


SQL Next Steps: Optimization

Getting the most out of your database

By **Haki Benita**





About Me

Haki Benita

- DBA (Oracle and PostgreSQL)
- Full Stack Developer (Python / Django / Javascript)
- Team leader
- Data plumber
- Website: <https://hakibenita.com/>
- Twitter: [@haki_be](https://twitter.com/haki_be)



What You'll Learn

- How to avoid common mistakes in SQL
- How to improve performance of SQL
- How to be more productive writing SQL



SQL History


- SQL = **S**tructured **Q**uery **L**anguage
- Used to interact with **relational databases** (RDBMS)
- Invented in the early 70s at IBM based on work by Edgar F. Codd
- Became a standard in 1986 (ANSI-86)
- Standard revised in 1992 (ANSI-92)

 [Important Papers: Codd and the Relational Model](#)


 [Comparing Database Types: How Database Types Evolved to Meet Different Needs](#)



PostgreSQL



- Based on the Berkeley POSTGRES project from 1986
- Released under the name Postgres95
- Open Source
- Free
- Growing fast!



Source: https://db-engines.com/en/ranking_trend



Anatomy of an SQL Query

SELECT
FROM
WHERE
GROUP BY
HAVING
ORDER BY
LIMIT

[Q] In which order are the parts of the query executed?



Anatomy of an SQL Query

In order of execution

FROM

WHERE

SELECT

GROUP BY

HAVING

ORDER BY

LIMIT




Question

What's wrong with this query?

```
SELECT
    department,
    count(*) as number_of_employees
FROM
    employees
WHERE
    number_of_employees > 10
GROUP BY
    department
```

Order of execution:

FROM
WHERE
SELECT
GROUP BY
HAVING
ORDER BY
LIMIT






Question

What's wrong with this query?

```
SELECT
    department,
    count(*) as number_of_employees
FROM
    employees
WHERE
    number_of_employees > 10
GROUP BY
    department
```

```
SELECT
    department,
    count(*) as number_of_employees
FROM
    employees
GROUP BY
    department
HAVING
    number_of_employees > 10
```



Aggregate results can only be used in the HAVING clause

When conditions in the WHERE clause are being evaluated, the result of the aggregation function is not yet available.




Question

What's wrong with this query?

```
SELECT
  count(*) as number_of_employees
FROM
  employees
LIMIT
  1
```

Order of execution:

FROM
WHERE
SELECT
GROUP BY
HAVING
ORDER BY

A vertical line with a downward-pointing arrowhead, indicating the sequence of execution from top to bottom.



Question

What's wrong with this query?


```
SELECT
  count(*) as number_of_employees
FROM
  employees
LIMIT
  1
```

Limit has no effect

LIMIT is executed on the aggregated results, so it has not effect in this case (if might cause confusion, so better to omit!)

Common Mistakes In SQL

Writing correct SQL





Sales Database Setup

- PostgreSQL 12
- Use [DB Fiddle](#)
- Use local database
- Follow along with presentation



Sales Database

```
select * from sale
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
2	NY	2020-03-31 21:00:00-07		Shoes	5000	0
3	LA	2020-03-31 23:15:00-07	Lily	Shoes	15000	0
4	LA	2020-04-01 02:10:00-07	John	Shoes	5000	2500
5	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07		Shirt	1500	0
6	NY	2020-03-31 19:07:00-07	John	Shirt	1850	0
7	LA	2020-03-31 02:55:00-07	Bill	Shirt	1250	0
8	LA	2020-03-31 03:45:00-07	Lily	Shirt	1850	100
9	NY	2020-03-31 00:45:00-07	Lily	Pants	5200	0
10	LA	2020-03-31 03:45:00-07	John	Pants	5200	0
11	LA	2020-04-01 00:01:00-07	David	Pants	4500	0
12	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07		Hat	8000	8000
13	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07	Bill	Give Away	0	0
14	NY	2020-03-31 10:01:00-07		Give Away	0	0
15	LA	2020-04-01 03:45:00-07		Give Away	0	0



Exercise

What is the discount rate on **Shoes**?

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE product = 'Shoes';
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-04-01 03:15:00+00	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
2	NY	2020-04-01 04:00:00+00		Shoes	5000	0
3	LA	2020-04-01 06:15:00+00	Lily	Shoes	15000	0
4	LA	2020-04-01 09:10:00+00	John	Shoes	5000	2500

10%



Exercise

What is the discount rate on **Shoes**?

```
SELECT price, discount, discount / price * 100 as discount_rate
FROM sale
WHERE product = 'Shoes';
```

price	discount	discount_rate
10000	1000	0
5000	0	0
15000	0	0
5000	2500	0

How is this possible?

Be Careful When Dividing Integers

Integer division truncates the result

```
SELECT 1000 / 10000;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
0
```

```
SELECT 1000 / 10000::float;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
0.1
```

```
SELECT 1000 / 10000::float * 100;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
10
```

Casting the denominator to float produces the expected result



Be Careful When Dividing Integers

Integer division truncates the result

```
SELECT 1000 / 10000::float;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
0.1
```

```
SELECT 1000 / 10000.0;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
0.1
```

TIP!

Shorter to use floating point number (instead of cast)



Exercise

What is the discount rate on **Shoes**?

Put it together:

```
SELECT price, discount, discount / price::float as discount_rate
FROM sale
WHERE product = 'Shoes';
```

price	discount	discount_rate
10000	1000	0.1
5000	0	0
15000	0	0
5000	2500	0.5



Exercise

What is the discount rate on **Shoes**?

Multiple by 100:

