SNESL formalization

Dandan Xue

September 12, 2017

0 Level-0

Draft version 0.0.5: (almost) finished the proof of the main correctness theorem and the built-in function correctness theorem.

0.1 Source language syntax

(Ignore empty sequence for now)

Expressions:

$$e := x \mid \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \mid \phi(x_1, ..., x_k) \mid \{e : x \ \mathbf{in} \ y \ \mathbf{using} \cdot \}$$

$$\phi = \mathbf{const}_n \mid \mathbf{iota} \mid \mathbf{plus}$$

Values:

$$n \in \mathbf{Z}$$
$$v ::= n \mid \{v_1, ..., v_k\}$$

0.2 Type system

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{int} | \{ \tau_1 \}$$

Type environment $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, ..., x_i \mapsto \tau_i].$

• Expression typing rules:

 $\text{Judgment} \boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \phi : (\tau_1, ..., \tau_k) \to \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \phi(x_1, ..., x_k) : \tau} ((\Gamma(x_i) = \tau_i)_{i=1}^k) \qquad \frac{[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \{e : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} : \{\tau\}} (\Gamma(y) = \{\tau_1\})$$

• Auxiliary Judgment $\ \vdash \ \phi: (\tau_1,...,\tau_k) \to \tau$

• Value typing rules:

$$\text{Judgment} \boxed{\vdash v : \tau}$$

0.3 Source language semantics

$$\rho = [x_1 \mapsto v_1, ..., x_i \mapsto v_i]$$

• Judgment
$$\rho \vdash e \downarrow v$$

$$\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \qquad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \text{let } e_1 = x \text{ in } e_2 \downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \phi(v_1, ..., v_k) \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \phi(x_1, ..., x_k) \downarrow v} ((\rho(x_i) = v_i)_{i=1}^k)$$

$$\frac{([x \mapsto v_i] \vdash e \downarrow v_i')_{i=1}^k}{\rho \vdash \{e : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} \downarrow \{v_1', ..., v_k'\}} (\rho(y) = \{v_1, ..., v_k\})$$

• Auxiliary Judgment $\boxed{\vdash \phi(v_1, ..., v_k) \downarrow v}$ $\boxed{\vdash \mathbf{const}_n() \downarrow n} \qquad \boxed{\vdash \mathbf{iota}(n) \downarrow \{0, 1, ..., n-1\}} \ (n \ge 0)$ $\boxed{\vdash \mathbf{plus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3} \ (n_3 = n_1 + n_2)$

0.4 SVCODE syntax

(1) Stream id:

$$s \in \mathbf{SId} = \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2...\}$$

(2) Stream tree:

STree
$$\ni st ::= s \mid (st_1, s)$$

(3) SVCODE operations:

$$\psi ::= \mathtt{Ctrl} \mid \mathtt{Const_a} \mid \mathtt{ToFlags} \mid \mathtt{Usum} \mid \mathtt{MapTwo}_{\oplus} \mid \mathtt{ScanPlus}_{n_0}$$
 where \oplus stands for some binary operation on \mathtt{int} .

(4) SVCODE program:

$$egin{aligned} p :: &= & \epsilon \ &\mid s := \psi(s_1,...,s_i) \ &\mid st := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s,p) \ &\mid p_1; p_2 \end{aligned}$$

(5) Target language values:

$$b \in \{\mathsf{T},\mathsf{F}\}$$

$$a ::= n \mid b \mid ()$$

$$\vec{b} = \langle b_1,...,b_i \rangle$$

$$\vec{a} = \langle a_1,...,a_i \rangle$$

$$\mathbf{SVal} \ni w ::= \vec{a} \mid (w,\vec{b})$$

(6) Some notations and operations:

• For some
$$a_0$$
 and $\vec{a} = \langle a_1, ..., a_i \rangle$, let $\langle a_0 | \vec{a} \rangle = \langle a_0, a_1, ..., a_i \rangle$.

• ++: SVal
$$\rightarrow$$
 SVal \rightarrow SVal
 $\langle a_1, ..., a_i \rangle$ +++ $\langle a'_1, ..., a'_i \rangle$ = $\langle a_1, ..., a_i, a'_1, ..., a'_i \rangle$
 (w_1, \vec{b}_1) +++ (w_2, \vec{b}_2) = $(w_1$ ++ w_2, \vec{b}_1 ++ $\vec{b}_2)$

- sids is a function that converts a $st \in \mathbf{STree}$ to a set of $s \in \mathbf{SId}$: $\mathtt{sids}(s) = \{s\}$ $\mathtt{sids}(st, s) = \mathtt{sids}(st) \cup \{s\}$
- For some set of **SId**, t, and some $s \in$ **SId**, let $t \leq s$ denote $\forall s' \in t.s' < s.$

0.5 SVCODE semantics

SVCODE runtime environment $\sigma = [s_1 \mapsto \vec{a}_1, ..., s_i \mapsto \vec{a}_i]$. We define some notations and operations related to σ :

(1) Let
$$\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq s}{=\!=\!=} \sigma_2$$
 denote $\forall s' < s.\sigma_1(s') = \sigma_2(s')$.

