

Formation Control with Mobile Robots

KADIR CIMENCI*

Middle East Technical University

kadircimenci@gmail.com

Abstract

Bitirme calismasindaki tum denklemler buradadır.

I. INTRODUCTION

$$A_i = \frac{A}{\zeta(c, N)(i + N)^c} \quad (1)$$

where $\zeta(c, N)$ is the Hurwitz zeta function defined by

$$\zeta(c, N) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{(i + N)^c} \right) \quad (2)$$

This known to converge for $c > 1$ and $N > 0$. In view of equation 2 one can write

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{A}{\zeta(c, N)(i + N)^c} \right) \quad (3)$$

such that the sum of all areas A_i is the total area A to be filled, that is, if the algorithm does not halt then it is space-filling.

$$\hat{r}_i = \sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 + (z - z_i)^2} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n) \quad (4)$$

where i denotes the beacon number and n is the total number of beacons. We have n number of constraints in the solution of the localization problem. In our work, we have implemented a two dimensional localization solution with the assumption of each agent in the swarm have the same vertical position in Earth centered coordinate system. With this assumption, the problem for the localization process can be reduced down to a $A.\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ type linear system problem and the constraints will be circle

functions rather than spherical ones, presented with

$$(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2 = r_i^2 \quad (5)$$

Lets assume $\theta = (x, y)$ is representing the coordinates of an agent which is trying to localize itself, and $B1 = (x_1, y_1); B2 = (x_2, y_2); B3 = (x_3, y_3); \dots; B_i = (x_i, y_i)$ are the agents with exactly known positions.

FOTOGRAF KONACAK

If any beacon is considered as the reference beacon and named with an index of r , the distance equations can be provided as following

The distance between the target agent and any beacon i

$$d_i(\theta) = \sqrt{((x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2)} \quad (6)$$

The distance between the reference beacon and the other beacons

$$d_{ir}(\theta) = \sqrt{((x_i - x_r)^2 + (y_i - y_r)^2)} \quad (7)$$

The distance between the target agent and the reference beacon

$$d_r(\theta) = \sqrt{((x - x_r)^2 + (y - y_r)^2)} \quad (8)$$

Adding and subtracting x_j, y_j and z_j in (6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} d_i^2(\theta) &= (x - x_r + x_r - x_i)^2 + (y - y_r + y_r - y_i)^2 \\ &= (x - x_r)^2 + 2(x_r - x_i)(x - x_r) + (x_r - x_i)^2 \\ &\quad + (y - y_r)^2 + 2(y_r - y_i)(y - y_r) + (y_r - y_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

This equation yields to

$$2((x_i - x_r)(x - x_r) + (y_i - y_r)(y - y_r)) = d_r^2(\theta) + d_{ir}^2 - d_i^2(\theta)$$

this general statement is valid for each beacon with

$$\begin{aligned} (x_2 - x_1)(x - x_1) + (y_2 - y_1)(y - y_1) &= \frac{1}{2}[d_r^2(\theta) + d_{2r}^2 - d_2^2(\theta)] & \hat{x} &= A^{-1}\vec{b} \\ (x_3 - x_1)(x - x_1) + (y_3 - y_1)(y - y_1) &= \frac{1}{2}[d_r^2(\theta) + d_{3r}^2 - d_3^2(\theta)] \\ &\vdots \\ (x_n - x_1)(x - x_1) + (y_n - y_1)(y - y_1) &= \frac{1}{2}[d_r^2(\theta) + d_{nr}^2 - d_n^2(\theta)] \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

if b_{ir} is defined for each beacon as follows:

$$b_{ir} := \frac{1}{2}[d_r^2(\theta) + d_{ir}^2 - d_i^2(\theta)] \quad (9)$$

then the linearized system equations can be represented with $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ type equation where;

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_2 - x_r & y_2 - y_r \\ x_3 - x_r & y_3 - y_r \\ \dots & \dots \\ x_n - x_r & y_n - y_r \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} x - x_r \\ y - y_r \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} b_{21} \\ b_{31} \\ \dots \\ b_{n1} \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

with the help of this mathematical manipulations, localization problem is reduced down to a $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ problem. There are some possible solutions to this type of equation regarding with the structure of matrix A and vector b .

