

Foundations of attention

Dr Germano Gallicchio

Lecturer in Psychophysiology and Cognitive Neuroscience School of Psychology and Sport Science, Bangor University, UK [profile](#) | [research](#) | [software](#) | [learning resources](#) | [book meeting](#)

On a computer press F11 to de/activate full-screen view.

For smartphone and review: Bottom left menu → Tools → PDF Export Mode.

[To access the latest version of these slides visit this link](#)

PIN

0000

Agenda

- Definition of attention
- Visuospatial attention and its typologies
- Spatial cueing effects
- Attention and deception in sports

What is attention?

William James

Everyone knows what attention is. It is the taking possession by the mind, in clear and vivid form, of one out of what seem several simultaneously possible objects or trains of thought. Focalization, concentration of consciousness are of its essence. It implies withdrawal from some things in order to deal effectively with others, and is a condition which has a real opposite in the confused, dazed, scatterbrain state.

[William James](#): American psychologist and philosopher, founder of modern psychology

point 1: We can choose the focus of attention. Attention can be voluntary.

point 2: Inability to attend to many things at once

point 3: Limited capacity to process information. Attention is a selective process

Attention is a selective process

These videos are meant to demonstrate we don't notice obvious changes when we don't pay attention to them.

Visuospatial attention

There are several theoretical models of visuospatial attention (but we will not go through each of them)

It is useful to think of attention as a spotlight moving in space

Orienting

Selecting a certain location or object in space, so that processing of those stimuli is facilitated.

Events occurring in that location (vs those occurring in another location):

- are processed more quickly
- produce greater neural activity in related regions
- are detected at lower thresholds

Orienting of visuospatial attention = aligning of attention with a source of sensory input or with an internal representation (memory)

By definition, visuo-spatial attention is based on visual representations and most examples of spatial attention are *visuo*-spatial. But a similar concept can be applied to any other sensorial modalities.

Demonstration of a type of orienting

Keep your gaze on the center while reading the letters appearing on screen.

+ C O V E R T

the word is “covert”

Orienting of visuo-spatial attention

Important distinction in the manner visuospatial attention can be oriented.

Two types of orienting:

- covert
- overt

covert orienting: attention is directed in space independently of the sensorial organs (e.g., direction of eyes or head)

overt orienting: Directing the sensorial organs towards the region of space of interest.

Covert or overt? Examples.

Overt orienting

- Turning your head or body toward a sound to better hear or identify it. *Example: You hear footsteps and turn your head to locate the source.*

Covert orienting

- Focusing attention on a particular sound or voice without moving your head or body. *Example: You listen for a specific person's voice in a crowded room while remaining still.*
- Tracking the location of people or objects based on auditory cues, without physically orienting toward them.

- You think about or track what someone is doing, even though you are looking somewhere else.
- You notice something happening at the edge of your perceptual field while not redirecting your senses towards it.
- You pay attention to something without moving your head or eyes.

Example: You listen to a conversation behind you but keep facing forward.

Spatial cueing task

Introductory video (scene from the movie “Back to the Future”)

Spatial Cueing Task

Michael Posner

The spatial cueing task is an experiment used to study how attention is directed in space. It helps us understand how **cues** can guide our focus, even without moving our eyes or head. How does the task work?

- You fix your gaze on a central point.
- A cue appears, indicating where a target might show up.
- After a brief *delay*, the target appears either at the cued location or elsewhere.
- You respond as quickly as possible when you detect the target.

There is a catch: the cue does not always tell the truth.

Types of cues

Valid cue

[Michael Posner](#) is an American cognitive psychologist known for his pioneering research on attention and the development of the spatial cueing task.

- The cue correctly indicates where the target will appear.
- Example: A sound or light flashes on the left, and the target appears on the left.
- People respond faster to valid cues.

Invalid cue

- The cue points to the wrong location.
- Example: A cue flashes on the right, but the target appears on the left.
- Responses are slower because attention was misdirected.

Neutral cue

- The cue does not give information about the target's location.
- Example: A cue appears in the center or points in both directions.
- Response times are intermediate.

Examples of possible non-visual adaptations

Auditory version

Cue: a brief tone presented to either the left, right, of both ears

Target: another brief tone presented to either left or right ear

Task: Press a button as quickly as possible when you hear the target

Tactile version

Cue: a brief vibration presented to either the left, right, or both hands

Target: another brief vibration presented to either the left or right hand

Task: respond with your voice as soon quickly as possible when you feel the vibration

Extra knowledge: the delay between the cue and target is small. But varying it leads to an interesting phenomenon called “Inhibition of Return”

Demonstration of visuo-spatial cueing

Valid cue The cue is an arrow pointing to the left. A short while after, the target appears also on the left. In this trial, the cue was *valid*.

+

Invalid cue The cue is an arrow pointing to the left. A short while after, the target appears on the right. In this trial, the cue was *invalid*.

+

Neutral cue The cue is an arrow pointing to both left and right. A short while after, the target appears on the left. In this trial, the cue was *neutral* (i.e., not informative).

+

Why this task is important in sport and exercise psychology

- It demonstrates how the focus of attention can be guided by cues (instructions), even without moving our senses (is this covert or overt orienting?).
- This helps us understand selective attention in everyday life and sports.
- It reveals that attention improves processing at the cued location and slows it elsewhere.
- It shows the **cost** of attending to the wrong location and the **benefit** of attending to the right location

Types of orienting: endogenous vs. exogenous

Two types of orienting:

- exogenous
- endogenous

Exogenous orienting: Associated with signals that capture attention automatically and involuntarily (like a sudden flash or sound). It is fast and reflexive.

