

Linear and Convex Optimization Homework 09

Qiu Yihang, 2021/11/26-11/

1.(a) *Solution:*

The constrained quadratic program can be rewritten as the following unconstrained problem.

$$\min_{x_1} g(x_1) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1(1-x_1) + \frac{1}{4}(1-x_1)^2 - x_1 - \frac{3}{2}(1-x_1) = \frac{1}{4}x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}x_1 - \frac{5}{4}$$

Let the solution of the problem above be x_1^* .

Then we have

$$\nabla g(x_1^*) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^* + \frac{1}{2} = 0. \Rightarrow x_1^* = -1$$

Thus, the solution of the original quadratic program problem is

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (-1, 1). \quad \blacksquare$$

(b) *Solution:*

Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - x_1 - 3x_2 + \lambda(x_1 + 2x_2 - 1).$$

Let the solution of the problem be \mathbf{x}^* .

We have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = (x_1^* + x_2^* - 1 + \lambda^*, x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 3 + 2\lambda^*) = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = x_1^* + 2x_2^* - 1 = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \lambda^* = 1 \\ x_1^* = -1 \\ x_2^* = 1 \end{cases}$$

Thus, the solution of the original quadratic program problem is

$$\mathbf{x}^* = (-1, 1).$$

The corresponding Lagrangian multiplier λ^* is 1. \blacksquare

2.(a) *Solution:*

Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g}^T \mathbf{x} + c + \lambda^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b}).$$

Thus, the Lagrange condition for this problem is

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{A}^T \lambda = \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \quad \blacksquare$$

(b) *Solution:*

Since $\mathbf{Q} \succ \mathbf{0}$, \mathbf{Q}^{-1} exists.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{g} + \mathbf{A}^T \lambda^* &= \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow -\mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \lambda^* = \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g} \\ &\Rightarrow -\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \lambda^* = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g} \end{aligned} \quad (*)$$

Now we prove $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{Q}^{-1}\mathbf{A}^T$ is invertible.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{Q} > \mathbf{0} &\Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^{-1} > \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow \forall \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{z} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y})^T \mathbf{Q}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y}) > 0 \quad (\text{Since } \text{rank } \mathbf{A}^T = \text{rank } \mathbf{A} = k) \\
&\Rightarrow \forall \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{y} > 0 \\
&\Rightarrow \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T \text{ is invertible.}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = -(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g})$$

Plug $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*$ into (\star) , we have

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g}) - \mathbf{Q}^{-1} \mathbf{g}$$

■

(c) *Solution:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} &\Leftrightarrow \min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0)^T (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0) \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \\
&\Leftrightarrow \min_{\mathbf{x}} \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{x} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{x}_0 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}$$

From (b) we know

$$\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{I}, \mathbf{g} = -\mathbf{x}_0, c = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}_0^T \mathbf{x}_0,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = -(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0), \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbf{x}_0$$

■

When $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, we have $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = -(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$, $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{A}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^T)^{-1} \mathbf{b}$.

■

(d) *Solution:*

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_0, P) = \min_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\| \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

$$\text{i.e. } \text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_0, P) = \|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0\|, \text{ where } \mathbf{x}^* = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\text{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0\|_2^2 \text{ s.t. } \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$

From (c) we know

$$\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{w} (\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{w})^{-1} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0) + \mathbf{x}_0$$

$$\|\mathbf{x}^* - \mathbf{x}_0\| = \left\| \frac{\mathbf{w}}{\|\mathbf{w}\|^2} (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0) \right\| = \frac{\|\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}$$

i.e.

$$\text{dist}(\mathbf{x}_0, P) = \frac{\|\mathbf{w}^T \mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{b}\|}{\|\mathbf{w}\|}.$$

■

3. *Solution:*

The Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = x_1 x_2 + \lambda (x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 - 1).$$

Let the solution be \mathbf{x}^* , we have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \mathcal{L}_{x_1} = 0 \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_{x_2} = 0 \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_\lambda = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} x_2 + 2\lambda x_1 = 0 \\ x_1 + 8\lambda x_2 = 0 \\ x_1^2 + 4x_2^2 - 1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1) \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{1}{4} \\ x_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ x_2 = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} \end{cases} \quad (2) \begin{cases} \lambda = -\frac{1}{4} \\ x_1 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} \end{cases} \quad (3) \begin{cases} \lambda = \frac{1}{4} \\ x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ x_2 = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} \end{cases} \quad (4) \begin{cases} \lambda = -\frac{1}{4} \\ x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \\ x_2 = -\frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2} \end{cases}$$

Considering (1) $x_1x_2 = -1/4$, (2) $x_1x_2 = 1/4$, (3) $x_1x_2 = -1/4$, (4) $x_1x_2 = 1/4$,

we know (1) and (4) are global maximum while (2) and (3) are global minimum. ■

4.(a) *Solution:*

The Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \lambda(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - 1) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \lambda(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} - 1).$$

For solution \mathbf{x}^* and its corresponding Lagrangian multiplier λ^* , we have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*) = 0 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* + \lambda^* \mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{x}^* - 1 = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = -\lambda^* \mathbf{x}^*$, we know \mathbf{x}^* is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to $-\lambda^*$.

