

Toy language formalization

August 25, 2017

0 Level-0

0.1 Source language:

$$e ::= n \mid e_1 + e_2 \\ (n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

0.2 Source language semantics:

Judgment $\boxed{e \downarrow n}$

$$\text{E-CONS} : \overline{n \downarrow n} \quad \text{E-PLUS} : \frac{e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \downarrow n_2}{e_1 + e_2 \downarrow n_3} \quad (n_1 + n_2 = n_3)$$

0.3 Target language:

$$r \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ s ::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \\ p ::= s \mid p_1; p_2$$

0.4 Target language semantics:

Environment $\sigma = [r_1 \mapsto n_1, \dots, r_i \mapsto n_i]$.

Judgment $\boxed{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$

.

$$\text{P-MOV} : \overline{\langle \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r \mapsto n]} \\ \text{P-ADD} : \overline{\langle \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_1 \mapsto n_1]} \quad (\sigma(r_2) = n_2, \sigma(r_3) = n_3, n_2 + n_3 = n_1) \\ \text{P-SEQ} : \frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}$$

0.5 Translation:

Judgment $\boxed{e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r}$

Newly generated register identifiers start from r_0 , end at (but not include) r_1 .

$$\text{C-CONS} : \overline{n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n \ \mathbf{in} \ r_0} \\ \text{C-PLUS} : \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1'}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'}^{r_1'} \mathbf{let} \ p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1; (p_2; \mathbf{add} \ r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2) \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2' + 1}$$

0.6 Correctness theorem:

Lemma 1. *If $e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$, then $r_0 \leq r_1$ and $r < r_1$.*

Theorem 2. *If $e \downarrow n$ (by some derivation \mathcal{E}), $e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$ (by \mathcal{C}), then $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$ (by \mathcal{P}), $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma'(r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma'(r) = n$.*

Proof. By induction on the syntax of e :

- Case $e = n_0$, then $n = n_0$, by E-CONS: $\mathcal{E} = \overline{n_0 \downarrow n_0}$, by C-CONS: $\mathcal{C} = \overline{n_0 \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \text{let mov } r_0 \ n_0 \text{ in } r_0}$, so $p = \text{mov } r_0 \ n_0$, $r = r_0$.

Then by P-MOV, we get $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\langle \text{mov } r_0 \ n_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0]}$.

Therefore we have $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r_0) = n_0$ as required.

- Case $e = e_1 + e_2$.

By E-PLUS, \mathcal{E} must have the shape:
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \downarrow n_2} \text{ , thus } n = n_1 + n_2.$$

By C-PLUS, \mathcal{C} must have the shape:
$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } r_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1'} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } r_2} e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'+1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2 \text{ in } r_2'$$

So $p = p_1; p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2$, and $r = r_2'$.

By IH on \mathcal{E}_1 with \mathcal{C}_1 , we get $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$ for some σ_1 , $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma_1(r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$.

Likewise, by IH on \mathcal{E}_2 with \mathcal{C}_2 , we get $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$ for some σ_2 , $\forall r'' < r_1'. \sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'')$, and $\sigma_2(r_2) = n_2$.

By Lemma 1 on \mathcal{C}_1 , $r_0 \leq r_1'$, and $r_1 < r_1'$. Since $r_0 \leq r_1'$, we get $\forall r''' < r_0. \sigma_2(r''') = \sigma_1(r''') = \sigma(r''')$; since $r_1 < r_1'$, we get $\sigma_2(r_1) = \sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$.

Use P-SEQ and P-ADD, we construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \frac{\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2 \quad \overline{\langle \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]}}{\langle p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]}}{\langle p_1; (p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]} (\sigma_2(r_2) = n_2, \sigma_2(r_1) = n_1)$$

Therefore, $\sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2](r_2') = n_1 + n_2 = n$. Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done. \square

1 Level-1

1.1 Extended source language

$$e ::= \dots \mid x \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

1.2 Extended semantics:

High-level runtime environment $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto n_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto n_i]$.

Judgment $\boxed{\rho \vdash e \downarrow n}$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash x \downarrow n} (\rho(x) = n) \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto n_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \downarrow n}$$

1.3 Target language:

(added ϵ to p)

$$\begin{aligned} r &\in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ s &::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \\ p &::= \epsilon \mid s \mid p_1; p_2 \end{aligned}$$

1.4 Extended target language semantics:

Judgment $\boxed{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$

$$\overline{\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma}$$

1.5 Extended translation:

Translation environment $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto r_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto r_i]$.

