Good Practice Scheme: DRAFT UPDATED 7/1/23

	AGREE?	Notes/Action	DEADLINE
HIRING, RETENTION, AND PROMOTION			•
Departments should ensure that members of hiring panels:			
are aware of the federal, state, and university-level laws and policies			
governing affirmative action and discrimination in hiring and promotion.			
abide by those laws and policies.			
know about the workings of bias.			
Diversify hiring and tenure committees to include more people from under	-represented gr	roups. For example:	
Appoint a diversity officer who will be responsible for ensuring each			
applicant is reviewed equitably. This person should have a clearly			
defined role that empowers them to intervene and sets out a clear			
procedure for addressing any issues they identify. Where possible, this			
person should have expertise on these issues and should make use of			
available training.			
Ensure that hiring panels (at both shortlisting and interview stages)			
include at least one, and preferably more than one, member of an			
under-represented group, unless there are exceptional practical reasons			
why this is impossible. But they should be aware that the presence of			
under-represented groups on the panel on its own will not correct for			
bias.			
Commit to inclusion with influence - members of under-represented			
groups should not function merely as tokens but have due influence on			
decision making. However, also be cautious about creating			
disproportionate burdens on members of under-represented groups and			
offer appropriate remuneration and recognition.			
Departments should strive to allow sufficient time for non-rushed			
consideration of job applications.			
Evaluate whether it is feasible and desirable to anonymize parts of their			
hiring process (e.g., by considering anonymized CVs, cover letters,			
and/or writing samples).			

When evaluating department needs, consider what constitutes a	
"well-rounded" department, whether it might include topics, approaches,	
interests, and philosophical traditions that have been neglected but	
deserve representation.	
Recognize that references to specialized areas of philosophy that	
emphasize diversity (e.g., LGBTQ philosophy, philosophy of race) as	
"fringe/peripheral philosophy," "not real philosophy," and the like are	
stigmatizing to members of those groups. If your department is	
unfamiliar with a desired research area, reach out to experts in other	
philosophy departments, or in other disciplines, for feedback on	
assessing candidates. (the APA's <u>UP-Directory</u> can be a valuable	
resource in this regard.)	
Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global context	
(e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical and indigenous	
representation in your department).	
Hire faculty using approaches and evaluation methods that encourage	
and appropriately value applicants who would contribute to your	
department's diversity.	
When feasible, advertise positions in areas likely to attract a wide	
diversity of applicants.	
When feasible, include language in the job description signaling interest	
in applicants who contribute to the department's diversity.	
Encourage applications from diverse candidates. This might include	
reaching out to people in diversity-relevant venues such as the	
<u>UP-Directory</u> and other diversity focused blogs and associations.	
Use clear criteria of evaluation that minimize the likelihood of bias and	
favoritism.	
Do not assume that teaching and research conducted by people from an	
under-represented group will focus on areas related to their own group.	
Agree in advance about what the department is looking for when hiring	
new faculty to avoid sources of bias.	
Evaluate whether your conception of "core philosophy" and/or the	
mission of your philosophy program needs updating and discuss what	
you are looking for in a "good candidate". These definitions should	
include expectations about, for example, the number and quality of	

	Г	T
publications to prevent holding different applicants to different		
standards.		
Consider the feasibility and desirability of developing clear and explicit		
guidelines in advance about, e.g., number and quantity of publications,		
the weighting of different items in the job description.		
Ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review process do not omit,		
or unfairly disadvantage, applicants from under-represented groups.		
Consider the feasibility and desirability of requiring candidates to		
remove references to their degree-granting institution(s) to reduce		
prestige bias.		
Re-evaluate applications near the end of the process to determine		
whether bias has played a role in excluding or downgrading some		
applicants.		
In evaluating scholars who work on under-represented topics or		
traditions, employ appropriate standards of prestige and impact.		
Have a clear understanding of what counts as the top journals or		
conferences in the subfield relating to the applicant's specialty.		
Remember that top specialty journals for some subfields, such as		
philosophy of disability and LGBTQ philosophy, tend to be newer and		
thus less 'prestigious' in terms of impact factor, circulation, etc., and that		
devaluing publications in those journals may further disadvantage and		
stigmatize people working in those subfields.		
Focus on the quality of the applicant's work, how interesting or relevant		
it is to their sub-specialty, and how it might broaden the department's		
research and curriculum.		
Consider the extent to which uptake by policy-makers, the general		
public, and scholars in other academic departments are forms of impact		
that should be valued in hiring and promotion. Scholars in		
under-represented topics and traditions sometimes have impacts outside		
of mainstream academic philosophy that are inappropriately disregarded.		
Consider giving diversity-related contributions significant weight when		
evaluating colleagues and applicants.		
Remember that being a member of an under-represented group in		
philosophy can require additional labor, burdens, stressors, and		
expectations, which is often not recognized.		
inperior of the first to often not recognized.		