SOLUTION TO $Ax = b$ problem

In a localization problem handled in two dimensional world, the A matrix has $(n - 1)$ rows and 2 columns, where ' n ' is the number of neighbor beacons. It is obvious that there is no solution when the number of neighbors lower than 3 since the A matrix will have 1 or smaller number of lines. When the number of neighbor beacons are equal or greater than 3 we have three different solution types up to the structure of the linearized equations.

1) Unique solution If A matrix has the dimensions of 2×2 and the rank of A matrix ' $rank(A)$ ' is equal to 2, then the solution of \vec{x} is unique with

where \hat{x} is the unique solution.

2) Minimum Norm solution with pseudo inverse

If A matrix has the dimensions of $(n - 1) \times 2$ where $n > 3$, which means the number of neighbor beacons greater than 3, and if columns of A matrix form a linearly independent set (full column rank matrix) then the solution can be found with the projection of \vec{b} over range space of A , $Proj_{R(A)}\vec{b}$ where

$$Proj_{R(A)}\vec{b} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{b} \quad (14)$$

$$A\vec{x} = Proj_{R(A)}\vec{b}$$

$$\vec{A}\hat{x} = A(A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{b}$$

with the help of the above equation

$$A(\hat{x} - (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{b}) = 0 \quad (15)$$

then

$$\hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T \vec{b} \quad (16)$$

since A matrix is full column rank matrix,

$$\mathcal{N}(A) = \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}(A)^\perp = \mathbb{R}^n$$

then

$$Proj_{\mathcal{N}(A)^\perp} \hat{x} = \hat{x} \quad (17)$$

this concludes that \hat{x} is the unique minimum norm solution to the $A\hat{x} = \vec{b}$ problem

3) Minimum norm solution with nonlinear least squares method

If A matrix has the dimensions of 2×2 or $(n-1) \times 2$ with $n > 3$ and if rank of A matrix is equal to 1, $\text{rank}(A) = 1$ then the solution to the $A\hat{x} = \vec{b}$ problem can be found iteratively with the help of nonlinear least squares method. Lets define the cost function to be minimized as the sum of the squares of the errors on the distances

$$F(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(f_i^2(x, y) \right) \quad (18)$$

with

$$f_i(x, y) = \sqrt{(x - x_i)^2 + (y - y_i)^2} - r_i = f_i(\theta) \quad (19)$$

There are various algorithms to minimize the sum of the square errors in literature, Newton iteration is used to find the optimal solution in this work. Taking the partial derivatives of the cost function with respect to x and y gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \vec{x}} &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial f_i(\theta)}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial \vec{y}} &= 2 \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial f_i(\theta)}{\partial y} \end{aligned}$$

The partial derivative matrix of the cost function is composed as;

$$\nabla F(\theta) = 2 \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial x} + f_2 \frac{\partial f_2(\theta)}{\partial x} + \dots + f_n \frac{\partial f_n(\theta)}{\partial x} \\ f_1 \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial y} + f_2 \frac{\partial f_2(\theta)}{\partial y} + \dots + f_n \frac{\partial f_n(\theta)}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

Components of this partial derivative matrix converges to zero while the cost function iteratively optimized to a minimum point.

$$\nabla F(\theta) = 2J(\theta)^T f(\theta) = 0 \quad (21)$$

where

$$J(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_1(\theta)}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial f_2(\theta)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_2(\theta)}{\partial y} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \frac{\partial f_n(\theta)}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f_n(\theta)}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

and

$$f(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} f_1(\theta) \\ f_2(\theta) \\ \dots \\ f_n(\theta) \end{bmatrix} \quad (23)$$

Using the vector \vec{R}

$$\vec{R} = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

To optimize the cost function, Newton iteration is implemented as follows;

$$\vec{R}_{\{k+1\}} = \vec{R}_{\{k\}} - (J_{\{k\}}^T J_{\{k\}})^{-1} J_{\{k\}}^T \vec{f}_{\{k\}} \quad (25)$$

where $\vec{R}_{\{k\}}$ denotes the approximate solution at k^{th} iteration. The explicit form of the equations can be derived by implementing our constraint functions to the generic statements, as follows;

$$J^T J = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x-x_i)^2}{(f_i+r_i)^2} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x-x_i)(y-y_i)}{(f_i+r_i)^2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x-x_i)(y-y_i)}{(f_i+r_i)^2} & \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y-y_i)^2}{(f_i+r_i)^2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

and

$$J^T \vec{f} = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(x-x_i)f_i}{(f_i+r_i)} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(y-y_i)f_i}{(f_i+r_i)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (27)$$