Judgment $\boxed{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r}$

($\forall r_i \in \text{codom}(\delta). r_i < r_0$)

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\delta \vdash x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \epsilon \ \mathbf{in} \ r} \quad (\delta(x) = r) \\ &\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1'}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto r_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'}^{r_1'} \mathbf{let} \ p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2}{\delta \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1; p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2} \end{aligned}$$

1.6 Correctness theorem:

Lemma 3. *If $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r$, then $r_0 \leq r_1$ and $r < r_1$.*

Theorem 4. *If $\rho \vdash e \downarrow n$, $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r'}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r$, and $\forall x \in \text{dom}(\rho). \rho(x) = \sigma(\delta(x))$, then $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$, $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma'(r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma'(r) = n$.*

2 Level-2

2.1 Extended source language:

$$e ::= \dots \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{fst}(e) \mid \mathbf{snd}(e)$$

2.2 Added values:

$$v ::= n \mid (v_1, v_2)$$

2.3 Added source language type system:

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{Int} \mid (\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

Type environment $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto \tau_i]$.

• Judgment $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \tau} \\ &\overline{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \quad (\Gamma(x) = \tau) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 : \tau} \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) : \tau_1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) : \tau_2}$$

- Judgment $\boxed{\vdash v : \tau}$

$$\frac{}{\vdash n : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\vdash v_1 : \tau_1 \quad \vdash v_2 : \tau_2}{\vdash (v_1, v_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

- Auxiliary Judgment $\boxed{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) : \tau}$

(general plus operation typing rules)

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_{10}, v_{20}) : \tau_1 \quad \vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_{11}, v_{21}) : \tau_2}{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

2.4 Extended semantics:

Judgment $\boxed{\rho \vdash e \downarrow v}$

(fixed runtime environment $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto v_i]$)

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash n \downarrow n} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3}{\rho \vdash e_1 + e_2 \downarrow v_3}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash x \downarrow v} (\rho(x) = v) \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{let } x = e_1 \mathbf{ in } e_2 \downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2}{\rho \vdash (e_1, e_2) \downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) \downarrow v_1} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) \downarrow v_2}$$

Auxiliary Judgment $\boxed{\mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3}$

$$\frac{}{\mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3} (n_1 + n_2 = n_3) \quad \frac{\mathbf{gplus}(v_{10}, v_{20}) \downarrow v_{30} \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_{11}, v_{21}) \downarrow v_{31}}{\mathbf{gplus}((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) \downarrow (v_{30}, v_{31})}$$

2.5 Target language:

$$rs ::= r \mid (rs_1, rs_2)$$

s, p and semantics no change.

Define a function $rset$ to convert rs to a set of r :

$$rset(r) = \{r\}$$

$$rset((rs_1, rs_2)) = rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2)$$

2.6 Extended translation:

Judgment $\boxed{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs}$

(fixed $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto rs_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto rs_i]$, and $\forall rs_i \in \text{codom}(\delta). \forall r' \in \text{rset}(rs_i). r' < r_0$)

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; (p_2; p_3) \text{ in } rs_3}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto rs_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{fst}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1} \quad \frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{snd}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

Auxiliary Judgment $\boxed{\text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3}$

$$\frac{\text{transPlus}(r_1, r_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3+1}^{r_3} \text{let add } r_3 \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ in } r_3}{\text{transPlus}(rs_{10}, rs_{20}) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_{30} \quad \text{transPlus}(rs_{11}, rs_{21}) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_{31}}{\text{transPlus}((rs_{10}, rs_{11}), (rs_{20}, rs_{21})) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_{30}, rs_{31})}$$

2.7 Value representation:

Judgment $\boxed{\sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs}$

($v : \tau$ can be represented as rs in σ)

$$\frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \triangleright_{\text{Int}} r} \quad (\sigma(r) = n) \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

2.8 Correctness theorem:

Lemma 5. If $\text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3$, then $r_0 \leq r_1$ and $\forall r \in \text{rset}(rs_3). r < r_1$.

Lemma 6. If $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs$, then $r_0 \leq r_1$ and $\forall r \in \text{rset}(rs). r < r_1$.

Lemma 7. If

(i) $\sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1$, and $\sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$

(ii) $\text{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3$

(iii) $\text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3$

then

(i) $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$

(ii) $\sigma' \vdash v_3 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$

(iii) $\forall r < r_0. \sigma'(r) = \sigma(r)$

Theorem 8. If

(i) $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ (by some derivation \mathcal{T}),

(ii) $\rho \vdash e \downarrow v$ (by \mathcal{E}), and $\forall x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma). \rho(x) : \Gamma(x)$,

(iii) $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs$ (by \mathcal{C}), and $\forall x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma). \sigma \vdash \rho(x) \triangleright_{\Gamma(x)} \delta(x)$

then

(i) $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$ (by \mathcal{P}),

(ii) $\sigma' \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs$ (by \mathcal{V})

(iii) $\forall r < r_0. \sigma'(r) = \sigma(r)$.

Proof. By induction on the syntax of e .

