

Lecturer: Andrew Parkes
<http://www.cs.nott.ac.uk/~pszajp/>

COMP2054-ADE

Recurrence Relations

Recurrence Relations

- A recurrence relation is a recursively-defined function
 - But, generally, applied to the case when the function is some measure of resources ...
 - and we might only want the big-Oh family properties of the solution
- Suppose that the runtime of a program is $T(n)$, then a recurrence relation will express $T(n)$ in terms of its values at other (smaller) values of n .
 - (By “runtime” is usually meant something ‘abstract’ such as counting of operations. We do not care about difficulties of true timing in nanoseconds.)

Example: Merge Sort

- Suppose the runtime of merge-sort of an array of n integers is $T(n)$. Then

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + b + a n$$

- “ $2 T(n/2)$ ” is due to having to sort the two sub-arrays each of size $n/2$
- “ b ” is the cost of doing the split
- “ $a n$ ” is the cost of doing the merge (and any copying to/from the workspace, etc.)

Example: Merge Sort

- Suppose the runtime of merge-sort of an array of n integers is $T(n)$.
 - Gave the recursive case
 - We also need a base-case.
 - We can take

$$T(1) = 1$$

- As just need to check the array length is 1.
- If make it some other number then we could just rescale the results for $T(n)$ to match. This convention is just for simplicity and convenience.

Example: Merge Sort

- Suppose the runtime of merge-sort of an array of n integers is $T(n)$.
 - Note that we simplified: if n is odd then we ought to have
 - " $T(n/2) + T(n/2+1) + \dots$ "
 - E.g. at $n=9$ $T(9) = T(4) + T(5) + \dots$
 - However, (generally), ignore such details, as they (generally) make no difference to the final statements of big-Oh behaviour.

Example: Merge Sort

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + b + a n$$

- We will do some special cases:

Example 1:

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 2 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$
(If watching offline, pause and try)

Example 1:

- How would we solve $T(n) = 2 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$
- Given $T(1)=1$, what else can we evaluate?
 - $T(2)$ or $T(1/2)$
 - but want to solve for larger n , not smaller fractions, hence:
 - $T(2) = 2 T(1) = 2$, and then can get
 - $T(4) = 2 T(4/2) = 2 T(2) = 4$
 - $T(8) = 2 T(8/2) = 2 T(4) = 8$
 - Etc.
- It seems a good guess $T(2^k) = 2^k$
- But how do we prove it in general?
 - Induction!

8

Here we just work out some examples explicitly, and then look for a pattern.

In this case it is “obvious”.

However “it is obvious” is not a proof. So should do it using induction.

Example 1 (cont):

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 2 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$
- Claim: for all k . $T(2^k) = 2^k$
- Proof by induction:
 - Base case: true at $k=0$. Recall: $2^0 = 1$
 - Step case. Suppose true at k (hypothesis), then need to show is true at $k+1$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(2^{k+1}) &= 2 T(2^{k+1}/2) \text{ (using the recurrence)} \\
 &= 2 T(2^k) \text{ (simplification)} \\
 &= 2 \cdot 2^k \text{ (using the hypothesis claim)} \\
 &= 2^{k+1} \text{ QED. As matches the claim at } k+1
 \end{aligned}$$

Make sure you understand the structure of this proof!

9

You can read this 100 times, but it is still far better, and essential, to do it yourself from a clean sheet. !

As ever, the step case assumes it is true at some value and proves it is true at the next value.

It generally does not matter if the step is from k to $k+1$, or from $k-1$ to k , as k is a 'dummy variable'.

Example 1 (cont):

- How would we solve
$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) \quad \text{with} \quad T(1)=1$$
- Showed $T(2^k) = 2^k$ for all k in \mathbb{N} , that is,
$$T(n) = n \quad \text{for all } n \text{ in } \{1,2,4,8,16,\dots\}$$
- What about other values of n ? E.g. what is $T(3)$?
- Depends what one wants!
 - Usually (in this module) just want the growth rate
 - So we can just be imprecise with, $T(n)=n$ for all n , and so then is $\Theta(n)$
 - Might need to refine the recurrence relation, use ceiling and floors to get integers.
 - Messy! But would be the same scaling answer, so do not (usually) bother

10

Now look at more details.

Note that $T(3)$ is not really defined.

We could note that the previous gives $T(n)=n$ – and extend it. Note this extension is a common technique if maths. Extend the range to which something is applied.

Main thing we want here is just that it is $\Theta(n)$.

Example 2:

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + b \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$

(Pause and try)

11

Next one to try.

