Toy language formalization

August 25, 2017

0 Level-0

0.1 Source language:

$$e ::= n \mid e_1 + e_2$$
$$(n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

0.2 Source language semantics:

Judgment $e \downarrow n$

E-Cons:
$$\overline{n\downarrow n}$$
 E-Plus: $\frac{e_1\downarrow n_1 \quad e_2\downarrow n_2}{e_1+e_2\downarrow n_3} \ (n_1+n_2=n_3)$

0.3 Target language:

$$r \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$$

 $s ::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3$
 $p ::= s \mid p_1; p_2$

0.4 Target language semantics:

Environment $\sigma = [r_1 \mapsto n_1, ..., r_i \mapsto n_i].$ Judgment $\boxed{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{P-MoV}: \overline{\langle \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r \mapsto n]} \\ \text{P-Add}: \overline{\langle \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_1 \mapsto n_1]} \ (\sigma(r_2) = n_2, \sigma(r_3) = n_3, n_2 + n_3 = n_1) \\ \text{P-SeQ}: \overline{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2} \\ \langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2 \end{aligned}$$

0.5 Translation:

$$\text{Judgment } \boxed{e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r}$$

Newly generated register identifiers start from r_0 , end at (but not include) r_1 .

$$\text{C-Cons}: \overline{n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \texttt{let mov } r_0 \ n \ \texttt{in} \ r_0}$$

$$\text{C-PLUS}: \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1'}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } r_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'}^{r_1'} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } r_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'+1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; (p_2; \mathbf{add} \ r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2) \text{ in } r_2' + 1}$$

Correctness theorem: 0.6

Lemma 1. If $e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r, \text{ then } r_0 \leq r_1 \text{ and } r < r_1.$

Theorem 2. If $e \downarrow n$ (by some derivation \mathcal{E}), $e \Rightarrow_{r}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$ (by \mathcal{C}), then $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$ (by \mathcal{P}), $\forall r' < r_0.\sigma'(r') = \sigma(r'), \text{ and } \sigma'(r) = n.$

Proof. By induction on the syntax of e:

• Case $e = n_0$, then $n = n_0$, by E-Cons: $\mathcal{E} = \overline{n_0 \downarrow n_0}$, by C-Cons: $\mathcal{C} = \overline{n_0 \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0}}$ let mov r_0 n_0 in r_0 , so $p = \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n_0, \ r = r_0.$

Then by P-MoV, we get $\mathcal{P} = \langle \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0].$

Therefore we have $\forall r' < r_0.\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r_0) = n_0$ as required.

• Case $e = e_1 + e_2$.

 $\underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{e_1 \downarrow n_1} \quad \underbrace{\frac{\mathcal{E}_2}{e_2 \downarrow n_2}}_{e_1 + e_2 \downarrow n_1 + n_2}, \text{ thus } n = n_1 + n_2.}_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ By E-Plus, \mathcal{E} must have the shape:

By C-Plus, \mathcal{C} must have the shape:

So $p = p_1; p_2; add r'_2 r_1 r_2$, and $r = r'_2$.

By IH on \mathcal{E}_1 with \mathcal{C}_1 , we get $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$ for some $\sigma_1, \forall r' < r_0.\sigma_1(r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$.

Likewise, by IH on \mathcal{E}_2 with \mathcal{C}_2 , we get $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$ for some $\sigma_2, \forall r'' < r'_1.\sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'')$, and $\sigma_2(r_2) = n_2$.

By Lemma 1 on C_1 , $r_0 \le r'_1$, and $r_1 < r'_1$. Since $r_0 \le r'_1$, we get $\forall r''' < r_0 \cdot \sigma_2(r''') = \sigma_1(r''') = \sigma(r''')$; since $r_1 < r'_1$, we get $\sigma_2(r_1) = \sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$.

Use P-SEQ and P-ADD, we construct:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{P}_{2} \\ \mathcal{P}_{1} \\ \langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} \\ \hline \langle p_{2}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2} \\ \hline \langle p_{2}; \mathbf{add} \ r_{2}' \ r_{1} \ r_{2}, \sigma_{2} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}[r_{2}' \mapsto n_{1} + n_{2}]} \\ \hline \langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} \\ \hline \langle p_{1}; (p_{2}; \mathbf{add} \ r_{2}' \ r_{1} \ r_{2}), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}[r_{2}' \mapsto n_{1} + n_{2}]} \\ \hline \langle p_{1}; (p_{2}; \mathbf{add} \ r_{2}' \ r_{1} \ r_{2}), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}[r_{2}' \mapsto n_{1} + n_{2}]} \end{array}$$

Therefore, $\sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2](r_2') = n_1 + n_2 = n$. Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done.

