

# Toy language formalization

August 28, 2017

## 0 Level-0

### 0.1 Source language:

$$e ::= n \mid e_1 + e_2 \\ (n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

### 0.2 Source language semantics:

Judgment  $\boxed{e \downarrow n}$

$$\text{E-CONS} : \overline{n \downarrow n} \quad \text{E-PLUS} : \frac{e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \downarrow n_2}{e_1 + e_2 \downarrow n_3} \quad (n_1 + n_2 = n_3)$$

### 0.3 Target language:

$$r \in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ s ::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \\ p ::= s \mid p_1; p_2$$

### 0.4 Target language semantics:

Environment  $\sigma = [r_1 \mapsto n_1, \dots, r_i \mapsto n_i]$ .

Judgment  $\boxed{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$

.

$$\text{P-MOV} : \overline{\langle \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r \mapsto n]} \\ \text{P-ADD} : \overline{\langle \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_1 \mapsto n_1]} \quad (\sigma(r_2) = n_2, \sigma(r_3) = n_3, n_2 + n_3 = n_1) \\ \text{P-SEQ} : \frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}$$

### 0.5 Translation:

Judgment  $\boxed{e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r}$

Newly generated register identifiers start from  $r_0$ , end at (but not include)  $r_1$ .

$$\text{C-CONS} : \overline{n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n \ \mathbf{in} \ r_0} \\ \text{C-PLUS} : \frac{e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1'} \mathbf{let} \ p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2}{e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1; (p_2; \mathbf{add} \ r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2) \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2' + 1}$$

## 0.6 Correctness theorem:

**Lemma 1.** *If  $e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$ , then  $r_0 \leq r_1$  and  $r < r_1$ .*

**Theorem 2.** *If  $e \downarrow n$  (by some derivation  $\mathcal{E}$ ),  $e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } r$  (by  $\mathcal{C}$ ), then  $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$  (by  $\mathcal{P}$ ),  $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma'(r') = \sigma(r')$ , and  $\sigma'(r) = n$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on the syntax of  $e$ :

- Case  $e = n_0$ , then  $n = n_0$ , by E-CONS:  $\mathcal{E} = \overline{n_0 \downarrow n_0}$ , by C-CONS:  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{n_0 \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \text{let mov } r_0 \ n_0 \text{ in } r_0}$ , so  $p = \text{mov } r_0 \ n_0$ ,  $r = r_0$ .

Then by P-MOV, we get  $\mathcal{P} = \overline{\langle \text{mov } r_0 \ n_0, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0]}$ .

Therefore we have  $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r') = \sigma(r')$ , and  $\sigma[r_0 \mapsto n_0](r_0) = n_0$  as required.

- Case  $e = e_1 + e_2$ .

By E-PLUS,  $\mathcal{E}$  must have the shape: 
$$\frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \downarrow n_2} \text{ , thus } n = n_1 + n_2.$$

By C-PLUS,  $\mathcal{C}$  must have the shape: 
$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } r_1 \quad e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1'} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } r_2} e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2'+1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2 \text{ in } r_2'$$

So  $p = p_1; p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2$ , and  $r = r_2'$ .

By IH on  $\mathcal{E}_1$  with  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$  for some  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\forall r' < r_0. \sigma_1(r') = \sigma(r')$ , and  $\sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$ .

Likewise, by IH on  $\mathcal{E}_2$  with  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$  for some  $\sigma_2$ ,  $\forall r'' < r_1'. \sigma_2(r'') = \sigma_1(r'')$ , and  $\sigma_2(r_2) = n_2$ .

By Lemma 1 on  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $r_0 \leq r_1'$ , and  $r_1 < r_1'$ . Since  $r_0 \leq r_1'$ , we get  $\forall r''' < r_0. \sigma_2(r''') = \sigma_1(r''') = \sigma(r''')$ ; since  $r_1 < r_1'$ , we get  $\sigma_2(r_1) = \sigma_1(r_1) = n_1$ .

Use P-SEQ and P-ADD, we construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \frac{\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2 \quad \overline{\langle \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]}}{\langle p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]}}{\langle p_1; (p_2; \text{add } r_2' \ r_1 \ r_2), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2]} (\sigma_2(r_2) = n_2, \sigma_2(r_1) = n_1)$$

Therefore,  $\sigma_2[r_2' \mapsto n_1 + n_2](r_2') = n_1 + n_2 = n$ . Take  $\sigma' = \sigma_2$  and we are done.  $\square$

## 1 Level-1

### 1.1 Extended source language

$$e ::= \dots \mid x \mid \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$$

### 1.2 Extended semantics:

High-level runtime environment  $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto n_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto n_i]$ .

