

702 89

337604821 - 100 100  
94120033 9 - 100 100

HWO2

337604821

941200339

 $P(MR, massuer), P(MS, massage), P(C, client)$ 

/ NOJ (1

 $P(MTB, \sigma_{MR.MID=MS.MID, MR.role='temp', MR.expertise='back'}(MS \times MR))$ 

 massage v. 'en back expertise  
and gave a temp massage

 $P(SMTB, MTB), P(BMTB, MTB)$ 
 $P(\text{maxType}, \left( \prod_{\substack{MTB.type \\ MTB.price}} (MTB) - \prod_{\substack{MTB.type \\ MTB.price}} (BMTB \bowtie SMTB) \right))$ 

 find most  
expensive one.

 $BMTB.price > LMTB.price$ 
 $P(Mclient, \prod_{\substack{C.name, MTB.type \\ MTB.price}} (\overline{MTB.CID=C.ID}(MTB \times C)))$ 
 $P(solQ_1, \prod_{Mclient.name, Mclient.type} \left( \begin{array}{l} Mclient \bowtie \text{maxType} \\ Mclient.type = \text{maxType.type} \\ \wedge Mclient.price = \text{maxType.price} \end{array} \right))$ 

solQ1

$$P(ms, message), P(ms1(mID \rightarrow mID2, expertise \rightarrow expertise2), ms)$$

(2)

$$P(ms2(mID \rightarrow mID2, expertise \rightarrow expertise2), ms)$$

take out all clients who spent less than 150 on a message

$$- P(Over150, (\pi_{CID}(message) - \pi_{CID}(\sigma_{ms.price < 150}(ms))))$$

Find all types of messages ever given  
then return which clients took all of them

$$- P(mtype, \pi_{type}(ms))$$

will return  
all distinct  
types of  
messages  
given

$$P(mtype, \pi_{CID}(message \div mtype))$$

$$= P(mdates, (ms1 \bowtie ms2))$$

$ms1.date = ms2.date,$   
 $ms1.CID = ms2.CID$

$$P(mMatch1, mdates \bowtie masseur)$$

$mdates.mID = masseur.mID$

$$P(matchBoth, mMatch1 \bowtie masseur)$$

$mMatch1.mID = masseur.mID$

$$P(BothDiff, \pi_{matchBoth.CID}(\sigma_{matchBoth.expertise1 \neq matchBoth.expertise2}(matchBoth)))$$

$$P(MeetConditions, BothDiff \cap Over150 \cap mType)$$

$$P(solQ2, \pi_{clientCID, clientName}(MeetConditions \bowtie client))$$

$MeetConditions.ID = client.CID$

solQ2

$$\textcircled{6} \leq \textcircled{3}$$

נבואה ע"ס בולגריה ול סבלה קרע' /

①  $R_1 \times R_2 \Rightarrow t \in R_1 \times R_2 : f_1 \parallel f_2 \wedge f_1 \in R_1 \wedge f_2 \in R_2 : t[R_1] = f_1 \wedge t[R_2] = f_2$

$$(R_1 \times R_2) \times R_3 \Rightarrow t \in ((R_1 \times R_2) \times R_3) \overset{*}{=} r_1 || r_2 || r_3 \wedge r_1 \in R_1 \wedge r_2 \in R_2 \wedge r_3 \in R_3 : t[r_1] = r_1$$

מש'ג כג פבורה א'נ'ק'ט פ'ר כג ר'ע'ע ד' R<sub>n</sub>

$$R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k \Rightarrow \{ \in R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k : r_1, \dots, r_n \wedge r_1 \in R_1 \wedge \dots \wedge r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1] = r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t[R_k] = r_k \}$$

$$R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_k \quad / \text{no}$$

$$\sigma_{R_1, A=R_2, A=\dots=R_n, A}(R) \quad \text{. '10' is for Selection [1] or 21 or}$$

$$t \in \sigma_{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A}(R) \Rightarrow t[R_1.A] = t[R_2.A] = \dots = t[R_n.A]$$

$$\{t \mid \exists r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, \dots, r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1] = r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t[R_n] = r_n \wedge t[R_1.A] = \dots = t[R_n.A]\}$$

de 777  
multitouch  
↓

$$= \text{MultiJoin}_{\{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A\}}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n)$$

$$\text{MultiJoin}_{\{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A\}}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_{A_i}(R_i)$$

$$\{t \mid \exists r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, \dots, r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1] = r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t[R_n] = r_n \\ \wedge t[R_1.A] = \dots = t[R_n.A]\}$$

$$\{t \mid \exists r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, \dots, r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1] = r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t[R_n] = r_n\} \cap$$

$$\textcircled{1} \{ t \mid \exists r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, \dots, r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1.A] = \dots = t[R_n.A] \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \bigcup_{t \in R_k} \{t \mid \exists r_1 \in R_1, r_2 \in R_2, \dots, r_n \in R_n \mid t[R_1] = r_1 \wedge \dots \wedge t[R_n] = r_n\} \times R_k \cap \textcircled{*} \\
 &= \bigcup_{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A} R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n \cap \textcircled{*} = \sigma_{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A} (R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

The first line shows the definition of the join operation as a union over tuples in  $R_k$ . The second line shows the equivalent expression using a selection operation on the Cartesian product.

,  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\pi$   $\theta$   $\gamma$   $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\delta$   $\epsilon$   $\zeta$   $\eta$   $\theta$   $\iota$   $\kappa$   $\lambda$   $\mu$   $\nu$   $\xi$   $\omicron$   $\pi$   $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\tau$   $\upsilon$   $\phi$   $\chi$   $\psi$   $\omega$

$$\sigma_{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A} (R_1 \times R_2 \times \dots \times R_n) = \text{MultiJoin}_{\{R_1.A = R_2.A = \dots = R_n.A\}}(R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n)$$

