

1 Find a list of four distinct vectors in \mathbb{F}^3 whose span equals

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x + y + z = 0\} = V$$

At least 2 vectors of the list should be linearly dependent to the 2 remaining ones (as $\dim(\mathbb{F}^3) = 3$ and V does not span \mathbb{F}^3).

$$U = (1, 0, -1), (0, 1, -1), (2, 0, -2), (0, 2, -2)$$

$$\text{let } v \in \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{F}^3 : x + y + z = 0\}$$

$$v = (x, y, z) = (x, y, -x - y)$$

$$= x(1, 0, -1) + y(0, 1, -1) + 0(2, 0, -2) + 0(0, 2, -2)$$

$$\text{let } u \in \text{span}(U), a_1, \dots, a_4 \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$u = a_1(1, 0, -1) + a_2(0, 1, -1) + a_3(2, 0, -2) + a_4(0, 2, -2)$$

$$= (a_1 + 2a_3, a_2 + 2a_4, -a_1 - a_2 - 2a_3 - 2a_4)$$

$$a_1 + 2a_3 + a_2 + 2a_4 - a_1 - a_2 - 2a_3 - 2a_4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(U) = \{(x, y, z) : x + y + z = 0\}$$

2 Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 spans V , then the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

also spans V .

$$\text{let } v \in V. v_1, \dots, v_4 \text{ span } V \Rightarrow \exists a_1, \dots, a_4 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$v = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3 + a_4 v_4$$

$$= a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + a_3 v_3 + a_4 v_4 - a_1 v_2 + a_1 v_2 - (a_1 + a_2) v_3 + (a_1 + a_2) v_3 - (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) v_4 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3) v_4$$

$$= a_1(v_1 - v_2) + (a_2 + a_1)(v_2 - v_3) + (a_3 + a_1 + a_2)(v_3 - v_4) + (a_4 + a_1 + a_2 + a_3) v_4$$

$$\text{Thus } v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4 \text{ spans } V$$

3 Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is a list of vectors in V . For $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$w_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k.$$

Show that $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$.

$$w_k = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i \Rightarrow v_k = w_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} v_i = w_k - w_{k-1}, \forall k \geq 2$$

$$\subseteq: \text{let } v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m). \exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = a_1 w_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m a_i (w_i - w_{i-1})$$

$$\text{This is a linear combination of } w_i \text{'s, hence } v \in \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m)$$

$$\supseteq: \text{let } w \in \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m) \exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t.}$$

This is a linear combination of w_i 's,

" \supseteq " let $w \in \text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_m) \exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$ s.t.

$$w = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (v_1 + \dots + v_i)$$

This is a linear combination of v_i 's, hence $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$

- 4 (a) Show that a list of length one in a vector space is linearly independent if and only if the vector in the list is not 0.
(b) Show that a list of length two in a vector space is linearly independent if and only if neither of the two vectors in the list is a scalar multiple of the other.

a) let V a vector space, and v a vector in V . let $a \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$av = 0 \iff a = 0 \text{ or } v = 0, \text{ as shown in previous exercises.}$$

If $v \neq 0$, then $a = 0$, and the list consisting of v is linearly independent by definition.

If $v = 0$, then the vector in the list is 0.

b) let $u, v \in V$

$$u, v \text{ linearly independent} \iff (\forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}, a_1 u + a_2 v = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = a_2 = 0)$$

$$\iff (\forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}, a_1 \neq 0 \vee a_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow a_1 u + a_2 v \neq 0)$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}, a_1 \neq 0 \vee a_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \neq \frac{a_2}{a_1} v \\ \text{or} \\ \forall a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{F}, a_1 \neq 0 \vee a_2 \neq 0 \Rightarrow v \neq -\frac{a_2}{a_1} u \end{cases}$$

$$\iff \begin{cases} \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, \lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow u \neq \lambda v \\ \text{or} \\ \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, \lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow v \neq \lambda u \end{cases}$$

5 Find a number t such that

$$(3, 1, 4), (2, -3, 5), (5, 9, t)$$

is not linearly independent in \mathbb{R}^3 .

