

1 Suppose T is a function from V to W . The graph of T is the subset of $V \times W$ defined by

$$\text{graph of } T = \{(v, T(v)) \in V \times W \mid v \in V\}.$$

Prove that T is a linear map if and only if the graph of T is a subspace of $V \times W$.

Formally, a function T from V to W is a subset T of $V \times W$ such that for each $v \in V$, there exists exactly one element $(v, w) \in T$. In other words, formally a function is what is called above its graph. We do not usually think of functions in this formal manner. However, if we do become formal, then this exercise could be rephrased as follows: Prove that a function T from V to W is a linear map if and only if T is a subspace of $V \times W$.

" \Rightarrow ": Suppose T is a linear map.

$$\bullet T0 = 0 \Rightarrow (0, 0) \in \text{graph of } T$$

$$\bullet \text{ let } x = (v, T(v)), y = (w, T(w)) \in \text{graph of } T$$

$$(v, T(v)) + (w, T(w)) = (v+w, T(v)+T(w)) = (v+w, T(v+w)) \in \text{graph of } T$$

$$\bullet \text{ let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}:$$

$$\lambda(v, T(v)) = (\lambda v, \lambda T(v)) = (\lambda v, T(\lambda v)) \in \text{graph of } T$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{graph of } T \text{ subspace of } V \times W$$

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose graph of T is a subspace of $V \times W$

$$\bullet \text{ let } v, w \in V$$

$$(v, T(v)) + (w, T(w))$$

$$= (v+w, T(v)+T(w)) \in V \times W$$

$$\Rightarrow T(v)+T(w) = T(v+w)$$

$$\bullet \text{ let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$$

$$\lambda(v, T(v)) \in V \times W$$

$$= (\lambda v, \lambda T(v)) \in V \times W$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda T(v) = T(\lambda v)$$

2 Suppose that V_1, \dots, V_n are vector spaces such that $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$ is finite-dimensional. Prove that V_i is finite-dimensional for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

By contradiction, suppose $\exists k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ s.t. V_k is infinite dimensional. We can show $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$ is the infinite dimensional.

V_k infinite dimensional $\Rightarrow \exists v_1^k, v_2^k, \dots$ s.t. $\forall n, v_1^k, \dots, v_n^k$ is linearly independent.

Then we can construct a list of vectors of $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$ s.t. all elements are 0 except for the k th element, which are v_1^k, v_2^k, \dots . Any list of such vectors are linearly independent in $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$, therefore $V_1 \times \dots \times V_n$ is infinite dimensional.

3 Suppose V_1, \dots, V_n are vector spaces. Prove that $\mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \dots \times V_n, W)$ and $\mathcal{L}(V_1, W) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V_n, W)$ are isomorphic vector spaces.

These are indeed vector spaces, as $\mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \dots \times V_n, W)$ is the linear maps between two vector spaces and $\mathcal{L}(V_1, W) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V_n, W)$ is a product of vector spaces.

We can define an isomorphism between these spaces to show they are isomorphic.

$$\text{Let } E: \mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \dots \times V_n, W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(V_1, W) \times \dots \times \mathcal{L}(V_n, W) \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\forall T \in \mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \dots \times V_n, W), E(T) = (T_1, \dots, T_n), \text{ with } T_i \in \mathcal{L}(V_i, W),$$

$$T_i v = T \cdot 0_i^v, \text{ where } 0_i^v \text{ is all 0's except } v \text{ at the } i\text{th position.}$$

E is a linear map:

$$\bullet \text{ let } T, T' \in \mathcal{L}(V_1 \times \dots \times V_n, W).$$

$$E(T+T') = ((T_1+T'_1), \dots, (T_n+T'_n))$$

$$= (T_1, \dots, T_n) + (T'_1, \dots, T'_n) \quad (T, T' \text{ linear maps})$$

$$= ET + ET'$$

$$\bullet \text{ let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}. \lambda ET = \lambda(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

$$= (\lambda T_1, \dots, \lambda T_n)$$

$$= E(\lambda T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda ET = E(\lambda T)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= E \lambda T \\ \Rightarrow \lambda E T &= E \lambda T \end{aligned}$$

Let $T \in \text{null } E$.

