

# [Solution of Homework 2]

## Problem 1 (Optimal Coupling)

Let  $\Omega$  be a finite state space and  $\mu, \nu$  be two distributions over  $\Omega$ . Prove that there exists a coupling  $\omega$  of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  such that

$$\mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \neq Y] = D_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu).$$

You need to explicitly describe how  $\omega$  is constructed.

*Proof.*

Let  $P(x, y)$  denote  $\mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X = x, Y = y]$  and use  $\rho$  to denote  $D_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu)$  for shorthand. The coupling  $\omega$  can be constructed as follows: First, we set  $P(a, a) = \min\{\mu(a), \nu(a)\}, \forall a \in \Omega$ . Clearly, if  $D_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = 0$ , the forementioned setting is indeed the optimal coupling. Otherwise, for any  $a \in \Omega$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(a) &= \mu(a) - P(a, a) \\ R_Y(a) &= \nu(a) - P(a, a). \end{aligned}$$

For any  $a, b \in \Omega$ , let

$$P(a, b) = \frac{R_X(a)R_Y(b)}{\rho}.$$

It's clear that  $\sum_a R_X(a) = \sum_b R_Y(b) = \rho$  by the fact that  $D_{\text{TV}}(\mu, \nu) = \max_{A \in \Omega} |\mu(A) - \nu(A)|$ . Moreover,  $R_X(a)R_Y(a) = 0, \forall a \in \Omega$ . Now we need check it's indeed a coupling. For a fixed  $a \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_b P(a, b) &= P(a, a) + \sum_{b: b \neq a} P(a, b) \\ &= P(a, a) + \sum_{b: b \neq a} \frac{R_X(a)R_Y(b)}{\rho} \\ &= P(a, a) + \frac{R_X(a)}{\rho}(\rho - R_Y(a)) \\ &= P(a, a) + R_X(a) = \mu(a) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, you can check that  $\sum_b P(a, b) = \nu(b), \forall b \in \Omega$ . Hence it's a feasible coupling. As for the optimality,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \neq Y] &= 1 - \sum_{a \in \Omega} P(a, a) \\ &= \sum_{a \in \Omega} \mu(a) - \sum_{a \in \Omega} P(a, a) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

## Problem 2 (Stochastic Dominance)

Let  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  be a finite set of integers. Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two distributions over  $\Omega$ . We say  $\mu$  is *stochastic dominance* over  $\nu$  if for  $X \sim \mu, Y \sim \nu$  and any  $a \in \Omega$ ,

$$\mathbf{Pr} [X \geq a] \geq \mathbf{Pr} [Y \geq a].$$

We write  $\mu \succeq \nu$ .

1. Consider the binomial distribution  $\mathbf{Binom}(n, p)$  where  $X \sim \mathbf{Binom}(n, p)$  satisfies for any  $a = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $\mathbf{Pr} [X = a] = \binom{n}{a} \cdot p^a \cdot (1 - p)^{n-a}$ . Prove that for any  $p, q \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathbf{Binom}(n, p) \succeq \mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$  if and only if  $p \geq q$ .

*Proof.*

We construct the following coupling with respect to  $\mathbf{Binom}(n, p)$  and  $\mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$ :

1. Sample  $U_i$  uniformly at random from  $[0, 1]$  for any  $i \in [n]$  i.i.d;
2.  $X_i = 1$  iff  $U_i \leq p$  and  $Y_i = 1$  iff  $U_i \leq q$  for any  $i \in [n]$ ;
3. Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ .

It is obvious that  $X \sim \mathbf{Binom}(n, p)$  and  $Y \sim \mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$  which justifies the above process is indeed a coupling. With this coupling, we know that  $\{Y \geq a\} \subseteq \{X \geq a\}$  for any  $a = 0, 1, \dots, n$  iff  $p \geq q$ . Therefore, if  $p \geq q$ , we have  $\mathbf{Binom}(n, p) \succeq \mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$ .

On the other hand, let  $X \sim \mathbf{Binom}(n, p)$  and  $Y \sim \mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$ . If

$\mathbf{Binom}(n, p) \succeq \mathbf{Binom}(n, q)$ , we have  $\mathbf{Pr} [X = n] \geq \mathbf{Pr} [Y = n]$  which implies that  $p \geq q$ .

2. A coupling  $\omega$  of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is *monotone* if  $\mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \geq Y] = 1$ . Prove that  $\mu \succeq \nu$  if and only if a monotone coupling of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  exists.

