

115A , Winter 2023

Lecture 8

Fr, Jan 27



• We talked about how important it is to identify subsets S of a vector space V that generate (*span*) V and are in some sense "minimal" (or most efficient) with this property.

Today we'll study more in depth such sets, proving that such "minimal generating sets" S are automatically linearly independent, and have the remarkable property that any vector in V can be uniquely written as a linear combination of vectors in S .

We'll call such S , basis for V

8.1 Definition A linearly independent subset S of a vector space V that generates (*spans*) V is called a basis for V

8.2 Example

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ and

$$S = \{(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\}$$

Then S is a basis for V .

Indeed, we already showed that $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)$ are linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^3 . And if $v = (a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is an arbitrary vector, then $v = a(1, 0, 0) + b(0, 1, 0) + c(0, 0, 1)$. Thus, S spans V overall.

8.3 Exercise

Let $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$

and consider the set

$$S = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Show that S is a basis for V .

Solution: We already showed in an exercise on Monday (see 6.13) that S spans V . If

$$\text{we would have } a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + b \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ + c \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Then this entails

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{so } a=0, b=0, c=0, d=0$$

showing that S is lin. indep.



8.4 Example if F is a field
 and $V = F[X]$ is the vector space
 over F of all polynomials in
 undetermined X and coefficients in F
 Then $S = \{1, X, X^2, X^3, \dots\}$
 is a basis for V .

indeed, we already showed that
 $\text{span}(S) = V$. if $a_0, a_1, \dots \in F$
 we see that $a_0 \cdot 1 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots + a_n X^n$
 $= 0$

Then by the definition of the polynomial
we must have $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 0, \dots, a_n = 0$
showing that S is lin. indep.
as well.

8.5. Theorem. Let V be

a vector space. A set $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$
 $\subset V$ is a basis for V if
any vector $v \in V$ can be uniquely
expressed as a linear combination
of elements in S , i.e., there
exist unique scalars $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_m \in F$
such that $v = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_m v_m$

Proof, " \Rightarrow " if $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$
is a basis for V , i.e.
 $\text{span}(S) = V$, i.e. if $v \in V$ is an
arbitrary vector in V , then there exist
 $c_1, \dots, c_m \in F$ such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i v_i$.
If $a_1, \dots, a_m \in F$ are other scalars

such that $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$ as well,

then $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i$

so by cancellation Then we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i - \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i v_i = 0$$

which using add. & commutativity of addition + distributivity of scalar multiplication gives

$$(*) [(c_1 - \alpha_1)v_1 + (c_2 - \alpha_2)v_2 + \dots + (c_n - \alpha_n)v_n] = 0$$

But since $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is a basis, The vectors v_1, \dots, v_n are lin. independent, so this implies all coefficients $c_i - \alpha_i$ in (*) must be equal to 0, Thus

$$c_1 = \alpha_1, c_2 = \alpha_2, \dots, c_n = \alpha_n$$

so we see the vector v can be expressed in only one way as a linear combination of v_1, \dots, v_n .

\Leftarrow if any $v \in V$ can be expressed in a unique way as lin. comb. of $S \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$, Then in particular $\text{span}(S) = V$.

If we would have

$$c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_m v_m = 0$$

for some $c_1, \dots, c_m \in F$, Then by uniqueness, since we also have

$$0 \cdot v_1 + 0 \cdot v_2 + \dots + 0 \cdot v_m = 0$$

it follows that $c_1 = 0, c_2 = 0, \dots, c_m = 0$

Thus $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ lin. indep.

so S is a basis



8.6. Theorem Let S be a finite subset of the vector space V ,

if $\text{span}(S) = V$ (i.e. if S generates) Then there exists a subset $S' \subset S$ such that S' is a basis for V .

[Proof] If $S = \{0\}$ then $\text{span}(S) = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \{ \cdot \}$ and S is a base for V .

If S contains at least one non-zero element, say $u_1 \neq 0$, then $\{u_1\}$ is linearly independent (see 7.6). We then continue to choose u_2, \dots, u_k in S so that u_1, \dots, u_k are linearly independent, until this is no longer possible (Note that this must be the case, because S is finite).

This happens if either we have exhausted all S , i.e. if
(a) $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\} = S$, or if
(b) any $u \in S$ that's not among u_1, \dots, u_k is so that u_1, \dots, u_k, u is linearly dependent.

In case we have (a), it means

$S = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is lin. Indep.

and since we also have $\text{span}(S) = V$,

it follows that S itself is a basis for V and we are done.

In case we have (b), it means

there exist scalars c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k, c , not all equal to 0, such that

$$(*) [c_1 u_1 + c_2 u_2 + \dots + c_k u_k + cu = 0]$$

If $c = 0$, then it would follow

that $c_1 u_1 + \dots + c_k u_k = 0$ with c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k not all equal to 0, contradicting the fact that $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ is lin. indep. Thus,

$c \neq 0$, and then from (*) we

deduce $u = -\frac{c_1}{c} u_1 - \frac{c_2}{c} u_2 - \dots - \frac{c_k}{c} u_k$

showing that $u \in \text{span}(\{u_1, \dots, u_k\})$

Thus, in case (b), we showed that the set $S' = \{u_1, \dots, u_k\} \subset S$ is lin. independent and any

$u \in S \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is in
 $\text{span}(S')$. So $\text{span}(S')$
contains u_1, \dots, u_n and call
 $S \setminus \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, $S \subset \text{span}(S')$.