$$ET = 0 \Rightarrow (T_1, \dots, T_m) = (0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} T_1 = 0 \\ \vdots \\ T_m = 0 \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \text{let } (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in V_1 \times \dots \times V_m \\ T_1 v_1 = 0 \Rightarrow T_1 v_1^V = 0 \\ \vdots \\ T_m v_m = 0 \Rightarrow T_m v_m^V = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow T_1 v_1^V + \dots + T_m v_m^V = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow T(v_1, \dots, v_m) = 0 \quad \forall v_1, \dots, v_m$$

$$\Rightarrow T = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{null } E = \{0\} \Rightarrow E \text{ is injective}$$

$$\text{let } T_1, \dots, T_m \in L(V_1, W) \times \dots \times L(V_m, W)$$

$$\text{Define } T \in L(V_1 \times \dots \times V_m, W) \text{ by } Tv = T_1 v_1 + \dots + T_m v_m$$

$$ET = (T'_1, \dots, T'_m), \text{ with } T'_i v = T_1 v^V, \quad \forall v \in V_i$$

$$\Rightarrow T'_i v = T_i v \quad \forall v \in V_i$$

$$\Rightarrow T'_i = T_i$$

$$\Rightarrow ET = (T_1, \dots, T_m)$$

$$\Rightarrow E \text{ injective}$$

$$\Rightarrow L(V_1 \times \dots \times V_m, W) \text{ isomorphic to } L(V_1, W) \times \dots \times L(V_m, W)$$

5 For a positive integer, define V^m by

$$V^m = \underbrace{V \times \dots \times V}_m$$

Prove that V^m and $L(\mathbb{F}^m, V)$ are isomorphic vector spaces.

$$\text{let } E \in L(V^m, L(\mathbb{F}^m, V)) \text{ s.t. } \forall v \in V^m, Ev = T_v, \text{ with } T_v \in L(\mathbb{F}^m, V), \text{ s.t. } T_v(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i$$

E linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet \text{ let } v, w \in V^m, (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{F}^m. (E(v+w))(a_1, \dots, a_m) &= T_{v+w}(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (v_i + w_i) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i + \sum_{i=1}^m a_i w_i \\ &= T_v(a_1, \dots, a_m) + T_w(a_1, \dots, a_m) = Ev(a_1, \dots, a_m) + Ew(a_1, \dots, a_m) \\ \Rightarrow E(v+w) &= Ev + Ew \end{aligned}$$

$$\bullet \text{ let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}. (E(\lambda v))(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \lambda v_i = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = \lambda (Ev) \Rightarrow E(\lambda v) = \lambda Ev$$

$$\text{let } v = (v_1, \dots, v_m) \in \text{null } E:$$

$$Ev = 0 \Rightarrow T_v = 0 \Rightarrow \forall a_1, \dots, a_m, T_v(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall a_1, \dots, a_m \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = 0$$

$$T \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall a_1, \dots, a_m \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = 0$$

In particular, for $(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) : v_1 = 0$
 $(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0) : v_2 = 0$
 \vdots
 $(a_1, \dots, a_m) = (0, \dots, 0, 1) : v_m = 0$

$$\Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow \text{null } E = \{0\} \Rightarrow E \text{ injective}$$

Let $T \in L(\mathbb{F}^m, V)$. Define $v_1 = T(1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, v_m = T(0, \dots, 0, 1)$, and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$

$$(Ev)(a_1, \dots, a_m) = T_v(a_1, \dots, a_m) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i T(0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots, 0) = T(a_1, \dots, a_m) \quad \forall (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{F}^m$$

$$\Rightarrow E \text{ surjective}$$

E isomorphism from V^m to $L(\mathbb{F}^m, V)$, so these spaces are isomorphic.

6 Suppose that v, w are vectors in V and that U, W are subspaces of V such that $v + U = w + W$. Prove that $U = W$.

$$v + U = w + W \Rightarrow \exists w_0 \in W \text{ s.t. } v + 0 = w + w_0 \Rightarrow v = w + w_0 \quad (U \text{ subspace so } 0 \in U)$$

$$"\subseteq": \text{ let } u \in U. \quad v + U \subseteq w + W \Rightarrow \exists w_0 \in W \text{ s.t. } v + u = w + w_0 \Rightarrow (w + w_0) + u = w + u$$

$$\Rightarrow u = w + w_0 - v = w - w_0 \in W \quad (\text{as } W \text{ subspace of } V)$$

$$\Rightarrow u \in W \Rightarrow U \subseteq W$$

The other inclusion can be proven in the same manner.

