

TANAKIAN DUALITY

Q. How much of a group can we understand studying its category of representations?

A. Everything. This is the content of Tannakian duality.

80

The geometric context

We will look at functors $X : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set}$

- ex. • $A^n : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad R \mapsto R^n$
- $E = \{y^2 = x^3 - 1\} : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad R \mapsto \{(r_1, r_2) \in R^2 \text{ t.c. } r_2^2 = r_1^3 - 1\}$
- $\text{ID}_n : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad R \mapsto \{r \in R : r^n = 0\}$
- $\text{ID} : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad R \mapsto N(R)$ (nilpotent elements)

rem The Yoneda embedding provides $\text{Alg}_k^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Alg}_k, \text{Set})$
 $\& \forall X \in \text{Fun}(\text{Alg}_k, \text{Set}) \quad A \mapsto h_A = A$.
 $\text{Hom}(h_A, F) = F(A)$

Fact An isomorphism $h_A \xrightarrow{\sim} F$ is given by some universal element $\tilde{z} \in F(A)$

def $X \in \text{Fun}(\text{Alg}_k, \text{Set})$ is called affine if it's isomorphic to some h_A with $A \in \text{Alg}_k$ (or representable)

ex. $A^n = h_{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]}$

exercise ID is not affine.

$$E = h_A \quad A = \frac{k[x, y]}{y^2 = x^3 - 1}$$

$$\text{ID}_n = h_A \quad A = \frac{k[t]}{t^n}$$

def. An affine algebraic group scheme is a functor

$G : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Grp}$ such that the underlying set valued functor is affine.

- Equivalently one may start with an affine functor X and give a functorial group structure to the various $X(R)$
- Equivalently one may start with an affine functor C and give maps

$$m : G \times G \rightarrow G \quad (-)^{-1} : G \rightarrow G \quad e : \text{pt} \rightarrow G$$

which satisfy the usual commutation.

! note! As for usual groups m determines $(-)^{-1}$ and e , so that the structure of a group is equivalent to the structure of a monoid satisfying some properties.

Ex. $\mathbb{G}_a^n : R \rightsquigarrow (R^n, +)$

$\mathbb{G}_m : R \rightsquigarrow (R^\times, \cdot)$

$GL_n : R \rightsquigarrow (GL_n(R), \cdot)$

For $V \in \text{Vect}_k$ $v : R \rightsquigarrow (V_R, +)$ where $V_R = V \otimes R$

$GL(v) : R \rightsquigarrow (GL_R(V_R), \cdot)$

$\hat{\mathbb{G}}_a : R \rightsquigarrow (N(R), +)$

$\hat{\mathbb{G}}_m : R \rightsquigarrow (1 + N(R), \cdot)$

ex.1 Give definitions for SL_n, O_n, \dots

* Give definition for PGL_n .

ex.2 Verify that $\exp : \hat{\mathbb{G}}_a \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{G}}_m$

(in char = 0) $n \mapsto \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} n^n$ is an isomorphism of group functors.

prop/ex Let G be an affine functor and let $[k[G]]$ be the corresponding k -algebra. Then to give the structure of a group functor to G is equivalent to give an Hopf-algebra structure to G .

$$m^* : [k[G]] \rightarrow [k[G]] \otimes [k[G]] \quad \delta : [k[G]] \rightarrow [k[G]] \quad e : [k[G]] \rightarrow k$$

ex Write down the Hopf algebra morphisms for GL_n .

def. G an affine group scheme. A representation of G is the data of $V \in \text{Vect}_k$ together with a group homomorphism

$$\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V) \quad \text{as Category } \text{Rep}(G) \quad \text{ex. Show it is abelian}$$

prop/ex To give a rep (V, ρ) is equivalent to give a $k[T]$ -comodule structure to V .