```
SELECT price, discount, discount / price::float * 100 as discount_rate
FROM sale
WHERE product = 'Shoes';
```

price	discount	discount_rate
10000	1000	10
5000	0	0
15000	0	0
5000	2500	50



Exercise

Find the **average** discount rate **by product**



Exercise

Find the **average** discount rate **by product**

```
SELECT
    product,
    AVG(discount / price::float) * 100 as discount_rate
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

```
ERROR:  division by zero
```



Guard Against "division by zero" Errors

```
SELECT id, product, price, discount
FROM sale
WHERE price = 0;
```

id	product	price	discount
13	Give Away	0	0
14	Give Away	0	0
15	Give Away	0	0

Product "Give Away" price is zero and it causes the division to fail

Guard Against "division by zero" Errors

NULLIF(value1, value2)

The NULLIF function returns a null value if *value1* equals *value2*; otherwise it returns *value1*.



[NULLIF Documentation](#)

The adjusted price is NULL when the price equals zero

```
SELECT
  id, product, price, discount,
  NULLIF(price, 0) AS adjusted_price
FROM sale
WHERE price = 0;
```

id	product	price	discount	adjusted_price
13	Give Away	0	0	
14	Give Away	0	0	
15	Give Away	0	0	

Dividing by null produces null, not an error

No error!

```
SELECT
  id,
  product,
  discount / NULLIF(price, 0) AS discount_rate
FROM sale
WHERE price = 0;
```

id	product	discount_rate
----	---------	---------------

13	Give Away	
----	-----------	--

14	Give Away	
----	-----------	--

15	Give Away	
----	-----------	--

Result of division
is now NULL.



Exercise

Find the average discount rate **by product**

```
SELECT
    product,
    AVG(discount / NULLIF(price, 0)::float) * 100 AS discount_rate
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

product	discount_rate
Shirt	1.3513513513513513
Pants	0
Hat	100
Shoes	15
Give Away	

What is the real discount here?



Exercise

Find the average discount rate **by product**

COALESCE(*value1*, ..., *valueN*)

Returns the first of its arguments that is not NULL



[COALESCE Documentation](#)

Make discount rate zero for products with price zero

```
SELECT
  product,
  COALESCE(AVG(discount / NULLIF(price, 0)::float), 0) * 100
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

product	discount_rate
Shirt	1.3513513513513513
Pants	0
Hat	100
Shoes	15
Give Away	0



Exercise

How many **unique users** purchased **each product**?

Exercise

How many unique users purchased each product?

Is this correct?

```
SELECT
    product,
    COUNT(DISTINCT customer) AS customers
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

product	customers
Give Away	1
Hat	0
Pants	3
Shirt	3
Shoes	3



Be Careful When Aggregating Nullable Column

Aggregate functions ignore null values!

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE product = 'Hat';
```

id	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
12	2020-04-01 18:01:00+03		Hat	8000	8000

Why was this customer not counted?

Be Careful When Aggregating Nullable Column

Aggregate functions
ignore NULL values!

This can also
be useful!

```
SELECT
  product,
  COUNT(*) AS cnt,
  COUNT(customer) AS cnt_customer
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

product	cnt	cnt_customer
Shirt	4	3
Pants	3	3
Hat	1	0
Shoes	4	3
Give Away	3	1



Exercise

How many sales were made to **known and unknown customers** for each product?



Hint: Use the fact that aggregate functions ignore null!



Exercise

How many sales were made to **known** and **unknown customers** for each

```
SELECT
    product,
    COUNT(customer) as known_customers,
    COUNT(*) - COUNT(customer) as unknown_customers
FROM sale
GROUP BY product;
```

product	known_customers	unknown_customers
Shirt	3	1
Pants	3	0
Hat	0	1
Shoes	3	1
Give Away	1	2



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by the customer **Bill**



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by the customer **Bill**

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer = 'Bill';
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
7	LA	2020-03-31 02:55:00-07	Bill	Shirt	1250	0
13	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07	Bill	Give Away	0	0



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by an **unknown customer**



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by an **unknown customer**

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer IS NULL;
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
2	NY	2020-03-31 21:00:00-07		Shoes	5000	0
5	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07		Shirt	1500	0
12	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07		Hat	8000	8000
14	NY	2020-03-31 10:01:00-07		Give Away	0	0
15	LA	2020-04-01 03:45:00-07		Give Away	0	0



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by a customer, **using a parameter**

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer = :name;
```

Using psql \set command to set the values of this parameter

 [psql Documentation](#)



Very common when writing queries using a reporting tool



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by a customer, **using a parameter**

```
\set name '\''Bill'\''
```

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer = :name;
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
7	LA	2020-03-31 02:55:00-07	Bill	Shirt	1250	0
13	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07	Bill	Give Away	0	0



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by a customer, **using a parameter**

```
\set name null
```

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer = :name;
```

```
id | branch | sold_at | customer | product | price | discount
```

```
---+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----
```

Is this correct?



Comparing NULL values

To compare null, we need to use **IS**

```
SELECT NULL IS NULL;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
t
```

```
SELECT NULL = NULL;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
SELECT (NULL = NULL) IS NULL;
```

```
?column?
```

```
-----
```

```
t
```



Comparing NULL values

How to compare both null and literal values?

```
SELECT *  
FROM sale  
WHERE
```

```
(:name IS NULL AND customer IS NULL)
```

Handle NULL case

```
OR
```

```
(:name IS NOT NULL AND customer = :name);
```

Handle Literal values



Comparing NULL values

How to compare both null and literal values?