7 Let $U = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = 0\}$. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$. Prove that A is a translate of U if and only if there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $A = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = c\}$.

$$A^c$$

$$\text{We can show that } \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^3, \{a + u : u \in U\} = \{ \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = c\} : c \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

$$"\subseteq": \text{ let } a = (x_a, y_a, z_a) \in \mathbb{R}^3. \text{ let } v \in \{a + u : u \in U\}, \text{ s.t. } v = a + w, w = (x_w, y_w, z_w) \in U$$

$$v = (x_a + x_w, y_a + y_w, z_a + z_w)$$

$$2(x_a + x_w) + 3(y_a + y_w) + 5(z_a + z_w) = 0 \Rightarrow 2x_w + 3y_w + 5z_w = -c \Rightarrow v \in A$$

$$\Rightarrow \{a + u : a \in \mathbb{R}^3\} \subseteq \{A^c : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$"\supseteq": \text{ let } c \in \mathbb{R}, A^c = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2x + 3y + 5z = c\}$$

$$= \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 2(x - \frac{c}{10}) + 3(y - \frac{c}{10}) + 5(z - \frac{c}{10}) = 0\}$$

$$= \{-\frac{c}{10}(1, 1, 1) + u : u \in U\} \subseteq \{a + u : a \in \mathbb{R}^3\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \{a + u : a \in \mathbb{R}^3\} = \{A^c : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

8 (a) Suppose $T \in L(V, W)$ and $c \in W$. Prove that $\{x \in V : Tx = c\}$ is either the empty set or is a translate of $\text{null } T$.
 (b) Explain why the set of solutions to a system of linear equations such as 3.27 is either the empty set or is a translate of some subspace of \mathbb{R}^n .

$$a) \text{ let } c \notin \text{range } T. \text{ In that case, } \{x \in V : Tx = c\} = \emptyset$$

$$\text{let } c \in \text{range } T. \text{ Then } \{x \in V : Tx = c\} \neq \emptyset. \text{ let } x^* \in \{x \in V : Tx = c\}.$$

$$\text{let } v \in x^* + \text{null } T. \exists t \in \text{null } T \text{ s.t. } v = x^* + t \Rightarrow Tv = T x^* + T t = c$$

$$\Rightarrow v \in \{x \in V : Tx = c\} \Rightarrow x^* + \text{null } T \subseteq \{x \in V : Tx = c\}$$

$$\text{let } y \in \{x \in V : Tx = c\}. Ty = c = T x^* \Rightarrow T(y - x^*) = 0 \Rightarrow y - x^* \in \text{null } T$$

$$\Rightarrow y \in x^* + \text{null } T$$

$$\Rightarrow \{x \in V : Tx = c\} = x^* + \text{null } T \text{ so it is a translate of } \text{null } T.$$

b) A set of linear equations like 3.27 can be represented by a linear map $T \in L(\mathbb{F}^n, \mathbb{F}^m)$, with n the number of variables and m of equations. According to the previous part, the set of elements of \mathbb{F}^m pointing via T to a given element of \mathbb{F}^m is either empty (which means no solution to the linear equations) or a translate of $\text{null } T$, which is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^m .

(which means no relation to the linear equations) or a translate of $\text{null } T$, which is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^m .

9. Prove that a nonempty subset A of V is a translate of some subspace of V if and only if $\lambda v + (1-\lambda)w \in A$ for all $v, w \in A$ and all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

" \Rightarrow ": Let $v, w \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Suppose $A = v + U, U \subseteq V, U$ subspace of V .

$$\begin{aligned} v &= v + v, v \in U \Rightarrow \lambda v = \lambda v + (1-\lambda)v \Rightarrow \lambda v + (1-\lambda)w = v + \underbrace{\lambda(v-w) + (1-\lambda)(v-w)}_{\in U \text{ (subspace)}} \\ w &= v + (w-v), w-v \in U \Rightarrow (1-\lambda)w = (1-\lambda)v + (1-\lambda)(w-v) \\ &\Rightarrow \lambda v + (1-\lambda)w \in A \quad \forall v, w \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}. \end{aligned}$$

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose $\lambda v + (1-\lambda)w \in A \quad \forall v, w \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

