UniFi & IMT | Master II - Data Science and Statistical Learning

Programming and Algorithm in Python & R

Gianmarco Santoro

Python | "Guinea baboons" dataset analysis

Python 3.10 | MacBook Pro 2014¹ | Local run code output synthesis and comments:

- 1) Answer: The Actor & Recipient with highest involvement is: NEKKE, with 964 events
 Function time: 0.011709 s. Number of times where Nekke is Actor and number of times where Nekke is Recipient.
- 2) Answer: The Actor with highest involvement is: MAKO, with 479 events Function time: 0.008172 s
- 3) Answer: The Recipient with highest involvement is: NEKKE, with 499 events Function time: 0.009473 s
- 4) Answer: The Date with highest involvement is: 04/07/2019, with 369 events Function time: $0.023041~\mathrm{s}$
- 5a) Answer: The hour, considering a precision of hour, with highest involvement is: 10, with 1480 events Function time: 0.009007 s
- 5b) Answer: The hour, considering a precision of minutes, with highest involvement is: 10:30, with 45 events Function time: 0.024616 s
- 6) Answer: The Behavior with highest involvement is: Resting, with 1834 events Function time: $0.008676~\mathrm{s}$
- 7) Answer: The Actor & Recipient with highest involvement as couple is: ('MAKO', 'NEKKE'), with 180 events Function time: 0.010171 s. The function recognizes the couple also when roles are switched.
- 8) Answer: The Actor & Recipient with highest time involvement is: ANGELE, with 24026 seconds
 Function time: 0.009757 s. Amount of time where Angele is Actor and amount of time where Angele is Recipient.
- 9) Answer: The Actor with highest time involvement is: ANGELE, with 16468 seconds Function time: 0.008795 s
- 10) Answer: The Recipient with highest time involvement is: FELIPE, with 12154 seconds Function time: 0.008419 s
- 11) Answer: The Actor & Recipient with highest time involvement as couple is: ('KALI', 'PIPO'), with 8811 seconds Function time: 0.011219 s. The function recognizes the couple also when roles are switched, e.g. ('KALI', 'PIPO') is counted also when ('PIPO', 'KALI').

¹ MacBook Pro Retina (13-inch, Mid 2014), 2.6GHz dual-core Intel Core i5 processor (Turbo Boost up to 3.1GHz) with 3MB shared L3 cache, 8GB of 1600MHz DDR3L onboard memory.

- 12) Answer: Primate with most different behavior is: FELIPE with 17 different behaviours. (As well as LOME) Function time: 0.008803 s. For each primate, the function counts the number of different behaviours just once for each kind of behaviour, both for Actor and Recipient roles.
- 13) Answer: Primate couple with most different behavior is: ('ANGELE', 'FELIPE') with 11 different behaviours Function time: 0.007609 s. For each primate couple, the function counts the number of different behaviours just once for each kind of behaviour, no matter who is Recipient and who Actor.
- 14) Answer: Day with most different behavior is: 19/06/2019 with 16 different behaviours. (As well as 25/06/2019, 09/07/2019)

Function time: 0.007447 s. For each day, the function counts the number of different behaviours just once for each kind of behaviour.

- 15) Answer: Primate couple with most interactions is: ('ANGELE', 'FELIPE'), with 5764 interactions Function time: 0.226859 s. The function recognizes the couple also when roles are switched.
- 16) Answer: Primate with most interactions is: EWINE, with 17019 interactions
 Function time: 0.147620 s. The primate is counted every time it has interaction as Actor or Recipient.
- 17) Answer: There are 11 primates with 28 interaction days. Primate with most interaction days are: ARIELLE, FANA, VIOLETTE, HARLEM, FELIPE, ANGELE, BOBO, EWINE, FEYA, KALI, PETOULETTE.

 Function time: 0.260151 s. The days are counted as one when Primate have interaction as Actor or Recipient at least once in that day.
- 18) Answer: There are 33 primate couples with 28 interaction days. Primate couples with most interaction days are:

 ('ARIELLE', 'FANA'), ('ARIELLE', 'VIOLETTE'), ('FANA', 'HARLEM'), ('ANGELE', 'FELIPE'), ('FANA', 'VIOLETTE'),

 ('FEYA', 'VIOLETTE'), ('HARLEM', 'VIOLETTE'), ('ANGELE', 'EWINE'), ('EWINE', 'HARLEM'), ('ARIELLE', 'HARLEM'),

 ('FANA', 'FEYA'), ('ANGELE', 'FEYA'), ('ANGELE', 'HARLEM'), ('EWINE', 'FANA'), ('EWINE', 'FELIPE'),

 ('ANGELE', 'FANA'), ('ARIELLE', 'FELIPE'), ('FELIPE', 'FEYA'), ('FANA', 'FELIPE'), ('FEYA', 'PETOULETTE'),

 ('ANGELE', 'PETOULETTE'), ('BOBO', 'FANA'), ('EWINE', 'FEYA'), ('ARIELLE', 'EWINE'), ('BOBO', 'PETOULETTE'), ('ARIELLE',

 'PETOULETTE'), ('ARIELLE', 'FEYA'), ('HARLEM', 'PETOULETTE'), ('ANGELE', 'ARIELLE')

 Function time: 0.142141 s. The function recognizes the couple also when roles are switched, and the day counts as one if the couple has at least an interaction in that day.

