



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Substring search

Goal. Find pattern of length M in a text of length N .

 typically $N \gg M$


pattern → N E E D L E

Substring search applications

Goal. Find pattern of length M in a text of length N .

 typically $N \gg M$

pattern → N E E D L E




Substring search applications

Goal. Find pattern of length M in a text of length N .

 typically $N \gg M$

pattern → N E E D L E

Computer forensics. Search memory or disk for signatures, e.g., all URLs or RSA keys that the user has entered.



<http://citp.princeton.edu/memory>

Substring search applications

Goal. Find pattern of length M in a text of length N .

 typically $N \gg M$

pattern → N E E D L E

Identify patterns indicative of spam.

- PROFITS
 - LOSE WEIGHT
 - herbal Viagra
 - There is no catch.
 - This is a one-time mailing.
 - This message is sent in compliance with spam regulations.

SpamAssassin



Substring search applications

Electronic surveillance.



Need to monitor all
internet traffic.
(security)



Well, we're mainly
interested in
“ATTACK AT DAWN”


No way!
(privacy)



OK. Build a
machine that just
looks for that.




“ATTACK AT DAWN”
substring search
machine
found



Substring search applications

Screen scraping. Extract relevant data from web page.

Ex. Find string delimited by and after first occurrence of pattern Last Trade::



<http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=goog>

```
...
<tr>
<td class= "yfnc_tablehead1"
width= "48%">
Last Trade:
</td>
<td class= "yfnc_tabledata1">
<big><b>452.92</b></big>
</td></tr>
<td class= "yfnc_tablehead1"
width= "48%">
Trade Time:
</td>
<td class= "yfnc_tabledata1">
...

```

Screen scraping: Java implementation

Java library. The `indexOf()` method in Java's string library returns the index of the first occurrence of a given string, starting at a given offset.

```
public class StockQuote
{
    public static void main(String[] args)
    {
        String name = "http://finance.yahoo.com/q?s=";
        In in = new In(name + args[0]);
        String text = in.readAll();
        int start      = text.indexOf("Last Trade:", 0);
        int from       = text.indexOf("<b>", start);
        int to         = text.indexOf("</b>", from);
        String price = text.substring(from + 3, to);
        StdOut.println(price);
    }
}
```

```
% java StockQuote goog  
582.93
```

```
% java StockQuote msft  
24.84
```

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ ***brute force***
- ▶ ***Knuth-Morris-Pratt***
- ▶ ***Boyer-Moore***
- ▶ ***Rabin-Karp***

Brute-force substring search

Check for pattern starting at each text position.

i	j	i+j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			A	B	A	C	A	D	A	B	R	A	C
0	2	2	A	B	R	A							
1	0	1		A	B	R	A						
2	1	3			A	B	R	A					
3	0	3				A	B	R	A				
4	1	5					A	B	R	A			
5	0	5						A	B	R	A		
6	4	10							A	B	R	A	

txt → A B A C A D A B R A C

entries in red are mismatches

entries in gray are for reference only

entries in black match the text

return i when j is M

match

Brute-force substring search: Java implementation

Check for pattern starting at each text position.

i	j	i + j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
			A	B	A	C	A	D	A	B	R	A	C
4	3	7					A	D	A	C	R		
5	0	5						A	D	A	C	R	

```
public static int search(String pat, String txt)
{
    int M = pat.length();
    int N = txt.length();
    for (int i = 0; i <= N - M; i++)
    {
        int j;
        for (j = 0; j < M; j++)
            if (txt.charAt(i+j) != pat.charAt(j))
                break;
        if (j == M) return i; ← index in text where
                           pattern starts
    }
    return N; ← not found
}
```

Brute-force substring search: worst case

Brute-force algorithm can be slow if text and pattern are repetitive.

i	j	$i+j$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
			txt →	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	B
0	4	4	A	A	A	A	B	← pat				
1	4	5		A	A	A	A	B				
2	4	6			A	A	A	A	B			
3	4	7				A	A	A	A	B		
4	4	8					A	A	A	A	B	
5	5	10						<u>A</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>A</u>	B


\uparrow
match

Worst case. $\sim MN$ char compares.