Let $v \in A$. Define $U = v + A$. We can show U is a subspace of V :

$$\bullet v \in A \Rightarrow -v + v = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in U$$

$$\bullet \text{ Let } w_1, w_2 \in U, w_1 + w_2 = -v + a_1 - v + a_2, a_1, a_2 \in A \\ = -v + (a_1 - v + a_2)$$

$$a_1 - v + a_2 = 2\left(\frac{1}{2}a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2\right) - v \in A \Rightarrow w_1 + w_2 \in -v + A \\ \underbrace{\in A \left(\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\right)}_{\in A \left(\lambda = 2\right)}$$

$$\bullet \text{ Let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, w \in U, \lambda w = -\lambda v + \lambda a, a \in A \\ = v - v - \lambda v + \lambda a \\ = -v + \underbrace{(1-\lambda)v + \lambda a}_{\in A} \in -v + A \\ \Rightarrow \lambda w \in -v + A \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}, w \in U$$

$\Rightarrow U$ subspace of V

This A is a translation of a subspace of V

10. Suppose $A_1 = v + U_1$ and $A_2 = w + U_2$ for some $v, w \in V$ and some subspaces U_1, U_2 of V . Prove that the intersection $A_1 \cap A_2$ is either a translate of some subspace of V or is the empty set.

Suppose $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$.

$$\text{Let } x, y \in A_1 \cap A_2 : x = v + u_1 = w + u_2, y = v + u_1' = w + u_2', u_1, u_1' \in U_1, u_2, u_2' \in U_2$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y &= \lambda(v + u_1) + (1-\lambda)(v + u_1') = v + (\lambda u_1 + (1-\lambda)u_1') \in v + U_1 \\ \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y &= \lambda(w + u_2) + (1-\lambda)(w + u_2') = w + (\lambda u_2 + (1-\lambda)u_2') \in w + U_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y \in A_1 \cap A_2 \quad \forall x, y \in A_1 \cap A_2, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$$

$\Rightarrow A_1 \cap A_2$ is a translate of some subspace of V (see previous exercise)

11. Suppose $U = \{(u_1, u_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{F}^\infty : u_k = 0 \text{ for only finitely many } k\}$.

(a) Show that U is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^∞ .

(b) Prove that \mathbb{F}^∞/U is infinite-dimensional.

$$a) \bullet (0, 0, \dots) \in U \text{ (} u_k = 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq k \text{)}$$

\bullet Let $x, y \in U, \bar{O}_x = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : x_k \neq 0\}$. By hypothesis \bar{O}_x and \bar{O}_y are finite.

$$x_k + y_k \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_k \neq 0 \text{ or } y_k \neq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow k \in \bar{O}_x \cup \bar{O}_y$$

Union of two finite sets is finite, so \bar{O}_{x+y} is finite and $x+y \in U$

\bullet Let $x \in U, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$

$$\lambda x_k \neq 0 \Rightarrow x_k \neq 0 \Rightarrow k \in \bar{O}_x \Rightarrow \bar{O}_{\lambda x} \text{ is finite and } \lambda x \in U$$

$\Rightarrow U$ is a subspace of \mathbb{F}^∞

$$b) \mathbb{F}^\infty/U = \{v + U : v \in \mathbb{F}^\infty\}$$

We can show $\exists v_1, v_2, \dots \in \mathbb{F}^\infty$ s.t. $v_1 + U, \dots, v_m + U$ is linearly independent $\forall m$.

$$v_{i,k} = 1 \text{ if } k = 0 \text{ mod } P(i) \text{ where } P(i) \text{ is the } i\text{th prime number.}$$

$$v_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots), v_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots), \dots, v_m = (0, \dots, 0, 1, \dots)$$

$v_{i,k} = 1$ if $k = 0 \bmod p(i)$ where $p(i)$ is the i th prime number.

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i (v_i + U) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i v_i \right) + U = U \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i v_i \in U$$

Suppose one of the λ_i is different from 0, say λ_{i^*} .

Then the i^* th element of x is equal to λ_{i^*} , and the $i^* + 1$ th element, etc.

so there is an infinite number of elements of x different than 0, and $x \notin U$.

This implies all λ_i are equal to 0, so these v_i 's are linearly independent from.

This \mathbb{F}^∞ / U is infinite dimensional.

12. Suppose $v_1, \dots, v_n \in V$. Let

$$A = (\lambda_1 v_1 + \dots + \lambda_n v_n : \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{F} \text{ and } \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_n = 1).$$

(a) Prove that A is a translate of some subspace of V .

(b) Prove that if B is a translate of some subspace of V and $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq B$, then $A \subseteq B$.

