let Ta menel greater om V, IF= C

"=" Holds on my relf-adjort greater 2= ? Spare all eva of tore real. Then by the yedral thorow, there is a orth. boirs of Vs.t. M(T) is a disped whin, where the diagonal holds T's eva. Sice they are roal, M(T)=M(T*), and the

By the corplere yearly theorem, there is an orly, here if V of e.ve. of T, e. -en. For all e; s, we have Te: = Ae; , A bey the orly e. Va of T. Hence T = AI3 Suppose F = C and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is normal. Prove that the set of eigenvalues of T is contained in $\{0,1\}$ if and only if there is a subspace U of V such that $T = P_U$.

"->: Cyou eva. of T @ 80,13. led e, en the orth, hair obtained by the yeared there at all are ever of T, and Te; = {e; if i k , where & 6 [0...m] The one conser T= P you Se, ext (or T= 0 if h=0) <=: Como there is a relique of U s.b. T = YU. er-en orth. les of eve of T (orfore yetal thorem) Te;=bie;=Pue;. If e; EU, the 2;=1, oto 2;=0 The all eva of Tou either Oor 1.

let T mad grader a V, IF = C er-an orth. les of eve of T (aplace yetal thoram) with availed ever of judge. T* = - Te; = - Te; Vie { 1... m } (=) ei = -) ei (umg 7.21,e) (=> 2 = -7; (=> a+bi =- (a-bi) =- a+bi => (a=0) L⇒ A; EC\R

(1 m m) (- 1 m) (m) word on the being of ()

$$(1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,1,1), \text{ and all. least of } I^{3}$$

$$v_{1} \quad v_{2} \quad v_{3}$$

$$Tv_{1} = v_{1}, Tv_{2} = 0, Tv_{3} = V_{3} : Thogas Izable in (1,0,0), (0,11,0), (0,11,1)$$

$$\geq Tv_{1} = v_{1}, Tv_{2} = 0, Tv_{3} = V_{3} : Thogas Izable in (1,0,0), (0,11,0), (0,11,1)$$

$$\geq Tv_{1} = v_{1}, Tv_{2} = 0, Tv_{3} = V_{3} : Thogas Izable in (1,0,0), (0,11,0), (0,11,1)$$

$$= a_{1}v_{1} + a_{3}(b_{2} + b_{3})$$

$$= a_{1}v_{1} + a_{3}(b_{2} + b_{3})$$

$$= a_{1}v_{1} + a_{2}v_{1} + a_{3}v_{3}, b_{1}T^{*}v_{1} + b_{1}T^{*}v_{2} + b_{3}T^{*}v_{3} > a_{3}b_{2}ev_{1}, T^{*}v_{1} > a_{3}b_{3}ev_{2}, T^{*}v_{3} > a_{1}v_{1} + a_{2}v_{1} + a_{3}v_{2} + a_{2}b_{3}ev_{1}, T^{*}v_{3} > a_{3}b_{2}ev_{1}, T^{*}v_{1} > a_{3}b_{3}ev_{2}, T^{*}v_{3} > a_{1}v_{1} + (b_{1}v_{1} + b_{3})(0_{1}0_{1}) > a_{2}v_{2} + a_{2}v_{3} + a_{3}b_{2}ev_{1}, T^{*}v_{1} > a_{3}b_{3}ev_{2}, T^{*}v_{3} > a_{1}v_{1} + (b_{1}v_{1} + b_{3})(0_{1}0_{1}) > a_{2}v_{2} + a_{2}v_{3} + a_{3}v_{2} + a_{3}v_{2} + a_{3}v_{3} + a_{3}v_{2} + a_{3}v_{3} > a_{3}v_{3} + a_{3}v_{2} > a_{2}v_{1} + a_{3}v_{2} > a_{3}v_{2} > a_{3}v_{3} > a_{$$

extraction that
$$T^* = T^*$$
. Prove that $T^* = T^*$.

Let $v \in V$. So T is more of, from $TA = 2T$:

 $T^* = T^* = T^*$.

 $T^* = T^* = T^* = T^* = T^*$.