II. ROUTE TABLE DETERMINATION AND - DSDV TABLORAR

It is obvious that each agent must have at least three neighbor agents to solve the $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$ type problem in two dimensional domain and recalculate its position in the environment. Since the possibility of having a large error on position and velocity data for the agents which do not have an external position measurement sensors, it will be appropriate to get the agents into local trilateration process with the agents which have position sensors as much as possible. It is assumed that the positions of the beacon agents in the trilateration process are

well-known with a little error boundary, ideally with no errors, so getting in the trilateration process with the agents which are already have errors on their position and velocity datas may increase the error on the calculated datas. On the other hand due to the restrictions & requirements defined in the Section 1-2 Objectives, it will not be possible to interact with the agents with agents with position sensors directly due to the small communication ranges of the agents and line of sight issues. In this case, it will be a good choice to handle this trilateration process starting with the agents which are closer to the position agents in a increasing order of distance.

SUNUM1 deki yukarıdan aşağı bilginin dağıtım şekli buraya gelecek

As illustrated on the Figure-xx, suppose that the red agents which are closest ones to the position beacon in the swarm are the only ones which have the capability of interacting with the position beacon. Since the only source of true position measurement is the position beacon, this data must be distributed to these red agents first, then trilateration process must be propagated through the orange agents and then the yellow agents last, since they can only interact with an upper layer in the swarm due to the line of sight and communication range issues.

It is needed to have an algorithm to organize the order of the local trilateration process and the determines the beacon agents for each member of the swarm. Basically this algorithm will assign each agent a rank which represents the number of sequence in the localization process, and will determine at least three local neighbors of each agent to get in trilateration. Agents which do not have at least three neighbors are assumed to be lost agents and the handling of these type of agents are illustrated in Section -xx.

I. Routing Algorithm- Bellman Ford

As mentioned in the Objectives section of the thesis work, agents are assumed to have a limited communication range and bandwidth and

the communication topology in the swarm is implemented with a wireless mesh network. In this type of network, each node has a relay in the network and the data is transferred to the related destination with the help of route tables. This makes it possible to have the capability of transferring low bandwidth data through the network with multiple hops. In this work, we implement this topology with a table driven routing scheme known as DSDV (Destination-Sequenced Distance Vector Routing Protocol) algorithm based on Bellman Ford algorithm. Bellman-Ford is an algorithm that computes the shortest path in a weighted graph and the correctness of the algorithm is proven. It is an algorithm based on relaxation, the correct distance to the vertices in the graph are updated iteratively from the initial estimations until converging to the optimal solution. This algorithm is slower than the Dijkstra's algorithm which has similar functionalities but negative edge weights can be implemented in the related graph to report the negative cycles which means there is no cheapest path to the related destination vertex. On the other hand, it is possible to augment this algorithm with DSDV implementation to handle the routing loop problem when there is one or more vertices that no longer exist in the network. The probability of the case with the non-existence of some vertices during the algorithm is processed can be very high since the agents in the swarm have low sensor capabilities and small range of communication and they have a great possibility to get lost in the environment. A simple demonstration of the Bellman-Ford algorithm with a simple network is illustrated in the Figure-xx

Powerpoint çizilen örnek network ve iterasyonları eklenecek

The algorithm to calculate the shortest paths for node 'S' is done at the end of 7 iterations. At the beginning of the process, the weights for each edge are determined including the negative ones and each distance to the paths are filled with infinity. Then the shortest paths to each node in the given directed graph are determined iteratively with the help

of the Bellman-Ford algorithm

I.1 Usage on Bellman Ford algorithm and DSDV

Bellman Ford algorithm have a drawback related with the routing loop problem which occurs in an event of one or more nodes in the graph are lost during the process. Figure -xx illustrates a simple routing loop problem.

