

# Fluid Dynamics Archives

Hans C. Suganda

25<sup>th</sup> February 2021

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Basic Definitions</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	Dynamic Variables . . . . .	3
1.2	Geometrical variables . . . . .	4
1.3	Processed Geometry . . . . .	5
1.4	Dimensionless Coefficients . . . . .	6
1.5	Definition of Processes . . . . .	7
<b>2</b>	<b>Reynold's Transport Theorem</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1	Substantive Derivative . . . . .	8
2.2	Divergence Theorem . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Governing Equations</b>	<b>11</b>
3.1	Governing Equation: Continuum Equation . . . . .	11
3.1.1	Differential Continuity Proof . . . . .	11
3.1.2	Integral Continuity Proof . . . . .	12
3.2	Governing Equation: Momentum Equation . . . . .	14
3.2.1	Differential Momentum Proof . . . . .	14
3.2.2	Integral Momentum Proof . . . . .	15
3.3	Governing Equation: Energy Equation . . . . .	17
<b>4</b>	<b>Navier-Stokes Equations</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Potential Flows</b>	<b>19</b>
5.1	Compressible Potential Flow . . . . .	20
5.2	Incompressible Potential Flow . . . . .	21
<b>6</b>	<b>Numerical Methods: Potential Flows</b>	<b>22</b>
6.1	Gauss-Siedel Grid Method . . . . .	22
<b>7</b>	<b>Inviscid Incompressible Flow</b>	<b>24</b>
7.1	Thin Airfoil Theory . . . . .	24
7.1.1	Derivation and Theorem-Specific Coefficients . . . . .	24
7.1.2	Lift . . . . .	24
7.1.3	Moments . . . . .	25
7.1.4	Limitations . . . . .	25
7.1.5	Other Implications . . . . .	25
7.2	Lifting Line Theory . . . . .	26
7.2.1	Lift . . . . .	26
7.2.2	Drag . . . . .	26
7.2.3	Elliptical Vortex Distribution Wing . . . . .	27
7.3	Static Longitudinal Stability . . . . .	28

<b>8</b>	<b>Inviscid Compressible Flow</b>	<b>29</b>
8.1	Thermodynamic Relations . . . . .	29
8.2	Shock Relations . . . . .	30
8.2.1	Normal Shocks . . . . .	30
8.2.2	Oblique Shocks . . . . .	31
8.3	Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Theory . . . . .	32
8.4	Shock-Expansion Theory . . . . .	33
8.5	Linear Theory . . . . .	34
8.5.1	Supersonic . . . . .	34
8.5.2	Subsonic . . . . .	34
<b>9</b>	<b>Creeping Flows</b>	<b>36</b>
9.1	Cartesian Parallel Flows . . . . .	36
9.2	Radial Parallel Flows . . . . .	39
9.2.1	Velocity Profile . . . . .	39
9.2.2	Scalar Pressure Field . . . . .	41
9.2.3	Induced Torque . . . . .	41

# Chapter 1

## Basic Definitions

### 1.1 Dynamic Variables

Name	Symbollic Representa- tion	Units	Description
Lift	L	N	Upward force experienced by the aircraft
Drag	D	N	Backward force experienced by the aircraft

## 1.2 Geometrical variables

Name	Symbollic Representation	Units	Description
Angle of attack	$\alpha$	rad	How pitched up or down the wing or horizontal stabilizer is usually, could represent more than just wings or horizontal stabilizers though
Leading Edge	-	-	The front-most edge of the airfoil
Trailing Edge	-	-	The back-most edge of the airfoil
Chord length		m	Length of the chord line, wherein chord line is a line joining the leading edge and trailing edge
Span length		m	The sideways length of the wing. The distance between one wing tip to another wing tip
Mean Camber line			
Chord line			

### 1.3 Processed Geometry

Name	Symbollic Representation	Units	Description
Aerodynamic Center		-	A specific point in the airfoil wherein the moments acting on the airfoil due to fluid pressures is unchanging with angle of attack
Center of Pressure		-	A specific point in the airfoil wherein the airfoil experiences no resultant moment about this point
Neutral Point			
Aspect Ratio			

## 1.4 Dimensionless Coefficients

Name	Symbolic Representa- tion	Units	Description
Coefficient of Lift			
Coefficient of Drag			
Coefficient of Moments			

## 1.5 Definition of Processes

Name	Symbollic Representa- tion	Description
Isothermal	<i>it</i>	Constant temperature
Isobaric	<i>ib</i>	Constant pressure
Isochoric	<i>ic</i>	Constant volume
Adiabatic	<i>ad</i>	No heat exchange with external system
Reversible	<i>rev</i>	No dissipative phenomena, no mass diffusion, no thermal conductivity, no viscosity
Isentropic	<i>ise</i>	Both Adiabatic and Reversible



# Chapter 2

## Reynold's Transport Theorem

One variation of Liebniz Rule applicable for volumetric integrals is shown below. for the variable  $T$  wherein  $T$  may represent a time dependent scalar, vector, or tensor.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} T dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [T] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} T \bar{v}_s \bar{n} dS$$

wherein  $R(t)$  represents an arbitray region of space,  $V_o$  represents volume,  $S(t)$  represents the surface of the region defined by  $R(t)$ ,  $\bar{v}_s$  represents the velocity of the moving surface,  $\bar{n}$  represents normal vector of the surface. Depending on the variable type  $T$ , the operation  $T \bar{v}_s \bar{n}$  would depend on a case to case basis.

### 2.1 Substantive Derivative

Suppose a quantity  $b$  is dependent on the the variable time  $t$  and the typical cartesian coordinates  $x, y, z$ . Taking the derivative of variable  $a$  with respect to time yields the following based on chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{dt} [b] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [b] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [b] \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [x] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [b] \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [y] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [b] \times \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [z]$$

Taking note that the partial derivatives of the cartesian coordinates defines velocity in the cartesian coordinates. Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} [x] = u \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [y] = v \quad , \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [z] = w$$

wherein  $u, v$ , and  $w$  typically represents velocity in the  $x, y$ , and  $z$  directions respectively. Therefore, the derivative of  $y$  with respect to time  $t$  would take the form,

$$\frac{d}{dt} [b] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [b] + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [b] + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [b] + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [b]$$

If the  $\nabla$  operator is defined as

$$\nabla = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)^T$$

Therefore, the derivative of  $y$  with respect to time  $t$  would take the form

$$\frac{d}{dt} [b] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [b] + u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [b] + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [b] + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [b]$$

Let the velocity vector be defined as

$$\bar{v} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix}$$

It follows that the derivative of  $b$  with respect to time  $t$  would take the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}[b] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[b] + \bar{v} \cdot \nabla b$$

## 2.2 Divergence Theorem

The Divergence Theorem is stated below. The variable  $\bar{F}$  must represent a vector in  $R^3$

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \bar{F} dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

Alternately,

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\bar{F}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\bar{F}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{F}] \right] dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

wherein  $dV_o$  represents an infinitesimally small volume.  $S(t)$  is the surface encapsulating the region  $R(t)$ .  $\bar{n}$  is the normal vector of the control volume, and  $dS$  is an infinitesimal area of surface  $S(t)$ .