(2) Judgment
$$\sigma(st) = w$$

$$\frac{\sigma(st) = w}{\sigma(s) = \vec{a}} \frac{\sigma(st) = w}{\sigma((st, s)) = (w, \vec{a})}$$

Definition 0.1. $\sigma_1 \stackrel{st}{\sim} \sigma_2$ iff

(1) $dom(\sigma_1) = dom(\sigma_2)$

(2)
$$\forall s \in (dom(\sigma_1) - sids(st)).\sigma_1(s) = \sigma_2(s)$$

It is easy to show that this relation $\stackrel{st}{\sim}$ is commutative, transitive and associative.

Definition 0.2. $\sigma_1 \stackrel{st}{\bowtie} \sigma_2 = \sigma \text{ iff}$ $(1) \ \sigma_1 \stackrel{st}{\sim} \sigma_2$ $(2) \ \sigma(s) = \begin{cases} \sigma_1(s) + \sigma_2(s), & s \in \text{sids}(st) \\ \sigma_1(s), & otherwise \end{cases}$

Lemma 0.1. If
$$\sigma_1 \overset{st}{\bowtie} \sigma_2 = \sigma$$
, then $\sigma_1 \overset{st}{\sim} \sigma$ and $\sigma_2 \overset{st}{\sim} \sigma$.

Lemma 0.2. If $\sigma_1 \stackrel{st_1}{\sim} \sigma_1'$, $\sigma_2 \stackrel{st_2}{\sim} \sigma_2'$, $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leqslant s}{==} \sigma_2$, and $\sigma_1' \stackrel{\leqslant s}{==} \sigma_2'$ then $\sigma_1 \stackrel{st_1}{\bowtie} \sigma_1' \stackrel{\leqslant s}{==} \sigma_2 \stackrel{st_2}{\bowtie} \sigma_2'$.

SVCODE operational semantics:

• Judgment $\left[\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_c} \sigma' \right]$ \vec{a}_c is the control stream.

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\psi(\vec{a}_1,...,\vec{a}_k)\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\vec{a}}{\langle s:=\psi(s_1,...,s_k),\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma[s\mapsto\vec{a}]} \; ((\sigma(s_i)=\vec{a}_i)_{i=1}^k) \\ \\ \frac{\langle s:=\psi(s_1,...,s_k),\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma[s\mapsto\vec{a}]}{\langle st:=\mathrm{WithCtrl}(s,p),\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma[s_1\mapsto\langle\rangle,...,s_i\mapsto\langle\rangle]} \; (\sigma(s)=\langle\rangle,\mathrm{sids}(st)=\{s_1,...,s_i\}) \\ \\ \frac{\langle p,\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_s}\sigma''}{\langle st:=\mathrm{WithCtrl}(s,p),\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma[s_1\mapsto\sigma''(s_1),...,s_i\mapsto\sigma''(s_i)]} \; \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(s)=\vec{a}_s=\langle a_0|\vec{a}\rangle\\ \mathrm{sids}(st)=\{s_1,...,s_i\} \end{pmatrix} \\ \\ \frac{\langle p_1,\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma''}{\langle p_1;p_2,\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma'} \; \langle p_2,\sigma''\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma'}{\langle p_1;p_2,\sigma\rangle\downarrow^{\vec{a}_c}\sigma'} \end{array}$$

• Transducer semantics:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Judgment} \ \, \boxed{\psi(\vec{a}_1,...,\vec{a}_k) \downarrow^{\vec{a}_c} \vec{a}} \\ & \frac{\psi(\vec{a}_{11},...,\vec{a}_{k1}) \Downarrow \vec{a}_1 \qquad \psi(\vec{a}_{12},...,\vec{a}_{k2}) \downarrow^{\vec{a}_c} \vec{a}_2}{\psi(\vec{a}_{11} +\!\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_{12},...,\vec{a}_{k1} +\!\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_{k2}) \downarrow^{\langle a_0 | \vec{a}_c \rangle} \vec{a}} \ \, (\vec{a} = \vec{a}_1 +\!\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_2) \\ & \overline{\psi(\vec{a}_1,...,\vec{a}_k) \downarrow^{\langle \rangle} \langle \rangle} \end{split}$$

• Transducer *block* semantics:

Judgment
$$\psi(\vec{a}_1,...,\vec{a}_k) \Downarrow \vec{a}$$

Or if we want to use *unary* semantics maybe for later:

$$\frac{\psi(\langle \mathtt{F} \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_{k1}) \downarrow \vec{a}_1 \qquad \psi(\vec{a}_{12}, ..., \vec{a}_{k2}) \downarrow \vec{a}_2}{\psi(\langle \mathtt{F} \rangle +\!\!\!+\! \vec{a}_{12}, ..., \vec{a}_{k1} +\!\!\!+\! \vec{a}_{k2}) \downarrow \vec{a}} (\vec{a} = \vec{a}_1 +\!\!\!+\! \vec{a}_2)$$

$$\frac{\psi(\langle \mathtt{T} \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_k) \downarrow \vec{a}}{\psi(\langle \mathtt{T} \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_k) \downarrow \vec{a}}$$

- Transducer unary semantics:

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Judgment} \left[\psi(\langle b \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_k) \downarrow \downarrow \vec{a} \right] \\ \\ \overline{\operatorname{Usum}(\langle \mathtt{F} \rangle) \downarrow \langle () \rangle} & \overline{\operatorname{Usum}(\langle \mathtt{T} \rangle) \downarrow \langle \rangle} \end{array}$$

- Transducer block with accumulator:

$$\begin{split} & \text{Judgment} \ \boxed{\psi_n(\vec{a}_1,...,\vec{a}_k) \Downarrow \vec{a}} \\ & \underline{\psi_{n_0}(\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle,...,\vec{a}_{k1}) \not \downarrow^{n_0'} \langle n_1 \rangle} \quad \psi_{n_0'}(\vec{a}_{12},...,\vec{a}_{k2}) \Downarrow \vec{a}_2} \\ & \underline{\psi_{n_0}(\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle +\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_{12},...,\vec{a}_{k1} +\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_{k2}) \Downarrow \langle n_1 \rangle +\!\!\!+ \vec{a}_2} \\ & \underline{\psi_{n_0}(\langle \mathbf{T} \rangle,...,\vec{a}_k) \not \downarrow \vec{a}} \\ & \underline{\psi_{n_0}(\langle \mathbf{T} \rangle,...,\vec{a}_k) \not \downarrow \vec{a}} \end{split}$$

- Transducer unary with accumulator:

Judgment
$$\psi_n(\langle F \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_k) \downarrow ^{n'} \vec{a}$$

$$\begin{split} &\operatorname{ScanPlus}_{n_0}(\langle \mathsf{F} \rangle, \langle n \rangle) \ \!\!\!\! \downarrow^{n_0 + n} \ \!\!\!\! \langle n_0 \rangle \\ &\operatorname{Judgment} \left[\psi_n(\langle \mathsf{T} \rangle, ..., \vec{a}_k) \ \!\!\!\! \downarrow \ \vec{a} \right] \\ &\overline{\operatorname{ScanPlus}_{n_0}(\langle \mathsf{T} \rangle, \langle \rangle) \ \!\!\!\! \downarrow \ \langle \rangle} \end{split}$$

Theorem 0.1 (SVCODE determinism). If $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_c} \sigma'$ and $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_c} \sigma''$, then $\sigma' = \sigma''$.

Lemma 0.3. If
$$\sigma_1 \stackrel{st}{\sim} \sigma_2$$
, $\langle p, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_1} \sigma'_1$, $\langle p, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_2} \sigma'_2$, then $\langle p, \sigma_1 \bowtie^{st} \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow^{\vec{a}_1 + + \vec{a}_2} \sigma'_1 \bowtie \sigma'_2$

Definition 0.3. \vec{a} is a prefix of \vec{a}' if $\vec{a} \sqsubseteq \vec{a}'$.

Judgment
$$\vec{a} \sqsubseteq \vec{a}'$$

$$\frac{\vec{a} \sqsubseteq \vec{a}'}{\langle a_0 | \vec{a} \rangle \sqsubseteq \langle a_0 | \vec{a}' \rangle}$$

Lemma 0.4. If

(i)
$$(\vec{a}'_i \sqsubseteq \vec{a}_i)_{i=1}^k$$
 and $\psi(\vec{a}'_1, ..., \vec{a}'_k) \Downarrow \vec{a}'$,

(ii)
$$(\vec{a}_i'' \sqsubseteq \vec{a}_i)_{i=1}^k$$
 and $\psi(\vec{a}_1'', ..., \vec{a}_k'') \Downarrow \vec{a}''$

then

(i)
$$(\vec{a}'_i = \vec{a}''_i)_{i=1}^k$$

(ii)
$$\vec{a}' = \vec{a}''$$
.