(c) Prove that A is a translate of some subspace of V of dimension less than n .

a) Let $u, w \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$\lambda u + (1-\lambda)w = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i' v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda \lambda_i + (1-\lambda) \lambda_i') v_i$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda \lambda_i + (1-\lambda) \lambda_i' = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i + (1-\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i' = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda u + (1-\lambda)w \in A \Rightarrow A \text{ is a translate of some subspace of } V \text{ (36 ex. 9)}$$

b) B is a translate of some subspace of V

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_2 v_2 + (1-\lambda_2) v_1 \in B \quad \forall \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F} \Rightarrow \lambda_3 v_3 + (1-\lambda_3) (\lambda_2 v_2 + (1-\lambda_2) v_1) \in B$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_4 v_4 + (1-\lambda_4) (\lambda_3 v_3 + (1-\lambda_3) (\lambda_2 v_2 + (1-\lambda_2) v_1)) \Rightarrow \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i v_i \prod_{j=1}^i (1-\lambda_j) \in B \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Fix } \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \prod_{j=1}^i (1-\lambda_j) = 1 \text{ (special case of (1)).}$$

c)

13. Suppose U is a subspace of V such that V/U is finite-dimensional. Prove that V is isomorphic to $U \times (V/U)$.

V/U finite dimensional, $\dim V/U = p$

Let $v_1 + U, \dots, v_p + U$ basis of V/U .

Suppose $v \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p) \cap U$.

$$\exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{F}, v = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i v_i \text{ and } v + U = U$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i v_i + U = U \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i (v_i + U) = U \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow v = 0 \Rightarrow \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p) \cap U = \{0\} \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Let } v \in V. \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t. } v + U = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i v_i + U$$

$$v \in v + U, \text{ so } v = v + 0, \text{ so } v \in \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i v_i + U. \text{ Thus, } \exists u \in U \text{ s.t. } v = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i v_i + u$$

$$\Rightarrow V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p) + U \quad (2)$$

$$(1), (2) \Rightarrow V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p) \oplus U$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall v \in V, \exists! s \in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p), u \in U \text{ s.t. } v = s + u$$

Define $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, U \times (V/U))$, s.t. $\forall v = s + u \in V, T v = (u, s + U)$

T is a linear map:

$$\text{Let } x = s^x + u^x, y = s^y + u^y \in V$$

$$T(x+y) = T\left(\underbrace{s^x + s^y}_{\in \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_p)} + \underbrace{u^x + u^y}_{\in U}\right) = (u^x + u^y, s^x + s^y + U) = T x + T y$$

$$\text{Let } \lambda \in \mathbb{F}.$$

$$T(\lambda x) = T(\lambda s^x + \lambda u^x) = (\lambda u^x, \lambda s^x + U) = \lambda T x$$

T is injective:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{let } u \in \ker T, u = s \\ T u = 0 \Rightarrow (u, s+u) = (0, u) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} u=0 \\ s+u=u \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} u=0 \\ s \in U \end{cases} \stackrel{s \in U \cap \ker(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) = \{0\}}{=} \begin{cases} u=0 \\ s=0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow u=0 \end{array} \right.$$

T is surjective:

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{let } (v, v+u) \in U \times (V/U), \text{ with } v = s+u. \quad v+u = s+u+u = s+u \\ \text{let } w = s+u. \\ \text{Then } T w = (v, s+u) = (v, v+u) \end{array} \right.$$

$\Rightarrow T$ is an isomorphism between V and $U \times (V/U)$, thus they are isomorphic.

14 Suppose U and W are subspaces of V and $V = U \oplus W$. Suppose u_1, \dots, u_m is a basis of W . Prove that $u_1 + U, \dots, u_m + U$ is a basis of V/U .

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{let } \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in F. \\ \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (u_i + U) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i + U = U \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i \in U \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i \in U \cap W \stackrel{U \cap W = \{0\}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0, \text{ as } u_1, \dots, u_m \text{ basis of } W \\ \Rightarrow u_1 + U, \dots, u_m + U \text{ linearly independent} \end{array} \right.$$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} \text{let } v \in V. \quad v = u + w, \quad u \in U, \quad w = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i \in W \\ v + U = (u + w) + U = w + U = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i u_i \right) + U = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (u_i + U) \\ \Rightarrow u_1 + U, \dots, u_m + U \text{ spans } V/U \end{array} \right.$$

$\Rightarrow u_1 + U, \dots, u_m + U$ basis of V/U

15 Suppose U is a subspace of V and $v_1 + U, \dots, v_r + U$ is a basis of V/U and u_1, \dots, u_s is a basis of U . Prove that $v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_s$ is a basis of V .