Domestic at the tracking south and from any department of consumer and officer	
Remember that philosophers from under-represented groups are often	
expected to take on a disproportionate amount of service work in	
addition to their research.	
Evaluate whether permitting or requiring diversity statements would be	
useful.	
During the search process make efforts so that the process is as equitable	
as possible.	
Advocate for a fully inclusive application process, including with online	
systems. For example, ensure the options for gender are more than	
'male/female' or 'man/woman' as this excludes non-binary candidates	
and fails to distinguish transgender candidates from cisgender ones.	
When arranging interviews and visits, ask all candidates about their	
accommodation needs.	
Conducting interviews online can disadvantage candidates without	
access to good technical facilities. To the extent possible, try to support	
such candidates without such access, e.g., by providing funding to use	
commercial facilities for the interview.	
During the campus visit, ensure that arrangements have been made to the	
extent possible for candidates with disabilities and other needs (e.g., that	
locations are accessible, printed material is in large print, child care and	
nursing accommodations are available, etc.)	
Promotions committees/Heads of Department should, where consistent	
with institutional policy, ask for CVs from all eligible department	
members, rather than inviting specific members of staff to apply or only	
considering those who put themselves forward.	
Faculty should recognize that there is a big power asymmetry between	
non-tenure track faculty and other members of the faculty. In light of	
this, faculty need to treat non-academic staff with the utmost respect and	
keep in mind that things that may not be important to tenured faculty	
(e.g., saying something critical or not being compensated for	
extra-contractual work) can be very serious for non-tenured track faculty.	
Generally, departments should make an effort to support non-tenure track	
faculty as researchers (e.g., invite NTT faculty to give talks to the	
department, offer them conference travel and research funding, assign	
NTT faculty to teach in their areas of expertise whenever possible.)	

Develop formal policies for managing the needs of diverse groups.	
Work to make sure appropriate disability related accommodations are in	
place and updated as needed.	
Support mentoring and provide support networks for people you hire	
from under-represented groups.	
Consider having a yearly diversity workshop or training available for	
faculty and make faculty aware of relevant resources.	
Learn about the issues that under-represented colleagues typically face so	
that you can advocate more effectively with colleagues for faculty	
retention and promotion.	
Departments should ensure that those involved in the promotions and	
appraisals processes know about the workings of bias.	
Departments should avoid using student evaluations to compare	
individual faculty members to each other or to a department average and	
might instead consider them as a way to observe patterns in an	
instructor's feedback over time.	
Provide the interpretative context for any quantitative scores, such as	
distributions, sample sizes, and response rates for each question on the	
instrument.	
Interpret and use student evaluations as part of a holistic assessment of	
teaching effectiveness.	
Consider other methods for evaluating teaching, such as: peer	
observations, reviews of teaching materials, instructor self-reflections,	
evaluations of student performance, enrollment figures, individual	
supervision and mentorship, involvement with study abroad or	
undergraduate research, organizing workshops, support of student events,	
and other contributions to the educational mission of the university.	
Tenure-track faculty members should be clearly informed by designated	
members of faculty of all criteria for tenure and promotion, including	
any special requirements applicable within a department or a college.	
The designated member of the faculty should clearly explain to every	
tenure-track faculty member the standards for reappointment and tenure	
and the cycle for evaluations of their progress in meeting these	
requirements.	