0.6 Translation

$$\delta = [x_1 \mapsto st_1, ..., x_i \mapsto st_i]$$

• Judgment
$$\delta \vdash e \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p, st)$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash x \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (\epsilon, st)}{\delta \vdash x \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (\epsilon, st)} (\delta(x) = st) \qquad \frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p_1, st_1) \qquad \delta[x \mapsto st_1] \vdash e_2 \stackrel{s'_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p_2, st)}{\delta \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p_1; p_2, st)}$$

$$\frac{\vdash \phi(st_1, ..., st_k) \stackrel{\underline{s_0}}{\underset{s_1}{\Longrightarrow}} (p, st)}{\delta \vdash \phi(x_1, ..., x_k) \stackrel{\underline{s_0}}{\underset{s_1}{\Longrightarrow}} (p, st)} ((\delta(x_i) = st_i)_{i=1}^k)$$

$$\frac{[x \mapsto st_1] \vdash e \xrightarrow{\underline{s_0+1}} (p,st)}{\delta \vdash \{e : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} \xrightarrow{\underline{s_0}} (s_0 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_2); st := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0,p), (st,s_2))} (\delta(y) = (st_1,s_2))$$

• Auxiliary Judgment $\vdash \phi(st_1,...,st_k) \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p,st)$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \vdash \mathbf{const}_n() \xrightarrow{\frac{s_0+1}{s_0}} (s_0 := \mathtt{Const}_n(), s_0) \\ \hline \\ \vdash \mathbf{iota}(s) \xrightarrow{\frac{s_0}{s_4}} (p, (s_3, s_0)) \end{array} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i+1} = s_i + 1 \\ p = s_0 := \mathtt{ToFlags}(s); \\ s_1 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_0); \\ s_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_1, s_2 := \mathtt{Const}_1()); \\ s_3 := \mathtt{ScanPlus}_0(s_0, s_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vdash \mathbf{plus}(s_1, s_2) \xrightarrow[s_0+1]{s_0} (s_0 := \mathtt{MapTwo}_+(s_1, s_2), s_0)$$

0.7 Value representation

• Judgment $v \triangleright_{\tau} w$

$$\frac{(v_i \triangleright_{\tau} w_i)_{i=1}^k}{\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \triangleright_{\{\tau\}} (w, \langle \mathsf{F}_1, ..., \mathsf{F}_k, \mathsf{T} \rangle)} (w = w_1 + + ... + w_k)$$

Lemma 0.5. If $v \triangleright_{\tau} w$, $v' \triangleright_{\tau} w$, then v = v'.

0.8 Correctness proof

Lemma 0.6 (???). If $\Gamma \vdash e : \{\tau\}, \ \rho \vdash e \downarrow \{v_1, ..., v_k\}, \ and \ \delta \vdash e \overset{s_0}{\underset{s_1}{\Longrightarrow}} (p, (st, s)), \ then \ s \notin \mathtt{sids}(st).$

Lemma 0.7. If

(i) $\vdash \phi : (\tau_1, ..., \tau_k) \rightarrow \tau$ (by some derivation \mathcal{T})

 $(ii) \vdash \phi(v_1,...,v_k) \downarrow v \ (by \ \mathcal{E})$

(iii) $\vdash \phi(st_1,...,st_k) \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p,st) \ (by \ \mathcal{C})$

(iv) $(v_i \triangleright_{\tau_i} \sigma(st_i))_{i=1}^k$

 $(v) \bigcup_{i=1}^k \operatorname{sids}(st_i) \lessdot s_0$

then

(vi) $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma'$ (by \mathcal{P})

(vii) $v \triangleright_{\tau} \sigma'(st)$ (by V)

(viii) $\sigma' \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{=\!=\!=} \sigma$

(ix) $s_0 \leq s_1$

(x) sids $(st) \lessdot s_1$

Proof. By inducation on the syntax of ϕ .

• Case $\phi = \mathbf{const}_n$ There is only one possibility for each of \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathcal{T} = \overline{\hspace{0.2cm} \vdash \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{const}_n : () \to \mathbf{int}}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \overline{\hspace{0.2cm} \vdash \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{const}_n() \downarrow n}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \overline{\hspace{0.2cm} \vdash \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{const}_n() \xrightarrow[s_0]{s_0}} (s_0 := \mathsf{Const}_n(), s_0)$$

So $k = 0, \tau = \text{int}, v = n, p = s_0 := \text{Const}_n(), s_1 = s_0 + 1, \text{ and } st = s_0$ Since $\text{Const}_n()$ takes no arguments, there is only one possibility for \mathcal{P} :

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{ \begin{array}{c|c} \overline{\mathtt{Const_n}() \Downarrow \langle n \rangle} & \overline{\mathtt{Const_n}() \downarrow^{\langle \rangle} \; \langle \rangle} \\ \\ \overline{\mathtt{Const_n}() \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \; \langle n \rangle} \\ \hline \langle s_0 := \mathtt{Const_n}(), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \; \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle n \rangle] \\ \end{array} }$$

So $\sigma' = \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle n \rangle].$

Then we have $\mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{n \triangleright_{\mathbf{int}} \sigma'(s_0)}$

Also clearly, $\sigma' \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=\!=\!=\!=} \sigma$, $s_0 \leq s_0 + 1$, $sids(s_0) \leq s_0 + 1$, and we are done.