```
\set name '\''Bill'\''
SELECT * FROM sale
WHERE (:name IS NULL AND customer IS NULL)
OR (:name IS NOT NULL AND customer = :name);
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
7	LA	2020-03-31 02:55:00-07	Bill	Shirt	1250	0
13	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07	Bill	Give Away	0	0



Comparing NULL values

How to compare both null and literal values?

```
\set name NULL
SELECT * FROM sale
WHERE (:name IS NULL AND customer IS NULL)
OR (:name IS NOT NULL AND customer = :name);
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
2	NY	2020-03-31 21:00:00-07		Shoes	5000	0
5	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07		Shirt	1500	0
12	LA	2020-04-01 23:01:00-07		Hat	8000	8000
14	NY	2020-03-31 10:01:00-07		Give Away	0	0
15	LA	2020-04-01 03:45:00-07		Give Away	0	0



Comparing NULL values

There must be a better way!



There is!



Comparing NULL values IS DISTINCT FROM

```
SELECT *  
FROM sale  
WHERE customer IS NOT DISTINCT FROM :name;
```

a IS DISTINCT FROM b

a IS NOT DISTINCT FROM b

Treating null like an ordinary value



[Comparison Functions and Operators](#)



[The Many Faces of DISTINCT in PostgreSQL](#)



Exercise

Write a query to find the sales made by a customer, **using a parameter**

```
\set name '\''Bill'\''
```

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer IS NOT DISTINCT FROM :name;
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
1	NY	2020-03-31 20:15:00-07	Bill	Shoes	10000	1000
...						

```
\set name NULL
```

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer IS NOT DISTINCT FROM :name;
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
2	NY	2020-03-31 21:00:00-07		Shoes	5000	0
...						



IS DISTINCT FROM

All cases

```
WITH t AS (  
  SELECT 1 as a, 1 as b UNION ALL  
  SELECT 1 as a, 2 as b UNION ALL  
  SELECT 1 as a, NULL as b UNION ALL  
  SELECT NULL as a, NULL as b  
)  
SELECT  
  a,  
  b,  
  a = b as equal,  
  a IS NOT DISTINCT FROM b AS is_not_distinct_from  
FROM  
  t;
```

a	b	equal	is_not_distinct_from
1	1	t	t
1	2	f	f
1	NULL	NULL	f
NULL	NULL	NULL	t



SUMMARY


Mistakes you can now avoid!



- **Be Careful when dividing integers**
Dividing by an integer truncates the result
- **Guard against "division by zero" errors**
Use NULLIF and COALESCE
- **Be Careful when aggregating nulls**
Aggregate functions ignore NULL values
- **Be Careful when comparing nulls**
Use IS DISTINCT FROM to treat NULL like a value

Common Mistakes In SQL

Working with dates and times





Exercise

Find the amount of sales during each month

```
month      | total_sales
-----+-----
2020-03-01 |      ?$
2020-04-01 |      ?$
```



Hint: Use [date_trunc](#) to get the month



Working with dates and times

How is date represented in the database?

- **EPOCH:** 01/01/1970 00:00:00 UTC
- **Unix time:** Seconds since **EPOCH**

```
SELECT extract('epoch' FROM now());
```

```
date_part
```

```
-----  
1583585129.063067
```



Working with dates and times

The year 2038 problem (Y2k38)

- On 32-bit systems, signed integer can only go as far as 2038-01-19 03:14:07 UTC
- Remember Y2K?

```
SET TIME ZONE UTC;
SET

SELECT
  '1970-01-01 UTC'::timestampz
+ interval '1 second' * (pow(2, 31) - 1) AS y28k;

y28k | 2038-01-19 03:14:07+00
```



[Year 2038 Problem](#)



[IMAGE CREDIT](#)



Working with dates and times

Time zones

- **UTC, Coordinated Universal Time:** the primary time standards, not adjusted to daylight savings.
- **GMT, Greenwich Mean Time:** Synonym for UTC (time at Greenwich, England).

```
SELECT
  now() at time zone 'UTC' as utc,
  now() at time zone 'GMT' as gmt;
```

```
utc | 2020-03-12 14:07:03.139617
```

```
gmt | 2020-03-12 14:07:03.139617
```




[Timezones: Documentation](#)

Time Zone

Represented as an offset from UTC

```
select *  
from pg_timezone_names  
where name ~* 'Sydney|Tel_Aviv|Paris|London|New_York'  
and name not like 'posix%'  
order by utc_offset;
```

name	abbrev	utc_offset	is_dst
America/New_York	EST	-05:00:00	f
Europe/London	GMT	00:00:00	f
Europe/Paris	CET	01:00:00	f
Asia/Tel_Aviv	IST	02:00:00	f
Australia/Sydney	AEDT	11:00:00	t



[IMAGE CREDIT](#)



[IANA: Database of all the time zones](#)



Exercise

Find your time zone



Use the table [pg_timezone_names](#)



Working with dates and times

Using time zones

- Specify the time zone of a timestamp

```
SELECT '2020-03-22 17:00:00 America/New_York'::timestampz;
```

- Convert a timestamp to a different time zone

```
SELECT '2020-03-22 17:00:00 America/New_York'::timestampz AT TIME ZONE 'Australia/Sydney';  
timezone
```

```
-----  
2020-03-23 08:00:00
```

```
SELECT now() AT TIME ZONE 'Australia/Sydney'  
timezone
```

```
-----  
2020-03-08 00:13:37.826917
```



Exercise

What is the time in **your local time zone**?



Use `NOW()` at time zone 'YOUR LOCAL TIME ZONE NAME'



Working with dates and times

Daylight Saving

- **DST, Daylight saving:** Changes to the clock to extend daylight (in warm seasons)
- **For example:** In the US, clock moves on the second Sunday in March (8/3/2020):