# Chapter 3

## Governing Equations

### 3.1 Governing Equation: Continuum Equation

The Governing Continuum Equation in its differential form:

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

The Governing Continuum Equation in its integral form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

A more useful alternate form:

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iint_{S(t)} \rho(\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_f) \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

wherein  $M(t)$  represent mass contained in a control volume,  $\bar{v}_f$  represent the velocity of the fluid and  $\bar{v}_s$  represent the velocity of the deforming control volume  $R(t)$ .  $S(t)$  represents the surface that is encapsuating the control volume  $R(t)$ .

#### 3.1.1 Differential Continuity Proof

Starting with the definition of mass contained in the arbitrary control volume  $R(t)$ ,

$$M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \rho dV_o$$

Taking the derivative of the mass contained within the control volume with respect to time,

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho dV_o$$

By application of Liebniz rule, substituting  $T$  with  $\rho$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} T dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[T] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} T \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

If the velocity of the surface expanding is equivalent to the velocity of the fluid at the boundary of the control volume ( $\bar{v}_s = \bar{v}_f$ ), then the amount of mass within the control volume must remain constant.

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho]dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f \cdot \bar{n}dS$$

The second term of the expression above could be converted into a volumetric integral based on the divergence theorem by substituting  $F$  with  $\rho \bar{v}_f$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \bar{F} dV_o &= \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n}dS \\ \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) dV_o &= \iint_{S(t)} (\rho \bar{v}_f) \cdot \bar{n}dS \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho]dV_o + \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) dV_o \\ 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) dV_o \end{aligned}$$

Since the integration is zero for an arbitrary region the integrand must be zero everywhere. To prove this, simply choose the arbitray region to be infinitesimially small at all points in  $R^3$  and it could be seen that the integrand is always zero everywhere.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

### 3.1.2 Integral Continuity Proof

To prove the integral form for the Governing Continuum Equation, consider the time rate of change of a mass enclosed within the control volume:

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho]dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}dS$$

From the differential form of the Governing Continuum Equation,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho]dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}dS = - \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}dS$$

By applying the divergence theorem to convert the first term volumetric integral into a surface integral,

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \bar{F} dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n}dS$$

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} M(t) = - \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f \cdot \bar{n} dS + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS = \iint_{S(t)} \rho (\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_f) \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

A more familiar form would yield,

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} M(t) + \iint_{S(t)} \rho (\bar{v}_f - \bar{v}_s) \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

$$0 = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho (\bar{v}_f - \bar{v}_s) \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

## 3.2 Governing Equation: Momentum Equation

The Governing Momentum Equation in its differential form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \bar{v}_f) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) = -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b$$

wherein  $\rho$  represents density,  $\bar{v}_f$  represents fluid velocity vector,  $P_r$  represents fluid pressure at a particular point,  $\tau$  represents viscous forces,  $\bar{F}_b$  represents body force experienced by the fluid inside the control volume. The Governing Momentum Equation in its integral form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \bar{v}_f) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s) dV_o$$

wherein  $\bar{F}_s$  represents surface forces. Like in the previous proof,  $S(t)$  represents the surface binding the control volume region  $R(t)$ . An alternate form to the momentum governing equation exists. It is shown below,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f [(\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_f) \cdot \bar{n}] dS + \iiint_{R(t)} -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o$$

### 3.2.1 Differential Momentum Proof

The total Momentum  $\bar{P}_m$  contained in a control volume,

$$\bar{P}_m = \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o$$

The derivative of momentum with respect to time,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{P}_m = \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o$$

By applying Liebniz's rule, substituting  $T$  with  $\rho \bar{v}_f$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} T dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[T] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} T \bar{v}_s \bar{n} dS$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{P}_m = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho \bar{v}_f] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s \bar{n} dS$$

By applying Divergence Theorem substituting  $F$  with  $\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s$

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \bar{F} dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s) dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS$$

By substituting the terms to the derivative of momentum with respect to time,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{P}_m = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho \bar{v}_f] dV_o + \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s) dV_o$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bar{P}_m = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho \bar{v}_f] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s) dV_o$$

Since the derivative of momentum with respect to time is the total force applied to the control volume,

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F}_s \cdot \bar{n} dS = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \bar{v}_f] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_s) dV$$

The first term in the expression above represents the total body force acting on the control volume meanwhile the second term in the expression represents the total surface force acting on the control volume. When the velocity of the surface is identical to the velocity of the fluid flow,  $\bar{v}_s = \bar{v}_f$ , the total force acting on the specific volume of region  $R_s(t)$ ,

$$\iiint_{R_s(t)} -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o = \iiint_{R_s(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \bar{v}_f] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) dV$$

Since the equation above is always true under the constraint that the surface velocity of the region is identical to the velocity of the fluid flow, one can simply choose smaller and smaller regions until  $R_s(t)$  is an infinitesimally small region. This process can be applied everywhere in the fluid. Therefore,

$$-\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \bar{v}_f] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f)$$

The equation above is the differential formulation and is always true all throughout the fluid.