Example 2:

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + b \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$
- We know $T(1)=1$, hence
 - $T(2) = 2 T(1) + b = 2 + b$
 - $T(4) = 2 T(4/2) + b = 2 (2 + b) + b = 4 + (2+1)b$
 - $T(8) = 2 (4 + (2+1)b) + b = 8 + (4+2+1) b$
- It seems a good guess

$$T(2^k) = 2^k + (2^{(k-1)} + \dots + 1)b$$

$$= 2^k + (2^k - 1) b$$

So $T(n) = n + (n-1) b = (1+b)n - b$ for n in $\{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots\}$
 Still $\Theta(n)$

12

AS before we just substitute and compute. This step is “mechanical” – after all you could write a program.

But then need to rearrange to look for a pattern.

In this case we can rearrange and collect the ‘b terms’.

If put it back in terms of n , then get it is $\Theta(n)$. The extra ‘b’ in the recurrence did not affect the scaling.

Example 2: (cont)

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + b$ with $T(1)=1$
- Claim: $T(2^k) = 2^k + (2^k - 1) b$
- Proof by induction:
 - Base case: $k=0$, $T(1) = 1 + (1-1)*b = 1$
 - Step case: assume true at k
 - $T(2^{k+1}) = 2 T(2^k) + b$
 $= 2 (2^k + (2^k - 1) b) + b$
 $= 2^{k+1} + (2^{k+1} - 2 + 1) b$
 $= 2^{k+1} + (2^{k+1} - 1) b$

QED.

13

As before can do induction. Try to repeat this yourself as practice!

Example 3:

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + a n \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$

(Pause and try, even if you saw the answer before!)

14

Next case, what if add the $a*n$ term.
Any guesses?

Example 3:

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + a n \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$
- We know $T(1)=1$, hence try with $n = 2^k$
 - $k=1$: $T(2) = 2 T(1) + 2 a = 2 + 2 a$
 - $k=2$: $T(4) = 2 T(4/2) + 4 a = 2 (2 + 2 a) + 4 a$
 $= 4 + 2 * 4 a$
 - $k=3$: $T(8) = 2 (4 + 8 a) + 8 a = 8 + 3 * 8 a$
 - $k=4$: $T(16) = 2 (8 + 3 * 8 a) + 16 a = 16 + 4 * 16 a$
- It seems a good guess

$$T(2^k) = 2^k + k 2^k a = 2^k (1 + k a)$$

 So $T(n) = n + \log_2(n) n a$ for $n = \{1,2,4,8...\}$
 Now $\Theta(n \log n)$: what we expect of merge-sort !!

15

Let's "compute and inspect and guess".

After some arrangement we now spot that the right hand side has a plain k , instead of 2^k .

This means we have a \log_2 .

The scaling is the \log_2 we expect of mergesort.

This gives us a different way to analyse algorithms such as mergesort.

Example 3: (cont)

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 2 T(n/2) + a n \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$
- Claim: $T(2^k) = 2^k + k 2^k a = 2^k (1 + k a)$
- Base case: $k=0 \quad T(1) = 1 + 0 * 1 * a = 1$
- Step case: assume true at k
 - $$\begin{aligned} T(2^{k+1}) &= 2 T(2^k) + 2^{k+1} a \\ &= 2 (2^k + k 2^k a) + 2^{k+1} a \\ &= 2^{k+1} + k 2^{k+1} a + 2^{k+1} a \\ &= 2^{k+1} + (k+1) 2^{k+1} a \end{aligned}$$

QED

16

As usual, we should follow up with a proof by induction. Which is a bit trickier.

Example 4:

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 4 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$

17

What if we start changing other numbers.

Can you guess whether it will be worse than the $\Theta(n)$ that we had before?

Example 4:

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 4 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$
- We know $T(1)=1$, hence
 - $k=1$: $T(2) = 4 T(1) = 4 = 2 * 2$
 - $k=2$: $T(4) = 4 T(4/2) = 4 * 4 = 16$
 - $k=3$: $T(8) = 4 (16) = 64 = 8 * 8$
 - $k=4$: $T(16) = 4 (8*8) = (2*8) * (2*8) = 16 * 16$
- It seems a good guess
 $T(2^k) = (2^k)^2$
So $T(n) = n^2$ for n in $\{1,2,4,8...\}$
Hence $\Theta(n^2)$

18

Just compute. And rearrange.
We now get quadratic.

Example 4:

- How would we solve
 $T(n) = 4 T(n/2)$ with $T(1)=1$
- Claim $T(2^k) = (2^k)^2$
- Proof by induction:
 - Base case: $k=1$ $T(1) = 1^2 = 1$
 - Step case: assume true at k .
 - $T(2^{k+1}) = 4 T(2^k) = 2 * 2 * 2^k * 2^k = (2^{k+1})^2$ QED.

