对弱导数的几点思考

力学爱好者

XJTU

2025/9/13

$$L^p = \mathcal{L}^p / \sim$$

when we talk about a funtion $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ which has a ith weak derivative g also in $L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, we wrte

$$g = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$$

Note that since g is unique, we can write $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ without ambiguity. Then we have a operator

$$\partial_i:W^{1,p}\to L^p$$

actually, we can see that there is a mapping

$$\partial_i: \mathbb{W}^{1,p} \to L^p$$

The latter descends to the former operator, and we used the same notation

Thus for $[u] \in W^{1,p}$, we define $\partial_i[u] := \partial_i u$ you should check ion is well defined which means doesnot depend On the representative u! Then we can dm the linearity of the latter operator! Hence for $u \in W^{1,p}$, let's say u = [v], hence $u^+ = [v^+], u^- = [v^-]$, and

$$\partial_i u = \partial_i (u^+ - u^-) = \partial_i v + \partial_i v 1_{v=0} = \partial_i v \in L^p$$

hence

$$\partial_i v = 0$$
 almost everywhere on $\{x \in \Omega : v(x) = 0\}$

you should check that this definition is well defined which means doesnot depend On th

Speaking of complex number \mathbb{C} , the precise definition is as follows

定义

Complex number is a set denoted by ${\mathbb C}$ whose elements are ordered pairs

$$\mathbb{C} := \{ [a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

With the convention that [a,b] = [c,d] iff a = c, b = d.

Then we define the following addition and mulptication operation to make $\mathbb C$ become a field.

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d]; [a, b] \times [c, d] = [ad - bc, ac + bd]$$

Then we define i denoting the elemtent [0,1], it is clear that [0,0] and [1,0] are the zero element and unity element in the field.

There is a natural injection \mathbb{J} between $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$

$$J: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} \quad r \mapsto [r, 0]$$

Using J, we can think of $\mathbb R$ as a subset of $\mathbb C$, to be precise, when we talk about $a\in\mathbb R$ as an element in $\mathbb C$, we infer the unique element J(a)!!!!!!

Also remember i = [0, 1], we have

[a,b]=[a,0]+[0,b]=J(a)+iJ(b), we now make the convention that $a_{\mathbb{C}}{=}J(a)$, then we write the former expression as $[a,b]=a_{\mathbb{C}}+b_{\mathbb{C}}i$

Let T be an operator defind on L^p , with range $\operatorname{in} \mathbf{M}(\mu)/\sim$. Then we define $T\circ u$ where $u\in L^q(0,T;L^p)$ as

$$Tu = T\tilde{u}, \quad [\tilde{u}] = u;$$

Then we can use the axiom of choice to define the following function

$$v(t, \bullet) = \langle Tu(t) \rangle$$

Generating a funtion in $M((0,T)\times\Omega)<< v(t,x)>>$ If $T\tilde{u}$ is ∂_i , then for v, we have the following characterization

$$\int_{\Omega} v(t,x)\phi(x)dx = \int_{\Omega} \langle \tilde{u}(t) \rangle \partial_{i}\phi(x)dx, \quad \text{for any } t \in (0,T), \phi \in \mathbf{D}(\Omega)$$

We do not distinguish the case when \mathbb{M} and \mathbf{L}^q have different underlying domain.

Deadkind 分割. 再仔细想一下, 首先我们约定 [a,b], 其中 $a \in X, b \in Y$ 中的相等, 此时再定义笛卡尔乘积为遍历 $a \in X$ 和 $b \in Y$

你他妈的如果再这样废话的话,真的有点欠锤了哈,我说实话,真的得考虑拿个 macbook 出去了

Let $q \in (1, \infty]$, there is a countable dense subset $(\{v_j\} \subset C_c(\mathbb{R}^n))$ in the separable space $L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. If $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where 1 < r < q and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies the following

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f v_j = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g v_j$$
 for all j

Then whether f = g a.e.?

Consider two σ -finite measure space (X,A,μ) , (Y,B,ν) For the completion of two space $(X\times Y,(A\otimes B)^*,(\mu\otimes\nu)^*)$. There is a simple observation that for any nonnegative function $f:X\times Y\to [0,+\infty]$ we have if there is a measurable set $X_0\in A$ such that f_x is measurable for all $x\in X_0$ Then the function defined by

$$\phi_x = \int_Y f(x, y) d\nu$$

is measurable on X_0

Proof.

Note that there exists a funtion $g: X \times Y \to [0, +\infty]$ which is $A \otimes B$ measurable such that for almost all x, $f(x, \cdot) = g(x, \cdot)$ a.e. on Y.

Thus there is null set N contained in X_0 such that $\phi_x = \int_Y g(x,y) d\nu$ on $X_0 \setminus N$

When we have two Banach space X and Y, where $X \hookrightarrow Y$, then the notation $L^p(0,T;X) \hookrightarrow L^p(0,T;Y)$ is a little absurbing, as we know in general $X \neq Y$, hence the eqivalence class may arise an issue!

The correct understaning is that we use the following inclusion mapping i, and define the mapping

$$\tilde{i}: L^p(0,T;X) \to L^p(0,T;Y)$$

$$[u]_X \mapsto [iu]_Y$$

To simplify our notation, we often ignore the subscript and the inclusion mapping i, just write $L^p(0,T;X) \hookrightarrow L^p(0,T;Y)$ or $L^p(0,T;X) \subset L^p(0,T;Y)$ even more. But we should know that this is unserious!! But it is always harmless as we do not change the heart of this idea or this property!