Backup

In many applications, we want to avoid **backup** in text stream.

- Treat input as stream of data.
 - Abstract model: standard input.



Brute-force algorithm needs backup for every mismatch.



Approach 1. Maintain buffer of last M characters.

Approach 2. Stay tuned.

Brute-force substring search: alternate implementation

Same sequence of char compares as previous implementation.

- i points to end of sequence of already-matched chars in text.
- j stores # of already-matched chars (end of sequence in pattern).

<u>i</u>	<u>j</u>	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		A	B	A	C	A	D	A	B	R	A	C
7	3				A	D	A	C	R			
5	0				A	D	A	C	R			

```
public static int search(String pat, String txt)
{
    int i, N = txt.length();
    int j, M = pat.length();
    for (i = 0, j = 0; i < N && j < M; i++)
    {
        if (txt.charAt(i) == pat.charAt(j)) j++;
        else { i -= j; j = 0; } ← explicit backup
    }
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else return N;
}
```

Algorithmic challenges in substring search

Brute-force is not always good enough.

Theoretical challenge. Linear-time guarantee. ← fundamental algorithmic problem

Practical challenge. Avoid backup in text stream. ← often no room or time to save text

Now is the time for all people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for a lot of good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all of the good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for each good person to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Republicans to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many or all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Democrats to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for a lot of good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all of the good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their **attack at dawn** party. Now is the time for each person to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Republicans to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for many or all good people to come to the aid of their party. Now is the time for all good Democrats to come to the aid of their party.

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ ***brute force***
- ▶ ***Knuth-Morris-Pratt***
- ▶ ***Boyer-Moore***
- ▶ ***Rabin-Karp***

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH


- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ ***Knuth-Morris-Pratt***
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search

Intuition. Suppose we are searching in text for pattern BAAAAAAAAAA.

- Suppose we match 5 chars in pattern, with mismatch on 6th char.
- We know previous 6 chars in text are BAAAAB.
- Don't need to back up text pointer!

assuming { A, B } alphabet



Knuth-Morris-Pratt algorithm. Clever method to always avoid backup. (!)

Deterministic finite state automaton (DFA)

DFA is abstract string-searching machine.


- Finite number of states (including start and halt).
- Exactly one transition for each char in alphabet.
- Accept if sequence of transitions leads to halt state.

internal representation

j	0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt(j)	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	1	1	3	1	5	1
	0	2	0	4	0	4
	0	0	0	0	0	6

If in state j reading char C:
if j is 6 halt and accept
else move to state dfa[c][j]

graphical representation




DFA simulation demo

A A B A C A A B A B A C A A




		0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt(j)	A	A	B	A	B	A	C
	B	1	1	3	1	5	1
dfa[][][j]	C	0	2	0	4	0	4
	C	0	0	0	0	0	6



DFA simulation demo

A A B A C A A B A B A C A A
↑

pat.charAt(j)	0	1	2	3	4	5
A	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	1	1	3	1	5	1
B	0	2	0	4	0	4
C	0	0	0	0	0	6




Interpretation of Knuth-Morris-Pratt DFA

Q. What is interpretation of DFA state after reading in $\text{txt}[i]$?

A. State = number of characters in pattern that have been matched.

length of longest prefix of $\text{pat}[]$
that is a suffix of $\text{txt}[0..i]$

Ex. DFA is in state 3 after reading in $\text{txt}[0..6]$.



Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute $\text{dfa}[][]$ from pattern.
- Text pointer i never decrements.