 $T^* = T^* =$

Conden the copler volte poe \mathbb{C}^2 , and $\mathsf{TEL}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ of $\mathsf{TCI},0) = (0,0)$, $\mathsf{TCO},1) = (1,0)$. We have $T^9 = T^8 = T^2 = 0$, but $T \neq 0$.

8 Suppose F = C and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that T is normal if and only if every eigenvector of T is also an eigenvector of T^* .

=,": Proven in 7.21 e) "=" let en en eve of T that four - has of V in which M(T) is disgard. en en one also ever of T, or M(T*) is also diagnol. The M(T)M(T*) = M(T*)M(T) (Both diagod), ad T=TT. 9 Suppose F = C and T ∈ L(V). Prove that T is normal if and only if the exists a polynomial p ∈ P(C) such that T* = p(T).

10 Suppose V is a complex inner product space. Prove that every normal operator on V has a square root.

An operator $S \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is called a square root of $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ if $S^2 = T$. We will discuss more about square roots of operators in Sections TC and SC.

Could lose you by yeard those for V_{A_1} (0) M(T) dogard. Let SGL(V) of $M(S) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{A_1} \end{pmatrix}$ where V. easts as IF= I (not recordy rge rollier lit om erske for ell 260

Self-adjair - nound, so in all cases a special theorem on be opplied. Same reasoning as before. Here works if F=R as V. is a byskin on R.

12 Suppose
$$V$$
 is a complex vector space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is normal. Prove that if S is an operator on V that commutes with T , then S commutes with T^* .

The result in this exercise is called Fuglede's theorem.

France. 9, JPEPCO) s.t. T=pCT). Have 8 7 = Sp(T) = p(9) 5 = 7 5

13 Without using the complex spectral theorem, use the version of Schur's theorem that applies to two commuting operators (take $\mathcal{E} = \{T, T^*\}$ in Exercise 20 in Section 6B) to give a different proof that if $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is normal, then T has a diagonal matrix with respect to some orthogonomal basis of V.

las I rouse operator on V. Hance T, To communite. led E= { 7, 7° 7. Pry Schn's theorem, Forth lass of Vst M(D, M(T) on you trought We also have M(T*) = M(T) This inflies M(T) (and M(T*) digal matrices, and have all videos of the has are e.ve. of T (ad Ta).

14 Suppose F = R and T ∈ ℒ(V). Prove that T is self-adjoint if and only if all pairs of eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues of T are orthogonal and V = E(λ₁, T) ⊕ ··· ⊕ E(λ_m, T), where λ₁, ..., λ_m denote the distinct eigenvalues of T.

We a caked a how of V.t. M(Y) is doped with its eva on the doped and all clarks of the loss are ever of T, have by the special thour T is self-odjont. Im for "aly of"

Same vernig.

- Suppose $\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathcal{E}\subseteq\mathcal{L}(V)$. Prove that there is an orthonor of V with respect to which every element of \mathcal{E} has a diagonal ma only if S and T are commuting normal operators for all $S,T\in\mathcal{E}$.

let Ex = EU PT* (HTEE }. All clues still commbe (re en. 12).

Give an example of a real inner product space V, an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$,

This exercise shows that the hypothesis that T is self-adjoint cannot be deleted in 7.26, even for real vector spaces.

- - (a) Prove that U[⊥] is invariant under T.
 (b) Prove that T|_U ∈ L(U) is self-adjoint.
 (c) Prove that T|_{U[⊥]} ∈ L(U[⊥]) is self-adjoint.
- T=T TUEU

a) (d) UI E) -, UE) -(Tu₁, u > = (υ₁, Tu > = (υ₁, Tu > = 0 = 1 Tu₁60 = 1 U mirror and T

. ال ع سره لها دط

$$(T)_{UU,W} = (T_{U,W} = (U,T_{W} > = (U,T_{W} > = (U,T_{U} = T_{U} =$$

c) be UNWEU2

- Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is normal and U is a subspace of V that is invariant under T.

 - (c) Prove that (T|_U)* = (T*)|_U.
 (d) Prove that T|_U ∈ L(U) and T|_U ∈ L(U[⊥]) are normal operators.

