MICHIGAN FLORISTIC QUALITY ASSESSMENT DATABASE - 2014

Compiled by:

Reznicek, A.A., M.R. Penskar, B.S. Walters, and B.S. Slaughter

Citation: Reznicek, A.A., M.R. Penskar, B.S. Walters, and B.S. Slaughter. 2014. Michigan Floristic Quality Assessment Database. Herbarium, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI and Michigan Natural Features Inventory, Michigan State University, Lansing, MI. (http://michiganflora.net/home.aspx)

The Michigan Floristic Quality Assessment System (FQAS) was first compiled and released in 1996 by Herman et al. Its development was based on a technique created for evaluating and comparing natural areas of the Chicago area (Wilhelm and Ladd 1988), eventually becoming a system incorporated by Swink and Wilhelm (1994) as a tool for evaluating the relative floristic quality of sites within their regional flora. This system is now widely emulated in a number of states and has also been developed for selected regions in Canada (see citations).

A fully revised second edition of the Michigan FQAS was subsequently issued in 2001 (Herman et al.). The second edition included an improved, user-friendly computer application with several modifications and updates to the comprehensive Michigan Flora list. Many list revisions were warranted at that time based on significant advances in knowledge of the Michigan Flora, changes in the state listing (i.e. rarity status) of species, and the need to better reflect widely recognized, contemporary taxonomic treatments such as those presented in the ongoing Flora of North America series (Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds.1993+)

A fully updated Michigan Floristic Quality Assessment Database was comprehensively revised in 2014 to reconcile it with both the recently published *Field Manual of Michigan Flora* (Voss and Reznicek 2012) and the *Michigan Flora Online* (Reznicek et al. 2011). Since floristic works begin to become outdated as soon as they are published (or more accurately go to press), maintaining an online or "e-flora" is ideally suited for keeping pace with dynamic information. Thus it is primarily through *Michigan Flora Online* that a regularly updated FQA list will be continuously made available, eliminating the need for developing periodic printed editions and other materials with limited lifespans.

DATABASE ABBREVIATIONS

| Acronym | Six digit reference code for each taxon |
|---------|---|
| С | Coefficient of conservatism |
| * | Non-native taxon (defaults to 0 value in FQI calculations) |
| ST | Listing status recognized by the State of Michigan (extirpated, endangered, |
| | threatened, and special concern species) |
| Х | Extirpated |
| E | Endangered |
| Т | Threatened |
| SC | Special Concern |
| W | Wetness coefficient – includes modified ratings |
| WET | Michigan wetland categories – includes modified ratings |
| PHYS | Physiognomy (habit/growth form): forb, grass, vine, sedge, shrub, tree, fern, fern ally |
| | AD = Adventive (non-native) taxa |
| | NT = Native taxa |
| | A = Annual |
| | B = Biennial |
| _ | P = Perennial |
| _ | W = Woody |

Literature Cited and Suggested References

- Andreas, B.K., J.J. Mack, and J.S. McCormac. 2004. Floristic quality assessment index (FQAI) for vascular plants and mosses for the State of Ohio. Ohio Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Surface Water, Wetland Ecology Group, Columbus, OH. 219 pp.
- Bernthal, T.W. 2003. Development of a floristic quality assessment methodology for Wisconsin. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Integrated Science Services, Madison, WI. PUB-SS-986 2003. 22 pp.
- Bried, J.T., K.L. Strout, and T. Portante. 2012. Coefficients of conservatism for the vascular flora of New York and New England: Interstate comparisons and expert opinion bias. Northeast. Nat.: Northeast Natural History Conference 2011: Selected Papers. 19 (Special Issue 6): 101-114.
- Chamberlain, S.J. and H.M. Ingram. 2012. Developing coefficients of conservatism to advance floristic quality assessment in the Mid-Atlantic region. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 139: 416-427.
- Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds. 1993+. Flora of North America North of Mexico. 16+ vols. New York and Oxford. Vol. 1, 1993; vol. 2, 1993; vol. 3, 1997; vol. 4, 2003; vol. 5, 2005; vol. 7, 2010; vol. 8, 2009; vol. 19, 2006; vol. 20, 2006; vol. 21, 2006; vol. 22, 2000; vol. 23, 2002; vol. 24, 2007; vol. 25, 2003; vol. 26, 2002; vol. 27, 2007.
- Herman, K.D., L.A. Masters, M.R. Penskar, A.A. Reznicek, G.S. Wilhelm, and W.W. Brodowicz. 1996. Floristic quality assessment with wetland categories and examples of computer applications for the state of Michigan. 1st Edition. Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources, Lansing, MI. 20 pp. + appendices.
- Herman, K.D., L.A. Masters, M.R. Penskar, A.A. Reznicek, G.S. Wilhelm, W.W. Brodovich, and K.P. Gardiner. 2001. Floristic quality assessment with wetland categories and

