

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE SUMMARY REPORT OF COMPONENTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

IMPERATIVES are those words and phrase that command that something much be provided.

'SHALL' normally dictates the provision of a functional capability.

'MUST' or 'MUST NOT' normally establish performance requirements or constraints.

'WILL' normally indicates that something will be provided from outside the capability being specified.

An explicit specification will have most of its counts high in the IMPERATIVE list (i.e. shall, must, required).

The counts of IMPERATIVE components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

IMPERATIVE	OCCURRENCE
-----	-----
ARE APPLICABLE	0
ARE TO	0
IS REQUIRED TO	0
MUST	0
RESPONSIBLE FOR	0
SHALL	31
SHOULD	0
WILL	0

TOTAL	31

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 3

CONTINUANCES are phrases such as 'the following:' that follow an imperative and precede the definition of lower level requirement specifications. The extent that CONTINUANCES are used is an indication that requirements have been organized and structured. These characteristics contribute to the tractability and maintenance of the subject requirement specification document. However, extensive use of continuances indicate multiple, complex requirements that may not be a dequately factored in to development resource and schedule estimates.

The counts of CONTINUANCE components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

CONTINUANCE	OCCURRENCE
-----	-----
:	0
AND	11
AS FOLLOWS:	0
BELOW:	0

FOLLOWING:	0	
IN PARTICULAR:	0	
LISTED:	0	
SUPPORT:	0	

TOTAL	11	

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 4

DIRECTIVES are words or phrases that indicate that the document contains examples or other illustrative information. DIRECTIVES point to information that makes the specified requirements more understandable. The implication is the higher the number of Total DIRECTIVES the more precisely the requirements are defined.

The counts of DIRECTIVE components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

DIRECTIVE	OCCURRENCE	
-----	-----	
E.G.	0	
FIGURE	0	
FOR EXAMPLE	0	
I.E.	0	
NOTE:	0	
TABLE	0	

TOTAL	0	

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 5

OPTIONS are those words that give the developer latitude in the implementation of the specification that contains them. This type of statement loosens the specification, reduces the acquirer's control over the final product, and establishes a basis for possible cost and schedule risks.

The counts of OPTION components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

OPTION	OCCURRENCE	
-----	-----	
CAN	0	
MAY	0	
OPTIONALLY	0	

TOTAL	0	

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 6

WEAK PHRASES are clauses that are apt to cause uncertainty and leave room for multiple interpretations. Use of phrases such as 'adequate' and 'as appropriate' indicate that what is required is either defined elsewhere or worst, the requirement is open to subjective interpretation. Phrases such as 'but not limited to' and 'as a minimum' provide the basis for expanding requirements that have been identified or adding future requirements. WEAK PHRASE total is indication of the extent that the specification is ambiguous and incomplete.

The counts of WEAK PHRASE components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

WEAK PHRASE	OCCURRENCE	
-----	-----	
ADEQUATE	0	
AS APPROPRIATE	0	
AS REQUIRED	0	
BE ABLE TO	0	
BE CAPABLE OF	0	
CAPABILITY OF	0	
CAPABILITY TO	0	
EASY TO	0	
EFFECTIVE	0	
NORMAL	1	
PROVIDE FOR	0	
TIMELY	0	

TOTAL	1	

e-SMART REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 7

INCOMPLETE is the category of words and phrases that indicate that the specification of requirements is not fully developed or provides a basis for expansion or addition of new requirements at a later date.

- 'TBD' indicates that necessary information has yet TO BE DETERMINED.
- 'TBS' indicates that a required event has yet TO BE SCHEDULED.
- 'TBE' indicates that a needed designation has yet TO BE ESTABLISHED or yet TO BE ESTIMATED.
- 'TBC' indicates that a needed value has yet TO BE COMPUTED.
- 'TBR' indicates that a question regarding a condition or value as yet TO BE RESOLVED.
- 'not defined' and 'not determined' are phrases that explicitly declare that a specification statement is incomplete.
- 'but not limited to' and 'as a minimum' are phrases that open the requirements specifications to future modifications or additions.

The counts of INCOMPLETES components found in the directory file FR-NFR.txt are shown in the Table below.

INCOMPLETES	OCCURRENCE	
-----	-----	
AS A MINIMUM	0	
BUT NOT LIMITED TO	0	
NOT DEFINED	0	
NOT DETERMINED	0	
TBC	0	
TBD	0	
TBE	0	
TBR	0	
TBS	0	

	TOTAL	0

NUMBERING STRUCTURE DEPTH provides a count of the numbered statements at each level of the source document. These counts provide an indication of the document's organization and consistency and level of detail. High level specifications will usually not have numbered statements below a structural depth of four. Detailed documents may have numbered statements down to a depth of nine. A document that is well organized and maintains a consistent level of detail will have a pyramidal shape (few numbered statements at level 1 and each lower level having more numbered statements than the level above it). Documents that have an hour-glass shape (many numbered statements at high levels, few at mid levels and many at lower levels) are usually those that contain a large amount of introductory and administrative information. Diamond shaped documents (a pyramid followed by decreasing statement counts at levels below the pyramid) indicate that subjects introduced at the higher levels are probably addressed at different levels of detail.