New faculty members should meet the designated member of the faculty	
regularly — ideally at least once a year — to discuss progress and places	
where improvement is needed.	
Periodic evaluations should be candid and expressed in plain English.	
They should include specific examples illustrating the quality of	
performance, constructive criticism of any potential areas for	
improvement, and practical guidance for future efforts.	
The department's focus should be to evaluate the candidate's research,	
teaching, and service. The faculty's evaluations should address these	
questions clearly listing specific examples.	
Institutions should adopt a consistent approach to handling private letters	
and conversations, outside the normal review process, concerning the	
merits of a tenure candidate.	
Faculty and administrators must treat an unsuccessful tenure candidate	
with professionalism, decency and compassion, and colleagues should	
take care not to isolate the person socially. Active efforts to assist the	
candidate in relocating to another position redound to the mutual benefit	
of the individual and the institution.	
The faculty, administration, and governing board should strive for	
consistency in the operation of the institution's tenure and promotion	
evaluation processes.	
Tenure and promotion decisions must be consistent over time among	
candidates with different personal characteristics—such as race, gender,	
disability, and national origin.	
Institutional policies should list the types of discrimination that the	
institution prohibits.	
Reviewers at each level, from the department to the ultimate decision	
maker, should ask, "How does this candidate compare to others we have	
evaluated in the recent past?"	
Officially adopt and implement these diversity-promoting practices to	
move from good intentions to good practice.	
Widely publicize your department's targets and commitment to	
promoting diversity.	
Inform all department members and bind future department members to	
upholding these standards.	

Publicly and explicitly adopt diversity-promoting practices, helping to		
create a culture of concern that enhances the department's reputation for		
welcoming diversity, attracting more diverse applicants.		
Consider creating a committee to collect data on diversity relevant hiring		
and promotion practices, e.g., applicant and hiring rates for members of		
under-represented groups, tenure and retention rates, hiring committee		
composition, etc., and track progress in increasing diversity in your		
department.		
If this is done, store this data in a way that will be available to the		
department over time, possibly with the help of the administrative offices		
supporting the department's academic unit.		
Evaluate progress at regular intervals and revise practices accordingly.		
Where possible, obtain the help of external reviewers in evaluating this		
progress.		
Revise your practices until you adopt practices that work for your		
university and department context.		
Where possible, work with researchers to isolate and implement		
evidence-based practices that increase diversity in academic philosophy		
departments.		
TEACHING		
	T T	
Aim to improve the diversity of class syllabi. Online resources,		
colleagues, and the students themselves may have valuable suggestions.		
Some resources include: the UPDirectory, the APA Diversity Syllabus		
Collection, https://thedeviantphilosopher.org,		
https://diversityreadinglist.org, https://projectvox.org/teaching.	 	
Departments should ensure that those involved in teaching know about		
the workings of bias.		
When feasible, permit students to introduce themselves. Try to remember		
their names (with correct pronunciation) and any preferred pronouns they		
choose to disclose and expect their classmates to do the same.		
Do not require students to disclose their pronouns, disabilities, etc., as		
this unfairly 'outs' students and places them at risk of marginalization.	<u> </u>	

	,	
Treat students as individuals and not as representatives of a category,		
e.g., "LGBTQ", "African". Do not assume that the person's place of		
origin, for example, makes them an expert on that particular place.		
Seek participation from everyone and encourage those who are more		
hesitant. Give everyone a chance to talk.		
If a student asks a question showing advanced knowledge, give other		
participants the background knowledge required to understand the		
discussion.		
Encourage questions of clarification.		
Try to ensure jokes, thought experiments, and examples are intelligible to		
the whole class, and not only a subgroup within the class, explaining as		
necessary.		
Try a variety of teaching techniques and classroom activities to stimulate		
class discussion and to encourage student participation in ways that		
everyone is comfortable with (e.g., some students struggle with speaking		
in front of the entire class but do well in small groups).		
Consider how bias may affect your interaction with students and try to be		
as just and equitable as possible – this includes time given to the students		
to talk in class but also the distribution of negative and positive		
feedback.		
Remember that even mentions (as opposed to uses) of slurs and other		
degrading language can sometimes harm students whose identities are		
implicated in those slurs.		
Encourage students to address each other thus fostering politeness and		
collaboration in class.		
Encourage students to listen carefully to their interlocutor.		
Encourage students to help each other in class to develop ideas,		
contribute their knowledge, and so on.		
Make sure that students are respectful and courteous.		
Quickly address language that is insensitive, dismissive, aggressive or		
rude.		
Aim to create an environment in which students can discuss their		
experiences and identities without being treated as though those		
experiences and identities solely define them.		
,		

