Good Practice Scheme: DRAFT UPDATED 6/9/22

	AGREE?	Notes/Action	DEADLINE
HIRING, RETENTION, AND PROMOTION			•
Departments should ensure that members of hiring panels:			
are aware of the federal, state, and university-level laws and policies			
governing affirmative action and discrimination in hiring and promotion.			
abide by those laws and policies.			
know about the workings of bias.			
Diversify hiring and tenure committees to include more people from under	-represented gi	roups. For example:	•
Appoint a diversity officer who will be responsible for ensuring each			
applicant is reviewed equitably. This person should have a clearly			
defined role that empowers them to intervene and sets out a clear			
procedure for addressing any issues they identify. Where possible, this			
person should have expertise on these issues and should make use of			
available training.			
Ensure that hiring panels (at both shortlisting and interview stages)			
include at least one, and preferably more than one, member of an			
under-represented group, unless there are exceptional practical reasons			
why this is impossible. But they should be aware that the presence of			
under-represented groups on the panel on its own will not correct for			
bias.			
Commit to inclusion with influence - members of under-represented			
groups should not function merely as tokens but have due influence on			
decision making. However, also be cautious about creating			
disproportionate burdens on members of under-represented groups and			
offer appropriate remuneration and recognition.			
Departments should strive to allow sufficient time for non-rushed			
consideration of job applications.			
Evaluate whether it is feasible and desirable to anonymize parts of their			
hiring process (e.g., by considering anonymized CVs, cover letters,			
and/or writing samples).			

When evaluating department needs, consider what constitutes a	
"well-rounded" department, whether it might include topics, approaches,	
interests, and philosophical traditions that have been neglected but	
deserve representation.	
Recognize that references to specialized areas of philosophy that	
emphasize diversity (e.g., LGBTQ philosophy, philosophy of race) as	
"fringe/peripheral philosophy," "not real philosophy," and the like are	
stigmatizing to members of those groups. If your department is	
unfamiliar with a desired research area, reach out to experts in other	
philosophy departments, or in other disciplines, for feedback on	
assessing candidates. (The APA's <u>UP-Directory</u> can be a valuable	
resource in this regard.)	
Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context	
(e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous	
representation in your department).	
Hire faculty using approaches and evaluation methods that encourage	
and appropriately value applicants who would contribute to your	
department's diversity.	
When feasible, advertise positions in areas likely to attract a wide	
diversity of applicants.	
When feasible, include language in the job description signaling interest	
in applicants who contribute to the department's diversity.	
Encourage applications from diverse candidates. This might include	
reaching out to people in diversity-relevant venues such as the	
<u>UP-Directory</u> and other diversity focused blogs and associations.	
Use clear criteria of evaluation that minimize the likelihood of bias and	
favoritism.	
Do not assume that teaching and research conducted by people from an	
under-represented group will focus on areas related to their own group.	
Agree in advance about what the department is looking for when hiring	
new faculty to avoid sources of bias.	
Evaluate whether your conception of "core philosophy" and/or the	
mission of your philosophy program needs updating and discuss what	
you are looking for in a "good candidate". These definitions should	
include expectations about, for example, the number and quality of	

publications to prevent holding different applicants to different	
standards.	
Consider the feasibility and desirability of developing clear and explicit	
guidelines in advance about, e.g., number and quantity of publications,	
the weighting of different items in the job description.	
Ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit,	
or unfairly disadvantage, applicants from under-represented groups.	
Consider the feasibility and desirability of requiring candidates to	
remove references to their degree-granting institution(s) to reduce	
prestige bias.	
Re-evaluate applications near the end of the process to determine	
whether bias has played a role in excluding or downgrading some	
applicants.	
In evaluating scholars who work on under-represented topics or	
traditions, employ appropriate standards of prestige and impact.	
Have a clear understanding of what counts as the top journals or	
conferences in the subfield relating to the applicant's specialty.	
Remember that top specialty journals for some subfields, such as philosophy of disability and LGBTQ philosophy, tend to be newer and	
thus less 'prestigious' in terms of impact factor, circulation, etc., and that	
devaluing publications in those journals may further disadvantage and	
stigmatize people working in those subfields.	
Focus on the quality of the applicant's work, how interesting or relevant	
it is to their sub-specialty, and how it might broaden the department's	
research and curriculum.	
Consider the extent to which uptake by policy-makers, the general	
public, and scholars in other academic departments are forms of impact	
that should be valued in hiring and promotion. Scholars in	
under-represented topics and traditions sometimes have impacts outside	
of mainstream academic philosophy that are inappropriately disregarded.	
Consider giving diversity-related contributions significant weight when	
evaluating colleagues and applicants.	
Remember that being a member of an under-represented group in	
philosophy can require additional labor, burdens, stressors, and	
expectations, which is often not recognized.	