POwerpoint deki sunum-1 den alinan cizim eklenecek

Suppose that the node D have lost its contact with the network due to some malfunction or being lost by getting outside of the communication range to the closest neighbor of itself. Before this event, node C have a unit distance to the node D and consequently node B have a 2 unit distance to node D, node A have a 3 unit distance to node D. In case of a failure on node D, on the next iteration C will update its route table with the 3 unit distance to node D by taking reference the node B. Then node B will update its route table with the shortest distance of 4 units to the node D by referencing the node C and this process will diverge to infinity on the shortest paths with the increasing number of iterations. To provide a solution for this type of problems, DSDV algorithm has implement the sequence numbers and counts for hops into the route tables of the nodes. A simple route table for a vertex in a network is given in Figure -xx

Metric ve dest.seq iceren resim buraay eklenecek

In the DSDV algorithm, each node have a sequence number and counts for hops (metric) for each route in its route table and periodically transmits the updates including its own sequence number and routing tables updates. In the network, when two routes to the same destination received from two different neighbors the nodes will observe the following rules;

- Choose the one with the larger destination sequence number
- If the sequence numbers are equal, then choose the route with minimum number of hops and update the route table.

DSDV Link addition

Sunumdan ilgili sekli koyelim

When a new node A joins the network, it transmits of its own route table including the destination to itself $\langle A, A, 0, 101 \rangle$. Then the following procedure will be handled during iterations -Node B receives the the transmission of A and inserts a new line into its route table with $\langle A, A, 1, 101 \rangle$ and propogates this new node to its neighbors -Node C and Node D receives this transmission and inserts the new route to their route tables with $\langle A, B, 2, 101 \rangle$

DSDV link breaks

Sunumdan ilgili sekli koyelim

When the link between B and D breaks, node B gets no trasnmission from the D and notices the link breaks, then the following procedure will be handled, - Node B update the hop count for node D and E to the infinity and increments the sequence numbers to these routes - Node B propogates the updates to its neighbors and node A and node C updates the lines of the routes to the D and E, since the message from B includes higher sequence numbers for those routes.

DSDV is implementing an algorithm to find the shortest paths between the internal nodes of a given directed graph. The costs for each shortest paths are calculated with the help of the weight of edges in the graph. Since our aim is to find the closest position beacon which has the minimum number of hops, the weight for each edge in the graph must be represented with the same unit size and the directions of the edges are negligible.

II. clusters

Since there are limited number of position beacons in a swarm, it will be appropriate to cluster the agents around these position agents to minimize the problem to the subproblems in which every one of them there are only one position beacon and the agents which are assigned to that cluster. The error on the trilateration process is expected to be increasing at the lower layers of the process illustrated in Figure -xx because of the cumulative effects of errors added to the position and velocity data of the

agents in each layer. Thus, the policy for the assignment of the agents to the clusters must be the number of hops to the routes of position beacons rather than the physical distances. Since DSDV algorithm has a structure storing the number of counts to each route in the tables, this information can be used to determine each agents' clusters in the swarm.

clusterlarla ilgili bir resim koyalım

As illustrated in the Figure -xx, all agents have assigned themselves to the clusters around position beacons, in which they have minimum number of hops in the route to the related position agent. With this approach, the generic algorithm which will be executed with the period of localization process for each agent must be implemented as follows:

- 1) Update route table including the routes to the position agents in the swarm with DSDV algorithm
- 2) Check for the routes to the position agents and join the cluster in which minimum number of hops required to that destination.
- 3) Wait for the localization sequence in which the agents are entered the process with the increasing number of hops, e.g. the agent which have a single hop to the position agents are processed first, and then the other ones are processed consecutively as illustrated in Figure -xx
- 4) If the localization sequence is valid(siranin gelmesi denmeli) for this agent, enter the trilateration process with the agents from upper layer, which are the next hops in the route table.
- 5) Call the update procedure of the observer system of which details are presented in section -xx

III. Handling Lost Agents

The minimum number of neighbors required for the trilateration process is three for a two dimensional localization problem as illustrated in section -xx(trilateration bolumu) . Since the agents are assumed to have a narrow communication range, it is possible to not to find three neighbors for any agent at an instant time. At this case, it will be impossible to relocate these agents with trilateration and the position&velocity data will drift from the real

values with the increasing time passed without trilaterations. To avoid these kind of problems, the concept of 'lost' agents and the procedures for these type of agents are described as follows:

- * An agent gets into 'Lost' mode, if it doesn't find three neighbors at an instant time
- * If an agent is in 'Lost' mode and missed the localization process for three times, it will get into 'Return to Home' mode
- * If an agent is in 'Return to Home' mode, it will directly try to reach to the center of the desired formation shape.