```
-- "Standard Time"
SELECT '2020-03-01 12:00:00 America/New_York' AT TIME ZONE 'UTC';
      timezone
-----
2020-03-01 17:00:00

-- "Daylight Time" (AKA "Summer Time"):
SELECT '2020-03-22 12:00:00 America/New_York' AT TIME ZONE 'UTC';
      timezone
-----
2020-03-22 16:00:00
```



Working with dates and times

Daylight Saving

- Daylight saving can produce surprising results:

```
select '2020-03-07 12:00:00 America/New_York'::timestampz -  
'2020-03-08 12:00:00 America/New_York'::timestampz as diff;  
diff  
-----  
-23:00:00
```

The day the clock moves there's only 23 hours!



Working with dates and times

Database types

- **date**: date without time
- **timestamp**: date and time without time zone
- **timestamp with time zone (timestampz)**: date and time with time zone

```
\d sale
      Table "public.sale"
  Column |          Type
  -----+-----
   id    | integer
 branch | text
 sold_at | timestamp with time zone
 customer | text
 product | text
 price   | integer
 discount | integer
```





Working with dates and times

Interval

```
SELECT '2020-03-22 17:00 UTC'::timestamp + INTERVAL '3 hours';  
2020-03-22 20:00:00+00
```

```
SELECT '2020-03-22 17:00 UTC'::timestamp + INTERVAL '3 hours 2 minutes 55 seconds';  
2020-03-22 20:02:55+00
```

```
SELECT '2020-03-22 17:00 UTC'::timestamp - INTERVAL '1 days' * 3;  
2020-03-19 17:00:00+00
```



[Interval Documentation](#)



Working with dates and times

Useful functions

```
SELECT now();  
now | 2020-03-12 14:41:18.910296+00
```

```
SELECT now()::date;  
now | 2020-03-12
```

```
SELECT date_trunc('month', now());  
date_trunc | 2020-03-01 00:00:00+00
```

```
SELECT date_trunc('hour', now());  
date_trunc | 2020-03-12 14:00:00+00
```

```
SELECT date_part('month', now());  
date_part | 3
```

```
SELECT date_part('dow', now());  
date_part | 4
```

```
SELECT extract('month' from now());  
date_part | 3
```

```
SELECT extract('day' from now());  
date_part | 12
```




Exercise

Find the amount of sales during each month
What went wrong...

```
SET TIME ZONE 'America/New_York';
```

```
SELECT date_trunc('month', sold_at) AS month,  
sum(price) AS total_sales  
FROM sale  
GROUP BY month;
```

month	total_sales
2020-04-01 00:00:00-04	37500
2020-03-01 00:00:00-05	26850



```
SET TIME ZONE 'America/Los_Angeles';
```

```
SELECT date_trunc('month', sold_at) AS month,  
sum(price) AS total_sales  
FROM sale  
GROUP BY month;
```

month	total_sales
2020-04-01 00:00:00-07	17500
2020-03-01 00:00:00-08	46850





Take Away

Unless explicitly mentioned, timezone is usually set by the client application

```
show time zone;
```

```
      TimeZone
```

```
-----
```

```
America/New_York
```



Exercise

Find the amount of sales during each month

***Assuming that billing for all branches is according to time zone "America/New_York"**



Hint: Explicitly set the time zone for the sold_at date.

Exercise

Find the amount of sales during each month

***Assuming that billing for all branches is according to time zone "America/New_York"**

```
SELECT
    date_trunc('month', sold_at at time zone 'America/New_York') AS month,
    SUM(price) AS total_sales
FROM sale
GROUP BY month;
```

month	total_sales
2020-04-01 00:00:00	37500
2020-03-01 00:00:00	26850

Time zone is
set explicitly



Exercise

What is the busiest **hour of the day** in all branches?



Hint: Which time zone should you use to extract the hour?



Exercise

What is the busiest **hour of the day** in all branches?

```
SELECT extract('hour' FROM sold_at) AS hour_of_day, COUNT(*) AS sales
FROM sale
GROUP BY hour_of_day
ORDER BY sales desc;
```

hour_of_day | sales

-----+-----

10 | 3

6 | 3

9 | 2

3 | 2

7 | 2

...

Is this correct?



Exercise

What is the busiest **hour of the day** in all branches?

```
SELECT extract('hour' FROM sold_at AT TIME ZONE CASE
        WHEN branch = 'NY' then 'America/New_York'
        WHEN branch = 'LA' then 'America/Los_Angeles'
      END) AS hour_of_day,
       count(*) AS sales
FROM sale
GROUP BY hour_of_day
ORDER BY sales DESC;
```

hour_of_day	sales
23	5
3	4
0	2
2	2
22	1
13	1



When working with dates and times be explicit about the time zone

Wrong!	Right!
<code>date_part('month', sold_at)</code>	<code>date_part('month', sold_at at time zone 'America/New_York')</code>
<code>extract('month' from sold_at)</code>	<code>extract('month' from sold_at at time zone 'America/New_York')</code>
<code>sold_at::date</code>	<code>(sold_at at time zone 'America/New_York')::date</code>
<code>'2020-03-22 11:00'</code>	<code>'2020-03-22 11:00 America/New_York'</code>



Exercise

How many sales were there in **March**?

***In "America/New_York" time**



Exercise

How many sales were there in **March**?

***In "America/New_York" time**

```
SELECT count(*)
FROM sale
WHERE sold_at BETWEEN '2020-03-01 America/New_York' AND '2020-04-01 America/New_York';

count
-----
9
```



Exercise

How many sales were there in **April**?

***In "America/New_York" time**

```
SELECT count(*)
FROM sale
WHERE sold_at BETWEEN '2020-04-01 America/New_York' AND '2020-05-01 America/New_York';

count
-----
7
```



Exercise

What can possibly go wrong?