- Case $e = e_1 + e_2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then must have: } \mathcal{T} &= \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau} \\ \mathcal{E} &= \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \quad \mathcal{E}_3}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \text{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2 \quad \mathcal{C}_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3} \\ &\quad \delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; (p_2; p_3) \text{ in } rs_3 \end{aligned}$$

So $p = p_1; p_2; p_3, rs = rs_3$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get \mathcal{P}_1 of $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

Likewise, by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get \mathcal{P}_2 of $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$, \mathcal{V}_2 of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$, and $\forall r' < r_1. \sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r')$.

By theorem 7 on $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{C}_3$, we get \mathcal{P}_3 of $\langle p_3, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3$, $\sigma_3 \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$, and $\forall r'' < r_2. \sigma_3(r'') = \sigma_2(r'')$.

Then we can construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \mathcal{P}_2 \quad \mathcal{P}_3}{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle p_3, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3} \frac{\langle p_2; p_3, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3}{\langle p_1; (p_2; p_3), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3}$$

By lemma 6 on \mathcal{C}_1 , we get $r_0 \leq r_1$. Similarly, we also get $r_1 \leq r_2$ by lemma 6 on MC_2 . Therefore, $r_0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2$, hence $\forall r''' < r_0. \sigma_3(r''') = \sigma_2(r''') = \sigma_1(r''') = \sigma(r''')$.

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_3$ and we are done.

- Case $e = (e_1, e_2)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Must have: } \mathcal{T} &= \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2} \\ \mathcal{E} &= \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2} \\ &\quad \delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2) \end{aligned}$$

So $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2), v = (v_1, v_2), rs = (rs_1, rs_2)$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get \mathcal{P}_1 of $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

Likewise, by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get \mathcal{P}_2 of $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$, \mathcal{V}_2 of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2$, and $\forall r' < r_1. \sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r')$.

Then we can construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \mathcal{P}_2}{\frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}}$$

By lemma 6 on \mathcal{C}_1 , we have $r_0 \leq r_1$, and $\forall r \in rset(rs_1). r < r_1$.

Since $r_0 \leq r_1$, we get $\forall r'' < r_0. \sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'') = \sigma(r'')$.

Since $\forall r \in rset(rs_1). r < r_1$, then $\forall r \in rset(rs_1). \sigma_2(r) = \sigma_1(r)$. Therefore, there exists some \mathcal{V}'_1 of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$.

$$\text{Then we can construct: } \frac{\mathcal{V}'_1 \quad \mathcal{V}_2}{\frac{\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma_2 \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}}$$

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done.

- Case $e = \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$.

$$\text{Must have: } \mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \downarrow v}}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto rs_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs}{\delta \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } rs}}$$

So $p = p_1; p_2$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = \sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

Since from \mathcal{V}_1 we know $v_1 : \tau_1$, then $\rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) : \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)$ holds, and $\sigma_1 \vdash \rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) \triangleright_{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)} \delta[x \mapsto rs_1](x)$ also holds.

Then by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$, $\mathcal{V}_2 = \sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2$, and $\forall r' < r_1. \sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r')$.

$$\text{So we can construct } \frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \mathcal{P}_2}{\frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}}$$

By lemma 6 on \mathcal{C}_1 : $r_0 \leq r_1$. Therefore, $\forall r'' < r_0. \sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'') = \sigma(r'')$.

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done.

- Case $e = n$.

Must have $\mathcal{T} = \overline{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}}$, $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\rho \vdash n \downarrow n}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\delta \vdash n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \text{let } \mathbf{mov } r_0 \text{ } n \text{ in } r_0}$.

So $p = \mathbf{mov } r_0 \text{ } n, rs = r_0, v = n$, and $\tau = \mathbf{Int}$.

Then immediately we get $\langle \mathbf{mov } r_0 \text{ } n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n]$, $\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n] \vdash n \triangleright_{\mathbf{Int}} r_0$, and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n](r) = \sigma(r)$ as required.

- Case $e = x$.

Must have $\mathcal{T} = \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} (\Gamma(x) = \tau)$, $\mathcal{E} = \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \downarrow v} (\rho(x) = v)$,
and $\mathcal{C} = \frac{}{\delta \vdash x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \text{let } \epsilon \text{ in } rs} (\delta(x) = rs)$.

So $p = \epsilon$.

Immediately we get $\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma$, $\sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs$, and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma(r) = \sigma(r)$ as required.

- Case $e = \mathbf{fst}(e_1)$.

Must have: $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) : \tau_1}$ for some τ_2 ,

$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \downarrow v_1}$ for some v_2 ,

$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{\delta \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_1}$ for some rs_2 .

So $\tau = \tau_1, v = v_1, rs = rs_1$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get \mathcal{P} of $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)$,
and $\forall r < r_0. \sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

\mathcal{V}_1 must have the shape: $\mathcal{V} = \frac{\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma_1 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma_1 \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$

So now we have \mathcal{V} . Take $\sigma' = \sigma_1$ and we are done.

- The case where $e = \mathbf{snd}(e_1)$ is analogous to the case above.

□