Day 3: Profunctors

(we started the day off by going through contravariance thoroughly, slides from that are here:

https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1UA-

90B_oTO8g_8Tr1mVmokM0PWAl1cqdsRAbjWrkofg/edit?usp=sharing)

A code example

from Tom Harding's excellent series on functional programming $\label{eq:http://www.tomharding.me} $$ http://www.tomharding.me/2017/03/13/fantas-eel-and-specification-4/$

Lots of JavaScript

```
const Customer = daggy.tagged('Customer', [
                  // String
  'name',
  'favouriteThings', // [String]
  'registrationDate', // Int -- since epoch
  'hasMadePurchase' // Bool
1)
const myStrategy = {
  // to :: Customer
  // -> Tuple4 (First String)
  //
                  [String]
  //
                  (Min Int)
                  (Any Bool)
  to: customer => Tuple4(
    First(customer.name),
```

The process is like this:

We take two customer records with four fields and convert them to four-tuples.

(that's the f in our dimap f g h)

Then we use a Semigroup (like a Monoid but with no identity value) instance to *merge* each field of the two tuples.

(that's the h in our dimap f g h)

Then we convert the merged tuple back into a record with four fields.

(that's the g in our dimap f g h)

It's a little weird because we take in two things but only return one.

If you want to follow along:

add imports

```
import Data.Semigroup
import Data.Profunctor
```

open a stack repl that has the profunctors package loaded

```
$ stack repl --package profunctor
```

We need a Customer type and to and from functions to replicate Tom's example.

Let's simplify this a little, because we haven't covered Semigroup much. So we'll use a simple Semigroup.

```
data Customer = Customer {
          knownAliases :: [String],
          genders :: [String]
     }
     deriving (Eq, Show)
```

```
to :: Customer -> ([String], [String])
to (Customer xs ys) = (xs, ys)

from :: ([String], [String]) -> Customer
from (xs, ys) = Customer xs ys
```

Now we will make a Combiner type that, given two tuples, will merge them.

```
newtype Combiner a b = Combiner (a -> a -> b)
```

Next we will write a Profunctor instance for our Combiner type.

```
instance Profunctor Combiner where
dimap f g (Combiner c) =
-- f ~ `to`; q ~ `from`
```

```
newtype Equivalence a = Equivalence
{ getEquivalence :: a → a → Bool }

instance Contravariant (Equivalence a) where
contramap f g = Equivalence
   (\x y → getEquivalence g (f x) (f y))
```

Figure 1: Remember this?

```
instance Profunctor Combiner where
  dimap f g (Combiner c) =
    Combiner (\x y -> g (c (f x) (f y))
-- f ~ `to`; g ~ `from`; c ~ Combiner function
```

We've kept our types simple to avoid having to choose semigroups. Using (<>) with lists (and lists of String) will just give us concatenation.

Notice our Combiner arguments are the same.

```
semigroupCombiner :: Semigroup a => Combiner a a
semigroupCombiner = Combiner (<>)
```

```
customerCombiner :: Combiner Customer Customer
customerCombiner = dimap to from semigroupCombiner
```

```
mergeCustomers :: Customer -> Customer -> Customer
mergeCustomers x y =
    case customerCombiner of
    Combiner c -> c x y
```