### 3.2.2 Integral Momentum Proof

To prove the alternate form of the momentum governing equation in integral form, the differential formulation of the momentum equation would be vital. Rearranging for the

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \bar{v}_f) \text{ term,}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \bar{v}_f) + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) = -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \bar{v}_f) = -\nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) - \nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b$$

Referencing the previous equation for derivative of momentum within an arbitrary region  $R(t)$  with respect to time,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\rho \bar{v}_f] dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}) dS$$

$$\text{Substituting the term, } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho \bar{v}_f),$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iiint_{R(t)} -\nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) - \nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}) dS$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = - \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f) dV_o + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}) dS + \iiint_{R(t)} -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o$$

The applying the Divergence Theorem substituting  $F$  with  $\rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f$

$$\iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \bar{F} dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \bar{F} \cdot \bar{n} dS$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& \iiint_{R(t)} \nabla \cdot \rho \bar{v}_f \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_f \cdot \bar{n}) dS \\
& \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = - \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_f \cdot \bar{n}) dS + \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f (\bar{v}_s \cdot \bar{n}) dS + \iiint_{R(t)} -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o \\
& \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_{R(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f dV_o = \iint_{S(t)} \rho \bar{v}_f [(\bar{v}_s - \bar{v}_f) \cdot \bar{n}] dS + \iiint_{R(t)} -\nabla P_r + \nabla \cdot \tau + \rho \bar{F}_b dV_o
\end{aligned}$$

### 3.3 Governing Equation: Energy Equation

# Chapter 4

## Navier-Stokes Equations

The full set of the Navier-Stokes Equations are shown below. The three equations below correspond to the differential continuity, momentum, energy laws.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \bar{v}_f \cdot \nabla \rho = -\rho \nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f$$

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\bar{v}_f] + (\bar{v}_f \cdot \nabla) \bar{v}_f \right] = -\nabla P_r + \rho \bar{F}_b + \mu \nabla^2 \bar{v}_f = -\nabla P_r + \rho \bar{F}_b - \frac{2}{3} \nabla (\mu \nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f) + 2 \nabla \cdot (\mu S)$$

$$\rho c_p \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(T) + \bar{v}_f \cdot \nabla T \right] = \nabla \cdot (k \nabla T) - \frac{2}{3} \mu (\nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f)^2 + 2 \mu S : S + \beta T \frac{D}{Dt} [P_r]$$

# Chapter 5

## Potential Flows

Potential and inviscid flows are flows wherein the effects of viscosity is neglected. The degeneracy from the general Navier Stokes equation is shown below,

Consider the continuity differential governing equation,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] + \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

For a steady-state flow,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho] = 0$ . Substituting to the continuity differential governing equation,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f)$$

## 5.1 Compressible Potential Flow

For the compressible potential flow, let the potential function  $\psi_c$  be defined as,

$$\rho \bar{v}_f = \nabla \psi_c$$

Substituting the definition of the potential function into the steady state continuity differential governing equation,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \psi_c) = \nabla^2 \psi_c$$

In cartesian coordinates, this yields,

$$0 = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}[\psi_c] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}[\psi_c] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}[\psi_c]$$

## 5.2 Incompressible Potential Flow

Due to the nature of the fluid, the density could be considered a scalar constant. The  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\rho]$  term in this case evaluates to zero under two conditions: steady state means that the gradient is unchanging, but also since density is non-changing, this particular term also evaluates to zero. Therefore, for incompressible flows, the potential flow function would still be applicable for non-steady fluid states.

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\rho \bar{v}_f) = \rho \nabla \cdot (\bar{v}_f)$$

Division of both sides by  $\rho$ ,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\bar{v}_f)$$

For the incompressible potential flow, let the potential function  $\psi_i$  be defined as,

$$\bar{v}_f = \nabla \psi_i$$

By substituting the fluid velocity field into the continuity degenerate differential form,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot (\nabla \psi_i) = \nabla^2 \psi_i = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}[\psi_i] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}[\psi_i] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}[\psi_i]$$

# Chapter 6

## Numerical Methods: Potential Flows

### 6.1 Gauss-Siedel Grid Method

The Taylor expansion series for an arbitrary function  $f(t)$  is defined as the following,

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [f(a)] (x-a)^n \right\}$$

Let the control volume be split up into infinitesimally small grids. Each grid will have horizontal width of  $dx$  and a vertical height of  $dy$ . Let  $\psi_{i,j}$  represent the  $i^{th}$  column and the  $j^{th}$  row value of the stream function. Columns are defined as the vertical edges of the infinitesimally small grids meanwhile rows are defined as the horizontal edges of the infinitesimally small grids. Therefore, the analysis performed occurs at the edges of the infinitesimally small grids. Indexing starts from the bottom left corner of the control volume, at the origin of the declared coordinate system. Indices start at 0 and progress by increments of 1 along the  $x$  and  $y$  axes. Analyzing the Taylor Expansion series horizontally in the  $x$ -direction,

$$\psi_{i+1,j} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\psi_{i,j}] (\Delta x)^n \right\}$$

For the  $i-1^{th}$  term,

$$\psi_{i-1,j} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\psi_{i,j}] (-\Delta x)^n \right\}$$

Taking the second order approximation, and neglecting the higher order terms,

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\psi_{i,j}] (\Delta x)^n \right\} \approx \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} [\psi_{i,j}] (-\Delta x)^n \right\} \approx 0$$

Therefore, for the  $i+1^{th}$  term and the  $i-1^{th}$  term respectively,

$$\psi_{i+1,j} \approx \psi_{i,j} + \frac{d\psi_{i,j}}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2} (\Delta x)^2 \quad , \quad \psi_{i-1,j} \approx \psi_{i,j} - \frac{d\psi_{i,j}}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2} (\Delta x)^2$$

Adding the terms together and manipulating the equation to isolate the  $\frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2}$  term,

$$\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} \approx \psi_{i,j} + \frac{d\psi_{i,j}}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2} (\Delta x)^2 + \psi_{i,j} - \frac{d\psi_{i,j}}{dx} \Delta x + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2} (\Delta x)^2$$

$$\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} \approx 2\psi_{i,j} + \frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2}(\Delta x)^2$$

$$\frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2}(\Delta x)^2 \approx \psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} - 2\psi_{i,j}$$

$$\frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dx^2} \approx \frac{\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} - 2\psi_{i,j}}{(\Delta x)^2}$$

Using the same process in the  $y$ -direction,

$$\frac{d^2\psi_{i,j}}{dy^2} \approx \frac{\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1} - 2\psi_{i,j}}{(\Delta y)^2}$$

Substituting the relevant terms into the governing equation,

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}[\psi] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}[\psi] = 0$$

$$\frac{\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} - 2\psi_{i,j}}{(\Delta x)^2} + \frac{\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1} - 2\psi_{i,j}}{(\Delta y)^2} = 0$$

Making  $\psi_{i,j}$  the subject of the equation above,

$$(\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j} - 2\psi_{i,j})(\Delta y)^2 + (\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1} - 2\psi_{i,j})(\Delta x)^2 = 0$$

$$(\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j})(\Delta y)^2 + (\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1})(\Delta x)^2 = 2\psi_{i,j}(\Delta y)^2 + 2\psi_{i,j}(\Delta x)^2$$

$$(\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j})(\Delta y)^2 + (\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1})(\Delta x)^2 = 2[(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta x)^2](\psi_{i,j})$$

$$\psi_{i,j} = \frac{(\psi_{i+1,j} + \psi_{i-1,j})(\Delta y)^2 + (\psi_{i,j+1} + \psi_{i,j-1})(\Delta x)^2}{2[(\Delta y)^2 + (\Delta x)^2]}$$

