

FSPL – Assignment 3

冯诗伟 161220039

1 Problem 2.1

Syntax : $\langle comm \rangle ::= \langle var \rangle, \langle var \rangle := \langle intexp \rangle, \langle intexp \rangle$

Semantic : $\llbracket v_1, v_2 := e_1, e_2 \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = [\sigma | v_1 : \llbracket e_1 \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma, v_2 : \llbracket e_2 \rrbracket_{intexp} \sigma]$

2 Problem 2.2

2.1 a

Syntax : $\langle comm \rangle ::= \mathbf{repeat} \ \langle comm \rangle \ \mathbf{until} \ \langle boolexp \rangle$

Semantic : $\llbracket \mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = Y_{\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_{\perp}} F$

where $F \ f \ \sigma = (\mathbf{if} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{then} \ f \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{skip})_{\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)$

2.2 b

Syntactic sugar : $\mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b \stackrel{def}{=} c; \mathbf{while} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{do} \ c$

2.3 c

For all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, according to the definition in (a),

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma &= F \llbracket \mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma \\ &= (\mathbf{if} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{then} \ (\mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b) \ \mathbf{else} \ \mathbf{skip})_{\perp} (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \\ &= \mathbf{if} \ \neg (\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)) \ \mathbf{then} \ (\mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b) \ \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \ \mathbf{else} \ (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition in (b),

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma &= \llbracket c; \mathbf{while} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{do} \ c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma \\ &= \llbracket \mathbf{while} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{do} \ c \rrbracket_{comm} \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \\ &= \mathbf{if} \ \neg (\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)) \ \mathbf{then} \ \llbracket c; \mathbf{while} \ \neg b \ \mathbf{do} \ c \rrbracket_{comm} \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \ \mathbf{else} \ (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \\ &= \mathbf{if} \ \neg (\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)) \ \mathbf{then} \ (\mathbf{repeat} \ c \ \mathbf{until} \ b) \ \perp (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \ \mathbf{else} \ (\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the two definitions are equivalent.

3 Problem 2.3

We can divide σx into three conditions and discuss them respectively.

(1) When σx is even and $\sigma x < 0$, $\sigma x - 2 < 0$.

So it will never terminates. So when $\sigma x < 0$, $\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = \perp$.

(2) When σx is odd, $\sigma x - 2$ is always add. But 0 is an even number, so x will never equal 0. So it will never terminates. So when $\sigma x < 0$, $\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma = \perp$.

(3) When σx is even and $\sigma x \geq 0$, x must be in the form of $2k$ where $k \geq 0$. Let's do the induction.

When $\sigma x = 0$, it will terminate directly and go to the state $[\sigma|x : 0]$.

Suppose that when $\sigma x = 2k$, it will terminate in the state of $[\sigma|x : 0]$.

$$\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} [\sigma|x : 2k + 2] \rightarrow^* \llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} [\sigma|x : 2k]$$

According the induction hypothesis, $\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} [\sigma|x : 2k]$ will terminate in the state of $[\sigma|x : 0]$. So we can get that $\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} [\sigma|x : 2k + 2]$ will terminate in the state of $[\sigma|x : 0]$.

Now we come to the conclusion that for all $k \geq 0$, $\llbracket \text{while } x \neq 0 \text{ do } x := x - 2 \rrbracket_{comm} [\sigma|x : 2k]$ will terminate in the state of $[\sigma|x : 0]$.

In conclusion, by combining(1)(2)(3) together we can prove the equation in Problem2.3.

4 Problem 2.4

$$F f \sigma = \text{if } \llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma \text{ then } f_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \text{ else } \sigma$$

First we have to prove that F is monotone. Consider two functions f and h , where $f \sqsubseteq h$.

For all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, if $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{false}$, or if $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{true}$ and $(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) = \perp$, it is obvious that $F f \sigma = F h \sigma$, which means $F f \sigma \sqsubseteq F h \sigma$. If $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{true}$ and $(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \neq \perp$, $F f \sigma = f_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)$, $F h \sigma = h_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)$. Because $f \sqsubseteq h$, we have that for all x , $f x \sqsubseteq h x$. So $f_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \sqsubseteq h_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)$ which means $F f \sigma \sqsubseteq F h \sigma$.