This exercise can be used to give yet another proof of the complex spectral theorem (use induction on dim V and the result that T has an eigenvector).

a) UBU=V. let e. ... em, fi-fk ordhand bein of V white hair of U., fi-kof U.,

N(T) = i A B

N(T) = i A B

Fi B C*

Thoul
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $||T_{G}|| = ||T_{G}||$ $\forall G \in V$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||T_{e_{i}}||^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ||T_{e_{i}}||^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} ||T_{e_{i}}||^{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n} ||T_{e_{i}}||^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow B = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow D = 0$$

c)
$$\omega_{0,V} \in U$$
.
 $\langle (T_{0})^{\bullet}_{0,V} \rangle = \langle 0, T_{0} \rangle = \langle T^{\bullet}_{0,V} \rangle = \langle T^{\bullet$

d)
$$T_{0}(T_{0})^{*} \circ = T_{0}(T^{*})_{0} = T_{0}T^{*}$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

$$= T^{*} \circ (\text{ne } \cup \text{invaio}) \text{ let } T^{*} \text{ ly }))$$

²¹ Suppose that T is a self-adjoint operator on a finite-dimensional inner product space and that 2 and 3 are the only eigenvalues of T. Prove that

$$=\begin{pmatrix} -6 \\ -6 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 6 \\ 6 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\cdot \| \underbrace{Ca_{i}e_{i}} \|^{2} - \underbrace{C}_{i=1} \|a_{i}\|^{2} \quad (e_{i}e_{i}e_{i})^{2}$$
ists

$$\|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \nabla_{e_{i}} - \lambda_{i}^{m} |_{e_{i}}\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} \lambda_{i}^{m} e_{i} - \lambda_{i}^{m} |_{e_{i}}\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_{i} (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i}^{m}) |_{e_{i}}\|$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_{i} (\lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i}^{m})|^{2} < \varepsilon^{2}$$

$$= \underbrace{2}_{i=1}^{m} |\alpha_{i}|^{2} |\lambda - \lambda_{i}|^{2} < \varepsilon^{2} < 1$$

Spor 12-4;1≥ ε ∀1': ∑ |α;1²|2-2;1²≥ ε² ∑ |α;1² = ε² < ε² by (1), which is a collaboration. Here 32; eva. of Tot. 12-2:1< E.

 $\mathcal{M}(\Upsilon) = \mathcal{M}(\Upsilon)^* = \mathcal{M}(\Upsilon)^* = \mathcal{M}(\Upsilon)$

M(T) = M(T) (IF-R)

a) = ". T diagnolyable => I lais o.t. M(T) diagnol. Diagnol notions agal their transpore. "=" : Spore Dr. v. ling Vo.t. M(T) = M(T) - M(T) - Here IF= IR long M(T) = M(T) = M(T) => { \(\tei, ej \) = \(\int a_i e_k, e_j \) = aj \\
\(\ei, \tej \) = \(\ei, \tea a_i e_k, e_j \) = aj \\
\(\ei, \tej \) = \(\ei, \tea a_i e_k, e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \tej \) = \(\ei, \tea a_i e_k, e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = \(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = ai \\
\(\ei, \text{Taj} e_j \) = \(\

b) =>" Tdigadjoh => M(T) M(T) = M(T) M(T) => M(T) when will its conject to per "=" M(T) M(T) = M(T) M(T) => TT = T* T => T degadythe

$$T^{\dagger}c_k = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\lambda_k}e_k & \text{if } \lambda_k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

= (T(not)) ex = (T) ex There is a here of ever of T => nllT=0 => (nllT) = V = T'ek Tek=Akek => ex=AkT'ek. If $\lambda k=0$ then ex=0 = ($\lambda \hat{e}$ ex \hat{f}) \hat{e} and \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} or \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} or \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} or \hat{f} ex = 0 then ex = 0 = ($\lambda \hat{e}$ ex \hat{f}) \hat{f} or and \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} or \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} ex = \hat{f} or \hat{f} ex = 0