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int i, j, N = txt.length();
    for (i = 0, j = 0; i < N && j < M; i++)
        j = dfa[txt.charAt(i)][j];           ← no backup
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else        return N;
}
```

Running time.

- Simulate DFA on text: at most N character accesses.
- Build DFA: how to do efficiently? [warning: tricky algorithm ahead]



Knuth-Morris-Pratt substring search: Java implementation

Key differences from brute-force implementation.

- Need to precompute `dfa[][]` from pattern.
- Text pointer `i` never decrements.
- Could use **input stream**.

```
public int search(In in)
{
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0, j = 0; !in.isEmpty() && j < M; i++)
        j = dfa[in.readChar()][j];
    if (j == M) return i - M;
    else         return NOT_FOUND;
}
```

no backup



Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



pat.charAt(j)	0	1	2	3	4	5
A	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	A	B				
C						

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C

0

1

2

3

4


5

6

Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo

	0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt(j)	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	A	1	1	3	1	1
	B	0	2	0	4	0
	C	0	0	0	0	6

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C



How to build DFA from pattern?

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



How to build DFA from pattern?


Match transition. If in state j and next char $c == \text{pat.charAt}(j)$, go to $j+1$.

first j characters of pattern
have already been matched

next char matches

now first $j+1$ characters of
pattern have been matched

		0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt(j)	A	1		3		5	
	B		2		4		
	C					6	



How to build DFA from pattern?

Mismatch transition. If in state j and next char $c \neq \text{pat.charAt}(j)$, then the last $j-1$ characters of input are $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$, followed by c .

To compute $\text{dfa}[c][j]$: Simulate $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$ on DFA and take transition c .

Running time. Seems to require j steps.


still under construction (!)

Ex. $\text{dfa}['A'][5] = 1$; $\text{dfa}['B'][5] = 4$

simulate BABA;
take transition 'A'
 $= \text{dfa}['A'][3]$

simulate BABA;
take transition 'B'
 $= \text{dfa}['B'][3]$

	j	0	1	2	3	4	5
pat.charAt(j)	A	B	A	B	A	C	




How to build DFA from pattern?

Mismatch transition. If in state j and next char $c \neq \text{pat.charAt}(j)$, then the last $j-1$ characters of input are $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$, followed by c .

To compute $\text{dfa}[c][j]$: Simulate $\text{pat}[1..j-1]$ on DFA and take transition c .
Running time. Takes only constant time if we maintain state X .

Ex. $\text{dfa}['A'][5] = 1;$	$\text{dfa}['B'][5] = 4;$	$X' = 0$													
from state X , take transition 'A' $= \text{dfa}['A'][X]$	from state X , take transition 'B' $= \text{dfa}['B'][X]$	from state X , take transition 'C' $= \text{dfa}['C'][X]$	<table><tr><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>5</td></tr><tr><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>A</td><td>B</td><td>A</td><td>C</td></tr></table>	0	1	2	3	4	5	A	B	A	B	A	C
0	1	2	3	4	5										
A	B	A	B	A	C										



Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo (in linear time)

Include one state for each character in pattern (plus accept state).



pat.charAt(j)	0	1	2	3	4	5
A	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	A	B				
	C					

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C

0

1

2

3

4


5

6

Knuth-Morris-Pratt construction demo (in linear time)

pat.charAt(j)	0	1	2	3	4	5
A	A	B	A	B	A	C
dfa[][][j]	1	1	3	1	5	1
B	0	2	0	4	0	4
C	0	0	0	0	0	6

Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search for A B A B A C



Constructing the DFA for KMP substring search: Java implementation

For each state j :

- Copy $\text{dfa}[][\text{X}]$ to $\text{dfa}[][\text{j}]$ for mismatch case.
- Set $\text{dfa}[\text{pat.charAt(j)}][\text{j}]$ to $\text{j}+1$ for match case.
- Update X .