The relative error  $\epsilon$  of the stream function for the mesh corners are defined as

$$\left| \frac{\psi_{i,j}^{p+1} - \psi_{i,j}^p}{\psi_{i,j}^{p+1}} \right| = \epsilon$$

wherein  $\psi_{i,j}^{p+1}$  represents the  $p + 1^{th}$  iteration of  $\psi_{i,j}$  formulated through the Gauss-Seidel method. The relative error for the control volume would likewise be defined as

$$\frac{1}{k} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left( \frac{\psi_{i,j}^{p+1} - \psi_{i,j}^p}{\psi_{i,j}^{p+1}} \right)^2} = \epsilon_t \quad , \quad k = (n-1)(m-1)$$

The value of the error  $\epsilon$  would represent the error tolerance and a direct numerical simulation of the potential flow algorithm should keep running until the error tolerance would be low enough. The values of  $n$  and  $m$  would be shown analytically below,

$$m = \frac{H}{dy} \quad , \quad n = \frac{L}{dx}$$



# Chapter 7

## Inviscid Incompressible Flow

### 7.1 Thin Airfoil Theory

An infinitely long wing could be considered a 2-dimensional problem. The thin airfoil theory also incorporates all vortices generated by the viscous boundary layer as a line of free-stream vortices on the mean camber line of the airfoil. The vortices extend parallel in the spanwise direction. The thin airfoil theory differentiates between different geometries of an airfoil.

#### 7.1.1 Derivation and Theorem-Specific Coefficients

A coordinate change is used in this theorem to express  $x$  in terms of  $\theta_d$  wherein  $x$  represents the horizontal distance from the leading edge of the airfoil and  $\theta_d$  is the change of coordinates variable that is used to represent  $x$ . Here,  $c$  represents the chord length of the airfoil. The relationship between  $x$  and  $\theta_d$  is shown below,

$$x = \frac{c}{2}[1 - \cos(\theta_d)] \quad , \quad 0 \leq \theta_d \leq \pi$$

Conversely  $\theta_d$  in terms of  $x$ ,

$$\frac{2x}{c} = 1 - \cos(\theta_d)$$

$$\cos(\theta_d) = 1 - \frac{2x}{c}$$

$$\theta_d = \arccos \left[ 1 - \frac{2x}{c} \right] \quad , \quad 0 \leq x \leq c$$

The coefficients  $A_0$  and  $A_n$  are shown below,

$$A_0 = \alpha - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{dz}{dx} d\theta_d \quad , \quad A_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{dz}{dx} \cos(n\theta_d) d\theta_d$$

wherein  $\alpha$  represents angle of attack of the specific airfoil.

#### 7.1.2 Lift

The equation for the coefficient of lift  $C_L$  is shown below,

$$C_L = 2\pi \left( A_0 + \frac{A_1}{2} \right) = 2\pi (\alpha - \alpha_0)$$

wherein  $\alpha_0$  represents the angle of attack for the airfoil when lift is zero.

### 7.1.3 Moments

The moments about the aerodynamic center of the airfoil,

$$C_{M,ac} = C_{M,c/4} = \frac{\pi}{4}(A_2 - A_1)$$

wherein  $C_M$  represent the moments coefficient and the subscript  $ac$  represents at the aerodynamic center. Since the aerodynamic center is assumed to be quarter chord of the airfoil, the coefficients of moments at the aerodynamic center is identical to the coefficient of moments at the quarter chord of the airfoil. The coefficients  $A_1$  and  $a_2$  are obtainable via the equations above.

### 7.1.4 Limitations

The thin airfoil theory fails at thicker airfoils, also, could not predict drag. The theory also fails to predict stall since the airflow is assumed to be inviscid. The theorem can only be used to find lift and pitching coefficient.

### 7.1.5 Other Implications

## 7.2 Lifting Line Theory

An early attempt at describing the behaviours of a finite wing. Uniquely the lifting line theory incorporates free-stream vortices in a 'u'-shape. Firstly in the configuration of a horse-shoe vortex, and in more advanced interpretation, as a bunch of horse-shoe vortices superimposed on top of each other along the 'lifting-line'. The lifting line theory differentiates could only take into account the total effect of airfoil twist, geometry and perhaps deployed flaps instead of each individual effect. The total factor of those effects affect the free-stream vortex distribution along the 'lifting-line'.

### 7.2.1 Lift

Based on the Kutta-Joukowski theorem,

$$\frac{dL}{dy} = \rho_{\infty} v_{\infty} \Gamma(y)$$

wherein  $\rho_{\infty}$  represents the free stream density of the airflow,  $v_{\infty}$  represents free stream velocity and  $\Gamma(y)$  represents the vortex strength at some point along the wing. Integrating the expression above,

$$L = \rho_{\infty} v_{\infty} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \Gamma(y) dy$$

wherein  $b$  represents the full wingspan. The coordinate as per usual is nested on the root of the wing at the leading edge of the main wing. The  $x$ -direction is parallel to the chord line, the  $y$ -direction is parallel to the span length, and  $z$ -direction is vertical.

### 7.2.2 Drag

Since the fluid is inviscid, there is no drag due to viscosity, or flow separation. In the lifting line theory, then the drag is purely induced drag. Induced drag is caused by the "downwash" of the wing causing a slightly altered angle of attack. This causes the lift force to tilt backwards slightly, contributing to drag. Since this phenomenon only exists due to the existence of downwash which in turn is due to lift generation, induced drag is also known as lift-induced drag. The formula for induced drag is below,

$$D_i = \rho_{\infty} v_{\infty} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \Gamma(y) \alpha_i(y) dy$$

wherein the induced angle of attack  $\alpha_i$  is determined by the downwash and free stream velocity. The downwash in turn is determined by integrating the vortex distribution via biot-savart law.

$$\alpha_i(y) = \arctan \left[ \frac{-w(y)}{v_{\infty}} \right]$$

Due to the small angle approximation or taking the first order Taylor approximation,

$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} [\tan(x)] = x$ . By the small angle, approximation, then,

$$\alpha_i(y) \approx -\frac{w(y)}{v_{\infty}}$$

Substituting for the definition of downwash  $w(y)$ ,

$$w(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \frac{1}{y - y_d} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy} dy_d$$

wherein  $y_d$  represents a dummy variable that is used for integration purposes only.

$$\alpha_i(y) \approx \frac{1}{4\pi v_\infty} \int_{-b/2}^{b/2} \frac{1}{y - y_d} \frac{d\Gamma}{dy} dy_d$$

### 7.2.3 Elliptical Vortex Distribution Wing

By using the vortex distribution,

$$\Gamma(y) = \Gamma_0 \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{2y}{b}\right)^2}$$

The results of performing the steps above and performing the relevant substitutions,

$$\alpha_i = \frac{\Gamma_0}{2bv_\infty} \quad , \quad C_L = \alpha_i \pi A_R \quad , \quad C_{Di} = \frac{C_L^2}{\pi A_R}$$

wherein  $A_R$  represents aspect ratio, and  $b$  represents total wingspan.