Question 19, answer: Day with most interactions is: 13/06/2019, with 3577 interactions Function time: 0.099833 s

- Global time to run the whole script: 1.044429 seconds
- Big O notation: in the context of algorithmic complexity analysis, the notation O(x) represents the worst-case time complexity of an algorithm, which is connected to efficiency and time, where x represents the size of the input. So, in this script all calls to the main function have an efficiency of about:

$$\textit{O}(\textit{n} \cdot \textit{m})$$
, where $\textit{m} \ll \textit{n} => \textit{O}(\textit{n} \cdot \textit{m}) \approx \textit{O}(\textit{n})$

Since n are the number of lines in the txt file (for cycle \Rightarrow O(n)) and m is number of elements in very short lists (some calls have nested "for cycle" so O(n \cdot m)). There are some other operations which could be summed to the count of big-O, but they have very lower magnitude, so can be not considered, for example: append() is usually O(1).

R | "Math Marks" dataframe analysis

Synthetic output and comments:

A) Show the header of dataframe generated. I've created also an SchoolIndex column to answer question 1.2:

School Sex MathMark SchoolIndex

```
1 Tecnico Female
                     6.5
2 Tecnico Female
                     6.0
                                128
3 Tecnico Female
                     5.7
                                132
   Liceo Female
                     8.0
                                162
 Liceo Male
                     6.9
                                 45
6 Tecnico Female
                     6.5
                                 152
```

B) 1) Number of Female and Male students per kind of school. Creates a two-way contingency table:

Female Male
Liceo 75625 92375
Tecnico 104588 127412

1.2) Number of Female and Male students in each school:

2) Calculate statistics of MathMark for Male and Female students per kind of school:

Sex MathMark.Mean MathMark.Median MathMark.L_Quart.25% MathMark.U_Quart.75% MathMark.sd 1 Liceo Female 6.9978896 7.0000000 6.4000000 7.6000000 0.9000883 2 Tecnico Female 6.0012267 6.0000000 5.4000000 6.6000000 0.8971419 3 Liceo Male 7.9342214 8.0000000 7.0000000 9.0000000 1.3837229 4 Tecnico Male 6.9872069 7.0000000 6.0000000 8.0000000 1.4710903

3) Plot to see Math Marks vs Sex:

 $RPlot_1 = Distribution of Math Marks by Sex - see attached png-file$

4) Plot to see Math Marks vs School:

 $RPlot_2 = Distribution of Math Marks by School - see attached png-file$

5) Create a new variable and column with categorical-Math-Marks, so update dataframe:

School Sex MathMark SchoolIndex CatMark 1 Tecnico Female 6.5 Sufficient 2 Tecnico Female 6.0 128 Sufficient 3 Tecnico Female 5.7 132 Insufficient Liceo Female 162 8.0 Good Liceo Male 6.9 45 Sufficient 6 Tecnico Female 6.5 152 Sufficient

6.1) Absolute frequency table of CatMark by Sex:

Female Male

Severely Insufficient 13446 12792

R | "Math Marks" dataframe analysis

Insufficient	45808	26105
Sufficient	66269	45445
Good	50360	78813
Excellent	4330	56632

6.2) Relative frequencies distribution of Categorical Marks for each Sex:

0.02402712 0.25766765

 Female
 Male

 Severely Insufficient
 0.07461171
 0.05820180

 Insufficient
 0.25418810
 0.11877409

 Sufficient
 0.36772597
 0.20676837

 Good
 0.27944710
 0.35858809

6.3) Cumulative absolute frequencies:

Excellent

Female-SI Female-I Female-S Female-G Female-E Male-SI Male-I Male-S Male-G Male-E 13446 59254 125523 175883 180213 193005 219110 264555 343368 400000

6.4) Cumulative relative frequencies, both for Female and Male:

Female-SI Female-I Female-S Female-G Female-E Male-SI Male-I Male-S Male-G Male-E 0.07461171 0.32879981 0.69652578 0.97597288 1.00000000 1.05820180 1.17697589 1.38374426 1.74233235 2.00000000

6.5) Plotting the absolute frequency distribution:

RPlot_3 = Frequency Distribution of Categorical Marks by Sex - see attached png-file

6.6) Plotting the cumulative absolute frequency distribution:

RPlot_4 = Cumulative Frequency Distribution of Categorical Marks by Sex - see attached png-file

6.7) Plotting the frequency distribution:

RPlot_5 = Frequency Distribution of Categorical Marks by Mark - see attached png-file

7) Create a binary variable for Marks:

	School	Sex	${\tt MathMark}$	${\tt SchoolIndex}$	CatMark		ExcelMath
1	Tecnico	Female	6.5	7	Sufficient	Not	Excellent
2	Tecnico	Female	6.0	128	Sufficient	Not	Excellent
3	Tecnico	Female	5.7	132	Insufficient	Not	Excellent
4	Liceo	Female	8.0	162	Good	Not	Excellent
5	Liceo	Male	6.9	45	Sufficient	Not	Excellent
6	Tecnico	Female	6.5	152	Sufficient	Not	Excellent

Finally, frequency of binary marks conditioned to School:

Liceo Tecnico

Excellent 0.23393452 0.09336638
Not Excellent 0.76606548 0.90663362