# Manuscxript drafts

#### Gerbrich Ferdinands

## 1/14/2020

## Analysis strategy

Goal: evaluate performance of different models of the ASReview tool.

### The software

ASReview takes the following parameters/arguments:

|                               | Configurations  |
|-------------------------------|---|
| Models                        | 2-Layer Neural Network, Naive Bayes, Random Forest, Support |
|                               | Vector Machine, Logistic Regression                         |
| Query Strategies              | Cluster Sampling, Maximum Sampling, Cluster * Maximum       |
|                               | Sampling, Maximum * Uncertainty Sampling, Maximum * Random  |
|                               | Sampling, Cluster * Uncertainty Sampling, Cluster * Random  |
|                               | Sampling  |
| Feature extraction strategies | Doc2Vec, TF-IDF, sbert, embeddingIdf                        |

Use these inputs to predict relevance of papers.

### Stage 1: hyperparameter optimization

We are going to test 5 models on 5 different datasets.

Datasets
ptsd
ace
hall

nagtegaal - van PhD van Lars

medische van Jan

#### Models

- Naive Bayes
- Random Forests
- Support Vecor Machine
- Logistic Regression
- Dense Neural Network

#### Or, more specific:

| Models   | Feature extraction strategies |
|----------|-------------------------------|
| dense_nn | doc2vec                       |
| nb       | tfidf                         |
| rf       | tfidf                         |
| svm      | doc2vec                       |
| lr       | tfidf                         |

The other parameters remain fixed over the 5 models:

- Query Strategy = max
- Balance Strategy = triple
- n\_instances=10 (number of papers each query)
- n prior included = 5
- $n_{prior}$  excluded = 5

#### Hyperparameters

Every model has its own set of hyperparameters:

#### Optimization

The hyperparameters are optimized on the 5 datasets in three different ways:

- 1 on 1: maximum performance
- 4 on 1: cross-validation
- 5 on 1: more data = more better?

This results (5+5+1)\*5 sets of hyperparameters.

## Stage 2: simulation

for every for every model (5), for every dataset (5) and for every set of optimized hyperparameters (3), a simulation study is performed. From these 5\*5\*3=75 simulation studies, performance of the different models is evaluated.

#### Outcomes

Several metrics are used to compare performance of different models over datasets,

| Dataset   | Naive<br>Bayes | Random<br>Forests | Support<br>Vector<br>Machine | Logistic<br>Regression | Dense<br>Neural<br>Network |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| ptsd      | ?              |                   |                              |                        |                            |
| ace       | ?              |                   |                              |                        |                            |
| hall      | ?              |                   |                              |                        |                            |
| nagtegaal | ?              |                   |                              |                        |                            |
| ••••      | ?              |                   |                              |                        |                            |

<sup>?</sup> How to compare outcomes of 3 different optimization strategies?

## Appendix A - list of definitions

Machine learning algorithms cannot predict the relevance of abstracts from the raw texts as they are. The content of the texts needs to be transformed into numerical representations. The process of transforming texts to numerical feature vectors is called word embeddings.

A classical example of word embeddings is 'bag of words'. For each each text, the number of occurrences of each word is stored. This leads to n features, where n is the number of distinct words in the texts. (Pedregosa et al. 2011)

Word embeddings allows ASReview to predict relevance of abstracts from the features of abstracts of which relevance is known.

corpus = all the text:

ASReview implements several feature extraction strategies. The following will be compared:

The model is typically a learning algorithm used to predict the relevance of text.

Active learning = increasing classification performance with every query. The query strategy determines the way unlabeled papers are queried to the researcher.

(Danka and Horvath, n.d.)

#### Feature Extraction Strategies

split ta = overall hyperparameter

**TF-IDF** The bag-of-words method is simplistic and will highly value often occurring but otherwise meaningless words such as "and".

Term-frequency Inverse Document Frequency (Ramos and others 2003) circumvents this problem by adjusting a term frequency in a text with the inverse document frequency, the frequency of a given word in the entire corpus.

#### hyperparameters

```
ngram_max: int
```

Can use up to ngrams up to ngram\_max. For example in the case of ngram\_max=2, monograms and bigrams could be used.

**Doc2Vec** Predicts words from context. Aims at capturing the relations between word (man-woman, kingqueen). (Le and Mikolov 2014). Using a neural network.

using Continuous Bag-of-Words (CBOW), Skip-Gram model,  $\dots$  Word vector W and extra: document vector D, trained to predict words in the text.