## 7.3 Static Longitudinal Stability

The coefficient of moments about the center of mass  $C_{M,cm}$  is shown below,

$$C_{M,cm} = C_{M,0} + C_{M,\alpha}\alpha_a$$

wherein  $C_{M,0}$  represents the coefficient of moments about the center of mass when the absolute angle of attack is zero.  $\alpha_a$  represents the absolute angle of attack, which is the angle of attack of the airfoil starting at zero from the airfoil producing zero lift.  $C_{M,\alpha}$  represents the derivative of  $C_{M,cm}$  with respect to the absolute angle of attack  $\alpha_a$ . There are only 2 conditions for static longitudinal stability:

1.  $C_{M,0}$  must be a positive value
2.  $C_{M,\alpha}$  must be a negative value

# Chapter 8

## Inviscid Compressible Flow

### 8.1 Thermodynamic Relations

For an ideal gas,

$$p = \rho RT$$

wherein  $p$  represents pressure,  $\rho$  represents density, and  $T$  represents temperature in Kelvins. To compute the  $c_v$  and  $c_p$  constants,

$$c_p = \frac{\gamma R}{\gamma - 1} \quad , \quad c_v = \frac{R}{\gamma - 1}$$

wherein  $\gamma = \frac{c_p}{c_v}$ . The expression for enthalpy  $h$ ,

$$h = e + pv$$

Under the assumption that  $c_v$  and  $c_p$  as are constants,

$$e = c_v T \quad , \quad h = c_p T$$

Assuming no entropy generation via diffusion and that the coefficients  $c_v$  and  $c_p$  are constant,

$$s_2 - s_1 = c_p \ln \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) - R \ln \left( \frac{p_2}{p_1} \right) \quad , \quad s_2 - s_1 = c_v \ln \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right) + R \ln \left( \frac{v_2}{v_1} \right)$$

wherein the subscript denotes the state of the fluid, and  $v$  represents specific volume, the reciprocal of density. Only for isentropic processes, the following is true,

$$\frac{p_2}{p_1} = \left( \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} \right)^\gamma = \left( \frac{T_2}{T_1} \right)^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)}$$

For flow that is steady, adiabatic, and inviscid, the following equation holds true,

$$h_0 = h + \frac{1}{2} v_f^2$$

wherein  $h_0$  represents stagnation enthalpy,  $h$  represents current enthalpy, and  $v_f$  represents fluid velocity. The equation above holds true for any two points in a single streamline that follows the conditions stated above.

## 8.2 Shock Relations

### 8.2.1 Normal Shocks

For normal shocks, there are 3 governing equations and 2 thermodynamical relationships that is applicable. For continuity, momentum and energy,

$$\rho_1 u_1 = \rho_2 u_2 \quad , \quad p_1 + \rho_1 u_1^2 = p_2 + \rho_2 u_2^2 \quad , \quad h_1 + \frac{1}{2}u_1^2 = h_2 + \frac{1}{2}u_2^2$$

The thermodynamic relations,

$$h_2 = c_p T_2 \quad , \quad p_2 = \rho_2 R T_2$$

The speed of sound  $a$  as well as the Mach number  $M$  is given by the following equations,

$$a = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma p}{\rho}} = \sqrt{\gamma R T} \quad , \quad M = \frac{v_f}{a}$$

The 0 subscript is often used to symbolize stagnation conditions, wherein the small element of fluid is brought to rest adiabatically, the \* subscript is used to represent sonic conditions, wherein the small element of fluid is brought to sonic conditions. The equation relating speed of sound and the relationship between speed of sound and sonic speed of sound, as well as Mach and sonic Mach number,

$$\frac{a^2}{\gamma - 1} + \frac{1}{2}u^2 = \frac{\gamma + 1}{2(\gamma - 1)}a_*^2 \quad , \quad M_*^2 = \frac{(\gamma + 1)M^2}{2 + (\gamma - 1)M^2}$$

For calorically perfect gases, which is the assumption that  $c_v$  and  $c_p$  remain as constants, the general relations between temperature, pressure and density to their respective stagnation conditions,

$$\frac{T_0}{T} = 1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}M^2 \quad , \quad \frac{p_0}{p} = \left[1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}M^2\right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)} \quad , \quad \frac{\rho_0}{\rho} = \left[1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2}M^2\right]^{1/(\gamma - 1)}$$

The temperature, pressure and density relations between sonic conditions and stagnant conditions,

$$\frac{T_*}{T_0} = \frac{2}{\gamma + 1} \quad , \quad \frac{p_*}{p_0} = \left[\frac{2}{\gamma + 1}\right]^{\gamma/(\gamma - 1)} \quad , \quad \frac{\rho_*}{\rho_0} = \left[\frac{2}{\gamma + 1}\right]^{1/(\gamma - 1)}$$

The Mach number before and after shock,

$$M_2^2 = \frac{1 + [(\gamma - 1)/2]M_1^2}{\gamma M_1^2 - (\gamma - 1)/2}$$

The density, velocity, and pressure relations before and after shock,

$$\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \frac{u_1}{u_2} = \frac{(\gamma + 1)M_1^2}{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_1^2} \quad , \quad \frac{p_2}{p_1} = 1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma + 1}(M_1^2 - 1)$$

wherein the subscript 1 is used to represent quantities before the shock and 2 is after the shock. The relations for temperature and enthalpy,

$$\frac{T_2}{T_1} = \frac{h_2}{h_1} = \left[1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma + 1}(M_1^2 - 1)\right] \left[\frac{2 + (\gamma - 1)M_1^2}{(\gamma + 1)M_1^2}\right]$$