Ensure that students are informed about available services for students	
(e.g., counseling, disabilities, studying support).	
Try to ensure that all aspects of the class are accessible to everyone.	
For instance, that classrooms are big enough and accessible by	
wheelchair, that there are captions in videos, that extra time and private	
rooms are available for students that need them during exams.	
Encourage feedback on the class and involve students in suggesting ways	
to improve it.	
In graduate student mentoring, to the extent possible avoid creating the	
impression that departments or advisors have students who are	
"favorites" on any grounds other than objective merit, especially if such	
favoritism appears to disadvantage students from traditionally	
under-represented groups.	
To the extent possible, aim to give dissertation students prompt feedback	
on chapters, prospectuses, and other work, so as not to unnecessarily	
delay their progress. Needless delays disproportionately disadvantage	
vulnerable and financially insecure students.	
In graduate student placement, ensure that the placement officer is	
familiar with issues that candidates from under-represented groups, and	
especially candidates with disabilities, face.	
Meet early and often with students and encourage students to talk about	
these issues while ensuring that everyone is aware of how to report	
problems in these areas.	
Consider creating a team of placement mentors covering a range of	
sub-disciplines.	
Maintain a collection of job search materials that are accessible to all	
graduate students.	
Make available to students resources that can enable them to have	
effective electronic interviews (e.g., rooms for interviewing with high	
speed internet connection).	
When feasible, provide financial support to students who need resources	
to lessen the financial burden of the job market (traveling, dossier	
services, child care etc.)	

HARASSMENT		
All members of the department—undergraduates, graduate students,		
academic and non-academic staff—should be made aware of the		
regulations that govern sexual harassment in their university including		
requirements for mandated reporters.		
In particular, they should know the university's definition of 'sexual		
harassment' and whom to contact in possible cases of sexual harassment.		
They should also know who has standing to file a complaint (in general,		
and contrary to widespread belief, the complainant need not be the		
victim).		
They should be made aware of both formal and informal measures		
available at their university.		
Departments should include this information in induction sessions for		
both students and staff, and in training for teaching assistants.		
Where the University or Faculty has a list of Harassment Contacts, all		
staff and students should be made aware of it and this information should		
be both online and posted in a public space. If no such list exists, the		
department should consider suggesting this approach to the university. It		
is very important for department members to be able to seek advice		
outside their department.		
All members of staff should be familiar with how to deal with		
individuals who approach them to discuss a particular incident.		
All of the information listed above should be made permanently		
available to staff and students, e.g. through a stable URL on the		
department website and/or staff and student handbooks, rather than only		
in the form of a one-off email communication.		
The department head and others with managerial responsibilities (e.g.,		
Directors of Graduate and Undergraduate Studies) should ensure that		
they have full knowledge of university procedures regarding sexual		
harassment.		
Departmental Culture:	1	
Seriously consider the harms of an atmosphere rife with derogatory or		
sexualizing comments and behavior, and address these should they arise.		
Cultivate — from the top down — an atmosphere in which maintaining a		
healthy climate for all department members, especially those from		