```
public KMP(String pat)
{
    this.pat = pat;
    M = pat.length();
    dfa = new int[R][M];
    dfa[pat.charAt(0)][0] = 1;
    for (int X = 0, j = 1; j < M; j++)
    {
        for (int c = 0; c < R; c++)
            dfa[c][j] = dfa[c][X]; ← copy mismatch cases
        dfa[pat.charAt(j)][j] = j+1; ← set match case
        X = dfa[pat.charAt(j)][X]; ← update restart state
    }
}
```

Running time. M character accesses (but space/time proportional to $R M$).


KMP substring search analysis

Proposition. KMP substring search accesses no more than $M + N$ chars to search for a pattern of length M in a text of length N .

Pf. Each pattern char accessed once when constructing the DFA; each text char accessed once (in the worst case) when simulating the DFA.


Proposition. KMP constructs `dfa[][]` in time and space proportional to $R M$.

Larger alphabets. Improved version of KMP constructs `nfa[]` in time and space proportional to M .



Knuth-Morris-Pratt: brief history

- Independently discovered by two theoreticians and a hacker.
 - Knuth: inspired by esoteric theorem, discovered linear algorithm
 - Pratt: made running time independent of alphabet size
 - Morris: built a text editor for the CDC 6400 computer
- Theory meets practice.



Don Knuth



Jim Morris



Vaughan Pratt

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ ***Knuth-Morris-Pratt***
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*



Robert Boyer J. Strother Moore

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Intuition.

- Scan characters in pattern from right to left.
- Can skip as many as M text chars when finding one not in the pattern.


i	j	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
		F	I	N	D	I	N	A	H	A	Y	S	T	A	C	K	N	E	E	D	L	E	I	N	A
		text →																							
0	5	N	E	E	D	L	E	← pattern																	
5	5								N	E	E	D	L	E											
11	4														N	E	E	D	L	E					
15	0																	N	E	E	D	L	E		

return i = 15

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

Case 1. Mismatch character not in pattern.





mismatch character 'T' not in pattern: increment i one character beyond 'T'

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2a. Mismatch character in pattern.




mismatch character 'N' in pattern: align text 'N' with rightmost pattern 'N'

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2b. Mismatch character in pattern (but heuristic no help).



mismatch character 'E' in pattern: align text 'E' with rightmost pattern 'E' ?

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

Case 2b. Mismatch character in pattern (but heuristic no help).



mismatch character 'E' in pattern: increment i by 1

Boyer-Moore: mismatched character heuristic

Q. How much to skip?

A. Precompute index of rightmost occurrence of character c in pattern
(-1 if character not in pattern).

```
right = new int[R];
for (int c = 0; c < R; c++)
    right[c] = -1;
for (int j = 0; j < M; j++)
    right[pat.charAt(j)] = j;
```

c	N	E	E	D	L	E	right[c]
	0	1	2	3	4	5	
A	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
B	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
C	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
D	-1	-1	-1	-1	3	3	3
E	-1	-1	1	2	2	5	5
...							-1
L	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	4	4
M	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1
N	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0
...							-1

Boyer-Moore skip table computation

Boyer-Moore: Java implementation

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int N = txt.length();
    int M = pat.length();
    int skip;
    for (int i = 0; i <= N-M; i += skip)
    {
        skip = 0;
        for (int j = M-1; j >= 0; j--)
        {
            if (pat.charAt(j) != txt.charAt(i+j))
            {
                skip = Math.max(1, j - right[txt.charAt(i+j)]);
                break;
            }
        }
        if (skip == 0) return i; ← match
    }
    return N;
}
```

compute
skip value

in case other term is nonpositive

Boyer-Moore: analysis

Property. Substring search with the Boyer-Moore mismatched character heuristic takes about $\sim N/M$ character compares to search for a pattern of length M in a text of length N .

sublinear!

Worst-case. Can be as bad as $\sim MN$.

i	skip	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	txt →	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B
0	0	A	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B
1	1		A	B	B	B	B				
2	1			A	B	B	B	B			
3	1				A	B	B	B	B		
4	1					A	B	B	B	B	
5	1						A	B	B	B	B

Boyer-Moore variant. Can improve worst case to $\sim 3N$ character compares by adding a KMP-like rule to guard against repetitive patterns.