Judgment  $\boxed{\rho \vdash e \downarrow n}$

$$\frac{}{\rho \vdash x \downarrow n} (\rho(x) = n) \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow n_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto n_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow n}{\rho \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \downarrow n}$$

### 1.3 Target language:

(added  $\epsilon$  to  $p$ )

$$\begin{aligned} r &\in \mathbf{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \\ s &::= \mathbf{mov} \ r \ n \mid \mathbf{add} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ r_3 \\ p &::= \epsilon \mid s \mid p_1; p_2 \end{aligned}$$

### 1.4 Extended target language semantics:

Judgment  $\boxed{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'}$

$$\overline{\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma}$$

### 1.5 Extended translation:

Translation environment  $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto r_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto r_i]$ .

Judgment  $\boxed{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\delta \vdash x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \epsilon \ \mathbf{in} \ r} \quad (\delta(x) = r) \\ &\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto r_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1'} \mathbf{let} \ p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2}{\delta \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1; p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ r_2} \end{aligned}$$

### 1.6 Correctness theorem:

Notation:

We use  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r}{=} \sigma_2$  to denote:  $\forall r' < r. \sigma_1(r') = \sigma_2(r')$ . It is easy to prove that this relation has the following properties:

- (Transitivity) if  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r}{=} \sigma_2$ , and  $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r}{=} \sigma_3$ , then  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r}{=} \sigma_3$ .
- if  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r}{=} \sigma_2$ ,  $r' < r$ , then  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r'}{=} \sigma_2$

**Lemma 3.** If  $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r$ , then  $r_0 \leq r_1$ .

**Theorem 4.** If  $\rho \vdash e \downarrow n$ ,  $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r'}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ r$ , and  $\forall x \in \text{dom}(\rho). \delta(x) < r_0 \wedge \rho(x) = \sigma(\delta(x))$ , then  $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$ ,  $\sigma'(r) = n$ ,  $\sigma' \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$ , and  $r < r'$ .

## 2 Level-2

### 2.1 Extended source language:

$$e ::= \dots \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{fst}(e) \mid \mathbf{snd}(e)$$

### 2.2 Added values:

$$v ::= n \mid (v_1, v_2)$$

## 2.3 Added source language type system:

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{Int} \mid (\tau_1, \tau_2)$$

Type environment  $\Gamma = [x_1 \mapsto \tau_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto \tau_i]$ .

- Judgment  $\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau}$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \tau} \\[10pt] \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} (\Gamma(x) = \tau) \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 : \tau} \\[10pt] \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) : \tau_1} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) : \tau_2} \end{array}$$

- Judgment  $\boxed{\vdash v : \tau}$

$$\frac{}{\vdash n : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\vdash v_1 : \tau_1 \quad \vdash v_2 : \tau_2}{\vdash (v_1, v_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

- Auxiliary Judgment  $\boxed{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) : \tau}$

(general plus operation typing rules)

$$\frac{}{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) : \mathbf{Int}} \quad \frac{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_{10}, v_{20}) : \tau_1 \quad \vdash \mathbf{gplus}(v_{11}, v_{21}) : \tau_2}{\vdash \mathbf{gplus}((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

## 2.4 Extended semantics:

Judgment  $\boxed{\rho \vdash e \downarrow v}$

(fixed runtime environment  $\rho = [x_1 \mapsto v_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto v_i]$ )

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{}{\rho \vdash n \downarrow n} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3}{\rho \vdash e_1 + e_2 \downarrow v_3} \\[10pt] \frac{}{\rho \vdash x \downarrow v} (\rho(x) = v) \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow v}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \downarrow v} \\[10pt] \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2}{\rho \vdash (e_1, e_2) \downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e) \downarrow v_1} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}{\rho \vdash \mathbf{snd}(e) \downarrow v_2} \end{array}$$

Auxiliary Judgment  $\boxed{\mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3}$

$$\frac{}{\mathbf{gplus}(n_1, n_2) \downarrow n_3} (n_1 + n_2 = n_3) \quad \frac{\mathbf{gplus}(v_{10}, v_{20}) \downarrow v_{30} \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_{11}, v_{21}) \downarrow v_{31}}{\mathbf{gplus}((v_{10}, v_{11}), (v_{20}, v_{21})) \downarrow (v_{30}, v_{31})}$$

## 2.5 Target language:

$$rs ::= r \mid (rs_1, rs_2)$$

$s, p$  and semantics no change.

