

Discrete Mathematics Exercise 5

Qiu Yihang, 2020/10/03

1.

a) Proof: For any given $x \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $y = x + 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x < y$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \exists y \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{T}$.

QED

b) Proof: Since $J_2(x) = 0$, $\llbracket \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rrbracket_{J_2} = \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{J_2}$ ($\varphi = 0 > y$). For any $y \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \geq 0$.

In other words, $\llbracket \exists y \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rrbracket_{J_2} = \mathbf{F}$.

QED

c) Proof: There exists an $x = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $y \in \mathbb{N}$, $y \geq x$, namely $\nexists y \in \mathbb{N}, x < y$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \exists y \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rrbracket_{J_3} = \mathbf{F}$.

QED

d) Proof: There exists $x = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $y = 1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\llbracket \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{T}$.

For any $z \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists two cases:

(1) $z = 0$. In this case, $\llbracket \mathcal{R}(x, z) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$, so $\llbracket (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$.

(2) $z \geq 1, z \in \mathbb{N}$. In this case, $\llbracket \mathcal{R}(z, y) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$, so $\llbracket (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$.

Therefore, for any $z \in \mathbb{N}$, $\llbracket (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$,

namely $\llbracket \exists z (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$.

Thus, there exists an S-Interpretation J_1 where $J_1(x) = 0$, $J_1(y) = 1$ such that

$$\llbracket \mathcal{R}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \llbracket \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \mathbf{F} \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}.$$

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \forall y (\mathcal{R}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y))) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{F}$.

QED

e)

Proof: For any given $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$, $x < y$, there exists a $z = \frac{x+y}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $x < z$ and $z < y$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \forall y (\mathcal{R}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z (\mathcal{R}(x, z) \wedge \mathcal{R}(z, y))) \rrbracket_{J_4} = \mathbf{T}$.

QED

2.

a) Proof: For any given $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a + b = b + a$, namely $f(a, b) = f(b, a)$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x, y), f(y, x)) \rrbracket_{J_1} = \mathbf{T}$.

QED

b) Proof: For any given $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, $a * b = b * a$, namely $f(a, b) = f(b, a)$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x, y), f(y, x)) \rrbracket_{J_2} = \mathbf{T}$.

QED

c) Proof: For any given $a, b \in \{\mathbf{T}, \mathbf{F}\}$, $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$, namely $f(a, b) = f(b, a)$.

In other words, $\llbracket \forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x, y), f(y, x)) \rrbracket_{J_3} = \mathbf{T}$.

QED

d) Proof: There exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J}_4 such that

- The domain of \mathcal{J}_4 is \mathbb{R} .
- $\mathcal{J}_4(f, x, y) = x - y$.
- $\mathcal{J}_4(\mathcal{R}, a, b) = \mathbf{T}$ if and only if $a = b$.

There exists $x = 0, y = 1$ such that $x - y \neq y - x$,

$$\text{namely } \llbracket \forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x, y), f(y, x)) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}_4} = \mathbf{F}.$$

In other words, $\forall x \forall y \mathcal{R}(f(x, y), f(y, x))$ is not valid.

QED

3. Solution:

There exists an S-Interpretation \mathcal{J} such that

- The domain of \mathcal{J} is \mathbb{N} .
- $P(x)$ mean whether x can be divided by 3.
- $Q(x)$ mean whether x is a negative number.

Under this S-Interpretation \mathcal{J} , $\llbracket \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$ while $\llbracket \forall x P(x) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$ and

$\llbracket \forall x Q(x) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{F}$, namely $\llbracket \forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$.

In this case, $\llbracket \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} \neq \llbracket \forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x) \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}}$.

In other words, $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ and $\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)$ are not logically equivalent.

4. Solution:

$$\neg \forall x (\phi \rightarrow \psi) \equiv \exists x (\neg (\phi \rightarrow \psi)) \equiv \exists x (\neg (\neg \phi \vee \psi)) \equiv \exists x (\phi \wedge \neg \psi).$$

So $\neg \forall x (\phi \rightarrow \psi)$ and $\exists x (\phi \wedge \neg \psi)$ are logically equivalent.

5. a) Solution: $\forall z \exists y \exists x \neg T(x, y, z)$

b) Solution: $(\forall x \forall y \neg P(x, y)) \vee (\exists x \exists y \neg Q(x, y))$

c) Solution: $\forall x \forall y ((P(x, y) \wedge \neg Q(y, x)) \vee (\neg P(x, y) \wedge Q(y, x)))$

d) Solution: $\exists y \forall x \forall z (\neg T(x, y, z) \wedge \neg Q(x, y))$

6. a) Proof: When $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$, for any x , $\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$.

Since $\phi \models \psi$, we know that for any x , $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$. Thus, $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$.

In other words, $\forall x \phi \models \forall x \psi$.

QED

b) Proof: When $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$ and $\llbracket \Phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}$ (x does not freely occur in Φ), for any x ,

$$\llbracket \phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}, \llbracket \Phi \rrbracket_{\mathcal{J}} = \mathbf{T}.$$

Since $\Phi, \phi \models \psi$, we know that for any x , $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$. Thus, $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.

In other words, $\Phi, \forall x \phi \models \forall x \psi$.

QED

c) Solution: Let J be an S-Interpretation where the domain is \mathbb{N} .

Let $\Phi = \{\chi\}, \chi = P(x)$, which means $x \geq 1$.

Let $\phi = Q(x)$, which means x is a natural number. Thus, $\llbracket \forall x \phi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{T}$.

Let $\psi = T(x)$, which means $x > 0$.

It's plain to see that $\Phi, \phi \models \psi$.

However, $\llbracket \forall x \psi \rrbracket_J = \mathbf{F}$ because there exists $x = 0$ such that $x \not> 0$.

Thus, $\Phi, \forall x \phi \not\models \forall x \psi$.