$$\begin{array}{c} V \xrightarrow{\rho} V \otimes_k k[T] \\ \downarrow \rho \quad \downarrow 1 \otimes m^* \quad + \\ V \otimes_k k[T] \xrightarrow{\rho \otimes 1} V \otimes_k k[T] \otimes_k k[T] \\ \downarrow \rho \otimes 1 \quad \downarrow 1 \otimes e \end{array}$$

Prop. Every representation of an affine group scheme is the union of its finite dimensional sub-representation.

def $\text{rep}(G)$ the category of finite dimensional G -representation

S2 MONOIDAL CATEGORIES

def A symmetric monoidal category is a category \mathcal{C} with a functor

$\otimes: \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which is associative and commutative up to natural transformations

So part of the data are natural transformations

$$\alpha_{x,y,z}: x \otimes (y \otimes z) \rightarrow (x \otimes y) \otimes z$$

$$\sigma_{x,y}: x \otimes y \rightarrow y \otimes x$$

$$\sigma^2 = \text{id}_{x \otimes y}$$

Such that the following diagrams commute

$$x \otimes (\gamma \otimes (z \otimes w)) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{id}_x \otimes \alpha_{\gamma, z, w}} x \otimes ((\gamma \otimes z) \otimes w)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \swarrow \alpha_{x, \gamma, z \otimes w} & \\ (x \otimes \gamma) \otimes (z \otimes w) & \curvearrowright & (x \otimes (\gamma \otimes z)) \otimes w \\ \alpha_{x \otimes \gamma, z, w} \searrow & & \swarrow \alpha_{x, \gamma, z} \otimes \text{id}_w \\ ((x \otimes \gamma) \otimes z) \otimes w & & \end{array}$$

Pentagon identity

Hexagon identity

$$\begin{array}{ccc} x \otimes (\gamma \otimes z) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_x \otimes \sigma_{\gamma, z}} & x \otimes (z \otimes \gamma) \\ \downarrow \alpha_{x, \gamma, z} & & \downarrow \alpha_{x, z, \gamma} \\ (x \otimes \gamma) \otimes z & & (x \otimes z) \otimes \gamma \\ \downarrow \sigma_{x \otimes \gamma, z} & & \downarrow \bar{\sigma}_{x, z} \otimes \text{id}_\gamma \\ z \otimes (x \otimes \gamma) & \longrightarrow & (z \otimes x) \otimes \gamma \end{array}$$

- We also require the existence of a unit object $U \in \mathcal{C}$

That is, an object $U \in \mathcal{C}$ with an isomorphism $\eta: U \rightarrow U \otimes U$ such that $x \rightsquigarrow U \otimes x$ is an equivalence.

It follows that there exist natural isomorphisms $U \otimes x \simeq x \simeq x \otimes U$ which are compatible with η , α and σ .

The unit (U, η) is determined uniquely up to natural isomorphism

N.B.! We write 1 instead of U , most of the time.

def A morphism of symmetric monoidal categories $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \otimes')$ is a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ together with a natural isomorphism

$$F(x \otimes y) \xrightarrow{\sim} F(x) \otimes' F(y)$$

compatible with α and σ .

- ↓
examples
- Vect_k , vect_k
 - $\text{Rep}_k(G)$, $\text{rep}_k(G)$
 - $A\text{-mod}$, $\text{Proj}(A)$ (A noth), $\text{Flat}(A)$
 - $\text{Bun}(X) = \{ \text{vector bundles on a variety } X \}$
 - $\{ \text{coverings of a topological space } F \otimes G = F \times G \}$

rmk In some of these categories there are two important notions

- ① An internal $\underline{\text{Hom}}$ ($X, Y \in \text{Vect}_k \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \in \text{Vect}_k$, same for $\text{rep}_k(G)$)
- ② Dual objects (in vect_k (or $\text{rep}_k(G)$))

def Let \mathcal{C} be a (symmetric) monoidal category - If the functor

$$T \mapsto \underline{\text{Hom}}(T \otimes X, Y) \quad \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}$$

is representable we denote $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)$ a representing object, any natural isomorphism (by Yoneda) $\underline{\text{Hom}}(T, \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(T \otimes X, Y)$ comes equipped with an "evaluation map" $\text{ev}_{X,Y} : \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \otimes X \rightarrow Y$ which is universal.