We are looking for t s.t. $\exists a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a_1 \neq 0 \vee a_2 \neq 0 \vee a_3 \neq 0$ s.t.

$$\begin{cases} 3a_1 + 2a_2 = a_3 \cdot 5 \\ a_1 - 3a_2 = a_3 \cdot 9 \\ 4a_1 + 5a_2 = a_3 \cdot t \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} 9a_2 + 27a_3 + 2a_2 = a_3 \cdot 5 \Rightarrow 11a_2 = -22a_3 \Rightarrow a_2 = -2a_3 \\ a_1 - 3a_2 = a_3 \cdot 9 \\ 4a_1 + 5a_2 = a_3 \cdot t \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_2 = -2a_3 \\ a_1 = 3a_3 \\ (2-t)a_3 = 0 \end{cases}$$

We can set $t = 2$ to make the vectors linearly dependent.

6 Show that the list $(2, 3, 1), (1, -1, 2), (7, 3, c)$ is linearly dependent in \mathbb{F}^3 if and only if $c = 8$.

linearly dependent in $\mathbb{F}^3 \Leftrightarrow \exists a_1, a_2, a_3 \in \mathbb{F}$ with $a_1 \neq 0$ or $a_2 \neq 0$ or $a_3 \neq 0$ s.t.:

$$\begin{cases} 2a_1 + a_2 = 7a_3 \\ 3a_1 - a_2 = 3a_3 \\ a_1 + 2a_2 = a_3 c \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} 5a_1 = 10a_3 \Rightarrow a_1 = 2a_3 \\ 3a_3 = a_2 \\ 8a_3 = a_3 c \end{cases} \begin{matrix} a_3 \neq 0 \\ \text{thus } a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow c = 8 \end{matrix}$$

7 (a) Show that if we think of \mathbb{C} as a vector space over \mathbb{R} , then the list $1+i, 1-i$ is linearly independent.
(b) Show that if we think of \mathbb{C} as a vector space over \mathbb{C} , then the list $1+i, 1-i$ is linearly dependent.

a) let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$a_1(1+i) + a_2(1-i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 + a_1 i + a_2 - a_2 i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow (a_1 + a_2) + (a_1 - a_2)i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 + a_2 = 0 \\ a_1 - a_2 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = a_2 \\ 2a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow 1+i, 1-i$ linearly independent

b) let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, $a_1 = a+bi$, $a_2 = c+di$

$$(a+bi)(1+i) + (c+di)(1-i) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a+b)i + a - b + d + c + i(d-c) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a+b+d-c)i + a-b+d+c = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} a+b+d-c = 0 \\ a-b+d+c = 0 \end{cases} \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} c = a+b+d \\ a+d = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} c = b \\ a+d = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{For instance } c=b=1 \neq 0 \\ a=-d=1 \neq 0$$

\Rightarrow linearly dependent

8 Suppose v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is linearly independent in V . Prove that the list

$$v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4$$

is also linearly independent.

$$\text{Let } a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$a_1(v_1 - v_2) + a_2(v_2 - v_3) + a_3(v_3 - v_4) + a_4 v_4 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 v_1 + (a_2 - a_1) v_2 + (a_3 - a_2) v_3 + (a_4 - a_3) v_4 = 0$$

is linearly \perp

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 - a_1 = 0 \\ a_3 - a_2 = 0 \\ a_4 - a_3 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 = 0 \\ a_3 = 0 \\ a_4 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow v_1 - v_2, v_2 - v_3, v_3 - v_4, v_4 \text{ is linearly independent}$$

9 Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m is a linearly independent list of vectors in V , then

$$5v_1 - 4v_2, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_m$$

is linearly independent.

$$\text{Let } a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$a_1(5v_1 - 4v_2) + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 5a_1 v_1 + (a_2 - 4a_1) v_2 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0$$

v_1, \dots, v_m linearly \perp

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} 5a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 - 4a_1 = 0 \\ a_3 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_m = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 = 4 \neq 0 \\ a_3 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_m = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \text{Picking } a_1 = 0 \forall i \neq 2 \text{ and } a_2 = 4 \text{ yields } 0, \text{ thus this list is not linearly } \perp$$

10 Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, v_2, \dots, v_m is a linearly independent list of vectors in V and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, then $\lambda v_1, \lambda v_2, \dots, \lambda v_m$ is linearly independent.

$$\text{Let } a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$a_1 \lambda v_1 + \dots + a_m \lambda v_m = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m = 0 \quad (\text{as } \lambda \neq 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_m = 0 \end{cases} \quad (\text{as } v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ linearly } \perp)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda v_1, \dots, \lambda v_m \text{ linearly } \perp$$

11 Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, \dots, v_m and w_1, \dots, w_m are linearly independent lists of vectors in V , then the list $v_1 + w_1, \dots, v_m + w_m$ is linearly independent.