Endogenous orienting: Associated with symbolic signals (like an arrow or instruction) that provide information about a location. Attention is then oriented voluntarily (intentionally).

In other words, there are two ways that attention can be shifted:

- **Endogenous** shift = “from inside”, goal-directed, top-down (you control it)
- **Exogenous** shift = “from outside”, stimulus driven, bottom-up (the environment controls it). Sometimes associated with a distracting stimulus.

Examples of exogenous and endogenous cues for the visual and auditory modalities

	Exogenous cue	Endogenous cue
Visual modality	a flash in a location	an arrow pointing at a location
Auditory modality	a tone in a location	a tone pointing at a direction (e.g., a voice saying “right”)

Demonstration of visuo-spatial cueing (*exogenous*)

The previous demonstration included only endogenous cues was

Valid cue The cue is a flash on the left. A short while after, the target appears also on the left. In this trial, the cue was *valid*.

+

Invalid cue The cue is a flash on the right. A short while after, the target appears on the right. In this trial, the cue was *invalid*.

+

Neutral cue The cue is two flashes on both left and right. A short while after, the target appears on the left. In this trial, the cue was *neutral* (i.e., not informative).

+

Posner studies (ca. 1970-80s)

Michael Posner used a **spatial cueing task** to investigate how attention is directed in space. Participants were asked to fixate on a central point while cues indicated where a target might appear.

The cues could be valid, invalid, or neutral. After a brief delay, the target appeared, and participants responded as quickly as possible. The study measured **response times** to the onset of the target.

The classic Posner study focused on **endogenous** (central, symbolic) cues such as arrows presented at the center. Exogenous cues (such as peripheral flashes) were introduced in later studies.

Participants were required to keep their eyes on the fixation cross the whole time.

The classic design typically used **80% valid**, **20% invalid**, and **50% neutral** trials as a separate baseline.

i Note

The percentages refer to the distribution of **trial types** within the experiment, not to a single set of trials. Typically, the experiment is structured so that, for example,

80% of the *cued* trials are valid and 20% are invalid, while *neutral* trials are presented as a separate baseline (often making up 50% of the total trials, or sometimes 1/3 if all three types are equally represented). The numbers in the example above are illustrative and not meant to sum to 100% in a single block—they describe the proportions within each trial type.

trial type	function
neutral	serve as a control condition for the other two
valid	study the benefits of attending to the correct location
invalid	study the costs of attending to the wrong location

Questions:

Why did Posner decide to make the cue 80% of the times valid? Why not 100%?

If it were 100%, then the cue would never be invalid, and Posner could not study the cost of attending to an incorrect location

Why then 80-20% and not 50-50%?

To build trustworthiness in the cue: the participant oriented their attention to the cued direction because *most of the times* the cue pointed in the correct direction. If the participant learned over time that the cue was uninformative, they would stop using

Were participants supposed to orient their attention overtly or covertly?

Covertly. In fact, they were required to keep their eyes on the central fixation cross.

Posner task: basic results

```
# Data
conditions <- c("Invalid 20%", "Neutral 50%", "Valid 80%")
response_times <- c(300, 260, 230)

# Base R barplot
barplot(
  height = response_times,
  names.arg = conditions,
  col = c("red", "gray", "green"),
  ylim = c(230, 310),
  xlab = "Condition",
  ylab = "Response Time [ms]"
)
```

Key result:

- Response times were slowest for invalid trials (i.e., target preceded by an invalid cue), faster for neutral trials (i.e., target preceded by a neutral, uninformative cue), and fastest for valid trials (i.e., target preceded by a valid cue)

Key interpretation:

- Valid cue pre-activates a an attentional path which is the same as that activated by the target
- Invalid cue pre-activates a an attentional path which is different from that activated by the target

We just scratched the surfaced of the spatial cueing literature

Most later studies are more complex than this.

One (of many) idea: a valid cue does not always cause facilitation...

How can it be? Any ideas?

If the cue is exogenous and the cue-target delay is longer than expected, the target is detected more slowly

This phenomenon is known as *inhibition of return* (however, this is not topic for this module)

Optionally, if you want to read more about Posner studies, find his publications on Google Scholar:
[Michael Posner](#)

Optional: Demo of spatial cueing task

link to Psytoolkit demo

Social spatial cueing

Directional changes in posture (e.g., eye movements) of a person can influence somebody else's direction of attention.

Sport fakes?

Seminar: Sebanz and Shiffrar (2009)

Make sure to read the paper

General introduction

Overarching aim: determine whether expert basketball players are better than novices in distinguishing true from fake passes

Method | Study 1

Participants

- 12 experts
- 18 novices

Task

- Watch videos/photos and classify as true pass or fake pass
 - 30 movies (true passes)
 - 30 movies (false passes)
 - 30 pictures (true passes)
 - 30 pictures (false passes)

Main measure

- percentage of accurate classifications

Results | Study 1

- Both experts and novices performed above chance level, for both movies and pictures: they could distinguish fakes from passes
- Experts outperformed novices (i.e., they gave more correct responses) when movies were presented

Method | Study 2

Participants

- 12 experts
- 18 novices

Task

- Watch videos with kinematic cues only (light points) and classify as true pass or fake pass

- 12 front view (true passes)
- 12 front view (false passes)
- 12 half-profile view (true passes)
- 12 half-profile view (false passes)

Main measure

- percentage of accurate classifications

Results | Study 2

- Novices performed at the chance level
- Experts performed above chance: they could distinguish fakes from passes
- Experts performed better when watching from the front than from half-profile

General discussion

Interpretation:

- visual expertise
- motor expertise

How would you follow-up this study?

example: track gaze position to identify which information experts and novices use