```
SELECT count(*) FROM sale;
```

```
count
```

```
-----
```

```
15
```

March (9) + April (7) = 16 🥲 🥲 🥲



BETWEEN is Inclusive!

One sale is counted twice

```
SELECT * FROM sale WHERE sold_at = '2020-04-01 America/New_York';
```

id	branch	sold_at	customer	product	price	discount
2	NY	2020-03-31 21:00:00-07		Shoes	5000	0



Use Half Open Ranges

Ranges that don't overlap

```
SELECT count(*)
FROM sale
WHERE sold_at >= '2020-03-01 America/New_York'
AND sold_at < '2020-04-01 America/New_York';
count
-----
      8
```

```
SELECT count(*)
FROM sale
WHERE sold_at >= '2020-04-01 America/New_York'
AND sold_at < '2020-05-01 America/New_York';
count
-----
      7
```

March (8) + April (7) = 15 🙌



BETWEEN is Inclusive!

Not only timestamps...

- **Timestamps**
 - Unlikely if data is created by users (odd times)
 - More likely when data is created automatically (by batch jobs etc.)
- **Integers**
 - Binning
 - Dividing to buckets
 - Search for ranges...
- **Date** (with no time)
 - Very common when filtering on range of dates

```
-- Search for 90's babies...  
SELECT * FROM birthdates WHERE birthdate BETWEEN '1990-01-01' AND '2000-01-01';
```



SUMMARY


Working with date and time



- **Dates and times in PostgreSQL**
 - How is date represented in the database?
 - Using time zones
 - Daylight saving
 - Database types `date`, `timestamp`, `timestamp_tz`
 - Interval
 - Useful functions `now`, `date_trunc`, `date_part`, `extract`
- **Be explicit about the time zone when working with times**
Otherwise you might get incorrect results
- **BETWEEN is inclusive**
Use half open ranges to avoid overlap

Writing Faster SQL

Performance Tips





The Path of a Query



[Official Documentation](#)

Connection

Client application creates a connection to the database server

Parser Stage

Check the query for syntax errors

Rewrite System

Make adjustments to the query for the database internal needs (for example, inline views). This stage does not change the logic of the query

Planner /
Optimizer

Evaluate the query and find the **best execution plan**

Executor


Execute the query according to the execution plan



The Optimizer



[Official Documentation](#)



Connection

Parser Stage

Rewrite System

Planner /
Optimizer

Executor

- **Generate all possible execution plans**
 - This can take some time, depending on the query.
- **Estimate the cost for each plan**
 - Cost is measured in arbitrary units
 - Cost mostly measures disk page fetches (IO)
 - Using statistics obtained from analysing tables and indexes
 - Cost can be used to compare execution plans
- **Choose the plan with the lowest cost**
 - The lower the cost the faster is execution is (expected) to be



The Optimizer


Rule vs. Cost

Rule based optimizer

- Using rules and heuristics to come up with the best plan
- Intuitive
- Easier to implement

Cost based optimizer

- Score all possible execution plans based on statistics
- Less intuitive
- Harder to implement
- Expected to produce better plans for most queries



[Cockroach Labs: How We Built a Cost-Based SQL Optimizer](#)



Generate Some Data

Can't optimize with just 15 rows...

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestampz + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;

ANALYZE sale;
```



Generate Some Data

Tricks to generate random data...

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestamp + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;
```

Generate a range of 9985 consecutive numbers starting for the last sale_id

generate_series is a “Set Returning Function”: A function that returns more than one rows. This is what’s making the query return many rows.



[Set Returning Functions](#)



Generate Some Data

Tricks to generate random data...

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestamp + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;
```

Pick a random value from an array from values

To produce both known and unknown customers, the customer field is using a range greater than the length of the array (index outside the array will result in NULL).

Arrays in PostgreSQL starts at index 1



Generate Some Data

Tricks to generate random data...

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestamp + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;
```

Use interval arithmetics to produce random dates in the next 6 months

1 hour * 24 = 1 day * 30 = ~one month * 6 = six months!



Generate Some Data

Tricks to generate random data...

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestamp + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;
```

Produce random prices in range 0 - 150\$

Use the fact PostgreSQL truncate integers to produces prices in multiples of 10 cents

For example: $\text{round}(\text{random}() * 150 * 100)::\text{integer} = 4589 / 10 = 458 * 10 = 4580$



Generate Some Data

Analyze the table

```
INSERT INTO sale (id, branch, sold_at, customer, product, price, discount)
SELECT
  (SELECT MAX(id) FROM sale) + generate_series(1, 99985) as id,
  (ARRAY['NY', 'LA'])[ceil(random() * 2)] AS branch,
  '2020-03-01 00:00:00 UTC'::timestamp + interval '1 hour' * random() * 24 * 30 * 6 AS sold_at,
  (ARRAY['Bill', 'David', 'John', 'Lily'])[ceil(random() * 30)] AS customer,
  (ARRAY['Shoes', 'Shirt', 'Pants', 'Hat', 'Give Away'])[ceil(random() * 4)] AS product,
  round(random() * 150 * 100)::integer / 10 * 10 as price,
  0 as discount;

ANALYZE sale;
```

Collect stats on the table

 [ANALYZE command](#)