• Case $\phi = \mathbf{plus}$

We must have

$$\mathcal{T} = \overline{\hspace{0.2cm} \vdash \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{plus} : (\mathbf{int}, \mathbf{int}) o \mathbf{int}} \ \mathcal{E} = \overline{\hspace{0.2cm} \vdash \hspace{0.2cm} \mathbf{plus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3}$$

where $n_3 = n_2 + n_1$, and

$$\mathcal{C} = \overline{\hspace{1cm} \vdash \hspace{1cm} \mathbf{plus}(s_1, s_2) \underset{s_0+1}{\overset{s_0}{\Longrightarrow}} (s_0 := \mathtt{MapTwo}_+(s_1, s_2), s_0)}$$

So $k = 2, \tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau = \text{int}, v_1 = n_1, v_2 = n_2, v = n_3, st_1 = s_1, st_2 = s_2, st = s_0, s_1 = s_0 + 1$ and $p = s_0 := \text{MapTwo}_+(s_1, s_2)$.

Assumption (iv) gives us $n_1 \triangleright_{\mathbf{int}} \sigma(s_1)$ and $n_2 \triangleright_{\mathbf{int}} \sigma(s_2)$, which implies $\sigma(s_1) = \langle n_1 \rangle$ and $\sigma(s_2) = \langle n_2 \rangle$.

For (v) we have $s_1 < s_0$ and $s_2 < s_0$.

 \mathcal{P} must have the shape:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{1}}{\frac{\mathsf{MapTwo}_{+}(\vec{a}_{1},\vec{a}_{2}) \Downarrow \vec{a} \quad \overline{\mathsf{MapTwo}_{+}(\vec{a}_{1}',\vec{a}_{2}') \downarrow^{\langle \rangle} \langle \rangle}}{\mathsf{MapTwo}_{+}(\langle n_{1} \rangle, \langle n_{2} \rangle) \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \vec{a}} (\vec{a}_{1} + + \vec{a}_{1}' = \langle n_{1} \rangle, \vec{a}_{2} + + \vec{a}_{2}' = \langle n_{2} \rangle)}}{\langle s_{0} := \mathtt{MapTwo}_{+}(s_{1}, s_{2}), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_{0} \mapsto \vec{a}]} (\sigma(s_{1}) = \langle n_{1} \rangle, \sigma(s_{2}) = \langle n_{2} \rangle)}$$

Since there is only one rule for \mathcal{P}_1 , by which \vec{a}_1 must be $\langle n_1 \rangle$ and \vec{a}_2 must be $\langle n_2 \rangle$ (, and $\vec{a}_1' = \vec{a}_2' = \langle \rangle$), that is,

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \overline{\mathsf{MapTwo}_{\perp}(\langle n_1 \rangle, \langle n_2 \rangle) \Downarrow \langle n_3 \rangle}$$

Therefore, $\sigma' = \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle n_3 \rangle].$

Now it is clear that $\mathcal{V} = \overline{n_3 \triangleright_{\mathbf{int}} \sigma'(s_0)}$, $\sigma' \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=} \sigma$, $s_0 \leq s_0 + 1$ and $\mathsf{sids}(s_0) \lessdot s_0 + 1$ as required.

• Case $\phi = \mathbf{iota}$

Theorem 0.2. If

(i) $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ (by some derivation \mathcal{T})

(ii) $\rho \vdash e \downarrow v \ (by \ \mathcal{E})$

(iii) $\delta \vdash e \stackrel{s_0}{\Longrightarrow} (p, st) \ (by \ \mathcal{C})$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (iv) \ \forall x \in dom(\Gamma). \ \vdash \ \rho(x) : \Gamma(x) \wedge \operatorname{sids}(\delta(x)) \lessdot s_0 \wedge \rho(x) \rhd_{\Gamma(x)} \sigma(\delta(x)) \\ \boldsymbol{then} \end{array}$

(v) $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma'$ (by \mathcal{P})

(vi) $v \triangleright_{\tau} \sigma'(st)$ (by V)

(vii) $\sigma' \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{===} \sigma$

(viii) $s_0 \leq s_1$

(ix) sids $(st) \lessdot s_1$

Proof. By induction on the syntax of e.

• Case $e = \{e_1 : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot \}.$

We must have:

(i)
$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\Gamma \vdash \{e_1 : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} : \{\tau_2\}} (\Gamma(y) = \{\tau_1\})$$

$$\mathcal{E}_i$$

(ii)
$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{([x \mapsto v_i] \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_i')_{i=1}^k}{\rho \vdash \{e_1 : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} \downarrow \{v_1', ..., v_k'\}} (\rho(y) = \{v_1, ..., v_k\})$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1$$

(iii)
$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{[x \mapsto st_1] \vdash e_1 \xrightarrow{s_0+1} (p_1, st_2)}{\delta \vdash \{e_1 : x \text{ in } y \text{ using } \cdot\} \xrightarrow{s_0} (s_0 := \text{Usum}(s_2); st_2 := \text{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), (st_2, s_2))} (\delta(y) = (st_1, s_2))$$