The idea behind the 'Return to Home' mode is basically to increase the possibility of the lost agent to get in touch with the rest of the swarm with directing it to the center of the swarm. A simple demonstration of this procedure is illustrated in Figure-xx

Return to home sekli sunumdan konacak

The lost agent aims to reach to the center of the formation and due to the errors on its position&velocity data, it is expected to arrive to the red point illustrated in the figure. With this maneuver, the lost agent still have a chance to meet some other agents in the swarm even if it directs itself to an incorrect goal state.

IV. State Estimation Procedure

In local positioning subsystem, agents are expected to execute a state estimator algorithm in which they propagate their state vector composed of translational position and velocities with the help of inertial measurements. As discussed in Section 3.1 they will update and correct their positions with the measurements provided by the trilateration process executed with the localization timer period of 5 seconds. A Kalman estimator algorithm which uses the trilateration outputs as external measurements and the sensor measurement as inputs is designed to fusion the sensor measurements with the trilateration calculations. The model for this observer system is defined as follows:

The state vector for each agent is defined as:

$$x_k = \begin{bmatrix} X_k \\ \dot{X}_k \end{bmatrix} \quad (28)$$

where X_k is the position and \dot{X}_k is the velocity of the agents in x coordinates in two dimensional environment. All of the following procedures will be handled exactly the same for the state vector in y coordinates. The linear model to propagate states will be:

$$x_{k+1} = F_k x_k + B_k u_k + w_k \quad (29)$$

where w_k is the process noise and

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & d_t \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d_t^2}{2} \\ d_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

where d_t is the propagation period and u_k is the translational acceleration measured by inertial sensors in the x coordinate of the system. The observation which will be calculated with the trilateration process :

$$z_k = H_k x_k + v_k \quad (32)$$

where v_k is the measurement noise and since the trilateration process will provide new position informations of the agents:

$$H_k = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (33)$$

The noise models for the process and the measurement are modelled with:

$$w_k = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{Q}_k) \quad (34)$$

$$v_k = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{R}_k) \quad (35)$$

where w_k is the process noise with zero mean multivariate normal distribution with covariance of Q_k and v_k is the measurement noise with zero mean Gaussian distribution with a covariance of R_k

The filter has two main subsections named predict and update phases. The update phase of the filter is executed after each trilateration process with a period of 5 seconds. The filter equations are as follows:

Propagation phase:

$$\hat{x}_{k,k-1} = F_k \hat{x}_{k-1,k-1} + B_k u_k \quad (36)$$

$$P_{k,k-1} = F_k P_{k-1,k-1} F_k^T + Q_k \quad (37)$$

Update Phase:

$$\tilde{y}_k = z_k - H_k \hat{x}_{k,k-1} \quad (38)$$

$$S_k = H_k P_{k,k-1} H_k^T + R_k \quad (39)$$

$$K_k = P_{k,k-1} H_k^T S_k^{-1} \quad (40)$$

$$\hat{x}_{k,k} = \hat{x}_{k,k-1} + K_k \tilde{y}_k \quad (41)$$

$$P_{k,k} = (I - K_k H_k) P_{k,k-1} \quad (42)$$

where Q_k is the process covariance matrix and R_k is the measurement covariance chosen as

$$Q_k = \begin{bmatrix} \text{Max.AccelerationError} * \frac{d_t^2}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \text{Max.AccelerationError} * d_t \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

$$R_k = \text{Max.PositionError on Trilateration Process} \quad (44)$$

in the above equations K_k represents the Kalman gain matrix and S_k is the residual covariance of the system at time k . $\hat{x}_{k,k}$ is the posteriori state estimate updated with measurements at time k ; $\hat{x}_{k,k-1}$ is the priori estimate of the state vector predicted with inputs at time k ; $P_{k,k}$ is the posteriori error covariance matrix updated with measurements at time k ; $P_{k,k-1}$ is the priori estimate covariance predicted with the inputs at time k

