Medici - Solution Manual

QiLin Xue

Contents

1	The ABCs of Matrices	1
2	Elementary Matrices	3
3	Linear Equations	3
4	Vector Space	3

1 The ABCs of Matrices

Question 01:

(a) Let us write A as:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

Then its transpose is:

$$\mathbf{A}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

Their sum is:

$$\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 2a_{11} & a_{21} + a_{12} & \cdots & a_{n1} + a_{1n} \\ a_{12} + a_{21} & 2a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} + a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} + a_{n1} & a_{n2} + a_{2n} & \cdots & 2a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3)

which from inspection is symmetric. More rigorously, during the addition, each value a_{ij} in matrix \boldsymbol{A} , with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, was added to a_{ji} such that in the sum $\boldsymbol{A} + \boldsymbol{A}^T$, the value indexed by ij is: $a_{ij} + a_{ji}$. For the sum to be symmetric, we must demand that:

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T)^T = \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^T \tag{4}$$

To take the transpose, we swap the indices i and j such that if the value at a certain index was initially $a_{ij} + a_{ji}$, then after taking the transpose, it would be $a_{ji} + a_{ij}$, which is the same value as before.

(b) Similarly, if the value at a certain index in A was initially a_{ij} , then the value at that same index in $A - A^T$ would be: $a_{ij} - a_{ji}$. For the sum to be skew-symmetric, the following property needs to be satisfied:

$$(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T)^T = -(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}^T) \tag{5}$$

After taking the transpose, the value at the same index as before would be $a_{ji} - a_{ij}$, which is equivalent to $-(a_{ij} - a_{ji})$, the negative of the previous value.

Question 02: We define the invertible matrix P = ABC such that:

$$ABCP^{-1} = I (6)$$

$$A\underbrace{\left(BCP^{-1}\right)}_{A^{-1}} = I \tag{7}$$

(8)

$$(BCP^{-1}) = A^{-1} \tag{9}$$

$$(BCP^{-1}) A = I \tag{10}$$

$$B\underbrace{(CP^{-1}A)}_{B^{-1}} = I \tag{11}$$

$$(CP^{-1}A) = B^{-1} \tag{12}$$

$$C\underbrace{\left(P^{-1}AB\right)}_{C^{-1}} = I \tag{13}$$

and we are done.

Question 03: We want to show that $AB = BA \iff (A + B)^2 = A^2 + 2AB + B^2$. First we show that this holds backwards:

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^2 = \mathbf{A}^2 + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^2$$
 (given)

$$A^{2} + AB + BA + B^{2} = A^{2} + AB + AB + B^{2}$$
(15)

$$BA = AB$$
 (cancellation) (16)

Notice that the steps are reversible. We can explicitly complete the proof by working forwards. Assume BA = AB is a given, then:

$$BA = AB \tag{given}$$

$$A^{2} + AB + BA + B^{2} = A^{2} + AB + AB + B^{2}$$
(18)

$$(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B})^2 = \mathbf{A}^2 + 2\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} + \mathbf{B}^2$$
 (factor)

Question 04: The case for n = 1 is trivial so we prove the base case for n = 2. Let $\mathbf{A}_1 = [a_{ij}]$ be a $m \times n$ matrix and let $\mathbf{A}_2 = [b_{ij}]$ be a $n \times p$ matrix. We then have:

$$= \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ki} b_{jk} \right] \tag{21}$$

We also know that:

$$\mathbf{A}_{2}^{T} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{T} = [b_{ji}][a_{ji}] \tag{22}$$

$$= \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{jk} a_{ki} \right] \tag{23}$$

and thus, the two are equivalent: $(\mathbf{A}_1 \mathbf{A}_2)^T = \mathbf{A}_2^T \mathbf{A}_1^T$. We now assume this holds true for n = m and show that

it's true for n = m + 1, such that:

$$\left(\underbrace{\boldsymbol{A}_{1}\boldsymbol{A}_{2}\cdots\boldsymbol{A}_{m}}_{\boldsymbol{P}}\boldsymbol{A}_{m+1}\right)^{T} = (\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{A}_{m+1})^{T}$$
(24)

$$= \boldsymbol{A}_{m+1}^T \boldsymbol{P}^T$$
 (base case) (25)

$$= \boldsymbol{A}_{m+1}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{A}_{m}^{T} \cdots \boldsymbol{A}_{2}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{1}^{T} \right)$$
 (assumption) (26)

$$= \boldsymbol{A}_{m+1}^T \boldsymbol{A}_m^T \cdots \boldsymbol{A}_2^T \boldsymbol{A}_1^T \tag{27}$$

which is what we want. Since this is true for n=2, then it must hold true for all $n\in\mathbb{Z}^+$.

Question 05:

(a) Let $\mathbf{A} = [a_{ij}]$ and $\mathbf{B} = [b_{ij}]$ so that the trace of the sum is:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ii} + b_{ii}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} = \operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{A} + \operatorname{Tr}\mathbf{B}$$
 (28)

where we have applied the linearity of the summation.

(b) Similarly, we have:

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_{ii}b_{ii}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_{ii}a_{ii}) = \operatorname{Tr}\boldsymbol{B}\operatorname{Tr}\boldsymbol{A}$$
(29)

since scalar multiplication is commutative.

