

1 Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_m \in V$. Prove that

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp = (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp.$$

" \subseteq ": Let $u \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$, $\langle u, v_i \rangle = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Let $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$. We have $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle u, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i \rangle = 0$
 $\Rightarrow u \in (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$

" \supseteq ": Let $u \in (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$, $\langle u, \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i \rangle = 0 \forall a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$. In particular, for $a_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$
 we have $\langle u, v_i \rangle = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, implying $u \in \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$.

$$\Rightarrow \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp = (\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m))^\perp$$

2 Suppose U is a subspace of V with basis u_1, \dots, u_m and

$$u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n$$

is a basis of V . Prove that if the Gram-Schmidt procedure is applied to the basis of V above, producing a list $e_1, \dots, e_m, f_1, \dots, f_n$, then e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthonormal basis of U and f_1, \dots, f_n is an orthonormal basis of U^\perp .

Gram-Schmidt: $v_1, \dots, v_m \rightarrow e_1, \dots, e_m$ s.t. $\begin{cases} e_1, \dots, e_m \text{ orthon.} \\ \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m) = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \end{cases} \forall h$

We have $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m) = U$ (by properties of G-S procedure). And since e_1, \dots, e_m are orthon., then e_1, \dots, e_m is an orthon. basis of U .

Furthermore, we have from 6.49: $V = U^\perp \oplus U$. Hence f_1, \dots, f_n is an orthon. basis of U^\perp .

4 Suppose e_1, \dots, e_n is a list of vectors in V with $\|e_k\| = 1$ for each $k = 1, \dots, n$

and

$$\|v\|^2 = |\langle v, e_1 \rangle|^2 + \dots + |\langle v, e_n \rangle|^2$$

for all $v \in V$. Prove that e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal basis of V .

This exercise provides a converse to 6.30(b).

We can show $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}, i \neq j$ (orthogonal)

Let $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

$$\|e_j\|^2 = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle e_j, e_i \rangle|^2 = 1 + \sum_{i \neq j} |\langle e_j, e_i \rangle|^2 \Rightarrow \langle e_j, e_i \rangle = 0 \forall j \neq i$$

$\Rightarrow e_1, \dots, e_n$ orthogonal list.

By 6B ex 3, $\text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_n) = V$.

5 Suppose that V is finite-dimensional and U is a subspace of V . Show that

$$P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U, \text{ where } I \text{ is the identity operator on } V.$$

Let $v \in V$, s.t. $v = u + u^\perp$, with $u \in U, u^\perp \in U^\perp$ (by 6.49)

$$P_{U^\perp} v = P_{U^\perp}(u + u^\perp) = u^\perp = v - u = (I - P_U)v$$

6 Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Show that

$$T = TP_{(\text{null } T)^\perp} = P_{\text{range } T}T.$$

Let $v \in V$.

$$P_{\text{range } T}(Tv) = Tv \text{ (since } Tv \in \text{range } T)$$

$$TP_{(\text{null } T)^\perp} v = TP_{(\text{null } T)^\perp}(u+w) \text{ (with } u \in \text{null } T, w \in (\text{null } T)^\perp \text{ (6.49))}$$

$$= Tw = Tw + Tu \text{ (since } Tu = 0)$$

$$= T(w+u) = Tv$$

7 Suppose that X and Y are finite-dimensional subspaces of V . Prove that

$$P_X P_Y = 0 \text{ if and only if } \langle x, y \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X \text{ and all } y \in Y.$$

" \Rightarrow ": Suppose $P_X P_Y = 0$. Let $x \in X, y \in Y$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle z, y \rangle &= \langle z, P_X y + P_{X^\perp} y \rangle \quad (6.49) \\
&= \langle z, P_X P_Y y + P_{X^\perp} y \rangle \quad (y = P_Y y) \\
&= \langle z, P_{X^\perp} y \rangle \quad (P_X P_Y = 0) \\
&= 0 \quad (\langle z, v \rangle = 0 \quad \forall v \in X^\perp \text{ i.e. } z \in X)
\end{aligned}$$

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose $\forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y: \langle z, y \rangle = 0$ (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Let } v \in V. \quad \exists y \in Y \quad \exists y^\perp \in Y^\perp \\
P_X P_Y v &= P_X P_Y (\widehat{v}_Y + \widehat{v}_{Y^\perp}) = P_X v_Y = P_X (\widehat{v}_{Y_X} + \widehat{v}_{Y_X^\perp}) = v_{Y_X}, \text{ where } v_{Y_X} \in X \cap Y.
\end{aligned}$$

But then $\langle z, z \rangle = 0$ (using (1)), thus $z = 0$, and $P_X P_Y = 0$.