III. FORMATION CONTROL

The details of the methodology for dynamical formation control with heterogenous mobile robots is presented in this chapter. Basically three different approaches as artificial forces method, bubble packing method and randomized fractals method are implemented. It is possible to classify these methods in two sub categories. Potential field based approaches implements artificial forces acting on agents to get inside and cover the desired formation shape homogenously by avoiding collisions between the agents. The resultant positions of the agents in the formation shape is not certain, it dynamically changes with the instantaneous positions and interactions of the agents with each other and environment. The other two methods ,

shape partitioning based approaches, share a common structural basis. In these approaches the complex formation shape is partitioned into goal states to cover the shape homogenously with the mobile robots. The assignment of the agents to these goal states is handled with special algorithms to optimize the overall energy consumption of the swarm. The difference between these two methods is the partitioning approach of the complex shape.

Method lari gosteren sekli buraya ekleyelim

I. artificial forces method

Artificial forces method implements some potential fields over each agent arised from the interactions between agents, formation shape and environment etc. The positions of the agents at the formation shape are determined randomly with a local equilibrium point of the swarm in which every agent is at balance under the total force acting from the environment. There are basically three different kinds of artificial forces named intermember forces representing the forces created by the other agents in the swarm to achieve collision avoidance, the attractive forces representing the forces created by the desired formation shape to attract the agent into the shape and repulsive forces created by the formation shape to keep agent inside the shape. It is possible to augment these type of forces for specific tasks and objectives, e.g. obstacle forces created by the obstacles in the environment can be implemented to achieve obstacle avoidance. Since the method to calculate the artificial forces involves contour integrals, it will be useful to give mathematical definition of contour integrals;

Consider a curve C which is a set of points $z = (x, y)$ in the complex plane defined by

$$x = x(t), y = y(t), a \leq t \leq b \quad (45)$$

where $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are continuous functions of the real parametere t . It is possible to write

$$z(t) = x(t) + iy(t), a \leq t \leq b \quad (46)$$

This curve is called smooth if $z(t)$ has continuous derivative $z'(t) \neq 0$ for all points along

the curve, and it is called simple if it does not cross itself as mentioned;

$$z(t_1) \neq z(t_2) \text{ whenever } t_1 \neq t_2 \quad (47)$$

On the other hand if $z(a) = z(b)$ is the only intersection point, the curve is said to be simple closed curve. Regarding with these given definitions, an example for a simple smooth closed curve is illustrated in Figure -xx

Sunumdan simple closed curve buraya konacak

Let $f(z)$ is a complex function in a domain D in the complex pane and let C be simple closed contour contained in D with initial point z_0 and terminal point z . It is possible to take the integral of $f(z)$ along the contour C

$$\oint_C f(z)dz = \int_a^b f(z(t)) \frac{dz(t)}{dt} dt \quad (48)$$

where

$$\frac{dz(t)}{dt} = \frac{dx(t)}{dt} + i \frac{dy(t)}{dt}, a \leq t \leq b \quad (49)$$

To simplify this equation, one can wrtie $f(z) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ and $dz = dx + idy$ into the statements,

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_C f(z)dz &= \oint_C udx - vdy + i \oint_C udy + vdx \\ &= \int_a^b \left[u(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} - v(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right] dt \\ &\quad + i \int_a^b \left[u(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} + v(x(t), y(t)) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} \right] dt \end{aligned}$$

II. Artificial Forces and Utility Functions

As it is mentioned in the Section-xx Objectives part, the formation shapes will be complex contours which cannot be identified analytically, but the definition for the artificial forces and utility functions are expressed in continuous contour integrals which requires the analytical expression of the curve on which the integral will be taken. To enable these type of calculations it is required to provide these statements in discrete domain to achieve calculations with complex closed curves.