Level-1 1

1.1 Extended source language

$$e ::= ... \mid x \mid \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2$$

1.2 Extended semantics:

High-level runtime environment $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto n_1, ..., x_i \mapsto n_i].$

Judgment $\rho \vdash e \downarrow n$

$$\frac{}{\rho \;\vdash\; x \downarrow n} \; \left(\rho(x) = n \right) \qquad \frac{\rho \;\vdash\; e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto n_1] \;\vdash\; e_2 \downarrow n}{\rho \;\vdash\; \mathbf{let} \; x = e_1 \; \mathbf{in} \; e_2 \downarrow n}$$

1.3 Target language:

(added
$$\epsilon$$
 to p)

$$r \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$s ::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3$$

$$p ::= \epsilon \mid s \mid p_1; p_2$$

1.4 Extended target language semantics:

Judgment
$$\sqrt{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$$

$$\overline{\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma}$$

1.5 Extended translation:

Translation environment $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto r_1, ..., x_i \mapsto r_i].$

$$\text{Judgment } \boxed{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r}$$

$$\frac{\delta \ \vdash \ x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ \epsilon \ \mathtt{in} \ r}{\delta \ \vdash \ e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1'}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ p_1 \ \mathtt{in} \ r_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto r_1] \ \vdash \ e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'}^{r_1'} \mathtt{let} \ p_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ r_2}}{\delta \ \vdash \ \mathtt{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathtt{in} \ e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ p_1; p_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ r_2}$$

1.6 Correctness theorem:

Lemma 3. If $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r, \text{ then } r_0 \leq r_1 \text{ and } r < r_1.$

Theorem 4. If $\rho \vdash e \downarrow n$, $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r'}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$, and $\forall x \in dom(\rho).\rho(x) = \sigma(\delta(x))$, then $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$, $\forall r' < r_0.\sigma'(r') = \sigma(r')$, and $\sigma'(r) = n$.

2 Level-2

2.1 Extended source language:

$$e ::= ... \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{fst}(e) \mid \mathbf{snd}(e)$$

2.2 Added values:

$$v ::= n \mid (v_1, v_2)$$

2.3 Added source language type system:

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{Int} \mid (\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

Type environment $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, ..., x_i \mapsto \tau_i].$

• Judgment $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

$$\frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ n : \mathbf{Int}}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ n : \mathbf{Int}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \ \vdash \ e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \ \vdash \ e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \ \vdash \ e_1 + e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \; \vdash \; x : \tau} \; \left(\Gamma(x) = \tau \right) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \; \vdash \; e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \; \vdash \; e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \; \vdash \; \mathbf{let} \; x = e_1 \; \mathbf{in} \; e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) : \tau_1} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) : \tau_2}$$

• Judgment $\vdash v : \tau$

$$\frac{}{\vdash n:\mathbf{Int}} \qquad \frac{\vdash v_1:\tau_1 \quad \vdash v_2:\tau_2}{\vdash (v_1,v_2):(\tau_1,\tau_2)}$$

• Auxiliary Judgment \vdash **gplus** $(v_1, v_2) : \tau$ (general plus operation typing rules)

$$\frac{}{\vdash \ \mathbf{gplus} \ (n_1, n_2) : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\vdash \ \mathbf{gplus} \ (v_{10}, v_{20}) : \tau_1 \quad \vdash \ \mathbf{gplus} \ (v_{11}, v_{21}) : \tau_2}{\vdash \ \mathbf{gplus} \ ((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

2.4 Extended semantics:

Judgment $\rho \vdash e \downarrow v$

(fixed runtime environment $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto v_1, ..., x_i \mapsto v_i]$)

$$\frac{}{\rho \;\vdash\; n \downarrow n} \qquad \frac{\rho \;\vdash\; e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \;\vdash\; e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3}{\rho \;\vdash\; e_1 + e_2 \downarrow v_3}$$

$$\frac{}{\rho \;\vdash\; x \downarrow v} \; \left(\rho(x) = v \right) \qquad \frac{\rho \;\vdash\; e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \;\vdash\; e_2 \downarrow v}{\rho \;\vdash\; \mathbf{let} \; x = e_1 \; \mathbf{in} \; e_2 \downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\rho \ \vdash \ e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \ \vdash \ e_2 \downarrow v_2}{\rho \ \vdash \ (e_1, e_2) \downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \qquad \frac{\rho \ \vdash \ e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \ \vdash \ \mathbf{fst}(e) \downarrow v_1} \qquad \frac{\rho \ \vdash \ e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \ \vdash \ \mathbf{snd}(e) \downarrow v_2}$$

Auxiliary Judgment **gplus** $(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3$

$$\frac{\mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3}{\mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3} \ (n_1 + n_2 = n_3) \qquad \frac{\mathbf{gplus}(v_{10}, v_{20}) \downarrow v_{30} \ \ \mathbf{gplus}(v_{11}, v_{21}) \downarrow v_{31}}{\mathbf{gplus}((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) \downarrow (v_{30}, v_{31})}$$

2.5 Target language:

$$rs ::= r \mid (rs_1, rs_2)$$

s, p and semantics no change.