under-represented groups and including non-academic staff, is		
considered everyone's responsibility. At a minimum this includes a		
responsibility to reflect on the consequences, intended or otherwise, of		
one's own behavior towards people from under-represented groups. It		
may also include a responsibility to intervene, either formally or		
informally.		
Ensure that those raising concerns about sexual harassment are, as far as		
possible, protected against retaliation and that all those who are accused		
receive due process.		
Report concerns about retaliation to the Department Chair, the Title IX		
Office		
Offer bystander training either to faculty, staff, and graduate students, if		
this is available or can be made available by the institution. This can help		
bystanders to feel comfortable intervening when they witness harassing		
behavior.		
STAFF-STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS		
STAFF-STUDENT RELATIONSHIPS		
Staff and graduate student teaching assistants should be informed that		
relationships between teaching staff and undergraduates or between		
faculty and graduate students are strongly discouraged, for the reasons		
given above.		
If such a relationship does occur, the member of staff in question should:	<u> </u>	
Inform a senior member of the department – where possible, the		
department head, as soon as possible.		
Withdraw from all supervision and small-group teaching involving that		
student (in the case of teaching assistants, this may involve swapping		
tutorial groups with another TA), unless practically impossible.		
Withdraw from the assessment of that student, even if anonymous		
marking is used.		
Withdraw from writing references and recommendations for the student		
in question.		
Withdraw from making any decisions (e.g. distribution of funding)		
where preferential treatment of the student could in principle occur.		
It should be made clear to staff and students that if an student has entered		
into a relationship with a member of staff (including a TA), while the		
into a relationship with a member of stan (including a 1A), while the		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
responsibility for taking the above steps lies with the member of staff		
concerned, the student is equally entitled to report their relationship to		
another member of staff (e.g. Head of Department, if appropriate), and to		
request that the above steps be taken.		
As much as possible, the department should encourage a practice of full		
disclosure in the case of such relationships' continuance. This avoids real		
or perceived conflicts of interest, as well as embarrassment for others.		
Relationships among academic staff:		
Disclosure of any such relationship should be strongly encouraged, in		
order to avoid real or perceived conflicts of interest.		
Any potential for real or perceived conflicts of interest should be		
removed by, e.g., removal of the senior member of staff from relevant		
decision-making (e.g. promotions, appointment to permanent positions).		
Caregivers		
Schedule important events, as far as possible, between 9 and 5 (the hours		
when childcare is more available). When an event must be scheduled		
outside of these hours, give plenty of advance notice so that caregivers		
can make the necessary arrangements. Consider using online scheduling		
polls to find times that work for as many of those with caregiving		
obligations as possible and providing the option of virtual attendance.		
Consider requests from staff of any background for part-time and		
flexible working. (This is largely, but not exclusively, an issue for		
caregivers—requests from non-caregivers should also be considered.)		
Also be receptive, as far as possible, to requests for leave.		
As far as possible, account for caregiving commitments when scheduling		
teaching responsibilities.		
Be aware that students, not just staff, may have caregiving		
responsibilities. Have a staff contact person for students who are		
caregivers.		
	 	
Ensure that students and staff are made fully aware of any university		
services for caregivers.		
Ensure that staff have an adequate understanding of what caregiving		
involves (e.g., do not expect a PhD student to make progress on		
dissertating while on parental leave).		

Ensure that parental leave funds provided by the university are actually used to cover for parental leave, rather than being absorbed into department or faculty budgets.		
Those involved in performance evaluations should be fully informed about current policies regarding reduced teaching, research, and service expectations for caregivers, and take caregiving responsibilities into account where possible.		
CONFERENCES AND EVENTS		
As a session chair, ensure that the discussion is welcoming and inclusive.		
Consider implementing the following policies:		
Keep a question queue and stick to it.		
Step in where needed to help avoid, e.g., interruptions of those speaking, lines of discussion that are stretching on for too long, or dialogue that has become aggressive and/or rude.		
Allow for a break between talks and Q&A sessions in order for participants to gather their thoughts and/or to have time to attend to their different needs.		
Carefully select the order in which you call on questioners. Beginning the Q&A session with student questions or a question from a member of an under-represented group can lead to a more inclusive discussion.		
Encourage the participation of those who might be slower to raise their hand or less assertive about getting to the front of the queue.		
Do not allow questioners to ask multiple questions or extensive follow up questions if others have not been given a chance to speak.		
As an audience member, be respectful of the speaker and the other people	in the room in some of the following ways:	
Keep questions short. Avoid asking multiple questions or long follow-up questions if this risks crowding others out of the conversation.		
Try to ask constructive questions that will help the presenter. Set a respectful tone.		
Try to read the room and assess whether your question will benefit the discussion.		
As an organizer, take every reasonable step to make the conference as incl	usive as possible.	