Execution Plan

Using the EXPLAIN command

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale;  
               QUERY PLAN  
-----  
Seq Scan on sale  (cost=0.00..1751.00 rows=100000 width=33)
```

- View execution plan
- Will not execute the query
- Shows estimates



[Using EXPLAIN](#)



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale;  
QUERY PLAN  
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..1751.00 rows=100000 width=33)
```

Database planning a
sequential scan on the
entire sale table

The estimated total cost
for this plan node

Estimated the query will
return 100,000 rows



Execution Plan

Useful statistics

- **reltuples**
Number of rows in the table
- **relpages**
Number of blocks in the table

 pg_class

```
SELECT relname, relpages, reltuples
FROM pg_class
WHERE relname = 'sale';
```

relname	relpages	reltuples
sale	751	100000

```
SHOW block_size;
8192
```

```
SELECT pg_size_pretty(pg_relation_size('sale'));
6008 kB
```



Execution Plan

A closer look *at the cost*

```
cost =  
relpages * seq_page_cost  
+  
reltuples * cpu_tuple_cost  
-----  
751 * 1  
+  
100000 * 0.01  
-----  
cost = 1,751
```

- Cost can vary between platforms
- Can vary because of sampling

```
SELECT relpages, reltuples FROM pg_class WHERE relname = 'sale';  
relpages | 751  
reltuples | 100000  
  
SHOW seq_page_cost;  
seq_page_cost | 1  
  
SHOW cpu_tuple_cost;  
cpu_tuple_cost | 0.01  
  
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale;  
QUERY PLAN  
  
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..1751.00 rows=100000 width=33)
```



Execution Plan

Estimated vs. Actual Rows

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE ON, TIMING ON) SELECT * FROM sale;
```

QUERY PLAN

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..1751 rows=100000 width=33) (actual time=0.026..11.209 rows=100000 loops=1)
```

Planning Time: 0.105 ms

Execution Time: 15.568 ms

- Executes the query
- View **estimated rows vs actual rows**
- Time execution



Execution Plan

Useful statistics

- **null_frac**
% of null value in field
- **n_distinct**
+ Number of distinct values (closed set)
- Distinct values / num rows (sequential, unique
-1 = unique)
- **correlation**
Correlation between physical row ordering and
logical ordering of the column values.
1 = rows stored sorted (in ascending order) on
disk, index scans are cheaper.

```
SELECT attname, null_frac, n_distinct, correlation
FROM pg_stats
WHERE tablename = 'sale';
```

attname	null_frac	n_distinct	correlation
sold_at	0	-1	-0.004219238
customer	0.8694	4	0.2778079
product	0	5	0.2626033
price	0	1501	0.0019420487
discount	0	1	1
branch	0	2	0.4909097
id	0	-1	1



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE id = 1000;
```

QUERY PLAN

```
-----  
Index Scan using sale_pkey on sale (cost=0.29..8.31 rows=1 width=33)
```

Index Cond: (id = 1000)

Database **used the index** on the primary key to access only the relevant blocks

The estimated cost is 8

Database estimated that the query will return only one row because the field has a unique constraint



[Using EXPLAIN](#)



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE customer IS NULL;
```

QUERY PLAN

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..1751.00 rows=86940 width=33)  
  Filter: (customer IS NULL)
```

$\text{rows} = \text{reltuples} * \text{null_frac}$

$86,940 = 100,000 * 0.8694$



Execution Plan

Useful statistics

- **most_common_vals**
Common values in the column
- **most_common_freqs**
Corresponding frequency

```
SELECT attname, most_common_vals, most_common_freqs
FROM pg_stats
WHERE tablename = 'sale'
AND attname IN ('branch', 'product', 'customer');
```

attname	most_common_vals	most_common_freqs
customer	{Lily,David,Bill,John}	{0.03516,0.0319,0.0317,0.03176}
product	{Shoes,Pants,Hat,Shirt}	{0.25396,0.2505,0.2491,0.24636}
branch	{NY,LA}	{0.50433,0.4956}



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'NY';  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=50433 width=33)  
  Filter: (branch = 'NY'::text)
```

NY freq = 0.50433

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'LA';  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=49567 width=33)  
  Filter: (branch = 'LA'::text)
```

LA freq = 0.49567

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'FOO';  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=1 width=33)  
  Filter: (branch = 'FOO'::text)
```

Unknown value



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE product IN ('Shoes', 'Pants');  
QUERY PLAN
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=50447 width=33)  
  Filter: (product = ANY ('{Shoes,Pants}'::text[]))
```

Shoes freq = 0.25396666

Pants freq = 0.2505

Shoes OR Pants = 0.25396666 + 0.2505 = 0.50447

Estimated rows = 100,000 * 0.50447 = 50,447



Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'NY' AND product = 'Shoes';  
QUERY PLAN
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2251.00 rows=12808 width=33)  
  Filter: ((branch = 'NY'::text) AND (product = 'Shoes'::text))
```

NY freq = 0.5043333

Shoes freq = 0.25396666

NY AND Pants = 0.5043333 * 0.25396666 = 0.12808

Estimated rows = 100,000 * 0.12808 = 12,808




Execution Plan

A closer look

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'NY' OR product = 'Shoes';  
QUERY PLAN
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2251.00 rows=63022 width=33)  
  Filter: ((branch = 'NY'::text) OR (product = 'Shoes'::text))
```


 [How the Planner Uses Statistics](#)

NY freq = 0.5043333

Shoes freq = 0.25396666

NY OR Pants = 0.5043333 + 0.25396666 - (0.5043333 * 0.25396666) = 0.63022

Estimated rows = 100,000 * 0.63022 = 63,022





Execution Plan

How Accurate is it?