From gensim (Řehůřek and Sojka 2010).

```
Arguments
_____
vector size: int
   Output size of the vector.
epochs: int
    Number of epochs to train the doc2vec model.
min count: int
   Minimum number of occurences for a word in the corpus for it to
   be included in the model.
workers: int
    Number of threads to train the model with.
    Maximum distance over which word vectors influence each other.
dm_concat: int
    Whether to concatenate word vectors or not.
    See paper for more detail.
dm: int
   Model to use.
   0: Use distribute bag of words (DBOW).
    1: Use distributed memory (DM).
    2: Use both of the above with half the vector size and concatenate
    them.
dbow_words: int
    Whether to train the word vectors using the skipgram metho
```

SBERT BERT-base model with mean-tokens pooling (Reimers and Gurevych 2019)

**embeddingIdf** This model averages the weighted word vectors of all the words in the text, in order to get a single feature vector for each text. The weights are provided by the inverse document frequencies

#### Models

Naive Bayes Naive Bayes assumes all features are independent given the class value. (Zhang 2004)

ASReview uses the MultinomialNB from the scikit-learn package (Pedregosa et al. 2011), that implements the naive Bayes algorithm for multinomially distributed data. nb

Hyperparameters

 alpha - accounts for features not present in learning samples and prevents zero probabilities in further computations. Random Forests A number of decision trees are fit on bootstrapped samples of the original data, (Breiman 2001) RandomForestClassifier from sklearn

Arguments — n\_estimators: int Number of estimators. max\_features: int Number of features in the model. class\_weight: float Class weight of the inclusions. random\_state: int, RandomState Set the random state of the RNG. """

#### Support Vector Machine

#### Logistic Regression

#### Dense Neural Network

#### **Query Strategies**

- Max Choose the most likely samples to be included according to the model
- Uncertainty choose the most uncertain samples according to the model (i.e. closest to 0.5 probability) (Lewis and Catlett 1994)
- Random randomly selects abstracts with no regard to model assigned probabilities.
- Cluster Use clustering after feature extraction on the dataset. Then the highest probabilities within random clusters are sampled

The following combinations are simulated:

- cluster
- max
- cluster \* random
- cluster \* uncertainty
- max \* cluster
- max \* random
- max \* uncertainty

#### **Balance Strategies**

#### amount of training data

- n\_instances = number of papers queried each query
- n\_queries = number of queries
- n prior included: 5
- n\_prior\_excluded:

#### **Combinations**

This leads to 119 combinations of configurations.

- Naive bayes only goes with thid feature extraction.
- For the feature extraction strategies we will focus on doc2vec and tfidf. (but will compute all 4)
- This leads to 3 \* 7 \* 4 \* 3 + 1 \* 7 \* 1 \* 3 = 273 combinations.

See appendix A for a table containing all 273 combinations.

## Performance metrics

Tradeoff: identifying all relevant papers and reducing workload.

What is more important: recall or precision?

Recall more highly valued than precision.

What about class imbalance?

**RRF** Amount of relevant references found after having screened a certain percentage of the total number of abstracts.

Work saved over sampling (WSS) Indicates how much time can be saved, at a given level of recall. WSS is in terms of the percentage of abstracts that don't have to be screened by the researcher. Typically, WSS is measured at a recall of 0.95.

$$\text{WSS} = \frac{TN + FN}{N} - (1 - recall)$$

Raoul

Utility?

F-measure

ROC/AUC

## Appendix B - combinations

| Model  | Query Strategy  | Feature extraction strategy                         |
|--|---|---|
| dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn | cluster max max * cluster max * uncertainty max * random                                  | doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec |
| dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn | cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max<br>max * cluster              | doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>tfidf<br>tfidf                |
| dense_nn<br>dense_nn<br>dense_nn<br>dense_nn | max * uncertainty<br>max * random<br>cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster | tfidf<br>tfidf<br>tfidf<br>tfidf<br>sbert           |
| $dense\_nn$                                  | max   | sbert   |

| Model                               | Query Strategy  | Feature extraction strategy                                    |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn | max * cluster max * uncertainty max * random cluster * uncertainty                              | sbert<br>sbert<br>sbert<br>sbert                               |
| dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn | cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max<br>max * cluster<br>max * uncertainty                        | sbert embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf      |
| dense_nn dense_nn dense_nn nb nb    | max * random<br>cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max                     | embeddingIdf<br>embeddingIdf<br>embeddingIdf<br>tfidf<br>tfidf |
| nb<br>nb<br>nb<br>nb                | max * cluster<br>max * uncertainty<br>max * random<br>cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random | tfidf<br>tfidf<br>tfidf<br>tfidf                               |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf          | cluster max max * cluster max * uncertainty max * random  | doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>doc2vec            |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf          | cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max<br>max * cluster                    | doc2vec<br>doc2vec<br>tfidf<br>tfidf                           |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf          | max * uncertainty<br>max * random<br>cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster       | tfidf tfidf tfidf tfidf sbert                                  |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf          | max * cluster max * uncertainty max * random cluster * uncertainty                              | sbert<br>sbert<br>sbert<br>sbert                               |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf<br>rf          | cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max<br>max * cluster<br>max * uncertainty                        | sbert embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf      |
| rf<br>rf<br>rf                      | max * random<br>cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random                                       | embeddingIdf<br>embeddingIdf<br>embeddingIdf                   |