Define a function rset to convert rs to a set of r: $rset(r) = \{r\}$

$$rset((rs_1, rs_2)) = rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2)$$

2.6 Extended translation:

Judgment
$$\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs$$

(fixed $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto rs_1, ..., x_i \mapsto rs_i]$)

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^r \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{ let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \mathbf{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{ let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^r \text{ let } p_1; (p2; p3) \text{ in } rs_3}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto rs_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{ let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash \text{ let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{ let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{ fst}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1} \quad \frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{ snd}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{ let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

Auxiliary Judgment $transPlus(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} let p in rs_3$

$$\overline{\operatorname{transPlus}(r_1, r_2)} \Rightarrow_{r_2+1}^{r_3} \operatorname{let} \operatorname{add} r_3 r_1 r_2 \operatorname{in} r_3$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{transPlus}(rs_{10}, rs_{20}) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ p_1 \ \mathtt{in} \ rs_{30} \quad \mathbf{transPlus}(rs_{11}, rs_{21}) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \mathtt{let} \ p_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ rs_{31}}{\mathbf{transPlus}((rs_{10}, rs_{11}), (rs_{20}, rs_{21})) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ p_1; p_2 \ \mathtt{in} \ (rs_{30}, rs_{31})}$$

2.7 Value representation:

Judgment $\sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs$

 $(v:\tau \text{ can be represented as } rs \text{ in } \sigma)$

$$\frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \triangleright_{\mathbf{Int}} r} (\sigma(r) = n) \qquad \frac{\sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

2.8 Correctness theorem:

Lemma 5. If transPlus $(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3, \text{ then } r_0 \leq r_1 \text{ and } \forall r \in rset(rs_3).r < r_1.$

Lemma 6. If $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs, \text{ then } r_0 \leq r_1 \text{ and } \forall r \in rset(rs).r < r_1.$

Lemma 7. If

- (i) $\sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1$, and $\sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$
- (ii) $\mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3$
- (iii) transPlus $(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3$

then

- (i) $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$
- (ii) $\sigma' \vdash v_3 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$
- (iii) $\forall r < r_0.\sigma'(r) = \sigma(r)$

Theorem 8. If

(i) $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ (by some derivation \mathcal{T}),

(ii)
$$\rho \vdash e \downarrow v$$
 (by \mathcal{E}), and $\forall x \in dom(\Gamma).\rho(x) : \Gamma(x)$,

(iii)
$$\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs \text{ } (by \mathcal{C}), \text{ and } \forall x \in dom(\Gamma).\sigma \vdash \rho(x) \triangleright_{\Gamma(x)} \delta(x)$$

then

(i)
$$\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$$
 (by \mathcal{P}),

(ii)
$$\sigma' \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs \ (by \ \mathcal{V})$$

(iii)
$$\forall r < r_0.\sigma'(r) = \sigma(r)$$
.

Proof. By induction on the syntax of e.

• Case $e = e_1 + e_2$.

• Case
$$e = e_1 + e_2$$
.

Then must have:
$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \qquad \mathcal{E}_2 \qquad \mathcal{E}_3$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash e_1 + e_2 \downarrow v}$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1 \qquad \mathcal{C}_2 \qquad \mathcal{C}_3$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \mathbf{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; (p2; p3) \text{ in } rs_3}$$
So $p = p1; p2; p3, rs = rs_3$.

So $p = p1; p2; p3, rs = rs_3$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get \mathcal{P}_1 of $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$. Likewise, by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get \mathcal{P}_2 of $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2, \mathcal{V}_2$ of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$, and $\forall r' < r_1.\sigma_2(r') =$

By theorem 7 on $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{C}_3$, we get \mathcal{P}_3 of $\langle p_3, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3, \sigma_3 \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$, and $\forall r'' < r_2.\sigma_3(r'') =$ $\sigma_2(r)$.

Then we can construct:

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathcal{P}_{2} & \mathcal{P}_{3} \\
\mathcal{P}_{1} & \langle p_{2}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2} & \langle p_{3}, \sigma_{2} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{3} \\
\langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} & \langle p_{2}; p_{3}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{3} \\
\hline
\langle p_{1}; (p_{2}; p_{3}), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{3}
\end{array}$$

By lemma 6 on C_1 , we get $r_0 \leq r_1$. Similarly, we also get $r_1 \leq r_2$ by lemma 6 on MC_2 . Therefore, $r_0 \le r_1 \le r_2$, hence $\forall r''' < r_0.\sigma_3(r''') = \sigma_2(r''') = \sigma_1(r''') = \sigma(r''')$.

