

## Product Requirements Document (PRD)

**Project:** AI-Assisted Emergency Dispatch – Map Prototype (Ingolstadt)

**Type:** Interactive UX / Concept Prototype

**Audience:** Developers, Designers, Research Evaluators

**Goal:** Demonstrate how an AI-assisted dispatch interface could support routing decisions under real-world constraints (traffic, congestion), without automating decisions.

---

### 1. Problem Statement

Emergency dispatchers rely heavily on experience and situational awareness when assigning vehicles to incidents.

Current systems often:

- Do not visualize **real-time traffic impact** clearly
- Do not make routing consequences **immediately visible**
- Require dispatchers to mentally simulate travel times and congestion
- Offer little transparency into why a route may be delayed

This prototype explores how a **map-based, transparent routing visualization** could support — not replace — human decision-making.

---

### 2. Objectives

#### Primary Objective

Create a **single-screen interactive map prototype** that simulates emergency dispatch routing with traffic awareness.

#### Secondary Objectives

- Support **trust calibration** by visualizing route quality instead of making opaque recommendations
  - Reduce cognitive load through **immediate visual feedback**
  - Enable exploratory interaction for research, demos, and portfolio use
- 

### 3. Scope

#### In Scope (MVP)

- One interactive map screen

- Real map of **Ingolstadt, Germany**
- Simulated emergency vehicles with random positions
- Simulated incident location
- Click-based route calculation
- Traffic-aware route visualization

#### **Out of Scope (for now)**

- Real dispatch logic or optimization
  - Backend systems
  - Authentication
  - Multi-screen workflows
  - Legal or operational deployment
- 

#### **4. User Persona**

##### **Primary User:**

Emergency Dispatcher (Fire / EMS)

##### **Characteristics:**

- Works under time pressure
  - High responsibility, high accountability
  - Strong reliance on experience (“gut feeling”)
  - Skeptical of automation, values transparency and control
- 

#### **5. User Flow**

1. User opens the prototype
2. A map of Ingolstadt is displayed
3. Several emergency vehicles are visible on the map
4. User clicks **“Simulate Incident”**
5. An incident location appears on the map
6. User clicks on a vehicle
7. A route from vehicle → incident is calculated and displayed

8. Route segments visualize traffic conditions:
    - Blue = free flow
    - Orange = slow traffic
    - Red = congestion
  9. User can click another vehicle to compare routes
- 

## 6. Functional Requirements

### FR-1: Map Display

- Display a real, interactive map centered on Ingolstadt
- Pan & zoom enabled
- Clean, minimal UI (dispatch-friendly)

#### Suggested tools:

Mapbox GL JS / Google Maps SDK / Leaflet

---

### FR-2: Vehicle Simulation

- Display multiple emergency vehicles on the map
- Vehicle types can be generic (e.g. “RTW”, “Fire Engine”)
- Initial positions are **randomized within Ingolstadt**
- Vehicles are clickable

#### Visual Requirements:

- Clear icons
  - Always visible on the map
  - No clutter
- 

### FR-3: Incident Simulation

- A button labeled “**Simulate Incident**”
- On click:
  - Generate a random incident location within Ingolstadt
  - Place an incident marker on the map

- Only one active incident at a time (MVP)
- 

#### FR-4: Route Calculation

- When a vehicle is clicked:
  - Calculate a route from the vehicle to the incident
- Route should follow real road geometry
- Route is drawn on the map immediately

#### Technical Options:

- Mapbox Directions API
  - Google Directions API
  - OpenRouteService
- 

#### FR-5: Traffic-Aware Visualization

- Route is segmented and color-coded:
  - **Blue:** normal traffic
  - **Orange:** slow traffic
  - **Red:** heavy congestion / traffic jam
- Traffic data can be:
  - Real (preferred, via API)
  - Mocked / simulated (acceptable for prototype)

#### Key Requirement:

Traffic impact must be **visually understandable at a glance**, not hidden in numbers.

---

#### FR-6: Comparison & Override (Implicit)

- User can click different vehicles
  - Each click recalculates and redraws the route
  - No automatic “best vehicle” is chosen
  - The system **shows**, the human **decides**
-

## 7. Non-Functional Requirements

### Usability

- One-screen only
- No modal overload
- Interaction must be understandable without explanation

### Performance

- Route calculation < 1–2 seconds (perceived)
- Smooth map interactions

### Transparency

- No black-box decision-making
  - Visual explanation instead of textual justification
- 

## 8. Trust & Ethics Design Principles

This prototype explicitly follows **trustworthy AI principles**:

- **Human-in-the-loop:** No automatic dispatch decisions
  - **Explainability:** Traffic impact is visual, not abstract
  - **Control:** Dispatcher initiates every action
  - **Resilience:** Prototype does not assume perfect data
  - **Support, not replacement:** The system augments situational awareness
- 

## 9. Success Criteria

The prototype is successful if:

- Users immediately understand what is happening on the map
  - Traffic influence on routing is obvious without explanation
  - Users naturally compare vehicles instead of accepting a “recommended” one
  - The interface feels supportive, not commanding
- 

## 10. Optional Enhancements (Nice-to-Have)

- ETA display per route

- Toggle: “Traffic on / off”
  - Animated vehicle movement
  - Time-of-day traffic simulation
  - Tooltip explaining *why* a segment is red (e.g. “rush hour”)
- 

## 11. Tech Stack Suggestions (Optional)

- **Frontend:** React / Next.js
  - **Map:** Mapbox GL JS
  - **Routing:** Mapbox Directions API
  - **Traffic:** Mapbox Traffic or Google Traffic
  - **State:** Local state only (no backend)
-