*Proof.*

Proof of " $\Leftarrow$ ". Suppose  $\omega$  is a monotone coupling of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , which means

$\mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \geq Y] = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Pr}_{Y \sim \nu} [Y \geq a] &= \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [Y \geq a] \\ &= \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \geq Y \wedge Y \geq a] + \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X < Y \wedge Y \geq a] \\ &= \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \geq Y \geq a] \\ &\leq \mathbf{Pr}_{(X,Y) \sim \omega} [X \geq a] = \mathbf{Pr}_{X \sim \mu} [X \geq a]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of " $\Rightarrow$ ". Define the cumulative distribution function  $F_\mu(x) = \mu((-\infty, x])$  and  $F_\nu(x) = \nu((-\infty, x])$ . Then use these functions to construct two random variables:

$$X = F_\mu^{-1}(U), Y = F_\nu^{-1}(U),$$

where  $U$  is sampled uniform at random from  $[0, 1]$  and

$F_\mu^{-1}(u) \triangleq \inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : F_\mu(x) \geq u\}$  is a generalized inverse (similar for  $F_\nu^{-1}$ ).

Now we claim that  $\omega = (X, Y)$  is a monotone coupling of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

First we need to check that  $\omega$  is a coupling of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  which means  $X$  and  $Y$  follows  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively.

$$\mathbf{Pr}[X \leq x] = \mathbf{Pr}[F_\mu^{-1}(U) \leq x] = \mathbf{Pr}[U \leq F_\mu(x)] = F_\mu(x) \quad (\text{similar for } Y)$$

Then we will check  $\mathcal{C}$  is a monotone coupling. According to  $\mu \succeq \nu$ , we have

$F_\mu(x) \leq F_\nu(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus

$$\mathbf{Pr}[X \geq Y] = \mathbf{Pr}[F_\mu^{-1}(U) \geq F_\nu^{-1}(U)] = 1.$$

3. Consider the Erdős–Rényi ([https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erd%C5%91s%E2%80%93R%C3%A9nyi\\_model](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erd%C5%91s%E2%80%93R%C3%A9nyi_model)) model  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  for random graph. In this model, each  $G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)$  is a simple undirected random graph with  $n$  vertices where each  $\{i, j\} \in \binom{[n]}{2}$  is present with probability  $p$  independently. Prove that for any  $p, q \in [0, 1]$  satisfying  $p \geq q$ , it holds that  $\mathbf{Pr}_{G \sim \mathcal{G}(n, p)}[G \text{ is connected}] \geq \mathbf{Pr}_{H \sim \mathcal{G}(n, q)}[H \text{ is connected}]$ .

*Proof*

The following coupling justifies the statement:

1. Sample  $U_e$  uniformly at random from  $[0, 1]$  for any  $e \in \binom{[n]}{2}$  i.i.d;
2.  $e$  occurs in  $G$  iff  $U_e \leq p$  and  $e$  occurs in  $H$  iff  $U_e \leq q$  for any  $e \in \binom{[n]}{2}$ .

### Problem 3 (Total Variation Distance is Non-Increasing)

Let  $P$  be the transition matrix of an irreducible and aperiodic Markov chain with state space  $\Omega$ . Let  $\pi$  be its stationary distribution. Let  $\mu_0$  be an arbitrary distribution on  $\Omega$  and  $\mu_t^\top = \mu_0^\top P^t$  for every  $t \geq 0$ . For every  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\Delta(t) = D_{\text{TV}}(\mu_t, \pi)$  be the total variation distance between  $\mu_t$  and  $\pi$ . Prove that  $\Delta(t+1) \leq \Delta(t)$  for every  $t \geq 0$ .

*Proof*

Let  $X_t \sim \mu_t$  and  $Y_t \sim \pi$ . By coupling lemma, there exist a coupling  $\omega$  of  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  such that  $\mathbf{Pr}[X_t \neq Y_t] = \Delta(t)$ . Equipped with  $\omega$ , we construct the coupling  $\omega'$  of  $X_{t+1}$  and  $Y_{t+1}$  as follows:

1. We first sample  $(X_t, Y_t)$  from  $\omega$ ;
2. Next we run the Markov chain according to the transition matrix  $P$  on  $X_t$  and  $Y_t$  as follows:

- If  $X_t = Y_t$ , the two chains evolve synchronously;
- If  $X_t \neq Y_t$ , the two chains evolve independently.

Under the coupling  $\omega'$ ,

$$\Delta(t+1) \leq \mathbf{Pr}[X_{t+1} \neq Y_{t+1}] \leq \mathbf{Pr}[X_t \neq Y_t] = \Delta(t).$$