So
$$p = (s_0 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_2); st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1)), \tau = \{\tau_2\}, v = \{v_1', ..., v_k'\}, st = (st_2, s_2).$$

(iv) $\vdash \rho(y) : \Gamma(y)$ gives us $\vdash \{v_1, ..., v_k\} : \{\tau_1\}$, which must have the derivation:

$$\frac{(\vdash v_i : \tau_1)_{i=1}^k}{\vdash \{v_1, ..., v_k\} : \{\tau_1\}}$$
 (1)

 $sids(\delta(y)) \lessdot s_0$ gives us

$$\operatorname{sids}(\delta(y)) = \operatorname{sids}((st_1, s_2)) = \operatorname{sids}(st_1) \cup \{s_2\} < s_0 \tag{2}$$

 $\rho(y) \triangleright_{\Gamma(y)} \sigma(\delta(y)) = \{v_1, ..., v_k\} \triangleright_{\{\tau_1\}} \sigma((st_1, s_2))$ must have the derivation:

$$\frac{V_i}{(v_i \triangleright_{\tau_1} w_i)_{i=1}^k} \frac{(v_i \triangleright_{\tau_1} w_i)_{i=1}^k}{\{v_1, ..., v_k\} \triangleright_{\{\tau_1\}} (w, \langle F_1, ..., F_k, T \rangle)} (w = w_1 + + ... + w_k)$$
(3)

therefore

$$\sigma(st_1) = w \tag{4}$$

and

$$\sigma(s_2) = \langle F_1, ..., F_k, T \rangle. \tag{5}$$

First we shall show:

- (v) $\langle s_0 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_2); st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma'$
- (vi) $\{v'_1, ..., v'_k\} \triangleright_{\{\tau_2\}} \sigma'((st_2, s_2))$ by \mathcal{V}
- (vii) $\sigma' \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{===} \sigma$

TS (v), we first prove $\langle s_0 := \text{Usum}(s_2), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle]$ by \mathcal{P}_0 . \mathcal{P}_0 must have the shape:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{0}'}{\frac{\texttt{Usum}(\vec{b}_{1}) \Downarrow \vec{a} \qquad \texttt{Usum}(\vec{b}_{2}) \downarrow^{\langle \rangle} \langle \rangle}{\texttt{Usum}(\langle \texttt{F}_{1}, ..., \texttt{F}_{k}, \texttt{T} \rangle) \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \vec{a}}} (\vec{b}_{1} +\!\!\!\!+ \vec{b}_{2} = \langle \texttt{F}_{1}, ..., \texttt{F}_{k}, \texttt{T} \rangle)}{\langle s_{0} := \texttt{Usum}(s_{2}), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_{0} \mapsto \vec{a}]} (\sigma(s_{2}) = \langle \texttt{F}_{1}, ..., \texttt{F}_{k}, \texttt{T} \rangle)$$

From the rules P-UsumF and P-UsumT we know that \vec{b}_1 must end with (and include exactly) one T so that \mathcal{P}'_0 can terminate. Therefore, in our case, \vec{b}_1 can only be $\langle F_1, ..., F_k, T \rangle$, and $\vec{b}_2 = \langle \rangle$. Then using P-UsumF k times and P-UsumT once, we obtain \mathcal{P}'_0 of

$$\operatorname{Usum}(\vec{b}_1) \downarrow \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle$$

which gives us \mathcal{P}_0 of $\langle s_0 := \text{Usum}(s_2), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle]$. Then \mathcal{P} must have the shape:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_0 & \mathcal{P}_1 \\ & \langle s_0 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_2), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle] & \langle st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle] \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma' \\ & \langle s_0 := \mathtt{Usum}(s_2); st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma' \end{split}$$

Since we have

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = [x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_1 : \tau_2$$

$$(\mathcal{E}_i = [x \mapsto v_i] \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_i')_{i=1}^k$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 = [x \mapsto st_1] \vdash e_1 \xrightarrow[s_1]{s_0 + 1} (p_1, st_2)$$

Let $\Gamma_1 = [x \mapsto \tau_1], \rho_i = [x \mapsto v_i]$ and $\delta_1 = [x \mapsto st_1]$. From (1) and (2) it is clear that

$$\forall z \in dom(\Gamma_1)$$
. $\vdash \rho_i(z) : \Gamma_1(z) \land sids(\delta_1(z)) \lessdot s_0$.

