EECE 7397 Review

Jiahao Zhu

September 2020

1 Bayesian Inference

The maximum likelihood estimation gave point estimates for the parameters μ and Σ . Now we consider the Bayesian treatment for the Gaussian distribution. Let's introduce prior distribution over these parameters. Given $X = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$, here are three cases for the parameters μ and Σ .

1.1 Case 1: Mean is Unknown and Precision is Known

Assume that

• μ : unknown

• σ^2 : known

In this case, we consider the task of inferring the mean μ given a set of N observations X. The likelihood function, that is the probability of the observed data given μ , is given by

$$p(\mathbf{D} \mid \mu) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(x_n \mid \mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2\}$$
(1)

It shows that the likelihood function takes the form of the exponential of a quadratic form in μ . Suppose we choose a prior $p(\mu)$ given by Gaussian. Let's consider the posterior distribution $p(\mu \mid D)$. In previous section, we have showed that the corresponding posterior will be a product of two exponential parts of quadratic function of μ which means that it will be Gaussian. Now we take the prior distribution to be

$$p(\mu) = N(\mu \mid \mu_0, \sigma_0^2)$$
 (2)

and the posterior distribution is given by

$$p(x \mid \mathbf{D}) \propto p(\mathbf{D} \mid \mu)p(\mu)$$

$$\propto \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}(x_n - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2}\right) + \frac{(\mu - \mu_0)^2}{\sigma_0^2}\right]$$
(3)

We will separate the expression into two parts: the quadratic part and the linear part. Let's first discuss the quadratic part. It is given by

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\mu^{2}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\mu^{2}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{N}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right)\mu^{2}$$
(4)

As we discussed above, the quadratic part is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu \tag{5}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_N^2} = \frac{N}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\sigma_0^2} = \frac{N\sigma_0^2 + \sigma^2}{\sigma^2 \sigma_0^2}$$
 (6)

The linear part is

$$-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{-2\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_{n}\mu}{\sigma^{2}} - \frac{2\mu\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right)$$

$$=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_{n}}{\sigma^{2}}\mu + \frac{\mu\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}$$

$$=\left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}x_{n}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right)\mu$$
(7)

And the linear part is

$$\mu^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_N \tag{8}$$

It turns out that

$$\mu_{N} = \left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right) \sigma_{N}^{2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n}}{\sigma^{2}} + \frac{\mu_{0}}{\sigma_{0}^{2}}\right) \frac{\sigma^{2} \sigma_{0}^{2}}{N \sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{N \sigma_{0}^{2}}{N \sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \mu_{ML} + \frac{\sigma^{2}}{N \sigma_{0}^{2} + \sigma^{2}} \mu_{0}$$
(9)

where μ_{ML} is the maximum likelihood solution for μ given by the sample mean

$$\mu_{ML} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \tag{10}$$

Now let's spend a moment studying the form of the posterior mean and variance. Here are several property of the posterior mean and variance.

• If the observed data points N=0, then μ_N will reduce to the prior mean μ and σ_N reduce to the prior variance σ .

- If $N \to \infty$, the posterior mean μ_N is given by μ_{ML} and the posterior variance $\sigma_N \to 0$, which means that the posterior distribution becomes infinitely peaked around the maximum likelihood solution.
- Note that for finite N, if we set $\sigma_0^2 \to \infty$, which means that the prior distribution has the infinite variance, then the posterior mean μ_N reduces to μ_{ML} while the posterior variance is given by $\sigma_N^2 = \sigma^2/N$

1.2 Case 2: Mean is Known and Precision is Unknown

Assume that

- μ : known
- σ^2 : unknown

Let $\lambda = \frac{1}{\sigma^2}$. Then we have the likelihood:

$$p(D \mid \lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} N(x_n \mid \mu, \lambda^{-1}) \propto \lambda^{N/2} \exp\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2\}$$
 (11)

