

Discrete Mathematics Exercise 2

Qiu Yihang, 2020/09/22

1. a)

Proof:

It's obvious that the truth value of $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$ is either **True** or **False** under any truth assignment \mathcal{J} .

When $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, since $\phi \models \psi$, we know that $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$. It's plain to see that $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \mathbf{T} \wedge \mathbf{T} \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T} = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \mathbf{T} \vee \mathbf{T} \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T} = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$.

When $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$, it's plain to see that $\llbracket \phi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \mathbf{F} \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F} = \llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $\llbracket \phi \vee \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \mathbf{T} \vee \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$.

In short, for any truth assignment \mathcal{J} , the truth value of $\phi \wedge \psi$ is consistent with that of ϕ , while the truth value of $\phi \vee \psi$ is consistent with that of ψ .

In other words, if $\phi \models \psi$, then $\phi \wedge \psi \equiv \phi$ and $\phi \vee \psi \equiv \psi$.

QED

b)

Proof:

We already know that $\phi \models \phi \vee \psi$. According to the conclusion in a), $\phi \wedge (\phi \vee \psi) \equiv \phi$, which is the Absorption Law – 1.

Similarly, from the statement $\phi \wedge \psi \models \phi$, we could reach the conclusion that $(\phi \wedge \psi) \vee \phi \equiv \phi$. Then by the Commutative Law of disjunction, $\phi \vee (\phi \wedge \psi) \equiv (\phi \wedge \psi) \vee \phi \equiv \phi$, which is the Absorption Law – 2.

QED

2. a)

Proof: The truth table of $\neg(p \oplus q)$ and $(\neg p) \oplus q$ is as follows.

p	q	$\neg(p \oplus q)$	$(\neg p) \oplus q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T

For any assignment \mathcal{J} , $\llbracket \neg(p \oplus q) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket (\neg p) \oplus q \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$. In other words, $\neg(p \oplus q) \equiv (\neg p) \oplus q$.

QED

b)

Proof: The truth table of $p \oplus (\neg p) \oplus q$ and $\neg q$ is as follows.

p	q	$p \oplus (\neg p) \oplus q$	$\neg q$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T

For any assignment \mathcal{J} , $\llbracket p \oplus (\neg p) \oplus q \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \llbracket \neg q \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$. In other words, $p \oplus (\neg p) \oplus q \equiv \neg q$.

QED

3. Solution:

The truth table of $\neg(p \wedge \neg(q \oplus r))$ is as follows.

p	q	r	$\neg(p \wedge \neg(q \oplus r))$
T	T	T	F
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	T

We notice that when $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$, $\llbracket \neg(p \wedge \neg(q \oplus r)) \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$. We also notice that when $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket q \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$ or $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket q \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$, $\llbracket r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket \neg(p \wedge \neg(q \oplus r)) \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.

Thus, we could construct a disjunctive normal form $\psi = (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p)$ such that $\phi \models \psi$.

Now we prove that $\psi \models \phi$.

Suppose there exists a truth assignment J such that $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$. There are three possible cases:

- 1) $\llbracket \neg p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, namely $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$. In this case, we know from the truth value table that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.
- 2) $\llbracket p \wedge q \wedge \neg r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, namely $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket q \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$. In this case, we know from the truth value table that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.
- 3) $\llbracket p \wedge \neg q \wedge r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, namely $\llbracket p \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket q \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$, $\llbracket r \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$. In this case, we know from the truth value table that $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.

So as long as $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$, $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$. In other words, $\psi \models \phi$.

Therefore, $\psi \equiv \phi$.

So $\psi = (p \wedge q \wedge \neg r) \vee (p \wedge \neg q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p)$ is a disjunctive normal form of ϕ .