	Г	
Organizers of recurring conferences should annually, or biennially,		
monitor the demographics of conference participants, and, if		
significant imbalances emerge in demographic representation, take		
steps to address the imbalance.		
When drawing up a list of potential invited speakers, take		
reasonable steps to ensure sufficient representation of speakers		
from under-represented groups		
Where possible, consult invited speakers before fixing the date of		
the conference, to increase the likelihood that they are not just		
invited but will actually be able to accept the invitations.		
Organizers should ensure that members of all groups are treated		
equally as speakers on publicity material and the conference		
program (e.g., to avoid the situation where a White speaker is		
described as 'Professor in philosophy at' but a Black speaker,		
also a Professor, is described as 'teaches philosophy at'; or		
where the male speaker's title (Dr, Prof.) is included by the female		
speaker's is not).		
Where possible try to include local scholars.		
Signal willingness to accommodate scholars with disabilities or		
other particularized needs.		
Make an effort to provide information about the kinds of		
accommodations you can provide, in order to enable and		
encourage scholars to attend, in the invitations, call for papers, or		
conference announcement.		
Whenever possible, do not require participants to disclose their		
needs as that can make them feel that they are a burden on the		
conference organizers, but be prepared to offer commonly required		
accommodations.		
Ensure that participants are made to feel at ease to ask questions		
about specific accommodations.		
Ensure that the venue of the conference is accessible and that there		
are staff to assist people with disabilities (for guidance see:		
The same of the sa		

	T T	
Guidelines for Accessible Conferences.pages and for public		
lectures, in particular, see: <u>Guidelines for Accessible Public</u>		
Lectures - A guide by the British Philosophical Association and		
the Society for Women in Philosophy UK).		
Consider offering opportunities for virtual participation, including		
opportunities for presenting and attending virtually. For		
suggestions on how to accomplish this see, for example, Helen		
Beebee's post on Running Hybrid Events (Running Hybrid Events		
- The Age of Metaphysical Revolution).		
Ensure that all participants know whom to contact to address any		
questions or needs that may arise.		
Ensure that there are sufficient breaks within the day, and stick to		
the announced schedule for these breaks.		
Be aware of biases when identifying whom to invite. Chances are		
that the first people that come to mind will be people without		
historical disadvantage		
Consider invitations to junior and less well-established		
philosophers from under-represented groups to avoid holding these		
philosophers to higher standards (e.g., disabled scholars must be		
famous to be included, but not so for non-disabled scholars). See		
the <u>UPDirectory</u> for possibilities.		
When possible, offer funding to people with additional needs (e.g.,		
financial, accessibility or care-related needs). If you cannot fund		
all speakers, consider checking whether more renowned speakers		
can fund their own travel, freeing up resources for less		
well-resourced speakers.		
Offer free registration for companions assisting an attendee with a		
disability and abide by all other ADA policies.		
When possible, have a quiet room for rest. This is important for a		
range of disabilities and for participants who have medical needs		
or are breastfeeding, etc.		
Be mindful of who is, and who is not, asked to care for children.		
Investigate whether the provision of childcare facilities for the		