examples. Vect_k , $A\text{-mod}$, $\text{Bun}(X)$

rmk If we assume the existence of $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$ then there is a natural "composition map"

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \longrightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Z)$$

Induced by $\underline{\text{Hom}}(Y, Z) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y) \otimes X \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \text{ev}_{X,Y}} \underline{\text{Hom}}(Y, Z) \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{Y,Z}} Z$

rmk $\underline{\text{Hom}}(1, \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(1 \otimes X, Y) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X, Y)$

def The dual X^\vee , of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, is defined to be $\underline{\text{Hom}}(X, 1)$ it comes with a natural pairing $X \otimes X^\vee \rightarrow 1$, inducing an isomorphism ev_X

$$\text{Hom}(T, X^\vee) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(T \otimes X, 1)$$

rmk One can make the association $X \rightsquigarrow X^\vee$ to be a contravariant functor.

Indeed for any $f: X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a unique $t^f: Y^\vee \rightarrow X^\vee$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y^\vee \otimes X & \xrightarrow{t^f} & Y^\vee \otimes Y \\ t^f \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X^\vee \otimes X & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array} \quad \langle t^f(y), x \rangle_X = \langle y, f(x) \rangle_Y$$

rmk There is a canonical morphism $l_X: X \rightarrow X^\vee$ induced by

$$\text{Hom}(X, \underline{\text{Hom}}(X^\vee, 1)) = \underline{\text{Hom}}(X \otimes X^\vee, 1) \ni \text{ev}_X$$

def An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is reflexive if $l_X: X \rightarrow X^\vee$ is an isomorphism.

ex/det An object $L \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be invertible if $\exists L^{-1} \in \mathcal{C}$ together with an isomorphism $L \otimes L^{-1} \xrightarrow{\sim} 1$

(\leftrightarrow) \rightarrow every invertible object is also reflexive and $L^{-1} \cong L^\vee$.

- examples
- $\text{vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$ is the subcategory of reflexive objects of $\text{Vect}_{\mathbb{K}}$
 - reflexive objects in $A\text{-mod}$ are projective ones, thus not an abelian category anymore
 - $\text{rep}_{\mathbb{K}} G$ is the subcategory of reflexive objects of $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}} G$

def An object $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is dualizable if $\exists x^{\vee} \in \mathcal{C}$ together with morphisms

$$\epsilon : x \otimes x \rightarrow 1$$

$$\eta : 1 \rightarrow x \otimes x^{\vee}$$

such that

$$x \xrightarrow{\eta \otimes 1} x \otimes x^{\vee} \otimes x \xrightarrow{\text{id}_x} x = \text{id}_x$$

$$x^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{x^{\vee}}} x^{\vee} \otimes x \otimes x^{\vee} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \eta} x^{\vee} = \text{id}_{x^{\vee}}$$

rk $\underline{\text{Hom}}(T, x^{\vee}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(T \otimes x, 1)$ is an isomorphism $\forall T$.

pf Write $\underline{\text{Hom}}(T \otimes x, 1) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(T, x^{\vee})$

$$\alpha : T \otimes x \rightarrow 1 \longmapsto T \xrightarrow{\underline{\text{Hom}}} T \otimes x \otimes x^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\alpha \otimes 1} x^{\vee}$$

and verify it's the inverse \square

rk By symmetry x is a dual of x^{\vee} and is not hard to check that $\iota_x : x \rightarrow x^{\vee \vee}$ is an isomorphism

rk Under the assumption that $(x \otimes y)^{\vee} \simeq x^{\vee} \otimes y^{\vee}$ (which holds under rigidity)
Every reflexive object is also dualizable, it is enough to define η as the dual of $\epsilon = \iota_x$

$$\epsilon^{\vee} : 1 \rightarrow x^{\vee} \otimes x^{\vee} \xrightarrow{\iota_{x^{\vee}}^{-1}} x \otimes x^{\vee}$$

def A symmetric monoidal category (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) is called rigid if the following are satisfied

