Discrete Mathematics Exercise 6

Qiu Yihang, 2020/10/09

1.

a) Proof: For any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $b = a + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that a < b, namely for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\![\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a,y \mapsto a+1]}} = \mathbf{T}$. namely for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\![\exists y \mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$.

In other words, $[\![\forall x \exists y \mathcal{R}(x, y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_1} = \mathbf{T}.$

QED

b) Proof: Since $\mathcal{J}_2(x)=0$, for any $b\in\mathbb{N}$, we know $b\not<0$, thus $[\![\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{2[y\mapsto b]}}=\mathbf{F}$. namely there does not exist a $b\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $[\![\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{2[y\mapsto b]}}=\mathbf{T}$. In other words, $[\![\exists y\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_2}=\mathbf{F}$.

QED

c) **Proof:** Let $\mathcal{J}_3(x)=0$. For any $b\in\mathbb{N}$, we know $b\not<0$, thus $[\![\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_3[x\mapsto 0,y\mapsto b]}=\mathbf{F}$. namely there exist an a=0, $[\![\exists y\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_3[x\mapsto a]}=\mathbf{F}$. In other words, $[\![\forall x\exists y\mathcal{R}(x,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_3}=\mathbf{F}$.

QED

d) Proof: There exists $a = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $b = 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $[\mathcal{R}(x,y)]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a,y \mapsto b]}} = \mathbf{T}$. For $\mathcal{J}_{1}(z)$, there exists two cases:

(1)
$$\mathcal{J}_1(z) = 0$$
. In this case, $[\![\mathcal{R}(x,z)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x\mapsto a,z\mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{F}$.
So $[\![(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y))]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x\mapsto a,y\mapsto b,z\mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

(2)
$$\mathcal{J}_1(z) = d \ge 1, d \in \mathbb{N}$$
. In this case, $[\![\mathcal{R}(z,y)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x\mapsto a,z\mapsto d]}} = \mathbf{F}$.
So $[\![(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y))]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x\mapsto a,v\mapsto b,z\mapsto d]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

Therefore, for any $\mathcal{J}_1(z) = d \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left[\left(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y)\right)\right]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a,y \mapsto b,z \mapsto d]}} = \mathbf{F}$, namely $\left[\exists z \left(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y)\right)\right]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a,y \mapsto b]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

Thus, there exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J}_1 where $\mathcal{J}_1(x)=0$, $\mathcal{J}_1(y)=1$ such that

$$[\![\mathcal{R}(x,y) \to \exists z \big(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y) \big)]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]}} = \mathbf{F}.$$

In other words,
$$\left[\!\!\left[\forall x \forall y \left(\mathcal{R}(x,y) \to \exists z \left(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y)\right)\right)\right]\!\!\right]_{\mathcal{I}_1} = \mathbf{F}.$$

QED

e)

Proof: For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$, a < b, there exists a $c = \frac{a+b}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. a < c and c < b,

namely
$$[\mathcal{R}(x,y) \to \exists z (\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y))]_{\mathcal{J}_{4[y\mapsto a,y\mapsto h]}} = \mathbf{T}.$$

In other words,
$$\left[\!\!\left[\forall x \forall y \left(\mathcal{R}(x,y) \to \exists z \left(\mathcal{R}(x,z) \land \mathcal{R}(z,y)\right)\right)\right]\!\!\right]_{\mathcal{I}_{A}} = \mathbf{T}.$$
 QED

2.

a) **Proof:** For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, a + b = b + a, namely f(a, b) = f(b, a).

Thus, for any
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $[\mathcal{R}(f(x,y),f(y,x))]_{\mathcal{J}_{1[x \mapsto a,y \mapsto b]}} = \mathbf{T}$

In other words,
$$[\![\forall x \forall y \mathcal{R} (f(x,y), f(y,x))]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_1} = \mathbf{T}.$$
 QED

b) Proof: For any $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, a * b = b * a, namely f(a, b) = f(b, a).

Thus, for any
$$a,b \in \mathbb{N}$$
, $\left[\mathcal{R} \left(f(x,y), f(y,x) \right) \right]_{\mathcal{I}_{2[x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b]}} = \mathbf{T}$

In other words,
$$\llbracket \forall x \forall y \mathcal{R} (f(x,y), f(y,x)) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_2} = \mathbf{T}.$$
 QED

c) **Proof:** For any $a, b \in \{T, F\}$, $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$, namely f(a, b) = f(b, a).

Thus, for any
$$a, b \in \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$$
, $\left[\left[\mathcal{R}\left(f(x, y), f(y, x)\right)\right]\right]_{\mathcal{J}_{3\left(x \mapsto a, y \mapsto b\right)}} = \mathbf{T}$

In other words,
$$[\![\forall x \forall y \mathcal{R} (f(x,y), f(y,x))]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_2} = \mathbf{T}.$$
 QED

- **d) Proof:** There exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J}_4 such that
 - The domain of \mathcal{J}_4 is \mathbb{R} .
 - $\mathcal{J}_4(f, x, y) = x y$.
 - $\mathcal{J}_4(\mathcal{R}, a, b) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if a = b.