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ **Boyer-Moore**
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*

Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ ***Rabin-Karp***



Michael Rabin, Turing Award '76

Dick Karp, Turing Award '85

Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

Basic idea = modular hashing.

- Compute a hash of pattern characters 0 to $M - 1$.
- For each i , compute a hash of text characters i to $M + i - 1$.
- If pattern hash = text substring hash, check for a match.

pat.charAt(i)																			
i	0	1	2	3	4														
	2	6	5	3	5														
$\% 997 = 613$																			
txt.charAt(i)																			
i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13					
	3	1	4	1	5	9	2	6	5	3	5	8	9	7					
0	3	1	4	1	5	$\% 997 = 508$													
1		1	4	1	5	9	$\% 997 = 201$												
2			4	1	5	9	2	$\% 997 = 715$											
3				1	5	9	2	6	$\% 997 = 971$										
4					5	9	2	6	5	$\% 997 = 442$									
5						9	2	6	5	3	$\% 997 = 929$								
6	\leftarrow	$\text{return } i = 6$				2	6	5	3	5	$\% 997 = 613$								

Efficiently computing the hash function

Modular hash function. Using the notation t_i for `txt.charAt(i)`, we wish to compute

$$x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0 \pmod{Q}$$

Intuition. M -digit, base- R integer, modulo Q .

Horner's method. Linear-time method to evaluate degree- M polynomial.

pat.charAt()					
i	0	1	2	3	4
	2	6	5	3	5
0	2	% 997 = 2			
1	2	6	% 997 = (2*10 + 6) % 997 = 26	<i>R</i>	<i>Q</i>
2	2	6	5 % 997 = (26*10 + 5) % 997 = 265		
3	2	6	5 3 % 997 = (265*10 + 3) % 997 = 659		
4	2	6	5 3 5 % 997 = (659*10 + 5) % 997 = 613		

```
// Compute hash for M-digit key
private long hash(String key, int M)
{
    long h = 0;
    for (int j = 0; j < M; j++)
        h = (R * h + key.charAt(j)) % Q;
    return h;
}
```

Efficiently computing the hash function

Challenge. How to efficiently compute x_{i+1} given that we know x_i .

$$x_i = t_i R^{M-1} + t_{i+1} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M-1} R^0$$

$$x_{i+1} = t_{i+1} R^{M-1} + t_{i+2} R^{M-2} + \dots + t_{i+M} R^0$$

Key property. Can update hash function in constant time!

$$x_{i+1} = (x_i - t_i R^{M-1}) R + t_{i+M}$$

↑ ↑ ↑ ↑
current subtract multiply add new
value leading digit by radix trailing digit (can precompute R^{M-1})

i	...	2	3	4	5	6	7	...
current value		1	4	1	5	9	2	6 5
new value		4	1	5	9	2	6	5

\Rightarrow text

4	1	5	9	2	current value
-	4	0	0	0	
1	5	9	2		subtract leading digit
*	1	0			multiply by radix
1	5	9	2	0	
			+	6	add new trailing digit
1	5	9	2	6	new value

Rabin-Karp substring search example

i	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
	3	1	4	1	5	9	2	6	5	3	5	8	9	7	9	3	
0	3	1	%	997	=	3											
1	3	1	%	997	=	(3*10 + 1) % 997	=	31									
2	3	1	4	%	997	=	(31*10 + 4) % 997	=	314								
3	3	1	4	1	%	997	=	(314*10 + 1) % 997	=	150							
4	3	1	4	1	5	%	997	=	(150*10 + 5) % 997	=	508						
5		1	4	1	5	9	%	997	=	((508 + 3*(997 - 30))*10 + 9) % 997	=	201					
6		4	1	5	9	2	%	997	=	((201 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 2) % 997	=	715					
7			1	5	9	2	6	%	997	=	((715 + 4*(997 - 30))*10 + 6) % 997	=	971				
8				5	9	2	6	5	%	997	=	((971 + 1*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) % 997	=	442			
9					9	2	6	5	3	%	997	=	((442 + 5*(997 - 30))*10 + 3) % 997	=	929		
10	←	return	i-M+1	=	6		2	6	5	3	5	%	997	=	((929 + 9*(997 - 30))*10 + 5) % 997	=	613