1) $\underline{\text{Hom}}(x, y)$ exists for all $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$

2) The natural morphisms

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}(x_1, y_1) \otimes \underline{\text{Hom}}(x_2, y_2) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}(x_1 \otimes x_2, y_1 \otimes y_2)$$

are -

3) All objects of \mathcal{C} are reflexive

examples. vect_k , $\text{rep}_k \mathcal{G}$.

[§2] FIBRE FUNCTORS

2.1 A long example-

Let X be a connected variety (topological) and let $\text{Loc}(X)$ be the category of (connected) coverings $E \rightarrow X$.

Consider it as a symmetric monoidal category via the operation

$$E \otimes F = E \times F \quad (\text{fibered product over } X)$$

Consider also Set as a symmetric monoidal category with
 $A \otimes B = A \times B$

note For any $x \in X$ the functor

$$\omega_x: \text{Loc}(X) \rightarrow \text{Set} \quad E \mapsto E_x = \text{fibre of } x \text{ in } E$$

is symmetric monoidal.

def Let $\text{Aut}(\omega_x)$ be the group of natural automorphisms of ω_x . That is

$$\text{Aut}(\omega_x) = \{ g(E): \omega_x(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_x(E) \text{ functorial in } E \}$$

rmk There is a canonical group homomorphism

$$\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_x)$$

Pf Given a covering $E \rightarrow X$ we get the monodromy action of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on E_x .

Given $\gamma \in \pi_1(X, x)$ and $e \in E_x$ $\gamma \cdot e = \tilde{\gamma}(1)$
where $\tilde{\gamma}$ is the lift of γ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = e$

It is evident that given any morphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow F$

the induced map on the fibers $\varphi_x: E_x \rightarrow F_x$
is $\pi_1(X, x)$ equivalent.

$\gamma \cdot \varphi_x(e)$ is computed as $\tilde{\gamma}_F(1)$ where $\tilde{\gamma}_F$ is a lifting of γ starting at $\varphi(e)$.

$\rightsquigarrow \varphi(\tilde{\gamma}_E)$ is such a lift for any $\tilde{\gamma}_E$ lift of γ starting at e -

□

Prop. The map $\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_x)$ introduced above is an isomorphism.

Pf By the universal property of the universal covering $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ any covering $E \rightarrow X$ admits a unique map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & E \\ \downarrow \cong & & \\ X & & \end{array} \quad \text{In addition it is known that the action}$$

of $\pi_1(X, x)$ on \tilde{X}_x is simply transitive.

This last assertion implies that the composition
 $\pi_1(X, x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_x) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\omega_{\tilde{X}}(x))$ is injective
so that the first map is injective.

It remains to show surjectivity, so pick an element $g \in \text{Aut}(w_x)$, then $g(\tilde{x})$ is an automorphism of \tilde{X}_x .

note We have an identification $\pi_1(x, x) = \text{Aut}(\tilde{x})$. The natural action on \tilde{X}_x is simply transitive and commutes with the monodromy action.

$g: \tilde{X}_x \rightarrow \tilde{X}_x$ is $\text{Aut}(\tilde{x})$ -equivariant

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X}_x & \xrightarrow{r_x} & \tilde{X}_x \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \\ \tilde{X}_x & \xrightarrow{r_x} & \tilde{X}_x \end{array}$$

In particular, fix $\tilde{e}_0 \in \tilde{X}_x$ then g is determined by its value on \tilde{e}_0 since $g \cdot (r\tilde{e}_0) = r(g \cdot \tilde{e}_0)$ and $\pi_1(x, x) \curvearrowright \tilde{X}_x$ is simply transitive.