For the stagnation temperature and pressure before and after the shock,

$$T_{0,1} = T_{0,2} \quad , \quad \frac{p_{0,2}}{p_{0,1}} = e^{-(s_2-s_1)/R} = \left[ 1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma+1}(M_1^2 - 1) \right]^{-1/(\gamma-1)} \left[ \frac{(\gamma+1)M_1^2}{(\gamma-1)M_1^2 + 2} \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)}$$

The ratio of nozzle cross-section at any arbitrary point of the nozzle to the nozzle cross-section at sonic conditions as a function of Mach number,

$$\left( \frac{A}{A_*} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{M^2} \left[ \frac{2}{\gamma+1} \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma-1}{2} M^2 \right) \right]^{(\gamma+1)/(\gamma-1)}$$

For choked flows, the exit Mach number  $M_e$  with or without shock,

$$M_e^2 = \frac{1}{(\gamma-1)} \left\{ -1 + \left[ 1 + 2(\gamma-1) \left( \frac{2}{\gamma+1} \right)^{\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}} \left( \frac{p_{0,1} A_t}{p_e A_e} \right) \right]^{1/2} \right\}$$

### 8.2.2 Oblique Shocks

The mathematical expression used relating Mach number,  $\theta$  and  $\beta$  is shown below,

$$\tan(\theta) = 2 \cot(\beta) \left\{ \frac{M_1^2 \sin^2(\beta) - 1}{M_1^2 [\gamma + \cos(2\beta)] + 2} \right\}$$

Reiterating the relation shown in the previous problem,

$$M_{n,1} = M_1 \sin \beta \quad , \quad M_{n,2} = M_2 \sin(\beta - \theta)$$

The relationship of the quantities between at  $M_{n,1}$  and  $M_{n,2}$  could be found using the relations at the normal shock relations. The only minor modification is that the stagnant relations for the normal shocks are no longer applicable to oblique shocks due to the tangential velocity of the fluid being conserved across the oblique shock.



### 8.3 Prandtl-Meyer Expansion Theory

An interesting property of the Prandtl Meyer function  $\nu(M)$  wherein  $M$  represents Mach number,

$$\theta = \nu(M_2) - \nu(M_1)$$

wherein  $M_2$  represents Mach number after expansion meanwhile  $M_1$  represents Mach number before expansion. Manipulating this relation for convenience,

$$\nu(M_2) = \theta + \nu(M_1)$$

## 8.4 Shock-Expansion Theory

The coefficient of lift  $c_L$  and coefficient of drag  $c_D$  in terms of coefficient of pressures  $c_{p3}$  and  $c_{p2}$  is shown below,

$$c_L = (c_{p3} - c_{p2}) \cos \alpha \quad , \quad c_D = (c_{p3} - c_{p2}) \sin \alpha$$

The coefficient of pressure by definition,

$$c_p = \frac{p - p_\infty}{\frac{1}{2}\rho_\infty U_\infty^2} = \frac{2(p - p_\infty)}{\rho_\infty U_\infty^2} = \frac{2p_\infty}{\rho_\infty U_\infty^2} \left( \frac{p}{p_\infty} - 1 \right)$$

wherein  $U_\infty$  is used to indicate velocity infinitely far away from the wing. Other relations for Mach number and speed of sound,

$$M = \frac{U}{a} \quad , \quad a = \sqrt{\gamma RT} \quad , \quad p = \rho RT$$

Manipulating the Mach number relation and the ideal gas relation,

$$M_\infty a_\infty = U_\infty \quad , \quad \frac{p_\infty}{RT_\infty} = \rho_\infty$$

Substituting both relations into each other,

$$\rho_\infty U_\infty^2 = \frac{p_\infty}{RT_\infty} \times M_\infty^2 a_\infty^2$$

Substituting for the speed of sound  $a_\infty$  in terms of temperature and ratio of specific heats,

$$\rho_\infty U_\infty^2 = \frac{p_\infty}{RT_\infty} \times M_\infty^2 \gamma RT_\infty = p_\infty M_\infty^2 \gamma$$

Substituting into the expression for coefficient of pressures,

$$c_p = \frac{2p_\infty}{p_\infty \gamma M_\infty^2} \left( \frac{p}{p_\infty} - 1 \right) = \frac{2}{\gamma M_\infty^2} \left( \frac{p}{p_\infty} - 1 \right)$$

## 8.5 Linear Theory

### 8.5.1 Supersonic

The coefficient of pressure in supersonic linear theory is shown below,

$$c_{p,u} = -\frac{2\theta}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}} \quad , \quad c_{p,l} = \frac{2\theta}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}}$$

The important equations for the coefficients in supersonic linear theory are listed below,

$$c_L = \frac{4(\alpha + \Delta\alpha)}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}} \quad , \quad c_D = \frac{4}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}} [(\alpha + \Delta\alpha)^2 + K_2 + K_3]$$

$$c_{m,le} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2}\alpha + K_1 \right] \quad , \quad c_{m,ac} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{M_\infty^2 - 1}} \left[ K_1 + \frac{\Delta\alpha}{2} \right]$$

wherein the various constants  $K$  as well as  $\Delta\alpha$ ,

$$K_1 = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\hat{y}_c}{d\hat{x}} \right) \hat{x} d\hat{x} \quad , \quad K_2 = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\hat{y}_c}{d\hat{x}} \right)^2 d\hat{x} \quad , \quad K_3 = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{d\hat{y}_t}{d\hat{x}} \right)^2 d\hat{x}$$

$$\Delta\alpha = - \int_0^1 \frac{d\hat{y}_c}{d\hat{x}} d\hat{x} = -(y_{te} - y_{le})$$

wherein the various  $y$ -values are further expressed below,

$$y_c = \frac{1}{2}[y_u(x) + y_l(x)] \quad , \quad y_t = \frac{1}{2}[y_u(x) - y_l(x)]$$

The areodynamic centers and variables of integration are shown below,

$$\hat{x} = \frac{x}{c} \quad , \quad \hat{y} = \frac{y}{c} \quad , \quad \frac{x_{ac}}{x} = \frac{1}{2}$$

### 8.5.2 Subsonic

Based on the Prandtl-Glauert Rule

$$c_L = \frac{c_{L,0}}{\beta} \quad , \quad c_{m,le} = \frac{c_{m,le,0}}{\beta} \quad , \quad c_D = 0$$

wherein the variable  $\beta = \sqrt{1 - M_\infty^2}$ . Let  $c_p$  represent coefficient of pressure in compressible flow meanwhile  $c_{p0}$  represent the corresponding coefficient of pressure in the incompressible flow. The Prandtl-Glauert rule relating  $c_p$  to  $c_{p0}$ ,

$$c_p = \frac{c_{p0}}{\sqrt{1 - M^2}}$$

The Karman-Tsien rule relating  $c_p$  to  $c_{p0}$ ,

$$c_p = \frac{c_{p0}}{\sqrt{1 - M^2} + \left( \frac{M^2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - M^2}} \right) \left( \frac{c_{p0}}{2} \right)}$$