1- Cauchy Winding Number Cauchy winding number of a curve in the plane around a given point is the number of times that curve travels counterclockwise around the point. This number is used to switch on/off some of the artificial forces while the agent is inside or outside of the formation shape. Suppose C is the complex closed curve which is a set of points $z = (x, y)$ in the complex plane and z_i is a point to check whether it is inside of the curve, then the Cauchy winding number is :

$$n(C, z_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{dz}{z - z_i} \quad (50)$$

The winding number for agent i in the swarm,

$$n(C, z_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{when member } i \text{ is inside } C \\ 0 & \text{when member } i \text{ is outside } C \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

To implement this statement in discrete domain

$$n(C, z_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C f(z) dz \quad (52)$$

where

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z - z_i} \quad (53)$$

Function of $f(z)$ can be partitioned into real and complex parts as:

$$u(x, y) = \text{real}(f(z)) \text{ and } v(x, y) = \text{imag}(f(z)) \quad (54)$$

partitioning as it mentioned in equation -xx

$$\oint_C f(z) dz = \oint_C u dx - v dy + i \oint_C u dy + v dx \quad (55)$$

then

$$n(C, z_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\int_a^b \left(u \frac{dx}{dt} - v \frac{dy}{dt} \right) dt + i \int_a^b \left(u \frac{dy}{dt} + v \frac{dx}{dt} \right) dt \right] \quad (56)$$

This contour integral representation of this equation is

$$n(C, z_i) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left[\sum_{k=1}^K (u(x_{k+1} - x_k) - v(y_{k+1} - y_k)) + i \sum_{k=1}^K (u(y_{k+1} - y_k) + v(x_{k+1} - x_k)) \right] \quad (57)$$

where

$$z_k - z_{k-1} = z_{k+1} - z_k, \quad \forall k; \text{ when } K \rightarrow \infty \quad (58)$$

The assumption of $K \rightarrow \infty$ makes it possible to calculate the integral of Cauchy winding number with a small error with large number of K which can be achieved by partitioning the desired formation shape into small pieces with equal distances. This approach is used to provide representations of the contour integrals in discrete domain.

Burada iceride dÄssarda noktalarla doldurdughumuz seklÄs verelim

2- Length of a formation shape

The length of a formation shape can be calculated with the equation of;

$$l(C) = \oint_C \|dz\| \quad (59)$$

the expression for this contour integral with points of $z_k = (x_k, y_k)$ in the complex plane

$$l(C) = \sum_{k=1}^K \sqrt{(x_{k+1} - x_k)^2 + (y_{k+1} - y_k)^2} \quad (60)$$

where

$$z_k - z_{k-1} = z_{k+1} - z_k, \quad \forall k; \text{ when } K \rightarrow \infty \quad (61)$$

3-Center of a Formation Shape

The center of a formation shape can be calculated with the equation of;

$$z_c = \frac{\oint_C z \|dz\|}{l(C)} \quad (62)$$

the expressiion for this equationl with points of $z_k = (x_k, y_k)$ in the complex plane

$$z_{cx} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K x(k)}{K} \\ z_{cy} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K y(k)}{K}$$

where z_{cx} and z_{cy} are the x and y coordinates of the center of formation shape respectively.

4- Area of a Formation Shape
Green's theorem can be used to calculate the area of a closed curve. According to

this theorem the area of D given by the double integral

$$A = \int \int_D dA \quad (63)$$

can be calculated with the line integral of

$$A = \oint_D Fds = \frac{1}{2} \oint_D xdy - ydx \quad (64)$$

where

$$F(x, y) = (-y/2, x/2) \quad (65)$$

This contour integral can be reduced down to

$$\begin{aligned} Area &= \frac{1}{2} \oint_C xdy - \frac{1}{2} \oint_C ydx \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{t=a}^b x(t) \frac{dy(t)}{dt} dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{t=a}^b y(t) \frac{dx(t)}{dt} dt \end{aligned}$$

the expressiion for this equationl with points of $z_k = (x_k, y_k)$ in the complex plane

$$Area = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K x_k(y_{k+1} - y_k) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K y_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) \quad (66)$$

where

$$z_k - z_{k-1} = z_{k+1} - z_k, \quad \forall k; \text{ when } K \rightarrow \infty \quad (67)$$