Counter-example: $(1,0), (0,1)$ and $(0,1), (1,0)$ in \mathbb{R}^2
 $(1,1) = (0,1) + (1,0)$

Counter-example: $(1,0), (0,1)$ and $(0,1), (1,0)$ in " \sim "
 $(1,0) + (0,1) = (1,1) = (0,1) + (1,0)$
 $(1,1) = 1(1,1)$ so the list $(1,1), (1,1)$ is not linearly \perp

12 Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent in V and $w \in V$. Prove that if $v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w$ is linearly dependent, then $w \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

By contraposition: let $w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$
 We will show $v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w$ is linearly \perp

let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$:

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i (v_i + w) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i + w \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = -w \sum_{i=1}^m a_i$$

But $w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$, so this equation

is only true if $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = -w \sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \forall i = 1, \dots, m$$

$\Rightarrow v_1 + w, \dots, v_m + w$ linearly independent

13 Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent in V and $w \in V$. Show that v_1, \dots, v_m, w is linearly independent $\Leftrightarrow w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

$$v_1, \dots, v_m, w \text{ linearly } \perp \Leftrightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i + a_w w = 0 \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_m = a_w = 0 \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = -a_w w \Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_m = a_w = 0 \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\exists a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t. } w = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow w \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m)$$

14 Suppose v_1, \dots, v_m is a list of vectors in V . For $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let

$$w_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k.$$

Show that the list v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent if and only if the list w_1, \dots, w_m is linearly independent.

" \Rightarrow ": let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \sum_{j=1}^i v_j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \sum_{j=i}^m a_j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \sum_{j=1}^i a_j = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_1 + a_2 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 + \dots + a_m = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 = 0 \\ a_2 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_m = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow w_1, \dots, w_m \text{ linearly } \perp$$

" \Leftarrow ": let $a_1, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = \sum_{i=2}^m a_i (w_i - w_{i-1}) + a_1 w_1$$

$$= a_1 w_1 + \sum_{i=2}^m a_i w_i - \sum_{i=2}^m a_i w_{i-1}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i - \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{i+1} w_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} (a_i - a_{i+1}) w_i + a_m w_m = 0$$

$$w_1, \dots, w_m \text{ linearly } \perp \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_1 - a_2 = 0 \\ a_2 - a_3 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_m - a_{m-1} = 0 \\ a_m = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_m = 0 \\ a_{m-1} = 0 \\ \vdots \\ a_1 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ linearly } \perp$$

15 Explain why there does not exist a list of six polynomials that is linearly independent in $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$.

A spanning list of $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$ is $1, X, X^2, X^3, X^4$

Any linearly \perp list of vectors has to be 5 or less, according to 2.22. A list of 6 polynomials cannot be linearly \perp in $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$.

16 Explain why no list of four polynomials spans $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$.

$1, X, X^2, X^3, X^4$ contains 5 elements and is linearly \perp .

Thus according to 2.22, any list of vectors spanning $\mathcal{P}_4(\mathbb{F})$ must contain 5 elements or more.

17 Prove that V is infinite-dimensional if and only if there is a sequence v_1, v_2, \dots of vectors in V such that v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent for every positive integer m .

