1 Find all vector spaces that have exactly one basis.

The only vector upoce that has evadly one horse is $\{0\}$.

Indeed, if $v_1 ... v_n$ is a bone, then $\partial v_1,..., \partial v_n$ is a large bone basis too (easy to show). This, a unique bone would have to be empty or only include O's.

On a lit of vectors makes it ned liearly H, to it cannot be a bone, no only the enjoy his pailibly remains, which is the bone of $\{0\}$.

2 Verify all assertions in Example 2.27.

2.27 example: bases

- (a) The list (1, 0, ..., 0), (0, 1, 0, ..., 0), ..., (0, ..., 0, 1) is a basis of Fⁿ, called the standard basis of Fⁿ.
- (b) The list (1,2), (3,5) is a basis of F². Note that this list has length two, which is the same as the length of the standard basis of F². In the next section, we will see that this is not a coincidence.
- (c) The list (1, 2, −4), (7, −5, 6) is linearly independent in F³ but is not a basis of F³ because it does not span F³.
- (d) The list (1,2), (3\(\frac{5}{2}\)), (4,13) spans \(\mathbf{F}^2\) but is not a basis of \(\mathbf{F}^2\) because it is not linearly independent.
- (e) The list (1,1,0), (0,0,1) is a basis of $\{(x,x,y) \in \mathbf{F}^3 : x,y \in \mathbf{F}\}$.
- (f) The list (1, -1, 0), (1, 0, -1) is a basis of

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \colon x + y + z = 0\}.$$

(g) The list $1, z, ..., z^m$ is a basis of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$, called the *standard basis* of $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbf{F})$.

a) · let $V \in \mathbb{F}^{m}$ $V = (10, -10) \times (-10, -10) \times (-1$

=> The let related and another the second another the second another the second and another the second another the second and another the second and another the second another the second another the second and another the second another

3 (a) Let U be the subspace of \mathbb{R}^5 defined by

$$U = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : x_1 = 3x_2 \text{ and } x_3 = 7x_4\}.$$

Find a basis of U.

(b) Extend the basis in (a) to a basis of R⁵.

(c) Find a subspace W of \mathbb{R}^5 such that $\mathbb{R}^5 = U \oplus W$.

 $\begin{array}{l} q_{1}(3,1,0,0,0) + \alpha_{2}(0,0,7,1,0) + \alpha_{3}(0,0,0,1) + \alpha_{4}(2,1,0,0) = 0 \\ = 2 & 3\alpha_{1} + 2\alpha_{4} = 0 \\ 3\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{4} = 0 \\ 7\alpha_{2} + 2\alpha_{5} = 0 \\ \alpha_{1} = -\alpha_{5} \\ \alpha_{2} = -\alpha_{5} \\ \alpha_{3} = 0 \\ \alpha_{1} = -\alpha_{5} \\ \alpha_{2} = -\alpha_{5} \end{array}$

5 Suppose V is finite-dimensional and U, W are subspaces of V such that V = U + W. Prove that there exists a basis of V consisting of vectors in

Let v be a basis of V, of vijen. Sice V = U+W, Vv; EV, Fv; EU, Fw; EWs.t. v; = v; +w;

This inplies $\forall z \in V$, $\exists a_1 - a_m \in F_s.t$: $a = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (v_i + w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i w_i$ This $v_1 - v_m \cdot w_1 - w_m \cdot w_m \cdot v_m$ According to 2.30, we can reduce this list to be a basis of V. This his would also calking v_i be a basis of V. This his would also calking v_i is v_i is v_i and v_i is v_i is v_i and v_i is v_i is v_i and v_i is v_i in v_i

6 Prove or give a counterexample: If p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 is a list in $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{F})$ such that none of the polynomials p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 has degree 2, then p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 is not a basis of $\mathcal{P}_3(\mathbf{F})$.

7 Suppose v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is a basis of V. Prove that

$$v_1 + v_2, v_2 + v_3, v_3 + v_4, v_4$$

is also a basis of V.

ω α,...ας επ ». t: α,(ν,+ν,) + α, (ν, +ν,) + α, (ν, +ν, + α, ν, = 0

din(V)=9 => This lit is a bosins of V (see weret chapter)

8 Prove or give a counterexample: If v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 is a basis of V and U is a subspace of V such that $v_1, v_2 \in U$ and $v_3 \notin U$ and $v_4 \notin U$, then v_1, v_2 is a basis of U

(1,0,0,0), (0,1,0,0), (0,0,2,1), (0,0,1,2) V= \{(\pi,y,\fi,0): \pi,y,\fi \in \text{iR}\} ulyace of iR We have v,, \(\sigma_1\) = \(\pi \) and \(\sigma_2\), \(\sigma_4\)

We see that v,, \(\sigma_2\) is not a horse of U

as it cannot sporn it.

 $\mathbf{9} \quad \text{Suppose } v_1,...,v_m \text{ is a list of vectors in } V. \text{ For } k \in \{1,...,m\}, \text{ let}$

$$w_k = v_1 + \dots + v_k.$$

Show that $v_1, ..., v_m$ is a basis of V if and only if $w_1, ..., w_m$ is a basis of V.

From persons evaruses we know:

· years (w, w &) = year (v, v &)

· v, ... v & heary adepted (=> w, - w & heary adepted

. it, ... I honor independent as w, who hearly adequated we can conclude 5, ... or hours of Vilf w, ... w, basis of V.

10 Suppose U and W are subspaces of V such that $V = U \oplus W$. Suppose also that $u_1, ..., u_m$ is a basis of U and $w_1, ..., w_n$ is a basis of W. Prove that

 $u_1, ..., u_m, w_1, ..., w_n$

is a basis of V.

Let $a_1 - a_1 + \infty$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ i = m+1 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ This eards is only true if both

This equation is only true if both rides are O, obscribes an element of I would be a linear combination of elements in W which is not jointle or U NW = 103.

Some hare $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i v_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=m+1}^{m+m} v_i = 0$ Since v_i 's and v_i 's are boxes they are linearly and product, so $\alpha_i = 0$ $\forall i$

= 1, 0 .w. w linearly independent. (1)

Since $V = U \oplus W$, $\forall v \in W \equiv 1 \cup 6U$, we Wsince V = 0 + W $= 5 \equiv 1 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{m+m} \in \mathbb{F}$ s.t. $V = (\alpha_1 \cup_{j+1} + \alpha_m \vee_m) + (\alpha_{m+j} \cup_{j+1} + \alpha_m \vee_m)$ This implies $\gamma \circ (v_1 - v_m, v_1 - w_m) = V$ (1) $(1), (2) = 3 \vee \dots \vee_m |w_1 - w_m|$ Fairs of V

11 Suppose V is a real vector space. Show that if $v_1,...,v_n$ is a basis of V (as a real vector space), then $v_1,...,v_n$ is also a basis of the complexification $V_{\mathbb{C}}$ (as a complex vector space).

See Exercise 8 in Section 1B for the definition of the complexification V_C.

· let a, - a ~ , b, - b m E IR $\Sigma(al+ibh) \vee k = 6$ $=) \Sigma ah \vee k + i \angle bh \vee h = 0$ $=) \int Lah \vee k = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \begin{cases} ah = 0 \forall h \\ bh = 0 \forall h \end{cases}$ $=) \lambda + ibh = 0 \quad \forall k$ $=) \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{n} \quad \text{linearly independent}$ $=) \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{n} \quad \text{form of } \lambda_{n}$