duration of the conference is possible. Many universities have day care facilities on or near campus, which may be able to offer a day rate for conference delegates. For larger conferences, if campus facilities are not available consider hosting the conference at a hotel that offers childcare and babysitting services. Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous peoples as the traditional stewards of the land as well as the
rate for conference delegates. For larger conferences, if campus facilities are not available consider hosting the conference at a hotel that offers childcare and babysitting services. Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
For larger conferences, if campus facilities are not available consider hosting the conference at a hotel that offers childcare and babysitting services. Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
consider hosting the conference at a hotel that offers childcare and babysitting services. Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
babysitting services. Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
Consider setting aside funding to subsidize the use of childcare facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
facilities by delegates. Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
Be mindful of who is and who is not asked to care for children Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
Encourage speakers to make their material accessible to all participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
participants and make sure you know how to operate equipment in order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
order to help speakers (again see the BPA Accessibility Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
Guidelines). If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
If there is food served, be mindful of dietary restrictions of conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
conference participants, collecting information in advance if feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
feasible. Food to meet these dietary requirements should either be plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
plentiful or clearly marked as reserved for the relevant people, to avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
avoid it running out. Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
Consider including, at the event opening, an indigenous land statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
statement or acknowledgement, which acknowledges indigenous
I neonles as the traditional stewards of the land as well as the
relationships these people have to the land on which the event is
occuring.
Department heads should ensure that conference policies are
available to staff and students who are organizing events in a
permanent format (e.g., intranet, handbooks) and that they are
aware of it.
RESEARCH PROJECTS
Hiring Panels and Events:
Management teams should adhere to all of the policies listed in the
'Hiring, Promotion, and Retention' document.

Conferences and Seminar Series:	
Management teams should implement all of the recommendations in the 'Conferences and Events' document.	
Caregivers:	
The management team should implement all of the relevant recommendations in the 'Caregivers' document.	
Publication of Edited Collections:	
Large research projects often produce edited collections as outputs. The editorial team should take steps to ensure that people from under-represented groups are well represented amongst the contributors to any such collection. The <u>UPDirectory</u> is one resource.	
Advisory Boards, Research Students, and Other Associated People:	
Where the research project involves the formation of an advisory board, visiting fellowships, PhD studentships, etc., the management team should take concrete steps towards ensuring that people from under-represented groups are well represented amongst the members and applicants.	
LEARNED SOCIETIES	
Learned societies should ensure that a reasonable proportion of people from under-represented groups are nominated for positions on their executive committees and for official positions (President, Secretary, etc.).	
Where learned societies organize their own conferences and seminar series, they should follow the relevant Good Practice recommendations on Conferences and Events (see above).	
Where learned societies distribute funding to others to organize conferences and seminar series, they should make it a requirement of funding that the conference organizers should follow the relevant Good Practice recommendations on Conferences and Events (see above).	

Learned societies should consider adopting a formal policy on	
chairing seminars/conference sessions for their own events and/or for those that they fund. See again the Good Practice	
recommendations on Conferences and Events, for some specific	
proposals you might consider implementing.	
Learned societies should monitor the proportion of people from	
under represented groups speaking at conferences and seminar	
series that they fund. Where a conference or seminar series	
manifests an obvious imbalance, the learned society should make	
enquiries about the steps taken to promote representation, in order	
to satisfy themselves that appropriate steps were taken by the	
organizers.	
Journals	
Diversify representatives – editors, editorial board members,	
referees, trustees, staff, etc. – to include more people from	
under-represented groups (including philosophers residing in	
non-Anglophone majority countries) and on important but	
neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of philosophers,	
utilizing a diverse range of methods.	
Commit to inclusion with influence (see Hiring, Retention and	
Promotion for definition).	
Ensure that member contributions are recognized and, where	
possible, appropriately compensated and rewarded. Set specific, achievable targets to make progress in increasing	
diversity in authorship and content in your journal.	
Consider publishing and promoting work by people from	
under-represented groups at least in proportion to their presence in	
the part of the discipline that your journal covers.	
Consider including at least one special issue or symposium	
engaging with works by under-represented philosophers or in	
under-represented areas of philosophy in your journal.	