Define a function  $rset$  to convert  $rs$  to a set of  $r$ :

$$rset(r) = \{r\}$$

$$rset((rs_1, rs_2)) = rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2)$$

## 2.6 Extended translation:

$$\text{Judgment } \boxed{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs}$$

(fixed  $\delta = [x_1 \mapsto rs_1, \dots, x_i \mapsto rs_i]$ )

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^r \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^r \text{let } p_1; (p_2; p_3) \text{ in } rs_3}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto rs_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

$$\frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{fst}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1} \quad \frac{\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}{\delta \vdash \text{snd}(e) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_2}$$

$$\text{Auxiliary Judgment } \boxed{\text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3}$$

$$\frac{\text{transPlus}(r_1, r_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3+1}^{r_3} \text{let add } r_3 \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ in } r_3}{\text{transPlus}(rs_{10}, rs_{20}) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_{30} \quad \text{transPlus}(rs_{11}, rs_{21}) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_{31}}{\text{transPlus}((rs_{10}, rs_{11}), (rs_{20}, rs_{21})) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; p_2 \text{ in } (rs_{30}, rs_{31})}$$

## 2.7 Value representation:

$$\text{Judgment } \boxed{\sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs}$$

( $v : \tau$  can be represented as  $rs$  in  $\sigma$ )

$$\frac{}{\sigma \vdash n \triangleright_{\text{Int}} r} (\sigma(r) = n) \quad \frac{\sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

## 2.8 Correctness theorem:

Notation:

For some set  $s$  and some  $r$ , we use  $s \triangleleft r$  to denote :  $\forall r' \in s. r' \triangleleft r$ . It is easy to show that this relation has the following properties:

- $s_1 \triangleleft r, s_2 \triangleleft r \Leftrightarrow s_1 \cup s_2 \triangleleft r$ .
- if  $s \triangleleft r, r \triangleleft r'$ , then  $s \triangleleft r'$

**Lemma 5.** If  $\text{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3$ , then  $r_0 \leq r_1$ .

**Lemma 6.** If  $\delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs$ , then  $r_0 \leq r_1$ .

**Lemma 7.** *If*

$$(i) \sigma \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1, \text{ and } \sigma \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$$

$$(ii) \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v_3$$

$$(iii) \mathbf{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs_3$$

$$(iv) rset((rs_1, rs_2)) \leq r_0$$

then

$$(i) \langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma'$$

$$(ii) \sigma' \vdash v_3 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$$

$$(iii) \sigma' \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$$

$$(iv) rset(rs_3) \leq r_1.$$

**Theorem 8.** *If*

$$(i) \Gamma \vdash e : \tau \text{ (by some derivation } \mathcal{T} \text{)}$$

$$(ii) \rho \vdash e \downarrow v \text{ (by } \mathcal{E} \text{)}$$

$$(iii) \delta \vdash e \Rightarrow_r^{r_0} \text{let } p \text{ in } rs \text{ (by } \mathcal{C} \text{)}$$

$$(iv) \forall x \in \text{dom}(\Gamma). \rho(x) : \Gamma(x) \wedge rset(\delta(x)) \leq r_0 \wedge \sigma \vdash \rho(x) \triangleright_{\Gamma(x)} \delta(x)$$

then

$$(i) \langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma' \text{ (by } \mathcal{P} \text{)}$$

$$(ii) \sigma' \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs \text{ (by } \mathcal{V} \text{)}$$

$$(iii) \sigma' \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$$

$$(iv) rset(rs) \leq r$$

*Proof.* By induction on the syntax of  $e$ .