The corresponding conjugate prior should be proportional to the product of a power of λ and the exponential of a linear function of λ . Let's define the gamma distribution

$$Gam(\lambda \mid a, b) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} b^a \lambda^{a-1} \exp(-b\lambda)$$
 (12)

where $\Gamma(a)$ is defined by

$$\Gamma(x) = \int_0^\infty u^{x-1} e^{-u} du \tag{13}$$

and it ensures that $\mathrm{Gam}(\lambda\mid a,b)$ is normalized. The mean and variance of the gamma distribution are given by

$$\mathbb{E}[\lambda] = \frac{a}{b} \tag{14}$$

$$VAR[\lambda] = \frac{a}{b^2} \tag{15}$$

Now consider a prior distribution $Gam(\lambda \mid a_0, b_0)$. We multiply by the likelihood function, we can attain the posterior distribution

$$p(\lambda \mid \mathbf{D}) \propto \lambda^{a_0 - 1} \lambda^{N/2} \exp[-b_0 \lambda - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2]$$
 (16)

which is a gamma distribution of the form $Gam(\lambda \mid a_N, b_N)$ where

$$a_N = a_0 + \frac{N}{2} \tag{17}$$

$$b_N = b_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (x_n - \mu)^2 = b_0 + \frac{N}{2} \sigma_{ML}^2$$
 (18)

where σ_{ML}^2 is the maximum likelihood estimator of the variance.

1.3 Case 3: Both Mean and Precision Are Unknown

Assume that

• μ : unknown

• σ^2 : unknown

To find the conjugate prior, we consider the depence of the likelihood function on μ and λ

$$p(\mathbf{D} \mid \mu, \lambda) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} (x_n - \mu)^2\right\}$$

$$\propto (\lambda^{1/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2}\right\})^N \exp\left\{\lambda \mu \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n^2\right\}$$
(19)

We wish to identify a prior distribution $p(\mu, \lambda)$ that has the same functional dependence on μ and λ as the likelihood function and it takes the form

$$p(\mu, \lambda) \propto (\lambda^{1/2} \exp\{-\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2}\})^{\beta} \exp\{c\lambda \mu - d\lambda\}$$

$$= \exp\{-\frac{\beta \lambda}{2} (\mu - \frac{c}{\beta})^2\} \lambda^{\beta/2} \exp\{-(d - \frac{c^2}{2\beta})\lambda\}$$
(20)

where c,d,β are constants. Consider the prior distribution $p(\mu,\lambda)$. It turns out that

$$p(\mu, \lambda) = p(\mu \mid \lambda)p(\lambda) \tag{21}$$

where $p(\mu \mid \lambda)$ is a Gaussian whose precision is a linear function of λ and $p(\lambda)$ is a gamma distribution. By normalization, we obtain the form

$$p(\mu, \lambda) = \mathcal{N}(\mu \mid \mu_0, (\beta \lambda)^{-1}) \operatorname{Gam}(\lambda \mid a, b)$$
 (22)

where $\mu_0 = c/\beta$, $a = 1 + \beta/2$, $b = d - c^2/2\beta$. Then we can combine them to obtain t he posterior distribution.

 $p(\mu, \lambda \mid \mathbf{D})$

$$\propto p(\mathbf{D} \mid \mu, \lambda)p(\mu, \lambda)$$

$$\propto (\lambda^{1/2} \exp\{-\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2}\})^N \exp\{\lambda \mu \sum_{n=1}^N x_n - \frac{\lambda}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N x_n^2\} (\lambda^{1/2} \exp\{-\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2}\})^\beta \exp\{c\lambda \mu - d\lambda\}$$

$$= (\lambda^{1/2} \exp\{-\frac{\lambda \mu^2}{2}\})^{N+\beta} \exp\{(c + \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n)\lambda \mu - (d + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{x_n^2}{2})\lambda\}$$
(23)

It turns out that it has a Gaussian-gamma distribution form

$$p(\mu, \lambda \mid \mathbf{D}) = \mathcal{N}(\mu \mid \mu'_0, (\beta' \lambda)^{-1}) \operatorname{Gam}(\lambda \mid a', b')$$
(24)

where

$$\beta' = N + \beta \tag{25}$$

$$c' = c + \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n \tag{26}$$

$$d' = d + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{x_n^2}{2} \tag{27}$$

$$\mu_0' = \frac{c'}{\beta'} = \frac{c + \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n}{N + \beta}$$
 (28)

$$a' = 1 + \frac{\beta'}{2} = 1 + \frac{N+\beta}{2} \tag{29}$$

$$b' = d' - \frac{c'^{2}}{2\beta'} = \left(d + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{x_{n}^{2}}{2} - \frac{(c + \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_{n})^{2}}{2(\beta + N)}\right)$$
(30)