Down and an that while south and from any day represented amount and areas	
Remember that philosophers from under-represented groups are often	
expected to take on a disproportionate amount of service work in	
addition to their research.	
Evaluate whether permitting or requiring diversity statements would be	
useful.	
During the search process make efforts so that the process is as equitable	
as possible.	
Advocate for a fully inclusive application process, including with online	
systems. For example, ensure the options for gender are more than	
'male/female' or 'man/woman' as this excludes non-binary candidates	
and fails to distinguish transgender candidates from cisgender ones.	
When arranging interviews and visits, ask all candidates about their	
accommodation needs.	
Conducting interviews online can disadvantage candidates without	
access to good technical facilities. To the extent possible, try to support	
such candidates without such access, e.g., by providing funding to use	
commercial facilities for the interview.	
During the campus visit, ensure that arrangements have been made to the	
extent possible for candidates with disabilities and other needs (e.g., that	
locations are accessible, printed material is in large print, child care and	
nursing accommodations are available, etc.)	
Promotions committees/Heads of Department should, where consistent	
with institutional policy, ask for CVs from all eligible department	
members, rather than inviting specific members of staff to apply or only	
considering those who put themselves forward.	
Faculty should recognize that there is a big power asymmetry between	
non-tenure track faculty and other members of the faculty. In light of	
this, faculty need to treat non-academic staff with the utmost respect and	
keep in mind that things that may not be important to tenured faculty	
(e.g., saying something critical or not being compensated for	
extra-contractual work) can be very serious for non-tenured track faculty.	
Generally, departments should make an effort to support non-tenure track	
7: 1	
faculty as researchers (e.g., invite NTT faculty to give talks to the	
department, offer them conference travel and research funding, assign	
NTT faculty to teach in their areas of expertise whenever possible.)	

New faculty members should meet the designated member of the faculty	
regularly — ideally at least once a year — to discuss progress and places	
where improvement is needed.	
Periodic evaluations should be candid and expressed in plain English.	
They should include specific examples illustrating the quality of	
performance, constructive criticism of any potential areas for	
improvement, and practical guidance for future efforts.	
The department's focus should be to evaluate the candidate's research,	
teaching, and service. The faculty's evaluations should address these	
questions clearly listing specific examples.	
Institutions should adopt a consistent approach to handling private letters	
and conversations, outside the normal review process, concerning the	
merits of a tenure candidate.	
Faculty and administrators must treat an unsuccessful tenure candidate	
with professionalism, decency and compassion, and colleagues should	
take care not to isolate the person socially. Active efforts to assist the	
candidate in relocating to another position redound to the mutual benefit	
of the individual and the institution.	
The faculty, administration, and governing board should strive for	
consistency in the operation of the institution's tenure and promotion	
evaluation processes.	
Tenure and promotion decisions must be consistent over time among	
candidates with different personal characteristics—such as race, gender,	
disability, and national origin.	
Institutional policies should list the types of discrimination that the	
institution prohibits.	
Reviewers at each level, from the department to the ultimate decision	
maker, should ask, "How does this candidate compare to others we have	
evaluated in the recent past?"	
Officially adopt and implement these diversity-promoting practices to	
move from good intentions to good practice.	
Widely publicize your department's targets and commitment to	
promoting diversity.	
Inform all department members and bind future department members to	
upholding these standards.	