- Case  $e = e_1 + e_2$ .

$$\text{Then must have: } \mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 + e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \quad \mathcal{E}_3}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2 \quad \mathbf{gplus}(v_1, v_2) \downarrow v} \frac{}{\rho \vdash e_1 + e_2 \downarrow v}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2 \quad \mathcal{C}_3}{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2 \quad \mathbf{transPlus}(rs_1, rs_2) \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_2} \text{let } p_3 \text{ in } rs_3} \frac{}{\delta \vdash e_1 + e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_3}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1; (p_2; p_3) \text{ in } rs_3}$$

So  $p = p_1; p_2; p_3, rs = rs_3, r = r_3$ .

By IH on  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of  $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_1$ ,  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$ , and  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_1$ .

Likewise, by IH on  $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_2$  of  $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_2$  of  $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau} rs_2$ ,  $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r_1}{=} \sigma_1$ , and  $rset(rs_2) \leq r_2$ .

By lemma 6 on  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , hence  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_2$ . Therefore,  $rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2) = rset((rs_1, rs_2)) \leq r_2$ .

Now by Lemma 7 on  $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2, \mathcal{E}_3, \mathcal{C}_3$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_3$  of  $\langle p_3, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3$ ,  $\sigma_3 \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs_3$ ,  $\sigma_3 \stackrel{\leq r_2}{=} \sigma_2$ , and  $rset(rs_3) \leq r_3$ .

Then we can construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \frac{\mathcal{P}_2 \quad \mathcal{P}_3}{\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle p_3, \sigma_2 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3}}{\langle p_2; p_3, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3} \quad \frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1}{\langle p_1; (p_2; p_3), \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_3}$$

By lemma 6 on  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $r_0 \leq r_1$ . Therefore,  $r_0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2$ .  $\sigma_3 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$ .

Take  $\sigma' = \sigma_3$  and we are done.

- Case  $e = (e_1, e_2)$ .

$$\text{Must have: } \mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : (\tau_1, \tau_2)}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{\rho \vdash (e_1, e_2) \downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \downarrow v_2}{\rho \vdash (e_1, e_2) \downarrow (v_1, v_2)}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{\delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p1; p2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)} \quad \frac{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \text{let } p_1 \text{ in } rs_1 \quad \delta \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \text{let } p_2 \text{ in } rs_2}{\delta \vdash (e_1, e_2) \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \text{let } p1; p2 \text{ in } (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

So  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2), v = (v_1, v_2), rs = (rs_1, rs_2), r = r_2$ .

By IH on  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_1$  of  $\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_1$  of  $\sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$ ,  $\sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$ , and  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_1$ . Likewise, by IH on  $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_2$  of  $\langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$ ,  $\mathcal{V}_2$  of  $\sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2$ ,  $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r_1}{=} \sigma_1$ , and  $rset(rs_2) \leq r_2$ .

Then we can construct:

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \mathcal{P}_2}{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2} \quad \frac{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}{\langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2}$$

By lemma 6 on  $\mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $r_0 \leq r_1$ , hence  $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma_1 \stackrel{\leq r_0}{=} \sigma$ .

Since  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_1$ , we have  $\forall r' \in rset(rs_1). \sigma_2(r') = \sigma_1(r')$  (by  $\sigma_2 \stackrel{\leq r_1}{=} \sigma_1$ ). Therefore, there exists some  $\mathcal{V}'_1$  of  $\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1$ .

$$\text{Then we can construct: } \frac{\mathcal{V}'_1 \quad \mathcal{V}_2}{\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2} \quad \frac{\sigma_2 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma_2 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma_2 \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

By lemma 6 on  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $r_1 \leq r_2$ , hence  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_2$ . Therefore,  $rset(rs) = rset((rs_1, rs_2)) = (rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2)) \leq r_2$ .

Take  $\sigma' = \sigma_2$  and we are done.

- Case  $e = \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2$ .

$$\text{Must have: } \mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1 \quad \mathcal{T}_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1] \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau}$$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1 \quad \mathcal{E}_2}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow v_1 \quad \rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \downarrow v} \quad \rho \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \downarrow v$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 \quad \mathcal{C}_2}{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ rs_1 \quad \delta[x \mapsto rs_1] \vdash e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_1} \mathbf{let} \ p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ rs} \quad \delta \vdash \mathbf{let} \ x = e_1 \ \mathbf{in} \ e_2 \Rightarrow_{r_2}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p_1; p_2 \ \mathbf{in} \ rs$$

So  $p = p_1; p_2, r = r_2$ .

By IH on  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1, \mathcal{V}_1 = \sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1, \sigma_1 \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma$  and  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_1$ .