Next we have to prove that F is continous. Consider an interesting chain of functions $f_0 \sqsubseteq f_1 \sqsubseteq f_2 \sqsubseteq \dots$ and $g = \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n$.

For all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, if $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{false}$, or if $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{true}$ and $(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) = \perp$, it is obvious that $F(\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n) \sigma = (\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F f_n) \sigma$, which means $F(\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n) \sigma \sqsubseteq \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F f_n) \sigma$.

If $\llbracket b \rrbracket_{boolexp} \sigma = \text{true}$ and $(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \neq \perp$,

$$F(\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n) \sigma = F g \sigma = g(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \dots \dots \dots (1) \text{ 式}$$

$$\text{原来的提交版: } \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F f_n) \sigma = \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \sqsubseteq g(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma)$$

$$\text{解释版: } \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F f_n) \sigma \stackrel{Prop 2.2}{=} \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \supseteq (\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n)(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) = g(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{comm} \sigma) \stackrel{(1) \text{ 式}}{=} F(\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n) \sigma$$

【主要改动的是，原来提交版的小于号方向写反了】

$$\therefore F(\sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n) \sigma \sqsubseteq \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (F f_n) \sigma$$

In conclusion, F in the semantic equation for the *while* command is continous.

5 Problem 2.5

Define a series of commands: w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots

$$w_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{while\ true\ do\ skip}$$

$$w_{i+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } (c; w_i) \mathbf{\ else\ skip}$$

Define the function F :

$$F\ f\ \sigma = \mathbf{if\ } \llbracket b \rrbracket_{\text{boolexp}} \sigma \mathbf{\ then\ } f_{\perp}(\llbracket c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma) \mathbf{\ else\ } \sigma$$

$$\text{where } F\llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} = \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}}$$

For all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, consider the left side of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma &= \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \llbracket w_n \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma \\ &= \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n \perp \sigma \end{aligned}$$

Consider the right side of the equation:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } c \mathbf{\ else\ skip}) \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma = \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; w_1) \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma$$

Suppose $\mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; w_1)$ terminates after \mathbf{while} testing b exactly $n(> 0)$ times. It indicates that $\mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; w_1)$ terminates after testing b $2n-2$ or $2n-1$ times because both \mathbf{while} and w_1 test b and it can terminate at both places. Therefore we can operate on the equation above:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } c \mathbf{\ else\ skip}) \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma &= \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; w_1) \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} \sigma \\ &= \sqcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (F^{2n-2} \perp \sigma \sqcup F^{2n-1} \perp \sigma) \\ &= \sqcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n \perp \sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } c \rrbracket_{\text{comm}} = \llbracket \mathbf{while\ } b \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; \mathbf{if\ } b \mathbf{\ then\ } c \mathbf{\ else\ skip}) \rrbracket_{\text{comm}}$$

6 Problem 2.9

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{for\ } v := e_0 \mathbf{\ to\ } e_1 \mathbf{\ do\ } c &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{newvar\ } w := e_1 \mathbf{\ in\ newvar\ } v := e_0 \mathbf{\ in} \\ &(\mathbf{while\ } v < w \mathbf{\ do\ } (c; v := v + 1)); \mathbf{if\ } v = w \mathbf{\ then\ } c \mathbf{\ else\ skip} \end{aligned}$$

7 Problem 2.10

If c doesn't contain occurrence of **dotwice**,

$$\mathbf{dotwice\ } c = c; c$$

If c contains occurrences of **dotwice**, $c = \mathbf{dotwice\ } d$

$$\mathbf{dotwice\ } c = \mathbf{dotwice\ } (\mathbf{dotwice\ } d) = \mathbf{dotwice\ } (d; d) = d; d; d; d$$

The right side will eventually contain no occurrence of **dotwice**. If the d mentioned above also contains the occurrence of **dotwice**, the length of final result will just explode in an exponential way.