(c) We take the trace of both sides, using the properties we derived above:

$$Tr(AB - BA) = Tr 1 (30)$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{AB} - \operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{AB} = n \tag{31}$$

$$0 = n \tag{32}$$

Since n > 0, this is impossible.

2 Elementary Matrices

3 Linear Equations

4 Vector Space

Question 01: For the sake of practice, we will test all nine axioms:

- 1. (AC) Addition gives a vector in \mathbb{R}^2
- 2. (SC) Scalar multiplication gives a vector in \mathbb{R}^2
- 3. (AA) We can test for additive associativity via:

$$((x_1, x_2) \oplus (y_1, y_2)) \oplus (z_1, z_2) = (x_1 + y_1, 0) \oplus (z_1, 0)$$
(33)

$$= (x_1 + y_1 + z_1, 0) (34)$$

and similarly:

$$(x_1, x_2) \oplus ((y_1, y_2) \oplus (z_1, z_2)) = (x_1, x_2) \oplus (y_1 + z_1, 0)$$
 (35)

$$= (x_1 + y_1 + z_1, 0) (36)$$

so this property also holds.

4. (Z) Let the zero vector be $\mathbf{0} = (z_1, z_2)$. Then we want:

$$(x_1, x_2) \oplus (z_1, z_2) = (x_1, x_2)$$
 (37)

$$(x_1 + z_1, 0) = (x_1, x_2) (38)$$

Since it's possible for $x_2 \neq 0$, this property will not hold and the set V is not a vector space.

5. (AI) Let the additive inverse of \boldsymbol{x} be $\boldsymbol{z}=(z_1,z_2)$. We want:

$$(x_1, x_2) \oplus (z_1, z_2) = (0, 0) \tag{39}$$

$$(x_1 + z_1, 0) = (0, 0) (40)$$

If $z_1 = -x_1$, then $(-x_1, z_2)$ is an additive inverse of \boldsymbol{x} where $z_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ has nor restrictions. However, there are infinitely many such inverses so this axiom is still violated as no unique inverse can be found.

6. (SMA) We want to show that:

$$c \odot (d \odot (x_1, y_1)) = (c \cdot d) \odot (x_1, y_1) \tag{41}$$

$$c \odot (dx_1, 0) = cd \odot (x_1, y_1)(cdx_1, 0) \qquad = (cdx_1, 0) \tag{42}$$

which is always true, so this axiom is satisfied.

7. (DVA) We want to show that:

$$c \odot ((x_1, y_1) \oplus (x_2, y_2)) = (c \odot (x_1, y_1)) \oplus (c \odot (x_2, y_2))$$
(43)

$$c \odot (x_1 + x_2, 0) = (cx_1, 0) \oplus (cx_2, 0) \tag{44}$$

$$(cx_1 + cx_2, 0) = (cx_1 + cx_2, 0) (45)$$

This is always true, so this axiom is satisfied.

8. (DSA) We want to show that:

$$(c+d) \odot (x_1, y_1) = c \odot (x_1, y_1) \oplus d \odot (x_1, y_1)$$
(46)

$$((c+d)x_1,0) = (cx_1 + dx_1,0) (47)$$

which is also always true.

9. (I) We want to show that:

$$1 \odot (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1) \tag{48}$$

$$(x_1, 0) = (x_1, y_1) \tag{49}$$

Since it's possible to choose a $y_1 \neq 0$, this axiom is not satisfied. Since this set V doesn't satisfy (Z), (AI), and (I), it is not a vector space.

Question 02:

(a) Let $0 = (z_1, z_2)$. We want:

$$(z_1, z_2) + (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1)$$

$$(50)$$

$$(x_1 + z_1 + 1, y_1 + z_2 + 1) = (x_1, y_1)$$
(51)

The unique solution that accomplishes this is: $\mathbf{0} = (z_1, z_2) = (-1, -1)$.

(b) Let the additive inverse by $z = (z_1, z_2)$. We want:

$$(2,3) + (z_1, z_2) = (-1, -1) (52)$$

$$(2+z_1+1,3+z_2+1)=(-1,-1) (53)$$

We get the additive inverse to be:

$$-(2,3) = (-4,-5) \tag{54}$$

Question 03: Let $\mathbf{0} = (z_1, z_2)$. We want:

$$(z_1, z_2) + (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1)$$
(55)

$$(x_1 + z_1 + k, y_1 + z_2 + k) = (x_1, y_1)$$
(56)

The unique solution that accomplishes this is: $\mathbf{0} = (z_1, z_2) = (-k, -k)$. Let the additive inverse of (x, y) $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2)$. We want:

$$(x,y) + (a_1, a_2) = (-k, -k)$$
(57)

$$(x + a_1 + k, y + a_2 + k) = (-k, -k)$$
(58)

We get the additive inverse to be:

$$-(x,y) = (-x - 2k, -y - 2k) \tag{59}$$

Question 04: Note: I will be using Uppal's notation and proposition order (if applicable). See my notes for details.

$$-0 = -0 + (0 + -0) \tag{2}$$

$$= (-\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0}) - \mathbf{0} \tag{61}$$

$$= \mathbf{0} + -\mathbf{0} \tag{P3}$$

$$= \mathbf{0} \tag{63}$$