```
EXPLAIN (ANALYZE ON) SELECT * FROM sale WHERE branch = 'NY' OR product = 'Shoes';
```

QUERY PLAN

```
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2251.00 rows=62683 width=33) (actual time=0.026..36.181 rows=62678 loops=1)
```

```
Filter: ((branch = 'NY'::text) OR (product = 'Shoes'::text))
```

```
Rows Removed by Filter: 37322
```

```
Planning Time: 0.180 ms
```

```
Execution Time: 40.728 ms
```

Pretty accurate!



Execution Plan

*Plan-reading is an art
that requires some
experience to master...*



 [Using EXPLAIN](#)



KEY TAKEAWAYS

Execution Plans



- 💡 **Databases are really good at optimizing queries!**
 - The optimizer is only as good as its stats
 - Incorrect estimates can indicate a possible performance problem
 - Provide the database with information about your data by analyzing and using constraints
- 💡 **Use cost to compare execution plans**
 - The lower the cost, the faster the query is expected to be
 - Cost is estimated based on statistics, so it can be inaccurate
 - Cost is not an absolute measure, use it only for comparison



Working With Indexes Setup

1. Create an index on the field customer

```
CREATE INDEX sale_customer_ix ON sale(customer);
```

2. Create an index on the field sold_at

```
CREATE INDEX sale_sold_at_ix ON sale(sold_at);
```

3. Create an index on the field product

```
CREATE INDEX sale_product_ix ON sale(product);
```

```
\d sale
      Column          |          Type          | Nullable |
-----+-----+-----+-----+
id                    | integer                | not null |
branch               | text                   |          |
sold_at              | timestamp with time zone |          |
customer             | text                   |          |
product              | text                   |          |
price                | integer                |          |
discount             | integer                |          |
```

Indexes:

```
"sale_pkey" PRIMARY KEY, btree (id)
"sale_customer_ix" btree (customer)
"sale_sold_at_ix" btree (sold_at)
"sale_product_ix" btree (product)
```

 [PostgreSQL Indexes: Documentation](#)

 [Indexes in PostgreSQL](#)

 [Indexes in PostgreSQL: B-Tree](#)



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

Indexes cannot be used with transformations

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE lower(customer) = 'bill';
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2251.00 rows=500 width=33)  
  Filter: (lower(customer) = 'bill'::text)
```



[Avoid transformations on indexed fields](#)



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

Simple arithmetics on indexed field (id):

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE id + 1 = 100;
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..226.22 rows=50 width=33)  
  Filter: ((id + 1) = 100)
```

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE id = 100 - 1;
```

```
-----  
Index Scan using sale_pkey on sale (cost=0.29..8.30 rows=1 width=33)  
  Index Cond: (id = 99)
```



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

Apply timezone on indexed field in comparison:

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE sold_at at time zone 'America/New_York' > '2021-01-01';
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..226.22 rows=3338 width=33)
```

```
  Filter: (timezone('America/New_York'::text, sold_at) > '2021-01-01 00:00:00'::timestamp  
without time zone)
```

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE sold_at > '2021-01-01 America/New_York';
```

```
-----  
Index Scan using sale_sold_at_idx on sale (cost=0.29..8.30 rows=1 width=33)
```

```
  Index Cond: (sold_at > '2021-01-01 05:00:00+00'::timestamp with time zone)
```



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

Date arithmetics on the indexed field:

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE sold_at - interval '1 day' > '2021-01-01 America/New_York'::timestampz;
```

```
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..226.22 rows=3338 width=33)  
  Filter: ((sold_at - '1 day'::interval) > '2021-01-01 05:00:00+00'::timestamp with time zone)
```

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE sold_at > '2021-01-01 America/New_York'::timestampz + interval '1 day';
```

```
-----  
Index Scan using sale_sold_at_idx on sale (cost=0.29..8.30 rows=1 width=33)  
  Index Cond: (sold_at > ('2021-01-01 05:00:00+00'::timestamp with time zone + '1 day'::interval))
```



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

Change string case (lower / upper)

Dont:

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE lower(email) = 'me@hakibenita.com'
```

Do:

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE email = lower('ME@HakiBenita.com')
```

 [Indexes on Expressions](#) (if you really have to)



Avoid Transformations on Indexed Fields

Common mistakes

String concatenation

Dont:

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE first_name || ' ' || last_name = 'Haki Benita'
```

Do:

```
SELECT * FROM users WHERE first_name = 'Haki' AND last_name = 'Benita'
```



Common Misconception

Indexes are not always the best plan

```
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE product in ('Shoes');  
-----  
Bitmap Heap Scan on sale (cost=474.14..1537.61 rows=24997 width=33)  
  Recheck Cond: (product = 'Shoes'::text)  
-> Bitmap Index Scan on sale_product_ix (cost=0.00..467.89 rows=24997 width=0)  
   Index Cond: (product = 'Shoes'::text)  
  