" \Rightarrow " First we can prove:

" \Rightarrow " First we can prove:

$$\forall h, v_1, \dots, v_h \text{ linearly } \perp, \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_h) \neq V \\ \Leftrightarrow \exists v \in V \text{ s.t. } v_1, \dots, v_h, v \text{ linearly } \perp \quad (1)$$

" \Rightarrow " Indeed, let $v \in V$ and $v \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_h)$

let $a_1, \dots, a_{h+1} \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_h v_h + a_{h+1} v = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_h v_h = -a_{h+1} v$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} a_{h+1} = 0, \text{ which implies } \sum_{i=1}^h a_i v_i = 0 \Rightarrow a_i = 0 \forall i \text{ as } v_1, \dots, v_h \text{ are linearly } \perp \\ a_{h+1} \neq 0 \Rightarrow v = \sum_{i=1}^h \frac{-a_i}{a_{h+1}} v_i, \text{ but } v \notin \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_h) \text{ so it is not possible} \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_1 = \dots = a_h = a_{h+1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v_1, \dots, v_h, v \text{ linearly } \perp$$

" \Leftarrow " length of linearly \perp links \leq length of young links

$$\text{length}(v_1, \dots, v_h, v) = h+1 \leq \text{length of young links}$$

$$\Rightarrow h < \text{length of young links}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{length}(v_1, \dots, v_h) < \text{length of young links}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_h) \neq V$$

$$\downarrow \text{ infinite dim} \Rightarrow \exists v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ s.t. } \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) = V$$

We can construct v_1, v_2, \dots such that $\forall m, v_1, \dots, v_m$ is linearly \perp :

Start with $v_1 \in V \setminus \{0\}$. v_1 is linearly \perp .

$$\text{span}(v_1) \neq V \Rightarrow \exists v_2 \in V \text{ s.t. } v_1 \text{ and } v_2 \text{ are linearly } \perp \text{ (using (1))}$$

$$\text{span}(v_1, v_2) \neq V \Rightarrow \exists v_3 \in V \text{ s.t. } v_1, v_2, v_3 \text{ are linearly } \perp \text{ (using (1))}$$

$\text{span}(v_1, v_2) \neq V \Rightarrow \exists v_3 \in V$ s.t. v_1, v_2, v_3 are linearly independent.

This process can be repeated indefinitely (for any arbitrary number m of vectors) as no finite list can span V , with v_1, \dots, v_m linearly independent $\forall m$.

\therefore let v_1, v_2, \dots be a sequence s.t. v_1, \dots, v_m linearly independent $\forall m$.

We can apply (1) to deduce $\text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_m) \neq V \forall m$,
so V is infinite-dimensional.

18 Prove that F^∞ is infinite-dimensional.

Let the sequence v_1, v_2, \dots of vectors in F^∞ s.t. the j th element of v_i is 1 if $j=i$, and 0 otherwise.

For all integer m , v_1, \dots, v_m is linearly independent.

According to the result in Ex. 17, this implies F^∞ is infinite-dimensional.

19 Prove that the real vector space of all continuous real-valued functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ is infinite-dimensional.

$$\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]} = \{ f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \}$$

Let the sequence of functions f_1, f_2, \dots on $[0,1]$ s.t.

$$f_i = x^{i-1} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}, v_1, \dots, v_m \text{ is linearly independent.}$$

According to the result in Ex. 17, this implies

$\mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ is infinite-dimensional.

20 Suppose p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m are polynomials in $\mathcal{P}_m(F)$ such that $p_k(2) = 0$ for each $k \in \{0, \dots, m\}$. Prove that p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m is not linearly independent in $\mathcal{P}_m(F)$.

$$U = \{ p(x) \in \mathcal{P}_m(F) : p(2) = 0 \}$$

U is a subspace over $\mathcal{P}_m(F)$:

U is a subspace over $\mathbb{F}_m(\mathbb{F})$:

- $0 \in U$

- let $p, q \in U$

$$(p+q)(z) = p(z) + q(z) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow p+q \in U$$

- let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

$$(\lambda p)(z) = \lambda p(z) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda p \in U$$

$\exists v \in P_m(\mathbb{F})$ s.t. $v \notin U$, thus the minimum number of vectors in a list to span U is strictly inferior to the max required to span $P_m(\mathbb{F})$, which is $m+1$.

Also, a list of independent vectors in U should be \leq to the nb of vectors in a spanning list, which is at most m as previously shown.

p_0, p_1, \dots, p_m has $m+1$ vectors; thus it is not linearly independent in U .