1. MSE 값이 Sparse-SIR에 비해 Sparse-DR이 크게 나온 이유?

- 각 method의 estimate 값 보기

model	Sparse SIR	Sparse DR
Case (1) $\beta_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^{T}$ $\beta_2 = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1)^{T}$	Deta	Seta
Case (2) $\beta_1 = (1, 1, 0.1, 0.1, 0, \dots, 0)^{T}$ $\beta_2 = (0, \dots, 0, 0.1, 0.1, 1, 1)^{T}$	Deta	> beta
Case(3) $\beta_1 = (1,, 1, 0,, 0)^{T}$ $\beta_2 = (0,, 0, 1,, 1)^{T},$	> beta	Seta

Sparse dr은 Sparse Sir에 비해 zero component가 적음

그래서 불필요한 값들이 많이 나오면서 mse를 키우는거 아닌지??

2. Simulation

<model 1>

$$Y_1 = \sin(\beta_1^T X) + \sin(\beta_2^T X) + 0.5\varepsilon$$

n=300, p=40

Sparse D	R				
method	p	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
dr	0.00	0.6086805	0.1510705	2.951611	2.040961
sparse dr	60.03	0.6742620	0.1561102	2.891650	2.327292
method	р	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
dr	0.00	0.1538657	0.1726805	1.912718	2.042198
sparse dr	56.65	0.1568827	0.1833051	2.106132	2.133443
method	р	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
dr	0.00	0.1164592	0.1108443	1.896853	1.912065
sparse dr	56.88	0.1343807	0.1370538	2.102967	2.112452
	method dr sparse dr method dr sparse dr method dr sparse dr	dr 0.00 sparse dr 60.03 method p dr 0.00 sparse dr 56.65 method p dr 0.00 sparse 56.88	method p corr1 dr 0.00 0.6086805 sparse 60.03 0.6742620 method p corr1 dr 0.00 0.1538657 sparse 56.65 0.1568827 method p corr1 dr 0.00 0.1164592 sparse 56.88 0.1243807	method p corr1 corr2 dr 0.00 0.6086805 0.1510705 sparse 60.03 0.6742620 0.1561102 method p corr1 corr2 dr 0.00 0.1538657 0.1726805 sparse 56.65 0.1568827 0.1833051 method p corr1 corr2 dr 0.00 0.1164592 0.1108443 sparse 56.88 0.1343807 0.1370538	method p corr1 corr2 mse1 dr 0.00 0.6086805 0.1510705 2.951611 sparse dr 60.03 0.6742620 0.1561102 2.891650 method p corr1 corr2 mse1 dr 0.00 0.1538657 0.1726805 1.912718 sparse dr 56.65 0.1568827 0.1833051 2.106132 method p corr1 corr2 mse1 dr 0.00 0.1164592 0.1108443 1.896853 sparse 56.88 0.1343807 0.1270538 2.102067

<model 2>

$$Y_2 = \frac{\beta_1^T X}{0.5 + (2\beta_2^T X + 0.3)^2} + 0.3\varepsilon$$
, n=300, p=50

case	Sparse D	R				
Case (1)	method	р	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
$\beta_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^{T}$	dr	0.0	0.7847081	0.1998903	0.6905575	1.847389
$\beta_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)^{T}$	sparse dr	77.9	0.9466697	0.2152505	0.4296911	2.041962
$\beta_1 = (1,1,1,1,1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0,00,0)$ $\beta_2 = (0,00,0,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,0.1,1,1,1,1,1,$	method	р	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
	dr	0.00	0.7310416	0.1921380	3.453357	1.867053
	sparse dr	75.74	0.8018963	0.1110589	3.862521	2.030924
$ \beta_1 = (1,1,1,1,,1,1,1,0,00,0) $ $ \beta_2 = (0,00,0,0,1,1,1,1,,1,1) $ $ 17! + 107!$	method	р	corr1	corr2	mse1	mse2
	dr	0.00	0.3479419	0.1719531	2.255180	2.009467
	sparse dr	73.48	0.3639681	0.1779225	2.360421	2.225217

같은 식에서 p가 너무 늘어나면 estimate가 true beta와 차이가 많이 나는데 그 이유는? 더 많은 추정을 해야돼서 한계가 있는 것인가?

3. Data 찾기

- 1) https://www.kaggle.com/ravirajsinh45/real-life-industrial-dataset-of-casting-product: 512*512
- 2) https://www.kaggle.com/arcticai/steel-defect-detection: 256*1600