There exists a = 0, b = 1 such that $a - b \neq b - a$,

namely
$$[\mathcal{R}(f(x,y),f(y,x))]_{\mathcal{J}_{4[y\mapsto a,y\mapsto b]}} = \mathbf{F}.$$

Thus,
$$[\![\forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x,y),f(y,x))]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_A} = \mathbf{F}.$$

In other words,
$$\forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x,y), f(y,x))$$
 is not valid. **QED**

3. Solution:

First, we prove that the proposition if $\Phi \models \psi$, then $\Phi \models \forall x \psi$ is false.

Let
$$\Phi = {\phi}$$
, $\phi = P(x)$, and $\psi = Q(x)$.

There exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J} such that

• The domain is N.

- $\mathcal{J}(P(x)) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if x > 1.
- $\mathcal{J}(Q(x)) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if x > 0.

It's plain to see that $\Phi \models \psi$, $[\![\forall x\psi]\!]_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$ since exists $-1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\![\psi]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{[x\mapsto -1]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

However, $\Phi \not\models \forall x \psi$ since there exists an S-Interpretation $\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto 1]}$ such that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto 1]}} = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto 1]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

Thus, the proposition if $\Phi \vDash \psi$, then $\Phi \vDash \forall x \psi$ is false.

Therefore, $\phi \vdash \psi$ in the FOL can't imply $\phi \vDash \psi$.

In other words, the first order logic with this proof rule is impossible to be sound.

4. *Solution:* **a**) 0,1,4,9,16,25,36,49,64,81.

b)
$$\emptyset$$
, $\{\emptyset\}$, $\{\{\emptyset\}\}$, $\{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$

5. Solution:

- **a**) Incorrect. $\{\emptyset\}$ only has one member, namely \emptyset . In fact, $\{\emptyset\} \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$.
- **b**) Correct. $\{\{\emptyset\}\}\$ is a set with one member $\{\emptyset\}$, so $\{\emptyset\} \in \{\{\emptyset\}\}$.
- c) Incorrect. Let $A = \{1\}$. In this case, $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}$. The subsets of $\mathcal{P}(A)$ are $\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \{\{1\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{1\}\}\}$. A is not among them, so $A \nsubseteq \mathcal{P}(A)$.
- **d**) Correct. A is absolutely a subset of A itself, so A is for sure a member of $\mathcal{P}(A)$, namely $A \in \mathcal{P}(A)$.
- **6.** a) **Proof:** Let \mathcal{J} be an S-Interpretation. The domain is A.

When $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, for any $a \in A$, $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$, from $\phi \models \psi$ we know $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$.

Therefore, for any $a \in A$, $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$, namely $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$.

In other words, $\forall x \phi \models \forall x \psi$.

QED

b)**Proof:** We prove it by contradiction.

Assume $\Phi, \phi \vDash \psi$ and $\Phi, \forall x \phi \not\vDash \forall x \psi$.

Then there exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J} where the domain is A such that $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$, namely $\llbracket \exists x \neg \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, and for any $a \in A$ and $\varphi \in \Phi$, $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$ (because x does not freely occur in Φ).

So there exists an $a_0 \in A$ such that $\llbracket \neg \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a_0]}} = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a_0]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

Since $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, for any $a \in A$, $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$.

Thus, exists an $a_0 \in A$ such that for any $\varphi \in \Phi$, $[\![\varphi]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a_0]}} = \mathbf{T}$ and $[\![\varphi]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a_0]}} = \mathbf{T}$

T, $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto a_0]}} = \mathbf{F}$, which is a *contradiction* since $\Phi, \phi \models \psi$.

In other words, Φ , $\forall x \phi \models \forall x \psi$.

QED

c) Solution: Let $\Phi = \{\chi\}$, $\chi = P(x)$, $\phi = Q(x)$ and $\psi = T(x)$.

There exists an S-Interpretation $\mathcal J$ such that

- The domain is \mathbb{N} .
- $\mathcal{J}(P(x)) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if $x \ge 1$.
- $\mathcal{J}(Q(x)) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if x is a natural number.
- $\mathcal{J}(T(x)) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if x > 0.

It's plain to see that $(\Phi, \phi \models \psi, [\![\forall x \phi]\!]_J = \mathbf{T}$ since for any $a \in \mathbb{N}$, $[\![\phi]\!]_{J_{[x \mapsto a]}} = \mathbf{T}$. However, $[\![\forall x \psi]\!]_J = \mathbf{F}$ because there exists a = 0 such that $a \neq 0$,

namely $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x\mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{F}$.

So there exists an S-Interpretation $\mathcal{J}_{[\chi\mapsto 0]}$ such that $[\![\chi]\!]_{\mathcal{J}_{[\chi\mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{T}$,

 $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{T}, \ \llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_{[x \mapsto 0]}} = \mathbf{F}.$

Thus, $\Phi, \forall x \phi \not\models \forall x \psi$.

7. Solution: $A = \{1\}, B = \{1,\{1\}\}\$ is a feasible solution.