

Assignment 1

Exercise 1:

(i) Let the available length of the array be n

In the normal case where 'deallocate' is not needed:

The time complexity is on $\Theta(1)$ since the only execution needed is length - k .

In the exceptional case, 'deallocate' is called:

complexity for 'deallocate' is $C \cdot \frac{n}{4} \in \Theta(n)$

By amortised complexity, it is on $\Theta(1)$.

Exercise 2:

(i):

Let's first consider a list l which is empty:

Obviously, $\text{src}[e, f, g]$ for l is well-defined as long as e is defined.

Then if l contains a single element:

Obviously, $\text{src}[e, f, g]$ for l is also well-defined as long as $f(x)$ is defined.

For l with several elements like $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$:

As l can be written as two arbitrary lists: $l = \text{concat}(l_1, l_2)$, we need to consider whether all these lists can lead to same result when $\text{src}[e, f, g]$ is applied.

Firstly, we can derive $\text{src}[e, f, g](l) = g(\text{src}[e, f, g](l_1), \text{src}[e, f, g](l_2))$

① In the case both l_1, l_2 are not empty:

Clearly, it is a recursion and we will have:

$g(\text{src}[e, f, g](l_n), \text{src}[e, f, g](l_{n+1}))$ at final recursion with l_n, l_{n+1} contain only one element and

$\text{src}[e, f, g](l_n) = f(x_n), \text{src}[e, f, g](l_{n+1}) = f(x_{n+1})$

with $x_n \in l, x_{n+1} \in l$ and by recursing back, we can obviously get same result as $f(x)$ are the same.

② In the case where l_1 or l_2 is empty:

$\text{src}[e, f, g](l) = g(\text{src}[e, f, g](l_1), \text{src}[e, f, g]([])) = g(\text{src}[e, f, g](l_1), e)$
 $= \text{src}[e, f, g](l_1)$

For $\text{src}[e, f, g](l_1)$ in the g , we have shown that it will have same result according to previous proof.

Hence, src is well-defined.

(1b):

① For $\text{get_length}(l)$, we can define according to $\text{src}[e, f, g]$:

when l is empty, $\text{src}[e, f, g](l) = e = 0$

when l is singleton, $\text{src}[e, f, g](l) = f(x) = 1$

when l contains many elements:

$$\text{src}[e, f, g](l) = g(\text{src}(l_1), \text{src}(l_2)) = \text{get_length}(l_1) + \text{get_length}(l_2)$$

Hence, $\text{get_length}(l) = \begin{cases} 0 \\ 1 \\ \text{get_length}(l_1) + \text{get_length}(l_2) \end{cases}$

② For $\text{func}(l)$:

when l is empty, $\text{func}(l) = e = []$

when l is single: $\text{func}(l) = \text{func}(x) = f(x), x \in l$

else: $\text{func}(l) = \text{func}(l_1) + \text{func}(l_2), l_1, l_2 \in l, l_1 + l_2 = l$

③ For $\text{sublist}(l, \varphi)$:

when l is empty, $\text{sublist}(l, \varphi) = e = []$

when l is single, $\text{sublist}(l, \varphi) = f(x) = \begin{cases} [x], \text{ if } \varphi(x) = 1 \\ [] , \text{ if } \varphi(x) = 0 \end{cases}$

else: $\text{sublist}(l, \varphi) = \text{sublist}(l_1, \varphi) + \text{sublist}(l_2, \varphi), l_1 + l_2 = l$

(1b): Let's consider a list with n elements, by observing previous operations, we can denote that every element on l will be checked which means $g(x)$ will be applied to all elements.

Also, as it is recursion, $g(x)$ will be executed several times. We can derive that times of the execution of $g(x)$ is proportional to n

Assume that the complexity of $f(x)$ is k , the complexity of $g(x)$ is p

then we can derive $\mathcal{O}(kn+pn)$