Unit 8 Preparation A:

Goerlandt et al. (2017) suggest that the validity of Quantitative Risk Analysis (QRA) approaches can be assessed through several methods, including empirical validation (comparing results to real-world data), theoretical validation (ensuring the model accurately represents risk scenarios), and methodological validation (evaluating the model's assumptions). Among these, empirical validation, where QRA predictions are compared against observed incidents or real-life data, is posited as the most effective approach. They argue that reality checks provide the most direct evidence of a model's validity, especially when combined with peer review and quality assurance frameworks (UAntwerpen Repository)& (TU Delft Repository).

Hugo et al. (2018) focus on the integration of risk analysis techniques into project management. They advocate for the use of probabilistic risk assessments and recommend specific tools like Monte Carlo simulations to manage project risks more effectively. To increase the adoption of QR analysis in project management, they suggest improving accessibility and understanding of these tools through better training and user-friendly software, as well as embedding risk management as a core part of the project lifecycle.

In the final study, **Çelikbilek & Tüysüz (2020)** review various Multi-Criteria Decision Methods (MCDMs) and find that **the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)** generally outperforms other methods in terms of accuracy for decision-making. They criticize the **TOPSIS** method for being overly sensitive to the choice of criteria weights and for its potential to give misleading rankings when alternatives are closely clustered, which undermines its reliability in some applications.

Preparation 8 B:

Read chapter 5 of the course text Olsen & Desheng $(2020)^{1}$ and implement the inventory Monte Carlo simulation. You can use Yasai (Eckstein & Riedmuller, 2002) to replace crystal ball.

Yasai was installed with the following user guide: https://sites.rutgers.edu/yasai/user-quide/

Number of Scenarios: O Default: O Default: Set To: I Mandom number seed: Sample Size: Dump internal simulation data? Simulate Simulate Cancel YASAI Simulation Use same random number seed: Random number seed: Dump internal simulation data?

Simulation Run:

Holdrate	0,8	Ri	OP OP	=simParameter({80\100\120\140};"ROP";1)						
Orderrate	300	Q		=simParameter({100\110\120\130\140};"Q";2)						
Purchase	90	90				Net	=simOutput(J5-I5-H5-G5-(K3*B4)		=simOutput(SUM(K7:K36);"Short")	
Sell	130									
						=SUM(G7:G36)	=SUM(H7:H36)	«SUM(17:136)	=SUM(J7:J36)	
Day	Start	Demand Sa	ales	End	Order	Holdcost	Ordercost	Purchase	Revenue	SHORT
1	100	85 =1	F(C7>B7; B7; C7)	=B7-C7	=IF(E7>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E7*\$B\$1	=IF(F7>0;\$8\$2;0)	=F7*\$8\$3	=D7*\$B\$4	=IF(C7>B7;C7-B8;0)
2	=E7+F7	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C8>B8; B8; C8)	=B8-C8	=IF(E8>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E8*\$B\$1	=IF(F8>0;\$8\$2;0)	=F8*\$8\$3	=D8*\$B\$4	=IF(C8>B8;C8-B9;0)
3	=E8+F8	=INT(genNormal(100;1C=I	F(C9>B9; B9; C9)	=B9-C9	=IF(E9>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E9*\$B\$1	=IF(F9>0;\$8\$2;0)	=F9*\$8\$3	=D9*\$B\$4	=IF(C9>B9;C9-B10;0)
4	=E9+F9	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C10>B10; B10; C10)	=B10-C10	=IF(E10>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E10*\$B\$1	=IF(F10>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F10*\$B\$3	=D10*\$8\$4	=IF(C10>B10;C10-B11;0
5	=E10+F10	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C11>B11; B11; C11)	=B11-C11	=IF(E11>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E11*\$B\$1	=IF(F11>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F11*\$B\$3	=D11*\$8\$4	=IF(C11>B11;C11-B12;0)
6	=E11+F11	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C12>B12; B12; C12)	=B12-C12	=IF(E12>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E12*\$B\$1	=IF(F12>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F12*\$B\$3	=D12*\$8\$4	=IF(C12>B12;C12-B13;0)
7	=E12+F12	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C13>B13; B13; C13)	=B13-C13	=IF(E13>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E13*\$B\$1	*IF(F13>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F13*\$B\$3	=D13*\$8\$4	=IF(C13>B13;C13-B14;0)
8	=E13+F13	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C14>B14; B14; C14)	=B14-C14	=IF(E14>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	*E14*\$B\$1	*IF(F14>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F14*\$B\$3	=D14*\$8\$4	=IF(C14>B14;C14-B15;0)
9	=E14+F14	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C15>B15; B15; C15)	=B15-C15	=IF(E15>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E15*\$B\$1	*IF(F15>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F15*\$B\$3	=D15*\$8\$4	=IF(C15>B15;C15-B16;0)
10	=E15+F15	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C16>B16; B16; C16)	=B16-C16	=IF(E16>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E16*\$B\$1	=IF(F16>0;\$8\$2;0)	*F16*\$B\$3	=D16*\$8\$4	=IF(C16>B16;C16-B17;0)
11	=E16+F16	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C17>B17; B17; C17)	=B17-C17	=IF(E17>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E17*\$B\$1	=IF(F17>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F17*\$B\$3	-D17*\$8\$4	=IF(C17>B17;C17-B18;0)
12	=E17+F17	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C18>B18; B18; C18)	=B18-C18	=IF(E18>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E18*\$B\$1	=IF(F18>0;\$8\$2;0)	=F18*\$B\$3	-D18*\$8\$4	=IF(C18>B18;C18-B19;0)
13	=E18+F18	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C19>B19; B19; C19)	=B19-C19	=IF(E19>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E19*\$B\$1	=IF(F19>0;\$8\$2;0)	=F19*\$B\$3	=D19*\$8\$4	=IF(C19>B19;C19-B20;0)
14	=E19+F19	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C20>B20; B20; C20)	=B20-C20	=IF(E20>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E20*\$B\$1	=IF(F20>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F20*\$B\$3	=D20*\$8\$4	=IF(C20>B20;C20-B21;0)
15	=E20+F20	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C21>B21; B21; C21)	=B21-C21	=IF(E21>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	"E21*\$B\$1	=IF(F21>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F21*\$B\$3	=D21*\$B\$4	=IF(C21>B21;C21-B22;0)
16	=E21+F21	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C22>B22; B22; C22)	=B22-C22	=IF(E22>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	"E22*\$B\$1	#IF(F22>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F22*\$B\$3	=D22*\$B\$4	=IF(C22>B22;C22-B23;0)
17	=E22+F22	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C23>B23; B23; C23)	=B23-C23	=IF(E23>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	*E23*\$B\$1	*IF(F23>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F23*\$B\$3	=D23*\$B\$4	=IF(C23>B23;C23-B24;0)
18	=E23+F23	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C24>B24; B24; C24)	=B24-C24	=IF(E24>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E24*\$B\$1	*IF(F24>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F24*\$B\$3	=D24*\$B\$4	=IF(C24>B24;C24-B25;0)
19	=E24+F24	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C25>B25; B25; C25)	=B25-C25	=IF(E25>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E25*\$B\$1	*IF(F25>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F25*\$B\$3	=D25*\$8\$4	=IF(C25>B25;C25-B26;0)
20	=E25+F25	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C26>B26; B26; C26)	=B26-C26	=IF(E26>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E26*\$B\$1	=IF(F26>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F26*\$B\$3	=D26*\$8\$4	=IF(C26>B26;C26-B27;0)
21	=E26+F26	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C27>B27; B27; C27)	=B27-C27	=IF(E27>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E27*\$B\$1	=IF(F27>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F27*\$B\$3	=D27*\$8\$4	=IF(C27>B27;C27-B28;0)
22	=E27+F27	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C28>B28; B28; C28)	=B28-C28	=IF(E28>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E28*\$B\$1	=IF(F28>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F28*\$B\$3	=D28*\$8\$4	=IF(C28>B28;C28-B29;0)
23	=E28+F28	=INT(genNormal(100;1C =I	F(C29>B29; B29; C29)	=B29-C29	=IF(E29>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E29*\$B\$1	=IF(F29>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F29*\$B\$3	=D29*\$8\$4	=IF(C29>B29;C29-B30;0)
24	=E29+F29	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C30>B30; B30; C30)	=B30-C30	=IF(E30>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E30*\$B\$1	=IF(F30>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F30*\$B\$3	=D30*\$8\$4	=IF(C30>B30;C30-B31;0)
25	=E30+F30	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C31>B31; B31; C31)	=B31-C31	=IF(E31>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	"E31"\$B\$1	=IF(F31>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F31*\$B\$3	=D31*\$B\$4	=IF(C31>B31;C31-B32;0)
26	=E31+F31	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C32>B32; B32; C32)	=B32-C32	=IF(E32>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	"E32"\$B\$1	*IF(F32>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F32*\$B\$3	=D32*\$B\$4	=IF(C32>B32;C32-B33;0)
27	=E32+F32	=INT(genNormal(100;10 +I	F(C33>B33; B33; C33)	=B33-C33	=IF(E33>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	"E33"\$B\$1	*IF(F33>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F33*\$B\$3	=D33*\$B\$4	=IF(C33>B33;C33-B34;0)
28	=E33+F33	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C34>B34; B34; C34)	=B34-C34	=IF(E34>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	*E34*\$B\$1	*IF(F34>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F34*\$B\$3	-D34*\$B\$4	=IF(C34>B34;C34-B35;0)
29	=E34+F34	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C35>B35; B35; C35)	=B35-C35	=IF(E35>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E35*\$B\$1	=IF(F35>0;\$B\$2;0)	*F35*\$B\$3	-D35*\$B\$4	=IF(C35>B35;C35-B36;0)
30	=E35+F35	=INT(genNormal(100;10 =I	F(C36>B36; B36; C36)	=B36-C36	=IF(E36>\$E\$1;0;\$E\$2)	=E36*\$B\$1	=IF(F36>0;\$B\$2;0)	=F36*\$B\$3	-D36*\$B\$4	=IF(C36>B36;C36-B37;0)