8 Suppose U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V and $v \in V$. Define a linear functional $\varphi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by

$$\varphi(u) = \langle u, v \rangle$$

for all $u \in U$. By the Riesz representation theorem (6.42) as applied to the inner product space U , there exists a unique vector $w \in U$ such that

$$\varphi(u) = \langle u, w \rangle$$

for all $u \in U$. Show that $w = P_U v$.

$$\langle u, P_U v \rangle = \langle u, v - P_{U^\perp} v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle - \langle u, P_{U^\perp} v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$$

Thus $w = P_U v$.

9 Suppose V is finite-dimensional. Suppose $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is such that $P^2 = P$ and every vector in null P is orthogonal to every vector in range P . Prove that there exists a subspace U of V such that $P = P_U$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Let } z \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P: \langle z, z \rangle = 0 \xRightarrow{\text{Ext. 50}} V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P \Rightarrow \text{null } P = (\text{range } P)^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } v \in V, v = m + n, m \in \text{null } P, n \in \text{range } P \text{ s.t. } P_U = n, u \in V.$$

$$P v = P(m + n) = P n = P^2 u = P u$$

$$P_{\text{range } P} (m + n) = P_{\text{range } P} n = n = P u$$

\Rightarrow range P is a subspace of V s.t. $P = P_{\text{range } P}$

11 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ and U is a finite-dimensional subspace of V . Prove that

$$U \text{ is invariant under } T \iff P_U T P_U = T P_U.$$

" \Rightarrow ": Suppose U is invariant under T . Let $v \in V, v = u + u_\perp, u \in U, u_\perp \in U^\perp$.

$$\begin{aligned}
P_U T P_U v &= P_U T P_U (u + u_\perp) = P_U T u = T u \\
&\quad \text{EU (invariant under } T) \\
T P_U v &= T P_U (u + u_\perp) = T u \\
&\Rightarrow P_U T P_U = T P_U
\end{aligned}$$

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose $P_U T P_U = T P_U$ (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Let } v \in U. T P_U v = T v \stackrel{(1)}{=} P_U T P_U v \Rightarrow T v = P_U (T P_U v) \in U \\
&\Rightarrow U \text{ invariant under } T
\end{aligned}$$

12 Suppose V is finite-dimensional, $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, and U is a subspace of V . Prove that

$$U \text{ and } U^\perp \text{ are both invariant under } T \iff P_U T = T P_U.$$

" \Rightarrow ": Suppose U and U^\perp invariant under T . U^\perp invariant under T

U and U^\perp are both invariant under $T \iff P_U T = T P_U$.

\Rightarrow : Suppose U and U^\perp invariant under T .

Let $v \in V$, $v = u + u^\perp$, $u \in U$, $u^\perp \in U^\perp$.

$$P_U T v = P_U T(u + u^\perp) = P_U T u + P_U T u^\perp = T u$$

$$T P_U v = T P_U(u + u^\perp) = T P_U u + T P_U u^\perp = T u$$

$$\Rightarrow P_U T = T P_U$$

\Leftarrow : Suppose $P_U T = T P_U$.

Let $u \in U$: $T u = T P_U u = P_U T u \in U \Rightarrow U$ invariant under T

Let $u^\perp \in U^\perp$: $P_U T u^\perp = T P_U u^\perp = 0 \Rightarrow T u^\perp \in U^\perp \Rightarrow U^\perp$ invariant under T

13 Suppose $F = \mathbb{R}$ and V is finite-dimensional. For each $v \in V$, let φ_v denote the linear functional on V defined by

$$\varphi_v(u) = \langle u, v \rangle$$

for all $u \in V$.

(a) Show that $v \mapsto \varphi_v$ is an injective linear map from V to V^* .

(b) Use (a) and a dimension-counting argument to show that $v \mapsto \varphi_v$ is an isomorphism from V onto V^* .

The purpose of this exercise is to give an alternative proof of the Riesz representation theorem (6.42 and 6.58) when $F = \mathbb{R}$. Thus you should not use the Riesz representation theorem as a tool in your solution.

a) Let $\varphi \in L(V, V^*)$ s.t. $\varphi v = \varphi_v$.

We check φ is a linear map: let $u, v, w \in V$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\bullet [\varphi(v+w)](u) = \varphi_{v+w}(u) = \langle v+w, u \rangle = \langle v, u \rangle + \langle w, u \rangle = \varphi_v u + \varphi_w u = [\varphi(v)](u) + [\varphi(w)](u)$$

$$\bullet [\varphi(\lambda v)](u) = \varphi_{\lambda v}(u) = \langle \lambda v, u \rangle = \lambda \langle v, u \rangle = \lambda \varphi_v u = \lambda [\varphi(v)](u).$$

φ is injective:

Let $v \in V$, s.t. $\varphi_v = 0$. Then $\varphi_v(v) = \langle v, v \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow \ker \varphi = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \varphi$ injective linear map

b) $\dim V = \dim V^*$, so an injective linear map from V to V^* is an isomorphism.