Let $-\lambda^* = \lambda_i$, which is an eigenvalue of \mathbf{A} .

Plug λ_i into the original function, we have

$$(\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \lambda_i \mathbf{x}^* = \lambda_i (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{x}^* = \lambda_i \cdot 1 = \lambda_i. (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

Since \mathbf{x}^* is the solution of Problem (1) and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, the optimal value must be λ_1 .

Thus, \mathbf{x}^* is the eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to λ_1 .

In conclusion, the solution \mathbf{x}^* to Problem (1) is the eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to λ_1 and the optimal value is λ_1 . ■

(b) i) *Proof:*

The Lagrangian function is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}, \lambda_{(1)}, \lambda_{(2)}) = \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{(1)}(\|\mathbf{x}\|_2^2 - 1) + \lambda_{(2)} \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x} \\ &= \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} + \lambda_{(1)}(\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x} - 1) + \lambda_{(2)} \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned}$$

For solution \mathbf{x}^* and its corresponding Lagrangian multiplier $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = (\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*) = \mathbf{0} \\ \nabla \mathcal{L}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*) = \mathbf{0} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* + \lambda_1^* \mathbf{x}^* + \lambda_2^* \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0} \\ (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{x}^* - 1 = 0 \\ \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}^* = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus, exist $c_0 = -\lambda_1^*, c_1 = -\lambda_2^*$ s.t.

$$\mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = -\lambda_1^* \mathbf{x}^* - \lambda_2^* \mathbf{v}_1 = c_0 \mathbf{x}^* + c_1 \mathbf{v}_1. \quad \blacksquare$$

ii) **Proof:**

From (b)(i), we have

$$\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = c_0 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}^* + c_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1 = c_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1.$$

Meanwhile, since \mathbf{A} is symmetric, we have

$$\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A}^T = (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1)^T = (\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1)^T = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \Rightarrow \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}^* = 0.$$

Thus,

$$c_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1 = 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{v}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1 = \|\mathbf{v}_1\|_2^2 > 0$.

Therefore,

$$c_1 = 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

iii) **Proof:**

For the solution \mathbf{x}^* and its corresponding Lagrangian multiplier $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^* = (\lambda_1^*, \lambda_2^*)$, from (b)(i) and (b)(ii) we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}^* = c_0 \mathbf{x}^* \\ (\mathbf{x}^*)^T \mathbf{x}^* = 1 \\ \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{x}^* = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus, \mathbf{x}^* is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to c_0 .

Now we prove for any $j \geq 2$, we can find a \mathbf{v}_j which is an eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to λ_j .

CASE 01. $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_1$.

Thus, we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_1^T (\lambda_j \mathbf{v}_j) = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_j \\ \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{v}_j^T (\lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1) = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{v}_1 \end{cases} \Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_j$$

Since \mathbf{A} is symmetric and $\mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1$ is a number,

$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}^T = \mathbf{A} \\ \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 = (\mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1)^T = \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{v}_j \end{cases} \Rightarrow (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j) \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_j = \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_1 - \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}_j = 0$$

Considering $\lambda_j \neq \lambda_1$,

$$\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_j = 0.$$

CASE 02. $\lambda_j = \lambda_1$.

Let the space containing all eigenvectors associated to λ_1 be S_{λ} . Then $\dim S_{\lambda} \geq 2$.

Thus, exists at least a $\mathbf{v}_j \in S_{\lambda}$ s.t. $\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_j = 0$.

Therefore, for any eigenvector \mathbf{v} of \mathbf{A} which is associated to λ_j ($j \geq 2$), we can find

$$\mathbf{v}^* = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} \text{ s.t. } \begin{cases} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^* = \lambda_j \mathbf{v}^* \\ (\mathbf{v}^*)^T \mathbf{v}^* = 1 \\ \mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}^* = 0 \end{cases}$$

Obviously $\mathbf{v}^* \neq \mathbf{v}_1$. Otherwise, $\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ i.e. $\mathbf{v}_1 = \mathbf{0}$.

Plug \mathbf{v}^* into the original function,

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = (\mathbf{v}^*)^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{v}^* = (\mathbf{v}^*)^T \lambda_j \mathbf{v}^* = \lambda_j (\mathbf{v}^*)^T \mathbf{v}^* = \lambda_j.$$

Considering \mathbf{x}^* is the solution of Problem (2), $\mathbf{x}^* \neq \mathbf{v}_1$, and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$, the optimal value should be λ_2 .

Thus, \mathbf{x}^* is eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to λ_2 .

In conclusion, the solution \mathbf{x}^* to Problem (2) is the eigenvector of \mathbf{A} associated to λ_2 and the optimal value is λ_2 . ■