The Fixed-Point Decoherence Interpretation: Quantum Mechanics as a Self-Reference Limit

Your Name

May 4, 2025

Abstract

We propose that quantum decoherence—the vanishing of off-diagonal terms in the density matrix—arises from a fundamental *self-reference limitation* akin to Gödelian incompleteness or Lawvere's fixed-point theorem. This constraint suggests that reality cannot perfectly simulate its own evolution, leading to quantum indeterminacy. We then explore the philosophical implications, arguing that materialism fails to account for the unformalizable aspects of mathematics, consciousness, and the ontological status of self-reference.

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanics (QM) remains enigmatic, with interpretations ranging from Copenhagen to many-worlds. We present a new perspective: decoherence is a *logical necessity* imposed by the impossibility of a universal self-predictor.

2 The Self-Reference Paradox in Physics

2.1 Lawvere's Theorem and Quantum States

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the state space of a system (e.g., a brain or quantum field), and $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$ the space of all possible state transitions. Lawvere's fixed-point theorem states:

[Lawvere] If there exists a surjective map $e : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{X}}$, every morphism $f : \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{Y}$ has a fixed point.

A perfect predictor R (e.g., Laplace's demon) would be such a surjection. But consider:

- If R predicts $\psi \to \phi$, a self-modifying system can define $\psi \to \neg\phi$.
- This creates a paradox unless R is *imperfect*.

2.2 Decoherence as a Fixed-Point Collapse

The density matrix ρ evolves under decoherence as:

$$\rho(t) = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|,$$

where off-diagonal terms $\langle\psi_i|\rho|\psi_j\rangle$ $(i \neq j)$ vanish. We interpret this as:

- **Off-diagonals**: Attempts at coherent self-prediction (paradoxical).
- **Diagonals**: Fixed-point states that avoid self-reference loops.

3 Philosophical Implications

The impossibility of universal self-prediction undermines materialism by revealing:

3.1 1. The Limits of Formalization

Materialism assumes all phenomena are reducible to physical laws. But if even *physics* hits self-reference barriers:

- Human cognition is subject to Gödelian incompleteness.
- Unformalizable phenomena (e.g., qualia) may be inherently non-material.

3.2 2. The Mysterious Ontology of Mathematics

Mathematical truths (e.g., $\aleph_0$) exist independently of physical instantiation:

- If math is discovered, not invented, it implies a *Platonic realm*.
- Materialism cannot explain why math *precedes* physical application.

3.3 3. Evolutionary Epistemology's Paradox

If materialism is merely a survival tool:

- Its truth depends on its utility, not correspondence to reality.
- A worldview leading to extinction (e.g., AI misuse) is *false by its own standard*.

4 Conclusion: Toward Mystical Idealism

The failure of self-prediction in QM suggests:

- Reality is *ineffable* at its core (consistent with mysticism).
- Consciousness may be primary, with matter as a derived phenomenon.
- The "diagonal" classical world is a *consistent subdomain* of a richer, unformalizable reality.

> "The miracle of the appropriateness of the language of mathematics for the formulation of the laws of physics is a wonderful gift which we neither understand nor deserve."
> —Eugene Wigner