Exercise (offline): Ensure you understand the details

19

And of course should follow up with a proof by induction.

Example 5:

- How would we solve

$$T(n) = 4 T(n/2) + d n \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$

20

Try another one.

Example 5:

- How would we solve
$$T(n) = 4 T(n/2) + d n \quad \text{with } T(1)=1$$
- We know $T(1)=1$, hence
 - $k=1$: $T(2) = 4 T(1) + 2 d = 4 + 2 d = 2^2 + 2 * 1 * d$
 - $k=2$: $T(4) = 4 T(4/2) + 4 d = 4 (4 + 2 d) + 4 d$
$$= 16 + 12 d = 4^2 + 4*3*d$$
 - $k=3$: $T(8) = 4 (16 + 12 d) + 8 d = 8^2 + 8*7 d$
- It seems a good guess that
$$T(n) = n^2 + n(n-1) d$$
Exercise: proof it by induction.
- So $T(n)$ is $\Theta(n^2)$. "Value of d does not matter"

21

We can compute and inspect. The d term makes a difference to the exact formula, but it does not affect the scaling.

Example 6:

- $T(n) = T(n/2) + d$ $T(1) = 1$
 - E.g. From binary search of a sorted array

22

Try another case. This one represents binary search of an array. The 'd' term is for the cost of the comparisons. What do you expect it to give?

Example 6:

- $T(n) = T(n/2) + d$ $T(1) = 1$
 - E.g. From binary search of a sorted array
 - $k=1$: $T(2) = T(1) + d = 1 + d$
 - $k=2$: $T(4) = T(2) + d = 1 + 2d$
 - $k=3$: $T(8) = T(4) + d = 1 + 3d$
 - $k=4$: $T(16) = T(8) + d = 1 + 4d$
 - Guess $T(2^k) = 1 + kd$
 - Hence: $T(n) = 1 + d \log_2(n)$
 - Exercise (offline): prove by induction.
 - That is, $T(n)$ is $\Theta(\log n)$, as expected for binary search

23

Again compute and rearrange.

We end up with the $\log n$ that we should have expected.

Simple sorting?

- Bubble sort etc. do not naturally generate recurrence relations as they are not naturally recursive.
 - But could be phrased that way
 - Bubble sort
 - $T(n) = T(n-1) + d n$
 - $d n$ for a pass of the outer loop
 - $T(n-1)$ for the remaining passes – which now only need to process $n-1$ numbers.

24

What about other algorithms that are not usually done as recursion. We can still often find a recurrence rule. E.g. bubble sort basically does a linear scan to move the largest to the end, and then recurses to the remaining array of size $n-1$.

Example 7:

- $T(n) = T(n - 1) + d n$ $T(1) = 1$
 - (Bubble sort, etc.)
 - $T(2) = T(1) + 2 d = 1 + 2 d$
 - $T(3) = (1 + 2 d) + 3 d = 1 + (2 + 3) d$
 - $T(4) = (1 + (2+3)d) + 4d = 1 + (2+3+4)d$
- Guess $T(n) = 1 + (2+\dots+n) d$
 $= 1 + (n(n+1)/2 - 1) d$
- Exercise (offline): prove by induction
- Observe it is $\Theta(n^2)$ as expected.

25

Again just compute and rearrange gets the quadratic that we expect.

Solving Recurrence

- General pattern
 1. Starting from the base case, use the recurrence to work out many cases, by directly substituting and working upwards in values of n
 2. Inspect the results, look for a pattern and make a hypothesis for the general results
 3. Attempt to prove the hypothesis – typically using some form of induction

Often then extract the large n behavior using big-Oh family

Can be long, tedious, and error-prone, but many cases are covered by a general rule with the name of “Master theorem” (next lecture)

26

So pattern is to use the base case and recurrence relation to compute values. This is just first year recursive functions.

Then try to find a pattern.

Then prove the pattern using induction.

Then can extract the big-Oh family behaviour.

This is long, possibly very difficult. We need some rules for “shortcuts”.

We get this from the “Master Theorem”

Expectations

- Be able to extract recurrence relations from algorithms
 - Typically, used for recursive algorithms and especially “divide and conquer”
- Be able to explicitly solve (fairly simple) cases
 - Apply the recursion formula for sequence of (small) n
 - Guess pattern
 - Prove using induction
 - (You should generate multiple examples yourself and practice at solving them, and doing the induction proofs)