- examples of computer applications for the state of Michigan. 2nd Edition. Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources, Lansing, Ml. 19 pp. + appendices. http://www.michigandnr.com/publications/pdfs/HuntingWildlifeHabitat/FQA_text.pdf
- Herman, K.D., L.A. Masters, M.R. Penskar, A.A. Reznicek, G.S. Wilhelm, and W.W. Brodowicz. 1997. Floristic quality assessment: development and application in the state of Michigan. Nat. Area. J. 17: 265-279.
- Ladd, D.M. 1993. Coefficients of conservatism of Missouri vascular flora. The Nature Conservancy, St. Louis, MO. 78 pp.
- Lichvar, R.W. 2013. The National Wetland Plant List: 2013 wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2013-49: 1–241. Published 17 July 2013. ISSN 2153 733X. http://www.phytoneuron.net/2013Phytoneuron/49PhytoN-2013NWPL.pdf
- Mortellaro, S., M. Barry, G. Gann, J. Azhina, S. Channon, C. Hilsenbeck, D. Scofield, G. Wilder, and G. Wilhelm. 2009. Coefficients of conservatism values and the Floristic quality index for the Vascular Plants of South Florida. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, South Florida Ecological Services Field Office, Vero Beach, Florida. 78 pp.
- Northern Great Plains Floristic Quality Assessment Panel. 2001. Floristic quality assessment for plant communities of North Dakota, South Dakota (excluding the Black Hills), and adjacent grasslands. Northern Prairie Wildlife Research Center, Jamestown, ND, USA. http://www.npwre.usgs.gov/resources/plants/fqa/index.htm
- Oldham, M.J., W.D. Bakowsky, and D.A. Sutherland. 1995. Floristic quality assessment for Southern Ontario. Natural Heritage Information Center, Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Peterborough, Ont., Canada.
- Reznicek, A.A., E.G. Voss, and B.S. Walters. February 2011. *MICHIGAN FLORA ONLINE*. University of Michigan. http://michiganflora.net/home.aspx
- Rothrock, P.W. 2004. Floristic quality assessment in Indiana: the concept, use, and development of coefficients of conservatism. Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Water Quality, Indianapolis, IN. USA Final Report for ARN A305-4-53. EPA Wetland program Development Grant CD975586-01. 96 pp.
- Swink, F. and G. Wilhelm. 1979. Plants of the Chicago Region. Rev. and exp. Ed. with keys. 3rd ed. Lisle: Morton Arboretum.
- Swink, F. and G. Wilhelm. 1994. Plants of the Chicago Region, 4th ed. Indiana Acad. Sci., Indianapolis. 921 pp.
- Taft, J.B., G.S. Wilhelm, D.M. Ladd, and L.A. Masters. 1997. Floristic quality assessment for vegetation in Illinois: a method for assessing vegetation integrity. Erigenia 15: 3-95.
- Voss, E.G. and A.A. Reznicek. 2011. Field Manual of the Michigan Flora. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, MI. 990 pp.
- Wilhelm, G. and D. Ladd. 1988. Natural area assessment in the Chicago region. p. 361-375. In Transactions of the 53rd North American Wildlife & Natural Resources Conference.