SPECIFICATION DEPTH is a count of the number of IMPERATIVE components at each level of the document. These numbers also include the count of lower level list items that are introduced at a higher level by an IMPERATIVE components. This structure has the same implications as the numbering structure. However, it is significant because it reflects the structure of the requirements as opposed to that of the document. Differences between the shape of the numbering and specification structure are an indication of the amount and location of background and/or introductory information that is included in the document.

The NUMBERING and SPECIFICATION STRUCTURAL counts for FR-NFR.txt are provided by the following tables:

NUMBERING STRUCTURE		SPECIFICATION STRUCTURE	
DEPTH	OCCURRENCE	DEPTH	OCCURRENCE
----	-----	----	-----
1	0	1	0
2	0	2	0
3	2	3	2
4	38	4	27
5	0	5	0
6	0	6	0
7	0	7	0
8	0	8	0
9	0	9	0
	-----		-----
Total	40	Total	29

TEXT STRINGS are the number of individual lines of text read by the e-SMART program from the source file.

UNIQUE SUBJECTS is the count of unique combinations and permutations of words immediately preceding imperatives in the source file. This count is an indication of the scope of subjects addressed by the specification.

Total text strings : 40 Unique Subjects: 16

The ratio of the total for SPECIFICATION STRUCTURE to total lines of source file is an indication of how concise the document is in specifying requirements.

Total for SPECIFICATION STRUCTURE: 29
Total text strings: 40

RATIO = 0.725

The ratio of unique subjects to the total for SPECIFICATION STRUCTURE is also an indicator of the specifications' detail.

Unique Subjects: 16
Total for SPECIFICATION STRUCTURE: 29

RATIO = 0.551724137931034

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF IMPERATIVE COMPONENTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART IMPERATIVE REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

shall # 1: In Line No. 3, ParNo. 3.1.1.1, at Depth 4

3.1.1.1 The User Profile Management system SHALL allow a new Patient to register by providing full name, date of birth, email, and password.

shall # 2: In Line No. 4, ParNo. 3.1.1.2, at Depth 4

3.1.1.2 The User Profile Management system SHALL allow a new Doctor to register by providing full name, medical license number, email, and password.

shall # 3: In Line No. 5, ParNo. 3.1.1.3, at Depth 4

3.1.1.3 The User Profile Management system SHALL enforce role-based access control (RBAC), differentiating patients and doctors.

shall # 4: In Line No. 6, ParNo. 3.1.1.4, at Depth 4

3.1.1.4 The User Profile Management system SHALL prevent duplicate registrations by checking email uniqueness.

shall # 5: In Line No. 7, ParNo. 3.1.1.5, at Depth 4

3.1.1.5 The User Profile Management system SHALL allow users to update their profile except for unique identifiers.

shall # 6: In Line No. 8, ParNo. 3.1.1.6, at Depth 4

3.1.1.6 The User Profile Management system SHALL secure credentials using salted password hashing and optional multi-factor authentication (MFA).

shall # 7: In Line No. 10, ParNo. 3.1.2.1, at Depth 4

3.1.2.1 Only registered Patients SHALL submit consultation requests.

shall # 8: In Line No. 11, ParNo. 3.1.2.2, at Depth 4

3.1.2.2 Each consultation request SHALL receive a unique Requestâ€™ID and timestamp upon submission.

shall # 9 and 10: In Line No. 12, ParNo. 3.1.2.3, at Depth 4

3.1.2.3 Patients SHALL view only their own requests; attempts to access othersâ€™ requests SHALL be denied.

shall # 11: In Line No. 13, ParNo. 3.1.2.4, at Depth 4

3.1.2.4 The system SHALL enforce input validation and sanitization for request data to prevent injection attacks.

shall # 12: In Line No. 14, ParNo. 3.1.2.5, at Depth 4

3.1.2.5 The system SHALL notify the assigned Doctor within 1 minute of request submission.

shall # 13: In Line No. 16, ParNo. 3.1.3.1, at Depth 4

3.1.3.1 Doctors SHALL see only unassigned Patient requests in their dashboard.

shall # 14: In Line No. 17, ParNo. 3.1.3.2, at Depth 4

3.1.3.2 Upon opening a request, the system SHALL assign it exclusively to that Doctor.

shall # 15: In Line No. 18, ParNo. 3.1.3.3, at Depth 4

3.1.3.3 Doctors SHALL update request status to Inâ€™Progress or Closed, following the sequence Open â€™ Inâ€™Progress â€™ Closed.