Thus φ is an isomorphism of V onto V^* .

14 Suppose that e_1, \dots, e_n is an orthonormal basis of V . Explain why the dual basis (see 3.112) of e_1, \dots, e_n is e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* under the identification of V^* with V provided by the Riesz representation theorem (6.58).

Let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ the dual basis of e_1, \dots, e_n .

$$\varphi_i e_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\varphi_i e_j = \langle v_i, e_j \rangle \quad (6.58)$$

$$\forall i: \langle v_i, e_i \rangle = 1, \langle v_i, e_j \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow v_i = e_i$$

15 In \mathbb{R}^4 , let

$$U = \text{span}((1, 1, 0, 0), (1, 1, 1, 2)).$$

Find $u \in U$ such that $\|u - (1, 2, 3, 4)\|$ is as small as possible.

We can find an orth. basis of U .

$$e_1 = \frac{(1, 1, 0, 0)}{\|(1, 1, 0, 0)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 1, 0, 0)$$

0

$(1, 1, 0, 0) \quad (1, 1, 1, 2)$

$$e_1 = \frac{\langle v, e_1 \rangle}{\| \langle v, e_1 \rangle \|} = \frac{\langle (1,1,0,0), (1,1,0,0) \rangle}{\| (1,1,0,0) \|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1,1,0,0)$$

$$f_2 = v_2 - \frac{\langle v_2, f_1 \rangle}{\| f_1 \|^2} f_1 = (1,1,1,2) - \frac{\langle (1,1,1,2), (1,1,0,0) \rangle}{2} (1,1,0,0) = (1,1,1,2) - (1,1,0,0) = (0,0,1,2)$$

$$\Rightarrow e_2 = \frac{(0,0,1,2)}{\| (0,0,1,2) \|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} (0,0,1,2)$$

$$v = \underbrace{\langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 + \langle v, e_2 \rangle e_2}_{\in U} + \underbrace{v - \langle v, e_1 \rangle e_1 - \langle v, e_2 \rangle e_2}_{\in U^\perp}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_U(1,2,3,4) &= \langle (1,2,3,4), \sqrt{2}^{-1} (1,1,0,0) \rangle \sqrt{2}^{-1} (1,1,0,0) + \langle (1,2,3,4), \sqrt{5}^{-1} (0,0,1,2) \rangle \sqrt{5}^{-1} (0,0,1,2) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (3,3,0,0) + \frac{1}{5} (0,0,11,22) \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{11}{5}, \frac{22}{5} \right) \end{aligned}$$

→ this value minimizes $\|v - (1,2,3,4)\|$ in U .

16 Suppose $C[-1,1]$ is the vector space of continuous real-valued functions on the interval $[-1,1]$ with inner product given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 fg$$

for all $f, g \in C[-1,1]$. Let U be the subspace of $C[-1,1]$ defined by

$$U = \{f \in C[-1,1] : f(0) = 0\}.$$

(a) Show that $U^\perp = \{0\}$.

(b) Show that 6.49 and 6.52 do not hold without the finite-dimensional hypothesis.

a) let $f \in U^\perp$. Define $g \in U$ s.t. $g(x) = x^2 f(x)$

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-1}^1 (x f(x))^2 dx = 0. \text{ However } [x f(x)]^2 \geq 0 \forall x \in [-1,1].$$

This implies $x f(x) = 0 \forall x \in [-1,1]$, so $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in [-1,1] \setminus \{0\}$.

$f \in C[-1,1]$, so $f(0) = 0$. Thus we have $f = 0$, and $U^\perp = \{0\}$.

b) Here U is infinite dimensional, and we have $U \oplus U^\perp \neq C[-1,1]$, as $U^\perp = \{0\}$ and U does not include $f(x) = 1$, and $f \in C[-1,1]$. Thus 6.49 does not hold.

Furthermore, we have $(U^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = C[-1,1] \neq U$, thus 6.52 does not hold either in this case.

17 Find $p \in \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R})$ such that $p(0) = 0$, $p'(0) = 0$, and $\int_0^1 |2+3x-p(x)|^2 dx$ is as small as possible.

$$\text{Define } U = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R}) : p(0) = 0 \wedge p'(0) = 0\}$$

Consider the inner-product space $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbb{R})$ with $\langle p, q \rangle = \int_0^1 p(x)q(x) dx$.

$\int_0^1 |2+3x-p(x)|^2 dx$ is minimized for $\|2+3x-p(x)\|$ minimized. We can thus find $P_U(2+3x)$.