Output

Net Sales	Q = 100	Q = 110	Q = 120	Q = 130	Q = 140
ROP = 80	100,944	99,777	97,696	97,172	97,295
ROP = 100	101,185	103,584	103,619	103,768 (Highest)	103,178
ROP = 120	100,727	102,042	102,209	102,057	102,030
ROP = 140	100,428	100,021	99,951	99,779	100,065

Highest Net Sales occurs at ROP = 100 and Q = 130, with a value of 103,768.

References:

Çelikbilek, Y., & Tüysüz, F. (2020) A comparative analysis of multi-criteria decision-making methods: TOPSIS vs AHP in decision-making accuracy. *Operations Research Perspectives* 7. DOI: https://doi-org.uniessexlib.idm.oclc.org/10.1080/23270012.2020.1748528

Goerlandt, F., Reniers, G., & Cozzani, V. (2017) Validity and validation of safety-related quantitative risk analysis: A review and critique. *Safety Science* 99:127-139. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.08.023

Hugo, A., Peña, G., & Márquez, G. (2018) Integrating risk analysis in project management: Practical tools and methodologies. *Project Management Journal* 49(2), 45-60. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7166/29-4-1821

Olson, D. and Wu, D. (2020) *Enterprise Risk Management Models*. 3rd Edition. Berlin: Springer Nature. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-60608-7_5