

## Disaster Recovery Plan for Cloud-Based Infrastructure on OpenStack

Designing a Disaster Recovery (DR) plan for OpenStack requires ensuring resilience against data loss, system failure, and service disruption. The plan must incorporate redundancy, backup mechanisms, and a tested recovery procedure. Following ISO/IEC 27031 guidelines, the DR lifecycle includes risk analysis, preparedness, testing, and continual improvement (ISO, 2011).

### Implementation and Tools

OpenStack provides flexibility through modular services (Nova, Neutron, Cinder) that can be replicated across availability zones. To safeguard critical workloads, I implemented scheduled backups using *Duplicity*, an open-source tool supporting encrypted incremental backups to remote storage (Mann & Velickovic, 2018). Backup jobs were configured for daily snapshots of Cinder volumes and Keystone databases, stored in Swift object storage.

A simulated failure scenario was created by deliberately corrupting the primary database instance. Recovery involved deploying a clean database node and restoring from the most recent Duplicity snapshot. The encrypted backups ensured data integrity and compliance with confidentiality standards (Zhou et al., 2013).

### Professional Reflection

From my professional experience in IT service environments, I have seen how overlooked DR testing can cause extended downtime. For instance, during a project deploying cloud-based laundry process management systems, a minor database fault led to several hours of service unavailability because backups had not been recently validated. This highlighted that DR is not only about *having* backups but also about *proving* they work. In this simulation, recovery succeeded within the two-hour Recovery Time Objective (RTO), directly contrasting with that earlier incident where delays arose due to insufficient verification.

While effective, the process revealed limitations: Duplicity struggled with larger datasets, suggesting Bacula's enterprise scalability could be better suited (Mann & Velickovic, 2018). Bandwidth also slowed restoration, pointing to the value of deduplication and network planning. Future improvements include automating failover with Ansible and extending geo-replication to reduce single-point risks.

### References

- ISO (2011) *ISO/IEC 27031:2011 – Information technology – Security techniques – Guidelines for ICT readiness for business continuity*. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.
- Mann, Z. Á. and Velickovic, D. (2018) 'Evaluation of backup solutions for cloud computing', *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 83, pp. 85–96.
- Zhou, M., Zhang, R., Xie, W., Qian, W. and Zhou, A. (2013) 'Security and privacy in cloud computing: A survey', *IEEE International Conference on Semantics Knowledge and Grid*, pp. 105–112.