I- ARTIFICIAL FORCES Artificial forces are defined to gather the agents in the swarm inside a formation shape and make them distributed homogenously inside the shape. It is possible to define some additional artificial forces to implement features like obstacle&collision avoidance or smooth transitions between the boundaries of the formation shape. Attractive forces, repulsive forces, intermember forces, obstacle forces and transition forces are implemented to generate individual control laws for all agents in the swarm. Suppose the state of a member i is described by

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} z_i \\ \dot{z}_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (68)$$

where $z_i \in C$, represents the position of the i^{th} member of the swarm and $\alpha = [1 \ 0]$ and $\beta = [0 \ 1]$. The state of the whole swarm

$x = [X_1 \ X_2 \ \dots X_n]$ is determined by the linear equations of [pubudu referans verelim]

$$\dot{x} = Ax + Bu \quad (69)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \text{diag}(\hat{A})_{n \times n} \\ B &= \frac{1}{m} \text{diag}(\hat{B})_{n \times n} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (70)$$

The vector for individual control laws of the swarm

$$u = [u_1 \ u_2 \ \dots \ u_n] \quad (71)$$

where

$$u_i = F_{i,a} + F_{i,r} + F_{i,m} + F_{i,t} \quad (72)$$

The components of these control forces are described in details at the following section. 1- Attractive Forces Attractive forces are the artificial force components generated by the formation shape to attract the agent towards the center of the formation .They are active when the agents are outside of the shape.

Attractive force lari gosteren bir sekil koy-alim, agentlara shape disarisindayken etki eden kuvvet vektorleri

The equations for the attractive forces are defined as follows:

$$F_{i,a} := k_a(1 - n(C, \alpha X_i)) \oint_C (z - \alpha X_i) \|dz\| \quad (73)$$

where k_a is the variable gain for the attractive forces. The representation of the attractive forces on agent i on $z_i = (x_i, y_i)$ with the points of $z_k = (x_k, y_k)$ in the complex plane:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{iax} &= k_a(1 - n(C, \alpha X_i)) \sum_{k=1}^K (x_k - x_i) \\ F_{iax} &= k_a(1 - n(C, \alpha X_i)) \sum_{k=1}^K (y_k - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$z_k - z_{k-1} = z_{k+1} - z_k, \quad \forall k; \text{ when } K \rightarrow \infty \quad (74)$$

and $F_{iax}, F_{ia y}$ are the attractive force components in x, y coordinates respectively.

2- Repulsive Forces Repulsive forces are the artificial force components generated by the formation shape to keep the agents inside the shape. They are active when the agents are inside the shape.

Repulsive force leri gosteren bir sekil koy-
alim, agentlara shape icindeyken etki eden
kuvvet vektorleri The equations for the attrac-
tive forces are defined as follows:

$$F_{i,r} := k_r n(C, \alpha X_i) \oint_C \left[\frac{\alpha X_i - z}{\|\alpha X_i - z\|^3} \right] \|dz\| \quad (75)$$

where k_r is the variable gain for the repulsive forces. The representation of the repulsive forces on agent i on $z_i = (x_i, y_i)$ with the points of $z_k = (x_k, y_k)$ in the complex plane:

$$F_{irx} = k_r n(C, \alpha X_i) \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{x_i - x_k}{(\sqrt{(x_i - x_k)^2 + (y_i - y_k)^2})^3}$$

$$F_{iry} = k_r n(C, \alpha X_i) \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{y_i - y_k}{(\sqrt{(x_i - x_k)^2 + (y_i - y_k)^2})^3}$$

where

$$z_k - z_{k-1} = z_{k+1} - z_k, \quad \forall k; \text{ when } K \rightarrow \infty \quad (76)$$

and F_{irx}, F_{iry} are the repulsive force components in x, y coordinates respectively.

IV. ORNEKLER

$$A_i = C_{free}(R_i, S) + C_{forb}(R_i, S) \quad (77)$$

$$S_1 \oplus S_2 := \{p + q : p \subset S_1, q \subset S_2\} \quad (78)$$

$$F_{i,m,x} = k_m \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n \left(\frac{x_i - x_j}{d_{ij}} \frac{1}{(d_{ij} - d_o)^2} \right) \quad (79)$$

$$X_i = \begin{bmatrix} z_i \\ \dot{z}_i \end{bmatrix} \quad (80)$$