Let $r_g \in \pi_1(x, x)$ such that $r_g \cdot \tilde{e}_0 = g \cdot \tilde{e}_0$.

We claim $r_g = g$ in $\text{Aut}(w_x)$.

For $E \in \text{Loc}(x)$ and $e \in E_x$, let $\alpha: \tilde{X} \rightarrow E$ from the univ. property

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot e &= g \cdot (\alpha \cdot \tilde{e}) = \alpha \cdot (g \cdot \tilde{e}) = \alpha \cdot (g \cdot r \cdot \tilde{e}_0) \\ &\quad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \text{Aut action} \\ &\quad \text{funct of } g \qquad \text{simply transitive} \\ &= \alpha \cdot (r \cdot g \cdot \tilde{e}_0) = \alpha \cdot (r \cdot r_g \cdot \tilde{e}_0) = \alpha(r_g \cdot \tilde{e}) \\ &\quad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{Aut action} \\ &= r_g \cdot (\alpha \cdot \tilde{e}) = r_g \cdot e \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2 The fibre functor for $\text{rep}_k G$.

def Let $\omega: \text{rep}_k G \rightarrow \text{vect}_k$ be the forgetful functor.
This is k -linear, exact, faithful and monoidal.

def Let $\underline{\text{Aut}}^G(\omega): \text{Is-Alg} \rightarrow \text{Grp}$ to be the functor defined by

$$\underline{\text{Aut}}^G(\omega)(R) = \text{Aut}^G(\text{rep}_k G \xrightarrow{\omega} \text{vect}_k \xrightarrow{\otimes R} \text{mod}_R)$$

where Aut^G of a monoidal functor $F: (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}, \otimes')$ means the subset of natural isomorphisms $F \xrightarrow{\cong} F$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(x \otimes y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{x \otimes y}} & F(x) \otimes F(y) \\ \downarrow & \Downarrow & \downarrow \\ F(x) \otimes F(y) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{x \otimes y}} & F(x) \otimes F(y) \end{array}$$

To rephrase the definition an element $\lambda \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^G(\omega)(R)$ is a collection of R -linear isomorphisms $\lambda_V: V_R \xrightarrow{\sim} V_R$, indexed by finite representations of G , such that, for any G -equivariant $f: V \rightarrow W$ and $\lambda_V: V \rightarrow V$ is the identity, and $\lambda_{V \otimes W} = \lambda_V \otimes \lambda_W$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_R & \xrightarrow{f_R} & W_R \\ \downarrow \lambda_V & \Downarrow & \downarrow \lambda_W \\ V_R & \xrightarrow{f_R} & W_R \end{array}$$

$V_R \xrightarrow{f_R} W_R$ commutes

rmk. There is a canonical morphism of group functors

$$G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Aut}}^G(\omega)$$

Thm This is an isomorphism.

Pf. Let $\lambda \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^G(\omega)(R)$ then it's easy to see that the collection $\{\lambda_V: V_R \rightarrow V_R, V \in \text{rep}_k G\}$ uniquely extends to a family defined on the $\{\lambda_V: V_R \rightarrow V_R, V \in \text{Rep}_k G\}$ entire $\text{Rep}_k G$, satisfying the same prop.

Let A be the Hopf algebra corresponding to \mathcal{C} .