The Laitone's rule relating  $c_P$  to  $c_{p0}$ ,

$$c_p = \frac{c_{p0}}{\sqrt{1 - M^2} + c_{p0}M^2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \right) M^2 \right] \left[ \frac{\sqrt{1 - M^2}}{2} \right]}$$

The equation for critical Mach number  $M_{cr}$  is shown below,

$$c_{p,cr} = \frac{2}{\gamma M_{cr}^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1 + \left( \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \right) M_{cr}^2}{\frac{\gamma + 1}{2}} \right]^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)} - 1 \right\}$$

The minimum coefficient of pressure at critical Mach number could then be expressed by the Prandtl-Glauert rule shown below,

$$c_{p,cr} = \frac{c_{p0,min}}{\sqrt{1 - M_{cr}^2}}$$

Other rules could potentially be used such as the Laitone or the Karman-Tsien for the expression of  $c_{p,cr}$ . Equating  $c_{p,cr}$  would produce an equation whose solution of  $M_{cr}$  represents the critical Mach number.

# Chapter 9

## Creeping Flows

Creeping Flows are the opposite of Potential Flows. The effects of viscosity are important but the inertial effects of the fluid is negligible. The degeneracy from the general Navier Stokes equation is shown below,

### 9.1 Cartesian Parallel Flows

The continuum governing equation for incompressible fluids in cartesian coordinates are shown below,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\bar{v}_f] + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[\bar{v}_f] + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}[\bar{v}_f]$$

Ignoring the  $y$ -direction degenerates this problem to a 2-dimensional case and evaluates the term  $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}[\bar{v}_f]$  to zero. It is known that this case is a parallel flow case, therefore, the velocity in the  $z$ -direction is also non-existent. Therefore, the term  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}[\bar{v}_f]$  evaluates to zero as well.

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\bar{v}_f]$$

Since this is a 2-dimensional problem, then the velocity is also independent in the thickness  $y$ -direction. This also means that the fluid velocity is identical to just the horizontal velocity  $\bar{v}_f = \bar{v}_x$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\bar{v}_f] = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\bar{v}_x]$$

This equation shows that the velocity is independent in the  $x$ -direction. An alternate version of the Navier-Stokes momentum equation,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}_f}{\partial t} + (\bar{v}_f \cdot \nabla) \bar{v}_f \right] = \rho \bar{g} - \nabla P_r + \mu \nabla^2 \bar{v}_f$$

wherein  $\nabla^2 \bar{v}_f = (\nabla \cdot \nabla) \bar{v}_f$  in this case. Evaluating the Navier-Stokes momentum equation in the  $x$ -direction,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}_x}{\partial t} + \bar{v}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}[\bar{v}_x] + \bar{v}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}[\bar{v}_x] + \bar{v}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}[\bar{v}_x] \right] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}[P_r] + \mu \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}[\bar{v}_x] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2}[\bar{v}_x] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}[\bar{v}_x] \right]$$

If analysis is performed on a steady state fluid flow, the term  $\frac{\partial \bar{v}_x}{\partial t}$  evaluates to zero. Using the previous finding after applying the continuity governing equation, the term  $\bar{v}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\bar{v}_x]$  evaluates to zero. Due to the 2-dimensional nature of the problem, the term  $\bar{v}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\bar{v}_x]$  evaluates to zero. Since there is no velocity in the vertical  $z$ -direction due to the parallel nature of the flow, the term  $\bar{v}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{v}_x]$  evaluates to zero. Consistent with the previous findings, since the velocity is not a function of horizontal displacement  $x$ , the term  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [\bar{v}_x]$  evaluates to zero. Due to the 2-dimensional nature of the problem, the term  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} [\bar{v}_x]$  evaluates to zero. Therefore,

$$0 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [P_r] + \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [P_r] = \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$$

Evaluating the Navier-Stokes momentum equation in the  $z$ -direction,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}_z}{\partial t} + \bar{v}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\bar{v}_z] + \bar{v}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\bar{v}_z] + \bar{v}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{v}_z] \right] = -\rho g - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [P_r] + \mu \left[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [\bar{v}_z] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} [\bar{v}_z] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_z] \right]$$

Since the the vertical velocities are zero, then,

$$\frac{\partial \bar{v}_z}{\partial t} = \bar{v}_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [\bar{v}_z] = \bar{v}_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [\bar{v}_z] = \bar{v}_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{v}_z] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} [\bar{v}_z] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} [\bar{v}_z] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_z] = 0$$

Therefore,

$$0 = -\rho g - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [P_r]$$

Suppose one were to attempt to find the pressure function,

$$-\rho g \int dz = \int dP_r$$

$$-\rho g z + f(x) = P_r$$

wherein  $f(x)$  is a function purely in terms of  $x$ . Taking the second conclusion we obtained from applying the Navier-Stokes momentum equation in the  $x$ -direction,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} [-\rho g z + f(x)] = \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$$

$$f'(x) = \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$$

The velocity  $\bar{v}_x$  is only dependent on the  $z$ -direction. Therefore, the second order partial derivative term,  $\mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$  must also be fully dependent on the  $z$ -direction. This means that the function  $f'(x)$  is a constant function  $f'(x) = c_1$ , therefore,  $f(x)$ . Firstly this computes the pressure function,

$$P_r = -\rho g z + c_1 x + \alpha$$

wherein both  $c_1$  and  $\alpha$  are arbitrary constants. Secondly, the implications for the velocity profile,

$$f'(x) = c_1 = \mu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} [\bar{v}_x]$$

$$\frac{1}{\mu} \int c_1 dz = \frac{c_1}{\mu} z + c_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\bar{v}_x]$$

$$\frac{c_1}{\mu} \int z dz + \int c_2 dz = \bar{v}_x$$

$$\frac{c_1}{2\mu} z^2 + c_2 z + c_3 = \bar{v}_x$$

## 9.2 Radial Parallel Flows

The continuum governing equation is shown below,

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}$$

The Navier-Stokes Equation is shown below,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{v}_f}{\partial t} + (\bar{v}_f \cdot \nabla) \bar{v}_f \right] = \rho \bar{g} - \nabla P + \mu \nabla^2 \bar{v}_f$$

### 9.2.1 Velocity Profile

To find the velocity profile of the fluid in the radial direction, the continuum governing equation is applied first in polar coordinates.

$$0 = \nabla \cdot \bar{v}_f = \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial v_z}{\partial z}$$

Ignoring the  $z$ -axis,

$$0 = \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta}$$

By inspection it could be seen that the radial velocity is zero, and  $v_r = 0$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} \quad , \quad 0 = \frac{\partial^2 v_\theta}{\partial \theta^2}$$

This shows that the tangential velocity is purely a function of radius and not a function of  $\theta$ .

