

Area	Brand	Frequencies	
1	B		
1	Other		
1	A	<b>Area 1</b>	
1	B	<b>A</b>	<b>11</b>
1	Other	<b>B</b>	<b>17</b>
1	A	<b>Other</b>	<b>42</b>
1	Other	<b>Total</b>	<b>70</b>
1	Other		
1	Other		
1	B	<b>Percentages</b>	
1	Other		
1	Other	<b>Area 1</b>	
1	A	<b>A</b>	15,7
1	A	<b>B</b>	24,3
1	A	<b>Other</b>	60,0
1	B	<b>Total</b>	100
1	A		
1	Other		
1	B		
1	A		
1	B		
1	Other	<b>Frequencies</b>	
1	Other		
1	B	<b>Area 2</b>	
1	B	<b>A</b>	<b>19</b>
1	Other	<b>B</b>	<b>30</b>
1	Other	<b>Other</b>	<b>41</b>
1	Other	<b>Total</b>	90
1	Other		
1	Other		
1	B	<b>Percentages</b>	
1	B		
1	Other	<b>Area 2</b>	
1	Other	<b>A</b>	21,1
1	B	<b>B</b>	33,3
1	B	<b>Other</b>	45,6
1	B	<b>Total</b>	100
1	Other		
1	Other		
1	B		
1	Other	<b>Area 1</b>	
1	Other	Brand preference is strongly dominated by the “ <b>Other</b> ” category, which	
1	Other		
1	Other	<b>Area 2</b>	
1	Other	While “Other” remains the largest category at <b>45.6%</b> , its dominance is less pronounced than in Area 1.	
1	Other		
1	Other	<b>Conclusion</b>	
1	Other		
1	Other		
1	Other	<b>Area 1</b> displays <b>low loyalty</b> to Brands A and B, with a strong shift toward the “Other” category.	
1	A		
1	Other	<b>Area 2</b> shows <b>greater engagement</b> with the main brands—particularly Brand A.	
1	A		

These patterns suggest that branding strategies may need to be **targeted**.

2 Other  
2 A  
2 B  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 B  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 B  
2 A  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 A  
2 A  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 B  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 Other  
2 Other  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 A  
2 B  
2 B  
2 Other  
2 Other

1 accounts for **60%** of respondents. Brands **A (15.7%)** and **B (24.3%)** receive comparatively low sup|

lower than in Area 1. Both Brand **A (21.1%)** and especially Brand **B (33.3%)** have stronger represent

**ted differently** in each area: strengthening brand identity in Area 1 and reinforcing existing brand po:



port. This suggests that consumers in Area 1 have **weak attachment to the two main brands** and a

cation in this area. The higher proportion for Brand B suggests a **clearer preference** and possibly str





are more likely to choose alternative or local brands, indicating a **fragmented market** with dispersed stronger brand recognition or marketing influence in Area 2. Overall, Area 2 shows a **more defined pattern**.





**ttern of preference** compared to the heavier “Other” reliance in Area 1.