Collect data on diversity relevant publishing practices, e.g.,			
submission and publication rates for members of			
under-represented groups, referee and editorial board composition,			
etc. and track progress in increasing diversity in your journal.			
Issue regular reports on new commitments to diversity in the			
journals and report on progress towards achieving targets.			
Consider including data on the journal's demographics, makeup of			
editorial board, referee pool, authorships, and submissions.			
Implement promising practices to meet these targets and increase di	versity in your	r journal, such as:	•
Solicit submissions of promising work by members of			
under-represented groups or working in under-represented			
linguistic traditions. (PhilPeople might be a useful resource. See			
also the Barcelona Principles for a Globally Inclusive Philosophy).			
Aim to include a fair representation of relevant work by members			
of under-represented groups.			
Consider publishing more papers on important but neglected topics			
of interest to a diverse range of philosophers. This might include			
increasing the proportions of articles published in value theory,			
history, feminism, race, disability, and philosophical work in less			
commonly studied philosophical traditions.			
Weigh the value of anonymity and non-anonymous editorial			
discretion, bearing in mind that evidence is mixed regarding the			
effectiveness of anonymous review in increasing diversity. Take			
special care to ensure that any non-anonymous parts of the review			
process do not omit or unfairly disadvantage authors from			
under-represented groups.			
Attend to your regional context as well as the overall global			
context (e.g., the importance of including adequate geographical			
and indigenous representation in your journal).			
Implement diversity-supporting referee practices, such as:	 		
Be alert for possible patterns of bias in editorial desk rejections			
		·	

Encourage referees and authors to avoid using language or	
examples that are insensitive to cultural differences or that	
inappropriately excludes or offends any group of people based on	
their ability/disability, age, ethnicity and race, gender identity,	
sexual orientation, class, nationality, etc.	
Encourage referees and authors to check that papers cite and	
discuss related work and that work by people from	
under-represented groups have not been overlooked.	
Request referees not google paper titles or request that they alert	
the editor prior to refereeing the paper if they know or have a	
strong suspicion about who wrote it.	
Encourage referees to not reject promising papers on grounds of	
writing quality, if the concerns are merely stylistic, can be repaired	
to an adequate level, and the philosophical content is good. This	
helps ensure fair consideration of work by philosophers who are	
not native speakers of English.	
Encourage referees to consider accepting papers on topics of	
interest to under-represented groups in philosophy and on	
important but neglected topics of interest to a diverse range of	
philosophers.	
Encourage timely and developmental reviews, since members of	
vulnerable groups are especially disadvantaged by long delays	
before publication.	
The editorial board should consider providing referees with an	
explicit editorial policy on refereeing. See, for example, the	
Journal of Cognition Referee Guidelines.	
Implement promising practices to increase accessibility in journals, such as:	
Create structurally-tagged content, which includes clearly marked	
headings, image descriptions, and scroll over text to assist screen	
readers parsing the page structure. (For example, see	
https://www.w3.org/TR/WCAG20-TECHS/PDF3.html)	
Utilize text-to-speech capability for print-impaired users in the	
absence of an audio book.	

Include a navigable table of contents within your publications, and		
provide a defined reading order (including, for example,		
appropriate links between the main flow of the text and any		
sidebar or box out text) to help those reading through audio to		
navigate their way through the article.		
Include Alt-text descriptions to explain illustrations for readers		
with reduced access to graphic information.		
Give readers control over the font (size, style, and color),		
background color, and line spacing for online publications, and/or		
make them available in html.		
Consider trying to make your journal more accessible for those in		
locations or at institutions that lack sufficient funding e.g., by		
making your journal open access in those regions.		
Employ W3C web accessibility standards where feasible, and		
check for web accessibility.		
Evaluate progress at regular intervals and revise practices		
accordingly.		
Where possible, work with researchers to identify particular areas		
to improve for achieving better representation of authors and		
marginalized philosophies.		
Isolate and implement evidence-based practices that increase		
diversity in the identified areas.		
Identify barriers to making progress on achieving diversity targets.		
Communicate, collaborate, and advocate to overcome identified		
barriers. Certain academic publishers have policies that hinder		
progress. Assertively engage with the issue where possible.		
Officially adopt these diversity-promoting practices and widely		
publicize your journal's targets and commitment to promoting		
diversity.		
Inform all representatives and bind future representatives to uphold		
these standards.		
	•	

Publicly and explicitly adopt diversity-promoting practices,		
helping to create a culture of concern that enhances the journal's		
reputation for welcoming diversity, attracting more diverse		
submissions.		