It is Assumed that gravity acts on the  $z$ -direction and is largely ignored. Evaluating the expression in the radial direction,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{dv_r}{dt} + v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} + v_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} - \frac{v_\theta^2}{r} \right] = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{v_r}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

Since radial velocity is always zero,  $\frac{dv_r}{dt} = v_r \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial r} = v_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_r}{\partial \theta^2} = \frac{v_r}{r^2} = 0$ . Since tangential velocity is not a function of  $\theta$ ,  $\frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0$

$$-\frac{\rho v_\theta^2}{r} = -\frac{\partial P}{\partial r}$$

$$\frac{\rho v_\theta^2}{r} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial r}$$

Evaluating the expression in the tangential direction,

$$\rho \left[ \frac{dv_\theta}{dt} + v_r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} + v_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{v_r v_\theta}{r} \right] = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{v_\theta}{r^2} + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} \right]$$

$\frac{dv_\theta}{dt} = 0$  because it is assumed that the system is already in a steady state.  
 $v_r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} = \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial v_r}{\partial \theta} = \frac{v_r v_\theta}{r} = 0$  because  $v_r = 0$  as the previous assumption. Since tangential



velocity is purely a function of radius,  $\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} = v_\theta \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial \theta} = 0$ . Therefore, the equation degenerates into,

$$0 = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} + \mu \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{v_\theta}{r^2} \right]$$

By a seperate mathematical proof,

$$\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( r \frac{\partial v_\theta}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{v_\theta}{r^2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_\theta) \right]$$

Therefore,

$$0 = -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \theta} + \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_\theta) \right]$$

By the relation found by applying the Incompressible Navier-Stokes on the radial direction, it could be seen that pressure  $P$  is dependent on tangential velocity  $v_\theta$  and  $r$ . However, since tangential velocity is only dependent on  $r$  from the relation found by applying continuity, then it follows that pressure must only be dependent on  $r$ . Therefore,  $\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} = 0$ . Therefore, the Navier-Stokes applied on the tangential direction further degenerates into,

$$0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_\theta) \right]$$

Solving for tangential velocity as a function of radius,

$$c_\alpha = \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_\theta)$$

$$\int_{r=R_1}^r r c_\alpha dr = \int_{r=R_1, v_\theta=\omega_1 R_1}^{r, v_\theta} dr v_\theta$$

$$\frac{1}{2} c_\alpha [r^2]_{r=R_1}^r = [r v_\theta]_{r=R_1, v_\theta=\omega_1 R_1}^{r, v_\theta}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} c_\alpha [r^2 - R_1^2] = [r v_\theta - \omega_1 R_1^2]$$

When  $r = R_2$ ,  $v_\theta = \omega_2 R_2$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2} c_\alpha [R_2^2 - R_1^2] = [R_2 \omega_2 R_2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]$$

$$c_\alpha [R_2^2 - R_1^2] = 2 [\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]$$

$$c_\alpha = \frac{2 [\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]}$$

By substituting the newly found definition for the constant  $c_\alpha$ ,

$$\frac{[\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} [r^2 - R_1^2] = r v_\theta - \omega_1 R_1^2$$

$$\frac{[\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r - \frac{R_1^2}{r} \right] + \frac{\omega_1 R_1^2}{r} = v_\theta$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{[\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r - \frac{R_1^2}{r} \right] + \frac{\omega_1 R_1^2 [R_2^2 - R_1^2]}{r [R_2^2 - R_1^2]} = v_\theta \\
& \frac{[\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2]}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r - \frac{R_1^2}{r} \right] + \frac{[\omega_1 R_1^2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^4]}{r [R_2^2 - R_1^2]} = v_\theta \\
& \frac{1}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2) - \frac{R_1^2 (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2) - [\omega_1 R_1^2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^4]}{r} \right] = v_\theta \\
& \frac{1}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2) - \frac{\omega_2 R_1^2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^4 - \omega_1 R_1^2 R_2^2 + \omega_1 R_1^4}{r} \right] = v_\theta \\
& v_\theta = \frac{1}{[R_2^2 - R_1^2]} \left[ r (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2) - \frac{R_1^2 R_2^2 [\omega_2 - \omega_1]}{r} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

### 9.2.2 Scalar Pressure Field

To find the pressure field of the fluid, the two relations that are obtained from applying the incompressible Navier-Stokes equation on the radial and tangential direction is used,

$$\frac{\rho v_\theta^2}{r} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial r} \quad , \quad 0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r v_\theta) \right]$$

The second relation when further operated on gives the function of tangential velocity  $v_\theta$  with respect to radius  $r$ . Integrating the first relation with respect to  $r$  and applying the boundary conditions yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
P = P_1 + \frac{\rho}{(R_2^2 - R_1^2)^2} & \left[ (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2)^2 \left( \frac{r^2 - R_1^2}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2 R_1^2 R_2^2 (\omega_2 - \omega_1) (\omega_2 R_2^2 - \omega_1 R_1^2) \ln \frac{r}{R_1} - \frac{R_1^4 R_2^4}{2} (\omega_2 - \omega_1)^2 \left( \frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{R_1^2} \right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

### 9.2.3 Induced Torque

Suppose the outer cylinder is rotating and the inner cylinder is held at rest, the equation for torque exerted on the inner cylinder must be

$$\Gamma = 2\pi\mu\omega_2 R_1^2 \left[ \frac{R_2 H}{R_2 - R_1} + \frac{R_1^2}{4b} \right]$$

wherein  $b$  represents the gap between the two cylindrical surfaces and  $\Gamma$  is the torque exerted on the inner cylinder. Since this is typically ignored,

$$\Gamma = 2\pi\mu\omega_2 R_1^2 \left[ \frac{R_2 H}{R_2 - R_1} \right]$$

The torque per unit width length,

$$\Gamma_H = 2\pi\mu\omega_2 R_1^2 \left[ \frac{R_2}{R_2 - R_1} \right]$$

This is very useful to test for the viscosity of a specific fluid.