Day 2

Functions and functors

```
class Monoid a where
```

mempty :: a

mappend :: a -> a -> a

An *instance* is the piece of code that ties a typeclass declaration to a particular type.

A typeclass declaration is maximally polymorphic, to be flexible enough to work with many types.

An instance gives the implementation for a given type.

Product

```
Defined in Data. Monoid:
newtype Product a = Product { getProduct :: a }
instance Num a => Semigroup (Product a) where
        (<>) = (*)
-- the infix operator for `mappend` is `<>`.
instance Num a => Monoid (Product a) where
        mempty = Product 1
```

Sum

Integer monoids

```
Product: Monoid under multiplication.
newtype Product a
> getProduct (Product 3 <> Product 4 <> mempty)
12
And Sum: Monoid under addition.
newtype Sum a
> getSum (Sum 1 <> Sum 2 <> mempty)
3
```

As we said, typeclass declarations are maximally polymorphic.

They are not fully parametric: <> doesn't work with any type in the universe, only those with instances of Monoid er, Semigroup.

Aside

(since Semigroup now superclasses Monoid, <> really comes from Semigroup and Monoid only adds the mempty to the semigroup operation)

But how do we know if a type has an instance of Monoid?

By looking it up during compilation.

The instance is looked up by the type name.

Welcome to GHC Core.

```
> 5 == 5
```

========= Simplified expression =========

```
let {
  it :: Bool
  it = == $fEqInteger 5 5 } in
thenIO (print $fShowBool it) ...
```

fEqInteger and fShowBool are references to the instances of Eq and Show that fit the types here.

Aside

```
(you can get a GHCi that shows you the Core output like that, too)

ghci -ddump-simpl -dsuppress-idinfo -dsuppress-coercions
-dsuppress-type-applications -dsuppress-uniques
-dsuppress-module-prefixes
```

Typeclasses and types form an algebraic system, linked by instance declarations.

A slightly different operation, such as the difference between a monoid and a semigroup, means we give it a new typeclass name.

For different implementations of the same abstract function, though, we give the types new names.

At any rate, it is important to preserve uniqueness so the compiler knows what to do.

Aside

(yes, we're here to talk about functors, and we will! this will all be relevant to our interests)

Functions

Only two things matter in Haskell:

Types and Functions

But

Type constructors are functions that make types.

Data constructors are functions that make values of a type.

And the (->) type constructor makes functions?

The function type

Functions are a product of two values, sort of like tuples:

It is often said that functions in Haskell always take one argument and return one result, and you can see that in the type!

Infix operator notation

Infix operators like + and == and -> do not have parentheses around them when they appear in their default infix position.

We need parentheses to make them prefix (or to do things like ask for their type in GHCi).

Infix operator notation

This

(->) a b

is the same as this

a -> b

Function arguments

Of course, a and b can themselves be functions!

So a function can accept a function as an argument and return a function as a result.

Function associativity

The arrow is a type-level infix operator that associates to the right.

So this

is really this

$$max :: Ord a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow a)$$

Function associativity

That's why we can write functions like this

and still say we have "one argument, one result".

It associates like

$$a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d))$$

It's still an a \rightarrow b function but the b here is more function: (b \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d)).

Aside

(we don't need those parentheses because Haskell is *curried by default*)

Another aside

(function application is left associative. so, $f \times y$ is $(f \times y)$ y. the ability to apply a function to one argument and return a new function is what makes partial application so prevalent and convenient in Haskell.)

Function arguments

The default currying is why these type signatures need these parenetheses:

(.) ::
$$(b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow a \rightarrow c$$

The first argument must be a function of the specified type.

Function arguments

If we took those parentheses off, we'd have

(.) ::
$$b \rightarrow (c \rightarrow (a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c))))$$

... and that is not at all what we want.

Functions

```
And the (->) type has typeclass instances:

instance Monad ((->) r)

instance Functor ((->) r)

instance Applicative ((->) a)

instance Monoid b => Monoid (a -> b)
```

FINALLY

FUNCTORS

Typeclass definition:

```
class Functor (f :: * -> *) where
  fmap :: (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
```

Functors in Haskell are type constructors with a lawful definition of fmap.

Type constructors

Some types are "concrete" or *nullary* constructors. Many familiar types are concrete.

```
data Bool = False | True
```

Type constructors

```
Many "types" are parameterized.
data Maybe a = Nothing | Just a
data Either a b = Left a | Right b
data [] a = [] | a : [a]
data(,) a b = (,) a b
data (->) a b
```

Type constructors

Type constructors are functions that make types.

Maybe :: Type -> Type

Maybe String :: Type

Aside

```
(We actually call those "kinds" in Haskell. Kinds are the types of
types.)
> :kind Maybe
Maybe :: * -> *

> :kind Maybe String
Maybe String :: *
```

Some typeclasses are typeclasses for *types*. Functor is a typeclass for *type constructors*.

Functors must be of type Type -> Type.

So they must be type constructors with *one parameter*.

And those type constructors must have a lawful implementation of fmap.

$$fmap :: Functor f \Rightarrow (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow f a \rightarrow f b$$

But we know we can read that type signature like this

$$fmap :: (a -> b) -> (f a -> f b)$$

It takes one argument – a function – and returns a *lifted* function.