A basis of U : x^2, x^3 . We can apply the G-S procedure on it to find an orth. basis:

$$f_1 = x^2 \quad \quad \quad f_2 = x^3 \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{matrix} \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{matrix} \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{matrix} \quad \quad \quad \begin{matrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{matrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 &= x^2 \\
f_2 &= x^3 - \frac{\langle x^3, x^2 \rangle}{\|x^2\|^2} x^2 \\
&= x^3 - \frac{5}{6} x^2 \\
\Rightarrow e_1 &= 5x^2, \quad e_2 = 252(x^3 - \frac{5}{6}x^2) \\
P &= \langle e_1, 2+3x \rangle e_1 + \langle e_2, 2+3x \rangle e_2 \\
&= 10 \langle x^2, 2+3x \rangle x^2 + 252 \langle x^3 - \frac{5}{6}x^2, 2+3x \rangle \\
&\quad \dots
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 x^5 dx &= \left[\frac{x^6}{6} \right]_0^1 = \frac{1}{6} \quad \|x^2\|^2 = \int_0^1 x^4 dx = \left[\frac{x^5}{5} \right]_0^1 = \frac{1}{5} \\
\|x^3 - \frac{5}{6}x^2\|^2 &= \int_0^1 (x^3 - \frac{5}{6}x^2)^2 dx = \int_0^1 x^6 + \frac{25}{36}x^4 - \frac{5}{2}x^5 dx \\
&= \left[\frac{x^7}{7} \right]_0^1 + \frac{25}{36} \left[\frac{x^5}{5} \right]_0^1 - \frac{5}{2} \left[\frac{x^6}{6} \right]_0^1 \\
&= \frac{1}{7} + \frac{5}{36} - \frac{5}{18} = \frac{1}{7} - \frac{5}{36} = \frac{36}{252} - \frac{35}{252} = \frac{1}{252}
\end{aligned}$$

19 Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is an orthogonal projection of V onto some subspace of V . Prove that $P^\dagger = P$.

Let U the subspace s.t. $P = P_U$.

We have $\text{null } P = U^\perp \Rightarrow (\text{null } P)^\perp = U$ (6.52)

$$= P|_{(\text{null } P)^\perp} = P|_U = I_U, \text{ hence } (P|_{(\text{null } P)^\perp})^{-1} = I_U.$$

By definition: $P^\dagger = (P|_{(\text{null } P)^\perp})^{-1} P|_{\text{range } P} = I_U P_U = P$ (range $P = U$ as it is a projection on U).

22 Suppose V is finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that

$$TT^\dagger T = T \quad \text{and} \quad T^\dagger T T^\dagger = T^\dagger.$$

Both formulas above clearly hold if T is invertible because in that case we can replace T^\dagger with T^{-1} .

$$\begin{aligned}
| \quad TT^\dagger T &= P_{\text{range } T} T = T \quad (6.69 \text{ b}) \\
\Rightarrow TT^\dagger T &= T \\
T^\dagger TT^\dagger &= T^\dagger P_{\text{range } T} = (T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} \overbrace{P_{\text{range } T} P_{\text{range } T}}^{= P_{\text{range } T}} = T^\dagger
\end{aligned}$$

23 Suppose V and W are finite-dimensional and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$. Prove that

$$(T^\dagger)^\dagger = T.$$

The equation above is analogous to the equation $(T^{-1})^{-1} = T$ that holds if T is invertible.

$$\begin{aligned}
(T^\dagger)^\dagger &= \left[(T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} P_{\text{range } T} \right]^\dagger \\
\text{null} \left[(T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} P_{\text{range } T} \right]^\perp &= \left[(\text{range } T)^\perp \right]^\perp = \text{range } T \\
\text{range} \left[(T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} P_{\text{range } T} \right] &= (\text{null } T)^\perp
\end{aligned}$$

$$\left[\left[(T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} P_{\text{range } T} \right] \Big|_{\text{range } T} \right]^{-1} P_{(\text{null } T)^\perp} = (T|_{(\text{null } T)^\perp})^{-1} P_{(\text{null } T)^\perp}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tau^\dagger)^\dagger = \tau|_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} P_{(\ker \tau)^\perp}$$

$$\text{let } v \in V: (\tau^\dagger)^\dagger v = \tau|_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} P_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} \overset{P_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} m = 0}{\overset{P_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} m_\perp = m_\perp}{(m+m_\perp)}} (n \in \ker \tau, m_\perp \in (\ker \tau)^\perp, m+m_\perp = v)$$

$$= \tau|_{(\ker \tau)^\perp} m_\perp = \tau m_\perp = \tau v \quad (\text{since } \tau m = 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\tau^\dagger)^\dagger = \tau$$