Publicly and explicitly adopt diversity-promoting practices, helping to		
create a culture of concern that enhances the department's reputation for		
welcoming diversity, attracting more diverse applicants.		
Consider creating a committee to collect data on diversity relevant hiring		
and promotion practices, e.g., applicant and hiring rates for members of		
under-represented groups, tenure and retention rates, hiring committee		
composition, etc., and track progress in increasing diversity in your		
department.		
If this is done, store this data in a way that will be available to the		
department over time, possibly with the help of the administrative offices		
supporting the department's academic unit.		
Evaluate progress at regular intervals and revise practices accordingly.		
Where possible, obtain the help of external reviewers in evaluating this		
progress.		
Revise your practices until you adopt practices that work for your		
university and department context.		
Where possible, work with researchers to isolate and implement		
evidence-based practices that increase diversity in academic philosophy		
departments.		
TEACHING		
Aim to improve the diversity of class syllabi. Online resources,		
colleagues, and the students themselves may have valuable suggestions.		
Some resources include: the UPDirectory, the APA Diversity Syllabus		
Collection, https://thedeviantphilosopher.org,		
https://diversityreadinglist.org, https://projectvox.org/teaching.		
Departments should ensure that those involved in teaching know about		
the workings of bias.		
When feasible, permit students to introduce themselves. Try to remember		
their names (with correct pronunciation) and any preferred pronouns they		
choose to disclose and expect their classmates to do the same.		
Do not require students to disclose their pronouns, disabilities, etc., as		
this unfairly 'outs' students and places them at risk of marginalization.		

Treat students as individuals and not as representatives of a category, e.g., "LGBTO," "African" Do not assume that the person's place of origin, for example, makes them an expert on that particular place. Seek participation from everyone and encourage those who are more hesitant. Give everyone a chance to talk. If a student asks a question showing advanced knowledge, give other participants the background knowledge required to understand the discussion. Encourage questions of clarification. Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Fincourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities without being treated as though too. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counselfin		
origin, for example, makes them an expert on that particular place. Seek participation from everyone and encourage those who are more hesitant. Give everyone a chance to talk. If a student asks a question showing advanced knowledge, give other participants the background knowledge required to understand the discussion. Encourage questions of clarification. Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible — this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
Seek participation from everyone and encourage those who are more hesitant. Give everyone a chance to talk. If a student asks a question showing advanced knowledge, give other participants the background knowledge required to understand the discussion. Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to leipe ach other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
hesitant. Give everyone a chance to talk. If a student asks a question showing advanced knowledge, give other participants the background knowledge required to understand the discussion. Encourage questions of clarification. Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
participants the background knowledge required to understand the discussion. Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	participants the background knowledge required to understand the	
the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as necessary. Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	Encourage questions of clarification.	
class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups). Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as	
as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive feedback. Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking in front of the entire class but do well in small groups).	
collaboration in class. Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor. Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive	
Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas, contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
contribute their knowledge, and so on. Make sure that students are respectful and courteous. Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor.	
Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or rude. Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).		
Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	Make sure that students are respectful and courteous.	
experiences and identities without being treated as though those experiences and identities define them. Ensure that students are informed about available services for students (e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	rude.	
(e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	experiences and identities without being treated as though those	
	Try to ensure that all aspects of the class are accessible to everyone.	

For instance, that classrooms are big enough and accessible by	
wheelchair, that there are captions in videos, that extra time and private	
rooms are available for students that need them during exams.	
Encourage feedback on the class and involve students in suggesting ways	
to improve it.	
In graduate student mentoring, to the extent possible avoid creating the	
impression that departments or advisors have students who are	
"favorites" on any grounds other than objective merit, especially if such	
favoritism appears to disadvantage students from traditionally	
under-represented groups.	
In graduate student placement, ensure that the placement officer is	
familiar with issues that candidates from under-represented groups, and	
especially candidates with disabilities, face.	
Meet early and often with students and encourage students to talk about	
these issues while ensuring that everyone is aware of how to report	
problems in these areas.	
Consider creating a team of placement mentors covering a range of	
sub-disciplines.	
Maintain a collection of job search materials that are accessible to all	
graduate students.	
Make available to students resources that can enable them to have	
effective electronic interviews (e.g., rooms for interviewing with high	
speed internet connection).	
When feasible, provide financial support to students who need resources	
to lessen the financial burden of the job market (traveling, dossier	
services, child care etc.)	
HARASSMENT	
All members of the department—undergraduates, graduate students,	
academic and non-academic staff—should be made aware of the	
regulations that govern sexual harassment in their university including	
requirements for mandated reporters.	
In particular, they should know the university's definition of 'sexual	
harassment' and whom to contact in possible cases of sexual harassment.	
,	