EXPLAIN SELECT * FROM sale WHERE product in ('Shoes', 'Pants');  
-----  
Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=49473 width=33)  
  Filter: (product = ANY ('{Shoes,Pants}'::text[]))
```

Few rows
Index used

Many rows
Index NOT used



Accessing a large portion of the table using an index is inefficient



SUMMARY

Make the most of your DB!



- **How the database processes a query**
Parse, rewrite, plan and execute
- **How to produce and compare execution plans**
Using EXPLAIN
- **How the database is using statistics**
To estimate row count and produce a plan
- **How row estimates are calculated**
For different type of predicates
- **Avoid transformations on indexed fields**
With examples using arithmetics, date and string manipulation
- **When using an index is not the best plan**
Fetching a lot of rows using an index is inefficient




Going further...

- **Re-Introducing Hash Indexes in PostgreSQL**
The Ugly Duckling of index types
<https://hakibenita.com/postgresql-hash-index>
- **The Unexpected Find That Freed 20GB of Unused Index Space**
How to free space without dropping indexes or deleting data
<https://hakibenita.com/postgresql-unused-index-size>
- **Some SQL Tricks of an Application DBA**
Index Columns With High Correlation Using BRIN
<https://hakibenita.com/sql-tricks-application-dba>

Writing SQL Faster

Productivity Tips





PSQL is awesome

Use it!

\h <command> - syntax for SQL commands

\watch <N> - execute a query every N seconds

\pset - formatting options

\H - output HTML tables

\x - expanded view (useful for wide rows)

\crosstabview - pivot table magic

\timing - time execution of commands

\c <db> <user> - switch between users and databases

\d, **\d+**, **\dt**, **\di+** - view table and index information

\e - edit last query in text editor

\gexec - execute query, then execute each value in its result

\copy - import/export data from/to database and files



CASE Can Take Many Forms

Avoid repetition

```
SELECT
  CASE
    WHEN fruit = 'apple' THEN 'red'
    WHEN fruit = 'pear'  THEN 'green'
    WHEN fruit = 'orange' THEN 'orange'
    ELSE '?'
  END AS color
FROM
  fruit;
```



```
SELECT
  CASE fruit
    'apple' THEN 'red'
    'pear'  THEN 'green'
    'orange' THEN 'orange'
    ELSE '?'
  END AS color
FROM
  fruit;
```



Reference Column in GROUP BY & ORDER BY

Use position or alias to avoid repetition

```
SELECT
    first_name || ' ' || last_name as full_name,
    count(*) as sales_by_user
FROM
    sale
GROUP BY
    first_name || ' ' || last_name
ORDER BY
    count(*) DESC
```



```
SELECT
    first_name || ' ' || last_name as full_name,
    count(*) as sales_by_user
FROM
    sale
GROUP BY
    1
ORDER BY
    sales_by_user DESC
```



It's best to avoid positional column reference in code, and use it only for ad-hoc queries.



Use Selective Aggregates

Where you used to use CASE



```
SELECT
  COUNT(*),
  SUM(CASE WHEN customer IS NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END),
  SUM(CASE WHEN customer IS NOT NULL THEN 1 ELSE 0 END)
FROM
  sale;
```



```
SELECT
  COUNT(*) AS sales,
  COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE customer IS NULL),
  COUNT(*) FILTER (WHERE customer IS NOT NULL)
FROM
  sale;
```



UNION vs. UNION ALL

When to use each one...

Eliminating
duplicates
requires a sort,
which can take
some time...

```
SELECT 1 UNION SELECT 1;  
?column?  
-----  
1
```

UNION: Concatenate results **and**
removes duplicates

```
SELECT 1 UNION ALL SELECT 1;  
?column?  
-----  
1  
1
```

UNION ALL: Concatenate results



[Know the Difference Between UNION and UNION ALL](#)



Symmetric Range

When you aren't sure about the order

```
SELECT 5 BETWEEN 0 AND 10;  
true  
  
SELECT 5 BETWEEN 10 AND 0;  
false  
  
SELECT 5 BETWEEN SYMMETRIC 10 AND 0;  
true  
  
SELECT 5 BETWEEN SYMMETRIC 0 AND 10;  
true
```





Use **DISTINCT ON**

Get the first / last **row** in a group

One of the most
useful features of
PostgreSQL!

```
SELECT DISTINCT ON (customer)
  *
FROM
  sale
ORDER BY
  customer,
  sold_at
```

- Can be used instead of RANK / ROW_NUMBER
- DISTINCT ON clause can accept multiple fields
- Field in DISTINCT ON must be in ORDER BY
- Can control first / last using sort order (ASC / DESC)



[SELECT: Documentation](#)



[The many faces of distinct in PostgreSQL: DISTINCT ON](#)



Invisible Indexes

Using transactional DDL

```
haki=# explain select * from sale
where customer = 'Bill';
```

QUERY PLAN

Bitmap Heap Scan on sale

Recheck Cond: (customer = 'Bill'::text)

-> Bitmap Index Scan on sale_customer_ix

Index Cond: (customer = 'Bill'::text)

Drop the index inside a transaction to
simulate execution without it

```
haki=# begin;
```

```
BEGIN
```

```
haki=# drop index sale_customer_ix;
```

```
DROP INDEX
```

```
haki=# explain select *
from sale where customer = 'Bill';
```

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on sale (cost=0.00..2001.00 rows=3187 width=33)

Filter: (customer = 'Bill'::text)

(2 rows)

```
haki=# rollback;
```



More Awesome Features

Some *must know* PostgreSQL features

[JSON Functions and Operators](#)

Store, query and manipulate JSON documents straight in the database.

[Window Functions](#)

Write complicated analytics reports using advanced window functions.

[Full Text Search](#)

Search, index and rank results using a fully featured text search in the database.

[Practical SQL for Data Analysis](#)

Descriptive statistics, histograms, subtotals, regressions and many more using SQL!

What You've Learned



How to avoid common mistakes in SQL



How to write faster SQL



How to write SQL faster



PostgreSQL Fundamentals

Level up your work with PostgreSQL

Next online live training:

September 22, 2021

[Details here >>>](#)



Haki Benita



Check out my blog hakibenita.com



Find me on Twitter [@be_haki](https://twitter.com/be_haki)



Subscribe to my newsletter at hakibenita.com/subscribe



Send me an email me@hakibenita.com



THANK YOU!

Haki Benita