We proved in ex 13 that $V = \text{span}(v_1, \dots, v_r) \oplus U \Rightarrow \dim V = m + m$, and every element of V can be expressed as a sum of linear combinations of v_i 's and u_j 's, therefore $v_1, \dots, v_r, u_1, \dots, u_s$ basis of V .

16 Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, F)$ and $\varphi \neq 0$. Prove that $\dim V(\ker \varphi) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &= \dim \text{range } \varphi + \dim \ker \varphi \\ &= 1 + \dim \ker \varphi \quad (\text{as } \varphi \neq 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim \ker \varphi = \dim V - 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V / \ker \varphi &= \dim V - \dim \ker \varphi \\ &= \dim V - (\dim V - 1) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

17 Suppose U is a subspace of V such that $\dim V/U = 1$. Prove that there exists $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, F)$ such that $\ker \varphi = U$.

let $v + U \in V/U, v \neq 0, v + U$ is a basis of V/U .

We showed in ex 13: $V = \text{span}(v) \oplus U$

Define $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(V, F)$ s.t. $\forall w = \lambda v + u \in W, \lambda \in F, u \in U$

$$\varphi w = \lambda v$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi \text{ is a linear map: } \varphi(\alpha v + \beta w) &= (\alpha v + \beta w) = \alpha v + \beta(\lambda v + u) = \alpha v + \beta \lambda v + \beta u = (\alpha + \beta \lambda) v + \beta u \\ \varphi(\lambda v) &= \lambda \lambda v = \lambda \varphi v \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{let } u \in \ker \varphi, u = \lambda v + u$$

$$\varphi u = \lambda v = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow u = v \in U \Rightarrow \ker \varphi \subseteq U$$

$$\text{let } v \in U.$$

$$\varphi v = 0 \Rightarrow v \in \ker \varphi$$

$$\Rightarrow \ker \varphi = U$$

18 Suppose that U is a subspace of V such that V/U is finite-dimensional.

(a) Show that if W is a finite-dimensional subspace of V and $V = U \oplus W$,

$$u + w = \{u + w \mid u \in U, w \in W\}$$

then $\dim W \geq \dim V/U$.

(b) Prove that there exists a finite-dimensional subspace W of V such that $\dim W = \dim V/U$ and $V = U \oplus W$.

a) let w_1, \dots, w_p basis of W .

$$\text{let } u + U \in V/U, v \in V$$

$$V = U + W \Rightarrow \exists u \in U, w \in W \text{ s.t. } v = u + w$$

a) let w_1, \dots, w_p basis of W .

let $v+U \in \mathcal{L}(V/U), v \in V$

$$V = U + W \Rightarrow \exists u \in U, w \in W \text{ s.t. } v = u + w$$

$$\Rightarrow v+U = u+w+U = w+U$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p \in \mathbb{F} \text{ s.t. } v+U = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda_i w_i + U$$

$$\Rightarrow w_1, \dots, w_p \text{ spans } V/U \Rightarrow \dim W \geq \dim V/U$$

b) We showed in ex 13 that $\text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_p) \oplus U = V$, with w_1, \dots, w_p basis of W .

19 Suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}(V, W)$ and U is a subspace of V . Let π denote the quotient map from V onto V/U . Prove that there exists $S \in \mathcal{L}(V/U, W)$ such that $T = S \circ \pi$ if and only if $U \subseteq \text{null } T$.

" \Rightarrow ": let $u \in U, T_u = (S \circ \pi)u = S(u+U) = 0 \Rightarrow u \in \text{null } T \Rightarrow U \subseteq \text{null } T$

" \Leftarrow ": Suppose $U \subseteq \text{null } T$.

Define $S \in \mathcal{L}(V/U, W)$, by $S(v+U) = T_v \forall v \in V$.

This definition is only appropriate if all representation of $v+U$ map to the same element of W .

Suppose $\exists v, w \in V$ s.t. $v+U = w+U$. Then $v-u \in U$, and $v-u \in \text{null } T$ (since $U \subseteq \text{null } T$).

$$\Rightarrow T(v-u) = 0 \Rightarrow T_v = T_w \Rightarrow S(v+U) = S(w+U) = T_v = T_w$$

It is easy to check S is a linear map and $T = S \circ \pi$.