| svmclusterdoc2vecsvmmaxdoc2vecsvmmax * clusterdoc2vecsvmmax * uncertaintydoc2vecsvmmax * randomdoc2vecsvmcluster * uncertaintydoc2vec  |  |
|--|--|
| svm max * cluster doc2vec<br>svm max * uncertainty doc2vec<br>svm max * random doc2vec<br>svm cluster * uncertainty doc2vec  |  |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$   |  |
| svm max * random doc2vec<br>svm cluster * uncertainty doc2vec  |  |
| svm cluster * uncertainty doc2vec  |  |
|  |  |
| 1  |  |
| svm cluster * random doc2vec   |  |
| svm cluster tfidf  |  |
| svm max tfidf  |  |
| svm max * cluster tfidf  |  |
| svm max * uncertainty tfidf  |  |
| svm max * random tfidf   |  |
| svm cluster * uncertainty tfidf  |  |
| svm cluster * random tfidf   |  |
| svm cluster sbert  |  |
| svm max sbert  |  |
| svm max * cluster sbert  |  |
| svm max * uncertainty sbert  |  |
| svm max * random sbert   |  |
| svm cluster * uncertainty sbert  |  |
| svm cluster * random sbert   |  |
| svm cluster embeddingIdf   |  |
| svm max embeddingIdf   |  |
| svm max * cluster embeddingIdf   |  |
| svm max * uncertainty embeddingIdf   |  |
| svm max * random embeddingIdf<br>svm cluster * uncertainty embeddingIdf  |  |
| v  |  |
| svm cluster * random embeddingIdf  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{lr} & \text{cluster} & \text{doc2vec} \\ \text{lr} & \text{max} & \text{doc2vec} \end{array}$  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc} \operatorname{lr} & \operatorname{max} & \operatorname{doc2vec} \\ \operatorname{lr} & \operatorname{max} * \operatorname{cluster} & \operatorname{doc2vec} \end{array}$ |  |
| lr max * uncertainty doc2vec   |  |
| ·  |  |
| lr max * random doc2vec<br>lr cluster * uncertainty doc2vec  |  |
| lr cluster * random doc2vec  |  |
| lr cluster tfidf   |  |
| lr max tfidf   |  |
| lr max * cluster tfidf   |  |
| lr max * uncertainty tfidf   |  |
| lr max * random tfidf  |  |
| lr cluster * uncertainty tfidf   |  |
| lr cluster * random tfidf  |  |
| lr cluster sbert   |  |
| lr max sbert   |  |
| lr max * cluster sbert   |  |
|  |  |
| lr max * uncertainty sbert   |  |

#### (continued)

| Model                | Query Strategy  | Feature extraction strategy                                      |
|----------------------|---|--|
| lr<br>lr<br>lr<br>lr | cluster * uncertainty<br>cluster * random<br>cluster<br>max                         | sbert sbert embeddingIdf embeddingIdf                            |
| lr<br>lr<br>lr<br>lr | max * cluster max * uncertainty max * random cluster * uncertainty cluster * random | embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf embeddingIdf |

## References

- Breiman, Leo. 2001. "Random Forests." *Machine Learning* 45 (1): 5-32. https://doi.org/10.1023/A: 1010933404324.
- Danka, Tivadar, and Peter Horvath. n.d. "modAL: A Modular Active Learning Framework for Python."
- Le, Quoc V., and Tomas Mikolov. 2014. "Distributed Representations of Sentences and Documents." arXiv:1405.4053 [Cs], May. http://arxiv.org/abs/1405.4053.
- Lewis, David D., and Jason Catlett. 1994. "Heterogeneous Uncertainty Sampling for Supervised Learning." In *Machine Learning Proceedings* 1994, edited by William W. Cohen and Haym Hirsh, 148–56. San Francisco (CA): Morgan Kaufmann. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-55860-335-6.50026-X.
- Pedregosa, F., G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, et al. 2011. "Scikit-Learn: Machine Learning in Python." *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 12: 2825–30.
- Ramos, Juan, and others. 2003. "Using Tf-Idf to Determine Word Relevance in Document Queries." In Proceedings of the First Instructional Conference on Machine Learning, 242:133–42. Piscataway, NJ.
- Reimers, Nils, and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. "Sentence-BERT: Sentence Embeddings Using Siamese BERT-Networks." arXiv:1908.10084 [Cs], August. http://arxiv.org/abs/1908.10084.
- Řehůřek, Radim, and Petr Sojka. 2010. "Software Framework for Topic Modelling with Large Corpora." In *Proceedings of the LREC 2010 Workshop on New Challenges for NLP Frameworks*, 45–50. Valletta, Malta: ELRA.
- Zhang, Harry. 2004. "The Optimality of Naive Bayes." In Proceedings of the Seventeenth International Florida Artificial Intelligence Research Society Conference, FLAIRS 2004. Vol. 2.