Rabin-Karp: Java implementation

```
public class RabinKarp
{
    private long patHash;          // pattern hash value
    private int M;                 // pattern length
    private long Q;                // modulus
    private int R;                 // radix
    private long RM;               //  $R^{M-1} \bmod Q$ 

    public RabinKarp(String pat) {
        M = pat.length();
        R = 256;
        Q = LongRandomPrime();           ← a large prime
                                         (but avoid overflow)

        RM = 1;
        for (int i = 1; i <= M-1; i++)
            RM = (R * RM) % Q;
        patHash = hash(pat, M);
    }

    private long hash(String key, int M)
    { /* as before */ }

    public int search(String txt)
    { /* see next slide */ }
}
```

a large prime
(but avoid overflow)

← precompute $R^{M-1} \bmod Q$

Rabin-Karp: Java implementation (continued)

Monte Carlo version. Return match if hash match.

```
public int search(String txt)
{
    int N = txt.length();
    int txtHash = hash(txt, M);
    if (patHash == txtHash) return 0;
    for (int i = M; i < N; i++)
    {
        txtHash = (txtHash + Q - RM*txt.charAt(i-M) % Q) % Q;
        txtHash = (txtHash*R + txt.charAt(i)) % Q;
        if (patHash == txtHash) return i - M + 1;
    }
    return N;
}
```

check for hash collision
using rolling hash function

Las Vegas version. Check for substring match if hash match;
continue search if false collision.

Rabin-Karp analysis

Theory. If Q is a sufficiently large random prime (about MN^2), then the probability of a false collision is about $1/N$.


Practice. Choose Q to be a large prime (but not so large to cause overflow). Under reasonable assumptions, probability of a collision is about $1/Q$.

Monte Carlo version.

- Always runs in linear time.
- Extremely likely to return correct answer (but not always!).

Las Vegas version.

- Always returns correct answer.
- Extremely likely to run in linear time (but worst case is MN).



Rabin-Karp fingerprint search

Advantages.

- Extends to 2d patterns.
- Extends to finding multiple patterns.

Disadvantages.

- Arithmetic ops slower than char compares.
- Las Vegas version requires backup.
- Poor worst-case guarantee.

Q. How would you extend Rabin-Karp to efficiently search for any one of P possible patterns in a text of length N ?



Substring search cost summary

Cost of searching for an M -character pattern in an N -character text.

algorithm	version	operation count		backup in input?	correct?	extra space
		guarantee	typical			
brute force	—	MN	$1.1 N$	yes	yes	1
Knuth-Morris-Pratt	<i>full DFA</i> (Algorithm 5.6)	$2N$	$1.1 N$	no	yes	MR
	<i>mismatch transitions only</i>	$3N$	$1.1 N$	no	yes	M
Boyer-Moore	<i>full algorithm</i>	$3N$	N/M	yes	yes	R
	<i>mismatched char heuristic only</i> (Algorithm 5.7)	MN	N/M	yes	yes	R
Rabin-Karp [†]	<i>Monte Carlo</i> (Algorithm 5.8)	$7N$	$7N$	no	yes^{\dagger}	1
	<i>Las Vegas</i>	$7N^{\dagger}$	$7N$	yes	yes	1

[†] probabilistic guarantee, with uniform hash function


Algorithms

ROBERT SEDGEWICK | KEVIN WAYNE

<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ ***Rabin-Karp***



<http://algs4.cs.princeton.edu>

5.3 SUBSTRING SEARCH

- ▶ *introduction*
- ▶ *brute force*
- ▶ *Knuth-Morris-Pratt*
- ▶ *Boyer-Moore*
- ▶ *Rabin-Karp*