They should also know who has standing to file a complaint (in general,	
and contrary to widespread belief, the complainant need not be the	
victim).	
They should be made aware of both formal and informal measures	
available at their university.	
Departments should include this information in induction sessions for	
both students and staff, and in training for teaching assistants.	
Where the University or Faculty has a list of Harassment Contacts, all	
staff and students should be made aware of it and this information should	
be both online and posted in a public space. If no such list exists, the	
department should consider suggesting this approach to the university. It	
is very important for department members to be able to seek advice	
outside their department.	
All members of staff should be familiar with how to deal with	
individuals who approach them to discuss a particular incident.	
All of the information listed above should be made permanently	
available to staff and students, e.g. through a stable URL on the	
department website and/or staff and student handbooks, rather than only	
in the form of a one-off email communication.	
The department head and others with managerial responsibilities (e.g.,	
Directors of Graduate and Undergraduate Studies) should ensure that	
they have full knowledge of university procedures regarding sexual	
harassment.	
Departmental Culture:	
Seriously consider the harms of an atmosphere rife with derogatory or	
sexualizing comments and behavior, and address these should they arise.	
Cultivate — from the top down — an atmosphere in which maintaining a	
healthy climate for all department members, especially those from	
under-represented groups and including non-academic staff, is	
considered everyone's responsibility. At a minimum this includes a	
responsibility to reflect on the consequences, intended or otherwise, of	
one's own behavior towards people from under-represented groups. It	
may also include a responsibility to intervene, either formally or	
informally.	
Ensure that those raising concerns about sexual harassment are, as far as	
possible, protected against retaliation and that all those who are accused	
possiore, protected against retaination and that an those who are accused	

receive due process.	
Report concerns about retaliation to the Department Chair, the Title IX	
Office	
Offer bystander training either to faculty, staff, and graduate students, if	
this is available or can be made available by the institution. This can help	
bystanders to feel comfortable intervening when they witness harassing	
behavior.	
STAFF-STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS	
Staff and graduate student teaching assistants should be informed that	
relationships between teaching staff and undergraduates or between	
faculty and graduate students are strongly discouraged, for the reasons	
given above.	
If such a relationship does occur, the member of staff in question should:	
Inform a senior member of the department – where possible, the	
department head, as soon as possible.	
Withdraw from all supervision and small-group teaching involving that	
student (in the case of teaching assistants, this may involve swapping	
tutorial groups with another TA), unless practically impossible.	
Withdraw from the assessment of that student, even if anonymous	
marking is used.	
Withdraw from writing references and recommendations for the student	
in question.	
Withdraw from making any decisions (e.g. distribution of funding)	
where preferential treatment of the student could in principle occur	
It should be made clear to staff and students that if an student has entered	
into a relationship with a member of staff (including a TA), while the	
responsibility for taking the above steps lies with the member of staff	
concerned, the student is equally entitled to report their relationship to	
another member of staff (e.g. Head of Department, if appropriate), and to	
request that the above steps be taken.	
As much as possible, the department should encourage a practice of full	
disclosure in the case of such relationships' continuance. This avoids real	
or perceived conflicts of interest, as well as embarrassment for others.	
Relationships among academic staff:	
Ketationships among academic staff.	