Let i range from 1 to k: we take $\sigma_i \stackrel{st_1}{\sim} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle]$ such that $\sigma_i(st_1) = w_i$. From \mathcal{V}_i in (3) we know that

$$\forall z \in dom(\Gamma_1).\rho_i(z) \triangleright_{\Gamma_1(z)} \sigma_i(\delta_1(z)).$$

Then by IH (k times) on \mathcal{T}_1 with \mathcal{E}_i , \mathcal{C}_1 we obtain the following result:

$$(\langle p_1, \sigma_i \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma_i')_{i=1}^k \tag{6}$$

$$(v_i' \triangleright_{\tau_2} \sigma_i'(st_2))_{i=1}^k \tag{7}$$

$$\left(\sigma_i' \xrightarrow{\leq s_0 + 1} \sigma_i\right)_{i=1}^k \tag{8}$$

$$s_0 + 1 \le s_1 \tag{9}$$

$$\operatorname{sids}(st_2) \lessdot s_1 \tag{10}$$

Assume $sids(st_2) = \{s'_1, ..., s'_i\}.$

There are two possibilities:

- Subcase k = 0, that is $\sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle](s_0) = \langle \rangle$.

$$\mathcal{P}_1 = \frac{}{\langle st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle \rangle, s_1' \mapsto \langle \rangle, ..., s_i' \mapsto \langle \rangle]}$$

thus in this subcase

$$\sigma' = \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle \rangle, s_1' \mapsto \langle \rangle, ..., s_i' \mapsto \langle \rangle].$$

Since k = 0, then $v = \{\}$, $\sigma(s_2) = \langle \mathsf{T} \rangle$ (from (5)), we have $\sigma'(s_2) = \sigma(s_2) = \langle \mathsf{T} \rangle$ (?? not correct if $s_2 \in \mathsf{sids}(st_2)/\mathsf{sids}(st_1)$), and $\sigma'(st_2) = (...(\langle \langle \rangle, \langle \rangle)_1, \langle \rangle)_2, ...)_{j-1}$.

Therefore $\sigma'((st_2, s_2)) = (\sigma'(st_2), \sigma'(s_2))$, with which we construct

$$\mathcal{V} = \overline{\ \{\} \, \triangleright_{\{\tau_2\}} \left((...(\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle)_1, ...)_{j-1}, \langle \mathbf{T} \rangle \right)}$$

as required.

Since k = 0, from (4) we know $\forall s' \in \mathtt{sids}(st_1).\sigma(s') = \langle \rangle$. For any $s' \in \mathtt{sids}(st_2)$ and $s' < s_0$, it must have $s' \in \mathtt{sids}(st_1)$ (because $codom(\delta_1) = \{st_1\}$), hence $\sigma(s') = \langle \rangle = \sigma'(s')$. Therefore,

$$\sigma' \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{=\!=\!=} \sigma.$$

- Subcase k > 0, that is $\sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle] = \langle () | \vec{a} \rangle$ for some \vec{a} . Then $\mathcal{P}_1 =$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{P}_1' \\ \langle p_1, \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle] \rangle \downarrow^{\langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle} \sigma'' \\ \overline{\langle st_2 := \mathtt{WithCtrl}(s_0, p_1), \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle] \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle, s_1' \mapsto \sigma''(s_1'), ..., s_j' \mapsto \sigma''(s_j')]} \end{split}$$

So in this subcase

$$\sigma' = \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle, s_1' \mapsto \sigma''(s_1'), ..., s_i' \mapsto \sigma''(s_i')].$$

Using Lemma 0.3 (k-1) times on (6) gives us

$$\langle p_1, (\stackrel{st_1}{\bowtie} \sigma_i)_{i=1}^k \rangle \downarrow^{\langle ()_1, \dots, ()_k \rangle} (\stackrel{st_2}{\bowtie} \sigma_i')_{i=1}^k$$

$$\tag{11}$$

By Definition 0.2 we have

$$(\stackrel{st_1}{\bowtie} \sigma_i)_{i=1}^k = \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle]. \tag{12}$$

Then by Theorem 0.1 on \mathcal{P}'_1 with (11), we get

$$\sigma'' = (\stackrel{st_2}{\bowtie} \sigma_i')_{i=1}^k \tag{13}$$

Therefore, $\sigma''(st_2) = \sigma'_1(st_2) + + ... + + \sigma'_k(st_2)$ by Definition 0.2. Let $\sigma'_i(st_2) = w'_i$ and $\sigma''(st_2) = w'$, then $w' = w'_1 + + ... + + w'_k$.

Since $\sigma'(st_2) = \sigma''(st_2) = w'$, and $\sigma'(s_2) = \sigma(s_2) = \langle F_1, ..., F_k, T \rangle$, (same problem) we now have $\sigma'((st_2, s_2)) = (\sigma'(st_2), \sigma'(s_2)) = (w', \langle F_1, ..., F_k, T \rangle)$. With (7), we can construct

$$\mathcal{V} = \frac{(v_i' \triangleright_{\tau_2} w_i')_{i=1}^k}{\{v_1', ..., v_k'\} \triangleright_{\{\tau_2\}} (w', \langle \mathbf{F}_1, ..., \mathbf{F}_k, \mathbf{T} \rangle)}$$

as required.