Recall that it is also a representation via

$$\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A \quad (\text{previously denoted by } m^*: k[\mathcal{C}] \rightarrow k[\mathcal{C}] \otimes k[\mathcal{C}])$$

recall that the comodule structure on the tensor product
is given by

$$V \otimes W \xrightarrow{\text{prop}} V \otimes W \otimes A \otimes A \xrightarrow{\text{isom}} V \otimes W \otimes A$$

Claim $m: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is of representations ($\pi_A \otimes \pi_A$ vs π_A)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\Delta \otimes \Delta} & (A \otimes A) \otimes (A \otimes A) \\ m \downarrow & & \curvearrowright \quad \downarrow m \otimes 1 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \otimes A \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (ab,cd) & \leftarrow & (a,b,c,d), (x,z,y,z) & \leftarrow & (x,y,z) \\ & & a \times b \times c \times d & & a \times c \times b \times d \\ & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & & a \times c \times a \times c & & a \times c \times c \times c \\ & \uparrow & & \uparrow & \uparrow \\ & & a & & (x,x,y) \\ & & \xrightarrow{xy} & & (x,y) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} xy, xy & \leftarrow & x, y, x, y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ xy & \xleftarrow{x, y} & x, y \end{array}$$

We get that $\lambda_{A \otimes A} = \lambda_A \otimes \lambda_A : A_R \otimes A_R \rightarrow A_R \otimes A_R$

so that λ_A is an algebra
isomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{c} \downarrow m_R \quad \downarrow m_R \\ A_R \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} A_R \end{array}$$

Next, we claim that $\Delta: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a morphism btw
 π_A and $1 \otimes \pi_A$ where π_A is the regular representation

Indeed

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & A \otimes A \\
 \Delta \downarrow & \cong & \uparrow \Delta \otimes 1 \Leftrightarrow \\
 A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \Delta} & A \otimes A \otimes A \\
 & & \uparrow \Delta \\
 & & A \times A \xleftarrow{\quad} A \times A \times A \\
 & & \uparrow \quad \uparrow \\
 (x,y,z) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & (x,y,z)
 \end{array}$$

That implies that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A} & A_R \\
 \Delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \Delta \\
 A_R \otimes A_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda_A \otimes \lambda_A} & A_R \otimes A_R \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \lambda_A
 \end{array}$$

Translated in the group version, we have a map

$$C_R \xrightarrow{\lambda} G_R \quad (\lambda_A \text{ of algebras}) \quad \text{such that}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_R \times C_R & \xrightarrow{\delta, \lambda} & C_R \times C_R \\
 \downarrow & \cong & \downarrow \\
 C_R & \xrightarrow{\lambda^v} & C_R
 \end{array}
 \quad \text{that means } \lambda^v(xy) = x\lambda^v(y)$$

$G_R(A_R)$

And therefore $\lambda^v(g) = g \cdot \lambda^v(1)$

$\lambda_A = \lambda^v(1)$

It follows that λ is given by

$$A_R \xrightarrow{\Delta_R} A_R \otimes A_R \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \lambda^v(1)} A_R \otimes R = A_R$$

Now for any V let V_0 the underlying trivial rep-

$\rho: V \rightarrow V_0 \otimes A$ is of A -modules so

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V & \subseteq & V_0 \otimes A \longrightarrow V_0 \otimes A \otimes A \\
 \lambda_V \downarrow & & \downarrow 1 \otimes \lambda_A \\
 V & \subseteq & V_0 \otimes A
 \end{array}$$

$\rightsquigarrow \lambda_V$ is the multiplication
by $\lambda^v(1)$

Thm 2 Let (\mathcal{C}, \otimes) be a \mathbb{k} -linear, abelian, symmetric monoidal category which is rigid. Let

$$\omega : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$$

be a \mathbb{k} -linear, monoidal, exact, faithful functor.

Then $\underline{\text{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\omega) = G$ is an affine group scheme / \mathbb{k} and the canonical morphism

$\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{rep}_{\mathbb{k}} G$ is an equivalence.

$$\downarrow / \\ \text{vect}_{\mathbb{k}}$$

Thm 3. The functor

$$G\text{-torsors} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Hom}}^{\otimes}(\text{rep}_{\mathbb{k}} G, \text{Bun}(X)) \quad p \mapsto (v \mapsto p \times v = p \times v_{|G|})$$

is fully faithful.