Disclosure of any such relationship should be strongly encouraged, in	
order to avoid real or perceived conflicts of interest.	
Any potential for real or perceived conflicts of interest should be	
removed by, e.g., removal of the senior member of staff from relevant	
decision-making (e.g. promotions, appointment to permanent positions).	
CAREGIVERS	
Schedule important events, as far as possible, between 9 and 5 (the hours	
when childcare is more available). When an event must be scheduled	
outside of these hours, give plenty of advance notice so that caregivers	
can make the necessary arrangements. Consider using online scheduling	
polls to find times that work for as many of those with caregiving	
obligations as possible and providing the option of virtual attendance.	
Consider requests from staff of any background for part-time and	
flexible working. (This is largely, but not exclusively, an issue for	
caregivers—requests from non-caregivers should also be considered.)	
Also be receptive, as far as possible, to requests for leave.	
As far as possible, account for caregiving commitments when scheduling	
teaching responsibilities.	
Be aware that students, not just staff, may have caregiving	
responsibilities. Have a staff contact person for students who are	
caregivers.	
Ensure that students and staff are made fully aware of any university	
services for caregivers.	
Ensure that staff have an adequate understanding of what caregiving	
involves (e.g., do not expect a PhD student to make progress on	
dissertating while on parental leave).	
Ensure that parental leave funds provided by the university are actually	
used to cover for parental leave, rather than being absorbed into	
department or faculty budgets.	
Those involved in performance evaluations should be fully informed	
about current policies regarding reduced teaching, research, and service	
expectations for caregivers, and take caregiving responsibilities into	
account where possible.	
CONFERENCES AND EVENTS	

As a session chair, ensure that the discussion is welcoming and inclusive.			
Consider implementing the following policies:			
Keep a question queue and stick to it.			
Step in where needed to help avoid, e.g., interruptions of those speaking, lines of discussion that are stretching on for too long, or dialogue that has become aggressive and/or rude.			
Allow for a break between talks and Q&A sessions in order for participants to gather their thoughts and/or to have time to attend to their different needs.			
Carefully select the order in which you call on questioners. Beginning the Q&A session with student questions or a question from a member of an under-represented group can lead to a more inclusive discussion.			
Encourage the participation of those who might be slower to raise their hand or less assertive about getting to the front of the queue.			
Do not allow questioners to ask multiple questions or extensive follow up questions if others have not been given a chance to speak.			
As an audience member, be respectful of the speaker and the other people i	<u>in the room in s</u> I	ome of the following ways:	
Keep questions short. Avoid asking multiple questions or long follow-up questions if this risks crowding others out of the conversation.			
Try to ask constructive questions that will help the presenter. Set a respectful tone.			
Try to read the room and assess whether your question will benefit the discussion.			
As an organizer, take every reasonable step to make the conference as inclusive as possible.			
Organizers of recurring conferences should annually, or biennially, monitor the demographics of conference participants, and, if significant imbalances emerge in demographic representation, take steps to address the imbalance.			
When drawing up a list of potential invited speakers, take reasonable steps to ensure sufficient representation of speakers from under-represented groups			

Where possible, consult invited speakers before fixing the date of	
the conference, to increase the likelihood that they are not just	
invited but will actually be able to accept the invitations.	
Organizers should ensure that members of all groups are treated	
equally as speakers on publicity material and the conference	
program (e.g., to avoid the situation where a White speaker is	
described as 'Professor in philosophy at' but a Black speaker,	
also a Professor, is described as 'teaches philosophy at'; or	
where the male speaker's title (Dr, Prof.) is included by the female	
speaker's is not).	
Where possible try to include local scholars.	
Signal willingness to accommodate scholars with disabilities or	
other particularized needs.	
Make an effort to provide information about the kinds of	
accommodations you can provide, in order to enable and	
encourage scholars to attend, in the invitations, call for papers, or	
conference announcement.	
Whenever possible, do not require participants to disclose their	
needs as that can make them feel that they are a burden on the	
conference organizers, but be prepared to offer commonly required	
accommodations.	
Ensure that participants are made to feel at ease to ask questions	
about specific accommodations.	
Ensure that the venue of the conference is accessible and that there	
are staff to assist people with disabilities (for guidance see:	
Guidelines for Accessible Conferences.pages and for public	
lectures, in particular, see: Guidelines for Accessible Public	
Lectures - A guide by the British Philosophical Association and	
the Society for Women in Philosophy UK).	
Consider offering opportunities for virtual participation, including	
opportunities for presenting and attending virtually. For	
suggestions on how to accomplish this see, for example, Helen	