Since $\text{span}(S) = V$, by (Thm 6.6
or Thm 7.8), it follows that

$\text{span}(S')$ contains all $\text{span}(S)$
thus $\text{span}(S') = V$ (because $\text{span}^S = V$)

so S' is lin. indep. & $\text{span}(S') = V$

so $S' \subset S$ is a basis for V



8.7. Corollary: if V contains
a finite set $S \subset V$ that
generates V , i.e. $\text{span}(S) = V$, then
 V has a finite basis



8.8. Example Here is a concrete example showing how the method of finding a basis S' as a subset of a generating set $S \subset V$ works.

Let $S = \{(1, -1), (-1, 1), (0, 2), (3, 0)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. Show that there exists $S' \subset S$ such that S' is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .

Solution: since S contains non-zero vectors we can start by choosing $u_1 = (1, -1) \in S$. Then we look at the 2nd vector $(-1, 1)$ in S . We see that

$$(-1, 1) = -1 \cdot (1, -1), \text{ i.e. } (-1, 1) = -u_1,$$

$\Rightarrow (-1, 1)$ is not lin. indep of u_1 .

We then take the 3rd vector in S ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } & \underbrace{\alpha(1, -1) + b(0, 2)}_{= (\alpha + b \cdot 0, -\alpha + 2b)} = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \alpha = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } (\alpha, -\alpha + 2b) = (0, 0) \Rightarrow \alpha = 0$$

$$\text{and } -\alpha + 2b = 0 \Rightarrow b = 0 \text{ as well}$$

Thus $u_2 = (0, 2) \in S$ is lin. indep. of u_1 .

so we can take add u_2 to our lin. ind. subset S' of S
 so by now we have $u_1 = (1, -1)$,
 $u_2 = (0, 2) \in S'$. We see
 that in fact $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2\} = \mathbb{R}^2$
 so we have $(3, 0) \in \text{span}\{u_1, u_2\}$
 and we can stop and conclude
 that $S' = \{u_1, u_2\} = \{(1, -1), (0, 2)\}$
 $\subset S$ is a basis for \mathbb{R}^2 .



8.9. Theorem (The so-called "Replacement Theorem")

Let V be a vector space. Assume $G \subset V$ is a subset with n vectors that generates V , i.e. $\text{span}(G) = V$. If $L \subset V$ is a linearly independent subset of V with m vectors, then $m \leq n$ and there exists a subset $H \subset G$ containing $n-m$ vectors such that $L \cup H$ generates V .

Proof. We prove this by induction over m (i.e. over the # of elements in the lin. ind. set L).

If $m = 0$, this means L has 0 many elements, i.e. $L = \emptyset$ and we can just take $H = G$, which satisfies the required conditions.

Suppose now that the statement holds true for some $m \geq 0$. We then want to show that the statement holds true for $m+1$ as well.

Let $L = \{v_1, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$ be linear mod. subset of V . By Thm 7.7 any subset of L is lin. indep., so $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ is lin. indep. By induction, since we have that the statement of Thm is true for m , it follows that $m \leq n$ and that there exist $\{u_1, \dots, u_{n-m}\} \subset G$

such that $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\} \cup \{u_1, \dots, u_{m-n}\}$ spans V . In particular, v_{m+1} can be expressed as a linear combination

$$(*) \quad v_{m+1} = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + b_1 u_1 + \dots + b_{m-n} u_{m-n}$$

Now notice that in fact we must have $m - n \geq 1$, or else we would have

$$v_{m+1} = a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_m v_m + 0$$

which contradicts the fact that

$L = \{v_1, \dots, v_{m+1}\}$ is lin. indep.

In other words, we have $n \geq m+1$.

Also, in $(*)$ we must have that some b_i are non-zero. Say $b_1 \neq 0$, which allows us to solve in $(*)$ for u_1 :

$$(**) \quad \begin{cases} u_1 = \left(-\frac{a_1}{b_1}\right)v_1 + \left(-\frac{a_2}{b_1}\right)v_2 + \dots + \left(-\frac{a_m}{b_1}\right)v_m + \frac{1}{b_1}v_{m+1} \\ \quad + \left(-\frac{b_2}{b_1}\right)u_2 + \dots + \left(-\frac{b_{m-n}}{b_1}\right)u_{m-n} \end{cases}$$

Thus, if we take $H = \{u_2, \dots, u_{m-n}\}$ then $u_1 \in \text{span}(L \cup H)$ by $(**)$

and since $v_1, \dots, v_m, u_2, \dots, u_{m-n}$

are obviously in $\text{span}(L \cup H)$,
we actually have that

$$\{v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, \dots, u_{n-m}\} \subset \text{span}(L \cup H)$$

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_m, u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-m}\}$
generates V , it follows (see Thm 6.10)
that $\text{span}(L \cup H) = V$
with $H \subset G$ being a subset
that contains $(n-m)-1 = m-(m+1)$
elements, showing that statement
of Thm holds true for $m+1$.