Since from  $\mathcal{V}_1$  we know  $v_1 : \tau_1$ , then  $\rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) : \Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)$  and  $\sigma_1 \vdash \rho[x \mapsto v_1](x) \triangleright_{\Gamma[x \mapsto \tau_1](x)} \delta[x \mapsto rs_1](x)$  must hold. Also, we already have  $rset(\delta[x \mapsto rs_1](x)) \leq r_1$ . Then by IH on  $\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{E}_2, \mathcal{C}_2$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}_2 = \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2, \mathcal{V}_2 = \sigma_2 \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs, \sigma_2 \xrightarrow{\leq r_1} \sigma_1$ , and  $rset(rs) \leq r_2$ .

$$\text{So we can construct } \frac{\mathcal{P}_1 \quad \mathcal{P}_2}{\langle p_1, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle p_2, \sigma_1 \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2} \quad \langle p_1; p_2, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_2$$

By lemma 6 on  $\mathcal{C}_1$ :  $r_0 \leq r_1$ , hence  $\sigma_2 \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma_1 \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma$ .

Take  $\sigma' = \sigma_2$  and we are done.

- Case  $e = n$ .

Must have  $\mathcal{T} = \overline{\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{Int}}, \mathcal{E} = \overline{\rho \vdash n \downarrow n}$ , and  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\delta \vdash n \Rightarrow_{r_0+1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n \ \mathbf{in} \ r_0}$ .

So  $p = \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n, rs = r_0, v = n, r = r_0$ , and  $\tau = \mathbf{Int}$ .

Then immediately we get  $\langle \mathbf{mov} \ r_0 \ n, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n], \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n] \vdash n \triangleright_{\mathbf{Int}} r_0, \sigma[r_0 \mapsto n] \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma(r)$ , and  $rset(r) = \{r_0\} \leq r_0 + 1$  as required.

- Case  $e = x$ .

Must have  $\mathcal{T} = \overline{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \ (\Gamma(x) = \tau), \mathcal{E} = \overline{\rho \vdash x \downarrow v} \ (\rho(x) = v)$ ,  
and  $\mathcal{C} = \overline{\delta \vdash x \Rightarrow_{r_0}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ \epsilon \ \mathbf{in} \ rs} \ (\delta(x) = rs)$ .

So  $p = \epsilon$ .

Immediately we get  $\langle \epsilon, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma, \sigma \vdash v \triangleright_{\tau} rs, \sigma \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma$  and  $rset(rs) \leq r_0$  (from assumption) as required.

- Case  $e = \mathbf{fst}(e_1)$ .

Must have:  $\mathcal{T} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) : \tau_1}$  for some  $\tau_2$ ,

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_1}{\rho \vdash e_1 \downarrow (v_1, v_2) \text{ for some } v_2,} \quad \rho \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \downarrow v_1$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\mathcal{C}_1}{\delta \vdash e_1 \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ (rs_1, rs_2) \text{ for some } rs_2,} \quad \delta \vdash \mathbf{fst}(e_1) \Rightarrow_{r_1}^{r_0} \mathbf{let} \ p \ \mathbf{in} \ rs_1$$

So  $\tau = \tau_1, v = v_1, rs = rs_1, r = r_1$ .

By IH on  $\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{C}_1$ , we get  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \downarrow \sigma_1, \mathcal{V}_1$  of  $\sigma \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)$ ,

$\sigma_1 \xrightarrow{\leq r_0} \sigma$ , and  $rset((rs_1, rs_2)) \leq r_1$ .

Since  $rset((rs_1, rs_2)) = rset(rs_1) \cup rset(rs_2)$ , therefore  $rset(rs_1) \leq r_1$  must hold.



$$\mathcal{V}_1 \text{ must have the shape: } \frac{\mathcal{V} \quad \sigma_1 \vdash v_1 \triangleright_{\tau_1} rs_1 \quad \sigma_1 \vdash v_2 \triangleright_{\tau_2} rs_2}{\sigma_1 \vdash (v_1, v_2) \triangleright_{(\tau_1, \tau_2)} (rs_1, rs_2)}$$

So now we have  $\mathcal{V}$ . Take  $\sigma' = \sigma_1$  and we are done.

- The case where  $e = \mathbf{snd}(e_1)$  is analogous to the case above.

□