mathbf{r}$. If we are not in the vicinity of the minimum we repeat this step various times, with a new linearization about \mathbf{x}' .

2. A System

(6)
$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e_2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_2 \\ y_4 \\ y_9 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_2 \\ \mathbf{e}_4 \\ \mathbf{e}_9 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\phi = ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}||^2,$$

(10)
$$set \nabla_x \phi = 0,$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{A})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{d}.$$

(12)
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{ridge}} = (\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{A} + \delta^{2}\mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{d}.$$

(13)
$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & & & \\ -1 & 1 & & 0 \\ & -1 & 1 & \\ & & & \ddots \\ & 0 & & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -x_1 + x_2 \\ -x_2 + x_3 \\ \vdots \\ -x_{n-1} x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\phi =$$

(17)
$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{occam}} = (\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{A} + \lambda^{2}\mathbf{R}^{t}\mathbf{R})^{-1}\mathbf{A}^{t}\mathbf{d}.$$

$$(18) k_i^2 = k_r^2$$

$$(20)$$
 wl

$$\phi = ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}||^2 + \lambda^2 ||\mathbf{R}\mathbf{x}||^2,$$

set
$$\nabla_x \phi = 0$$
,

$$\mathbf{\hat{x}}_{\text{smooth}} = (\mathbf{A^t}\mathbf{A} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R^t}\mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{A^t} \mathbf{d}$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{occam}} = (\mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{A} + \lambda^{2} \mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{A}^{\mathbf{t}} \mathbf{d}.$$

$$k_i^2 = k_r^2 + k_{i_z}^2$$

$$=\hat{\epsilon}_i\mu\omega^2,$$

where $\hat{\epsilon}_i = \epsilon_i + i\sigma_i/\omega$. But for most geophysics ...

$$k_i^2 = \epsilon_i \mu \omega^2 + i \omega \mu \sigma_i$$

$$\approx i\omega\mu\sigma_i$$

$$d = f(m)$$

$$\phi(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\left| \left| \mathbf{d} - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}) \right| \right|^2 + \lambda^2 \left| \left| \mathbf{R} \mathbf{m} \right| \right|^2 \right),$$

but how to set $\nabla_m \phi = 0$?

linearize $\phi(\mathbf{m})$ to $\phi(\mathbf{m} + \Delta \mathbf{m})$ i.e.,

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}) \to \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m} + \Delta \mathbf{m}), \mathbf{R}\mathbf{m} \to \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{m} + \Delta \mathbf{m}) \text{ first.}$$

$$\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{m}) pprox \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}) + \mathbf{J}\mathbf{\Delta}\mathbf{m}.$$

first write residual $\mathbf{r} \approx \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{m}) - \mathbf{d}$

derive with respect to $\Delta \mathbf{m}$,

set
$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Delta \mathbf{m}} = 0$$
, giving,

$$\mathbf{\Delta m} = -\left(\mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{J} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R}\right)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{r} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R} \mathbf{m}\right)$$

note also, that $\nabla_m \phi = \mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{r} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{Rm}$.

note finally, that
$$\frac{\partial (\nabla_m \phi)}{\partial \mathbf{m}} = \mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{J} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R}$$
.

$$m_{\rm new} = m + \Delta m$$

writing
$$\nabla_m \phi = \mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{r} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{Rm}$$
,

and
$$\eta = \left(\mathbf{J}^t \mathbf{J} + \lambda^2 \mathbf{R}^t \mathbf{R}\right)^{-1}$$
 we now say,

$$\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{new}} = \mathbf{m} - \eta \nabla_m \phi$$