Beebee's post on Running Hybrid Events (Running Hybrid Events	
- The Age of Metaphysical Revolution).	
Ensure that all participants know whom to contact to address any	
questions or needs that may arise.	
Ensure that there are sufficient breaks within the day, and stick to	
the announced schedule for these breaks.	
Be aware of biases when identifying who to invite. Chances are	
that the first people that come to mind will be people without	
historical disadvantage	
Consider invitations to junior and less well-established	
philosophers from under-represented groups to avoid holding these	
philosophers to higher standards (e.g., disabled scholars must be	
famous to be included, but not so for non-disabled scholars). See	
the <u>UPDirectory</u> for possibilities.	
When possible, offer funding to people with additional needs (e.g.,	
financial, accessibility or care-related needs). If you cannot fund	
all speakers, consider checking whether more renowned speakers	
can fund their own travel, freeing up resources for less	
well-resourced speakers.	
Offer free registration for companions assisting an attendee with a	
disability and abide by all other ADA policies.	
When possible, have a quiet room for rest. This is important for a	
range of disabilities and for participants who have medical needs	
or are breastfeeding, etc.	
Be mindful of who is, and who is not, asked to care for children.	
Investigate whether the provision of childcare facilities for the	
duration of the conference is possible. Many universities have day	
care facilities on or near campus, which may be able to offer a day	
rate for conference delegates.	
For larger conferences, if campus facilities are not available	
consider hosting the conference at a hotel that offers childcare and	
babysitting services.	

Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare	
facilities by delegates.	
Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the <u>BPA Accessibility</u> Guidelines).	
If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out.	
Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous peoples as the traditional stewards of the land as well as the relationships these people have to the land on which the event is occuring.	
Department heads should ensure that conference policies are available to staff and students who are organizing events in a permanent format (e.g., intranet, handbooks) and that they are aware of it.	
RESEARCH PROJECTS	
Hiring Panels and Events:	
Management teams should adhere to all of the policies listed in the 'Hiring, Promotion, and Retention' document.	
Conferences and Seminar Series:	
Management teams should implement all of the recommendations in the 'Conferences and Events' document.	
Caregivers:	
The management team should implement all of the relevant recommendations in the 'Caregivers' document.	
Publication of Edited Collections:	

Large research projects often produce edited collections as outputs. The editorial team should take steps to ensure that people from under-represented groups are well represented amongst the contributors to any such collection. The UPDirectory is one resource. Advisory Boards, Research Students, and Other Associated People: Where the research project involves the formation of an advisory board, visiting fellowships, PhD studentships, etc., the management team should take concrete steps towards ensuring that people from under-represented groups are well represented	
amongst the members and applicants. LEARNED SOCIETIES	
Learned societies should ensure that a reasonable proportion of people from under-represented groups are nominated for positions on their executive committees and for official positions (President, Secretary, etc.). Where learned societies organize their own conferences and seminar series, they should follow the relevant Good Practice recommendations on Conferences and Events (see above). Where learned societies distribute funding to others to organize conferences and seminar series, they should make it a requirement of funding that the conference organizers should follow the relevant Good Practice recommendations on Conferences and Events (see above).	
Learned societies should consider adopting a formal policy on chairing seminars/conference sessions for their own events and/or for those that they fund. See again the Good Practice recommendations on Conferences and Events, for some specific proposals you might consider implementing. Learned societies should monitor the proportion of people from under represented groups speaking at conferences and seminar series that they fund. Where a conference or seminar series	

manifests an obvious imbalance, the learned society should make enquiries about the steps taken to promote representation, in order to satisfy themselves that appropriate steps were taken by the		
organizers.		
Journals		
Diversify representatives – editors, editorial board members, referees, trustees, staff, etc. – to include more people from under-represented groups (including philosophers residing in non-Anglophone majority countries) and on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers, utilizing a diverse range of methods.		
Commit to inclusion with influence.		
Ensure that member contributions are recognized and, where possible, appropriately compensated and rewarded.		
Set specific, achievable targets to make progress in increasing diversity in authorship and content in your journal.		
Consider publishing and promoting work by people from under-represented groups at least in proportion to their presence in		
the part of the discipline that your journal covers.		
Consider including at least one special issue or symposium engaging with works by under-represented philosophers or in under-represented areas of philosophy in your journal.		
Collect data on diversity relevant publishing practices, e.g., submission and publication rates for members of		
under-represented groups, referee and editorial board composition, etc. and track progress in increasing diversity in your journal.		
Issue regular reports on new commitments to diversity in the journals and report on progress towards achieving targets.		
Consider including data on the journal's demographics, makeup of editorial board, referee pool, authorships, and submissions.		
Implement promising practices to meet these targets and increase div	versity in your journal, such as:	