Functors

Since we also need to apply fmap to an f a value, where the f is a functor (type constructor), the compiler knows which f – and thus, which implementation of fmap we're using – by that f.

Functors

fmap gives us a way to apply a function to a value (or values in the case of lists and the like) that is *inside* some other type.

```
> fmap (+1) [1, 2, 3]
[2,3,4]

> fmap (+1) (Just 3)
Just 4

([] and Maybe are functors; the numeric values there are not)
```

Functors

Lifting power

Functor instances

```
fmap @Maybe :: (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b
fmap _ Nothing = Nothing
fmap function (Just x) = Just (function x)
```

Functor instances

Must be Type -> Type but Either and (,) are Type -> Type -> Type so we must partially apply the type constructor.

```
> :kind Either
Either :: * -> * -> *
> :kind Either _
Either _ :: * -> *
```

Functor instances

```
fmap @(Either _) :: (a -> b) -> Either t a -> Either t b
Notice which of Either's parameters the (a -> b) function
applies to.
```

Write the instance

```
instance Functor (Either a) where
```

```
\neg\neg fmap :: (a \neg> b) \neg> (Either t) a \neg> (Either t) b
```

Write the instance

```
instance Functor (Either a) where

-- fmap :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (Either t) a \rightarrow (Either t) b

fmap function (Left x) = Left x
```

Write the instance

```
instance Functor (Either a) where
  -- fmap :: (a -> b) -> (Either t) a -> (Either t) b
fmap function (Left x) = Left x
fmap function (Right a) = Right (function a)
```

Applicative and Monad

There are other ways of lifting.

```
fmap :: Functor f => (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
(<*>) :: Applicative f => f (a -> b) -> f a -> f b
(=<<) :: Monad m => (a -> m b) -> m a -> m b
```

Applicative

Applicative typeclass is similar to Functor. Applicatives are functors, but with some extra stuff.

pure can lift an a into the necessary context.

```
> :type pure
pure :: Applicative f => a -> f a
> :type pure @Maybe
pure @Maybe :: a -> Maybe a
> :type pure @(Either _)
pure @(Either _) :: a -> Either t a
```

Applicative

Applicative

Monad

Monad is just another functor typeclass.

Notice the inputs are the opposite of fmap.

Maybe Monad

Either Monad

Monad instances

```
instance Monad Maybe where
Nothing >>= _ = Nothing
Just a >>= func = func a

instance Monad (Either a) where
Left x >>= _ = Left x
Right a >>= func = func a
```

Since function (->) is a datatype, we can write instances for it as well.

We only care about the Functor instance for our current purposes, but you should totally check out the Applicative and Monad instances because they are cool.

The (->) type has two parameters.

```
data (->) a b
> :kind (->)
(->) :: * -> * -> *
> :kind ((->) _)
((->) _) :: * -> *
```

```
So we'll need to partially apply it like we did with Either.
```

Compare

```
instance Functor (Either a) where
```

instance Functor ((->) a) where

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> ((->) t a) -> ((->) t b)
-- or
fmap :: (a -> b) -> (t -> a) -> (t -> b)
```

Lifting power

Compare

Are these the same?

```
instance Functor ((->) a) where
fmap = (.)
```

Covariance

While we do not usually specify this, this is a typeclass for *covariant* functors.

Covariant functors are a way to express the relation between the outputs of a function.

1:(t -> a)
$$2:(t -> b)$$

An $(a \rightarrow b)$ function takes us from the output of the first to the output of the second.

Covariance

Either a b is a function (that constructs a type).

The covariant Either _ functor gives us a way to take Either _ a to Either _ b:

```
Right :: a -> Either _ a
Right :: b -> Either _ b
```

The $(a \rightarrow b)$ function that fmap takes as its first argument takes us from the a to the b.

Aside

(the "co-" prefix here is not the one that means mathematical dual; it's the one that means "together", like in "cooperate".)

Covariance

So that's *covariant* functors. We have one more typeclass to talk about before we go onto its opposite, *contravariance*.

Bifunctor!

Tired of ignoring your Lefts?

Try Bifunctor!

Bifunctor

Bifunctor is a typeclass that gives us the opportunity to lift *two* functions instead of one, for type constructors that have *two* parameters.

```
class Bifunctor p where
```

```
-- | Map over both arguments at the same time.
bimap :: (a -> b) -> (c -> d) -> p a c -> p b d
```

Bifunctors are *covariant* in both arguments, so we're transforming *two outputs*.

Bifunctor

```
bimap @Either :: (a -> b) -> (c -> d) ->

Either a c -> -- inputs

Either b d -- outputs

bimap @(,) :: (a -> b) -> (c -> d) ->

(,) a c -> (,) b d
```

Bimapping

```
greet = bimap ("hello " ++) ("goodbye " ++)
greet (Left "Julie")
"hello Julie"
greet (Right "to all that")
"goodbye to all that"
greet ("Poland", "Switzerland")
greet ("hello Poland", "goodbye Switzerland")
```

Tomorrow

Profunctors are

bifunctors

that are

- contravariant in their first argument
- covariant in their second argument.

We've seen covariant functors (many) and covered bifunctors.

Tomorrow we'll tackle contravariance and combine everything we know into one sweet dimap.