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_3$ and we are done.

• Case $e = (e_1, e_2)$.

Must have:
$$\mathcal{T}_1 \qquad \mathcal{T}_2$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1 \qquad \mathcal{T}_2$$

$$\mathcal{T}_2 \qquad \mathcal{T}_3 \qquad \mathcal{T}_4 \qquad \mathcal{T}_4 \qquad \mathcal{T}_5 \qquad \mathcal{T}_7 \qquad \mathcal{T$$

So $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2), v = (v_1, v_2), rs = (rs_1, rs_2).$ By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get \mathcal{P}_1 of $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r).$ Likewise, by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get \mathcal{P}_2 of $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$, \mathcal{V}_2 of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2$, and $\forall r' < r_1.\sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r').$

Then we can construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_{1} \qquad \qquad \mathcal{P}_{2}}{\langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} \qquad \langle p_{2}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}} \\
\frac{\langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1}}{\langle p_{1}; p_{2}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}}$$

By lemma 6 on C_1 , we have $r_0 \leq r_1$, and $\forall r \in rset(rs_1).r < r_1$.

Since $r_0 \le r_1$, we get $\forall r'' < r_0.\sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'') = \sigma(r'')$.

Since $\forall r \in rset(rs_1).r < r_1$, then $\forall r \in rset(rs_1).\sigma_2(r) = \sigma_1(r)$. Therefore, there exists some \mathcal{V}_1' of $\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$.

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done.

• Case $e = \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2$.

have: $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \qquad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau} \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}$

 $\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1} \frac{\mathcal{E}_2}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \downarrow v}$

 $\mathcal{C} = \frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1}{\delta \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs}$

So $p = p_1; p_2$.

By IH on $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$, we get $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1, \mathcal{V}_1 = \sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$, and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

Since from V_1 we know $v_1 : \tau_1$, then $\rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) : \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)$ holds, and $\sigma_1 \vdash \rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) \triangleright_{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)} \delta[x \mapsto rs_1](x)$ also holds.

Then by IH on $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$, we get $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2, \mathcal{V}_2 = \sigma_2 \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs$, and $\forall r' < r_1.\sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r')$.

So we can construct $\frac{\mathcal{P}_{1} \qquad \mathcal{P}_{2}}{\langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} \qquad \langle p_{2}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}}
}$ $\frac{\langle p_{1}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{1} \qquad \langle p_{2}, \sigma_{1} \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}}{\langle p_{1}, p_{2}, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_{2}}$

By lemma 6 on C_1 : $r_0 \le r_1$. Therefore, $\forall r'' < r_0 . \sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'') = \sigma(r'')$.

Take $\sigma' = \sigma_2$ and we are done.

• Case e = n.

Must have $\mathcal{T} = \overline{\Gamma} \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}$, $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\rho} \vdash n \downarrow \overline{n}$, and $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\delta} \vdash n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n \ \text{in} \ r_0$. So $p = \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n, rs = r_0, v = n$, and $\tau = \mathbf{Int}$.

Then immediately we get $\overline{\langle \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n]}, \ \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n] \vdash n \triangleright_{\mathbf{Int}} r_0,$ and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n](r) = \sigma(r)$ as required.

• Case $e_{=}x$.

Must have
$$\mathcal{T} = \overline{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau}$$
 $(\Gamma(x) = \tau)$, $\mathcal{E} = \overline{\rho \vdash x \downarrow v}$ $(\rho(x) = v)$, and $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\delta} \vdash x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \mathtt{let} \ \epsilon \ \mathtt{in} \ rs$ $(\delta(x) = rs)$.

Immediately we get $\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma$, $\sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs$, and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma(r) = \sigma(r)$ as required.

• Case $e = \mathbf{fst}(e_1)$.

Must have:
$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) : \tau_1} \text{ for some } \tau_2,$$

$$\mathcal{E}_1$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \downarrow v_1} \text{ for some } v_2,$$

$$\mathcal{C}_1$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{\tau_1}^{\tau_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \Rightarrow_{\tau_1}^{\tau_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ rs_1} \text{ for some } rs_2.$$

So
$$\tau = \tau_1, v = v_1, rs = rs_1$$
.

By IH on
$$\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$$
, we get \mathcal{P} of $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$, \mathcal{V}_1 of $\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)$, and $\forall r < r_0.\sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$.

and
$$\forall r < r_0.\sigma_1(r) = \sigma(r)$$
.

 \mathcal{V}

Where \mathcal{V}_1 must have the shape:
$$\frac{\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1}{\sigma_1 \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

So now we have \mathcal{V} . Take $\sigma' = \sigma_1$ and we are done.

• The case where $e = \mathbf{snd}(e_1)$ is analogous to the case above.