By Lemma 0.1 on (12) we get $\sigma_i \stackrel{st_1}{\sim} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle]$, and similarly $\sigma_i' \stackrel{st_2}{\sim} \sigma''$ from (13).

Since (8) implies

$$(\sigma_i' \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{==} \sigma_i)_{i=1}^k$$

using Lemma 0.2 (k-1) times, we obtain

$$\sigma'' \xrightarrow{\langle s_0 \rangle} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1, ..., ()_k \rangle].$$

Therefore, $\sigma' \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \sigma[s_0 \mapsto \langle ()_1,...,()_k \rangle] \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \sigma.$

- (viii) TS: $s_0 \le s_1$ From (9) we immediately get $s_0 \le s_1 - 1 < s_1$.
- (ix) TS: $sids((st_2, s_2)) \le s_1$ From (2) we know $s_2 < s_0$, thus $s_2 < s_0 \le s_1$. And we already have (10). Therefore,

$$sids((st_2, s_2)) = sids(st_2) \cup \{s_2\} \lessdot s_1.$$

• Case e = x. We must have

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma} + \frac{\Gamma}{x : \tau} (\Gamma(x) = \tau)$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\Gamma}{\rho} + \frac{\Gamma}{x \downarrow v} (\rho(x) = v)$$

$$C = \frac{1}{\delta + x \underset{s_0}{\rightleftharpoons} (\epsilon, st)} (\delta(x) = st)$$

So $p = \epsilon$.

Immediately we have $\mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma}$

So $\sigma' = \sigma$, which implies $\sigma \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{===} \sigma$.

From the assumption we already have $v \triangleright_{\tau} \sigma(st)$, and $sids(st) \lessdot s_0$. Finally it's clear that $s_0 \leq s_0$, and we are done.

• Case $e = \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2$.

We must have:

So $p = p_1; p_2$.

By IH on \mathcal{T}_1 with $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get

- (a) \mathcal{P}_1 of $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma_1$
- (b) \mathcal{V}_1 of $v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} \sigma_1(st_1)$
- (c) $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\langle s_0 \rangle}{===} \sigma$
- (d) $s_0 \leq s_0'$
- (e) $\operatorname{sids}(st_1) \lessdot s'_0$

From (b), we know $\rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) : \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)$ and $\rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) \triangleright_{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)} \sigma_1(\delta[x \mapsto st_1](x))$ must hold. From (e), we have $\operatorname{sids}(\delta[x \mapsto st_1](x)) < s_0'$.

Then by IH on \mathcal{T}_2 with $\mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get

- (f) \mathcal{P}_2 of $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow^{\langle () \rangle} \sigma_2$
- (g) V_2 of $\sigma_2 \triangleright_{\tau} \sigma_2(st)$
- (h) $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\langle s_0' \rangle}{===} \sigma_1$
- (i) $s_0' \le s_1$
- (j) $sids(st) \lessdot s_1$

So we can construct:

$$\mathcal{P} = rac{\mathcal{P}_1}{raket{\langle p_1, \sigma
angle \downarrow^{\langle ()
angle} \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1
angle \downarrow^{\langle ()
angle} \sigma_2}}{raket{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma
angle \downarrow^{\langle ()
angle} \sigma_2}}$$

From (c), (d) and (h), it is clear that $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq s_0}{=\!\!\!=\!\!\!=} \sigma$. From (d) and (i), $s_0 \leq s_1$. Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ (thus $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_2$) and we are done.

• Case
$$e = \phi(x_1, ..., x_k)$$

We must have

$$\mathcal{T}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\vdash \phi : (\tau_{1}, ..., \tau_{k}) \to \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \phi : (\tau_{1}, ..., x_{k}) : \tau} \left((\Gamma(x_{i}) = \tau_{i})_{i=1}^{k} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\vdash \phi(v_{1}, ..., v_{k}) \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \phi(x_{1}, ..., x_{k}) \downarrow v} \left((\rho(x_{i}) = v_{i})_{i=1}^{k} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{1}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\vdash \phi(st_{1}, ..., st_{k}) \stackrel{s_{0}}{\Rightarrow_{i}} (p, st)}{\delta \vdash \phi(x_{1}, ..., x_{k}) \stackrel{s_{0}}{\Rightarrow_{i}} (p, st)} \left((\delta(x_{i}) = st_{i})_{i=1}^{k} \right)$$

From our assumption (iv), for all $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$:

- (a) $\vdash \rho(x_i) : \Gamma(x_i)$, that is, $\vdash v_i : \tau_i$
- (b) $\operatorname{sids}(\delta(x_i)) \lessdot s_0$, that is, $\operatorname{sids}(st_i) \lessdot s_0$
- (c) $\rho(x_i) \triangleright_{\Gamma(x_i)} \sigma(st_i)$, that is, $v_i \triangleright_{\tau_i} \sigma(st_i)$

So using Lemma 0.7 on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1, (a), (b)$ and (c) gives us exactly what we shall show.