Solicit submissions of promising work by members of under-represented groups or working in under-represented linguistic traditions. (PhilPeople might be a useful resource. See also the Barcelona Principles for a Globally Inclusive Philosophy). Aim to include a fair representation of relevant work by members of under-represented groups. Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from under-represented groups have not been overlooked.		
linguistic traditions. (PhilPeople might be a useful resource. See also the Barcelona Principles for a Globally Inclusive Philosophy). Aim to include a fair representation of relevant work by members of under-represented groups. Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
also the Barcelona Principles for a Globally Inclusive Philosophy). Aim to include a fair representation of relevant work by members of under-represented groups. Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Aim to include a fair representation of relevant work by members of under-represented groups. Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	1	
of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory, history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
commonly studied philosophical traditions. Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less	
discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	commonly studied philosophical traditions.	
effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
under-represented groups. Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review	
Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from	
context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
and indigenous representation in your journal). Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global	
Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as: Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	and indigenous representation in your journal).	
Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as:	
examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections	
inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or	
their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that	
their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity, sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from	inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on	
sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc. Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and discuss related work and that work by people from		
discuss related work and that work by people from		
	1	
	under-represented groups have not been overlooked.	

Request referees not google paper titles or request that they alert		
the editor prior to refereeing the paper if they know or have a		
strong suspicion about who wrote it.		
Encourage referees to not reject promising papers on grounds of		
writing quality, if the concerns are merely stylistic, can be repaired		
to an adequate level, and the philosophical content is good. This		
helps ensure fair consideration of work by philosophers who are		
not native speakers of English.		
Encourage referees to consider accepting papers on topics of		
interest to under-represented groups in philosophy and on		
important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of		
philosophers.		
Encourage timely and developmental reviews, since members of		
vulnerable groups are especially disadvantaged by long delays		
before publication.		
The editorial board should consider providing referees with an		
explicit editorial policy on refereeing. See, for example, the		
Journal of Cognition Referee Guidelines.		
Implement promising practices to increase accessibility in journals,	such as:	
Create structurally-tagged content, which includes clearly marked		
headings, image descriptions, and scroll over text to assist screen		
readers parsing the page structure. (For example, see		
https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG20-TECHS/PDF3.html)		
Utilize text-to-speech capability for print-impaired users in the		
absence of an audio book.		
Include a navigable table of contents within your publications, and		
provide a defined reading order (including, for example,		
appropriate links between the main flow of the text and any		
sidebar or box out text) to help those reading through audio to		
navigate their way through the article.		
Include Alt-text descriptions to explain illustrations for readers		
with reduced access to graphic information.		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Give readers control over the font (size, style, and color),		
background color, and line spacing for online publications, and/or		
make them available in html.		
Consider trying to make your journal more accessible for those in		
locations or at institutions that lack sufficient funding e.g., by		
making your journal open access in those regions.		
Employ W3C web accessibility standards where feasible, and		
check for web accessibility.		
Evaluate progress at regular intervals and revise practices		
accordingly.		
Where possible, work with researchers to identify particular areas		
to improve for achieving better representation of authors and		
marginalized philosophies.		
Isolate and implement evidence-based practices that increase		
diversity in the identified areas.		
Identify barriers to making progress on achieving diversity targets.		
Communicate, collaborate, and advocate to overcome identified		
barriers. Certain academic publishers have policies that hinder		
progress. Assertively engage with the issue where possible.		
Officially adopt these diversity-promoting practices and widely		
publicize your journal's targets and commitment to promoting		
diversity.		
Inform all representatives and bind future representatives to uphold		
these standards.		
Publicly and explicitly adopt diversity-promoting practices,		
helping to create a culture of concern that enhances the journal's		
reputation for welcoming diversity, attracting more diverse		
submissions.		