shall # 16: In Line No. 19, ParNo. 3.1.3.4, at Depth 4

3.1.3.4 The system SHALL log every status change with timestamp, Doctor ID, and notes.

shall # 17: In Line No. 21, ParNo. 3.1.4.1, at Depth 4

3.1.4.1 The system SHALL escalate requests remaining in Open state for over 24â€™hours by sending a reminder to a supervisor.

shall # 18: In Line No. 22, ParNo. 3.1.4.2, at Depth 4

3.1.4.2 Closed requests SHALL be archived and locked against further edits.

shall # 19: In Line No. 23, ParNo. 3.1.4.3, at Depth 4

3.1.4.3 The system SHALL provide filtering of requests by status (Open, Inâ€™Progress, Closed) in the Doctor dashboard.

shall # 20: In Line No. 26, ParNo. 3.2.1.1, at Depth 4

3.2.1.1 The web interface SHALL respond to user actions within 2â€™seconds under normal load (<â€™100 concurrent users).

shall # 21: In Line No. 27, ParNo. 3.2.1.2, at Depth 4

3.2.1.2 Notification delivery SHALL complete within 1â€™minute of trigger.

shall # 22: In Line No. 29, ParNo. 3.2.2.1, at Depth 4

3.2.2.1 All data at rest and in transit SHALL be encrypted using AESâ€™256 and TLS.

shall # 23 and 24: In Line No. 30, ParNo. 3.2.2.2, at Depth 4

3.2.2.2 The system SHALL comply with HIPAA and ISO/IECâ€™27001 standards.3.2.2.3 User sessions SHALL expire after 15â€™minutes of inactivity.

shall # 25: In Line No. 31, ParNo. 3.2.2.4, at Depth 4

3.2.2.4 Access to API endpoints SHALL be restricted by user role.

shall # 26: In Line No. 33, ParNo. 3.2.3.1, at Depth 4

3.2.3.1 The system SHALL achieve 99.5% uptime monthly, excluding scheduled maintenance.

shall # 27: In Line No. 34, ParNo. 3.2.3.2, at Depth 4

3.2.3.2 Automatic backups of the database SHALL occur every 6â€™hours.

shall # 28: In Line No. 36, ParNo. 3.2.4.1, at Depth 4

3.2.4.1 The architecture SHALL support horizontal scaling to add capacity for a 50% increase in users within 3â€™months.

shall # 29: In Line No. 37, ParNo. 3.2.4.2, at Depth 4

3.2.4.2 All code modules SHALL include unit tests covering â€™80% of statements.

shall # 30: In Line No. 39, ParNo. 3.3.1, at Depth 3

3.3.1 The system SHALL integrate with external EHR systems via HL7 messaging.

shall # 31: In Line No. 40, ParNo. 3.3.2, at Depth 3

3.3.2 Audit logs SHALL be retained for a minimum of 7â€™years.

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF CONTINUANCE COMPONENTS
FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN
THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt
3-22-2025

e-SMART CONTINUANCE REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

and # 1: In Line No. 3, ParNo. 3.1.1.1, at Depth 4
3.1.1.1 The User Profile Management system shall allow a new Patient to register by providing full name, date of birth, email, AND password.

and # 2: In Line No. 4, ParNo. 3.1.1.2, at Depth 4
3.1.1.2 The User Profile Management system shall allow a new Doctor to register by providing full name, medical license number, email, AND password.

and # 3: In Line No. 5, ParNo. 3.1.1.3, at Depth 4
3.1.1.3 The User Profile Management system shall enforce role-based access control (RBAC), differentiating patients AND doctors.

and # 4: In Line No. 8, ParNo. 3.1.1.6, at Depth 4
3.1.1.6 The User Profile Management system shall secure credentials using salted password hashing AND optional multi-factor authentication (MFA).

and # 5: In Line No. 11, ParNo. 3.1.2.2, at Depth 4
3.1.2.2 Each consultation request shall receive a unique Request ID AND timestamp upon submission.

and # 6: In Line No. 13, ParNo. 3.1.2.4, at Depth 4
3.1.2.4 The system shall enforce input validation AND sanitization for request data to prevent injection attacks.

and # 7: In Line No. 19, ParNo. 3.1.3.4, at Depth 4
3.1.3.4 The system shall log every status change with timestamp, Doctor ID, AND notes.

and # 8: In Line No. 22, ParNo. 3.1.4.2, at Depth 4
3.1.4.2 Closed requests shall be archived AND locked against further edits.

and # 9 and 10: In Line No. 29, ParNo. 3.2.2.1, at Depth 4
3.2.2.1 All data at rest AND in transit shall be encrypted using AES-256 AND TLS.

and # 11: In Line No. 30, ParNo. 3.2.2.2, at Depth 4
3.2.2.2 The system shall comply with HIPAA AND ISO/IEC 27001 standards. 3.2.2.3 User sessions shall expire after 15 minutes of inactivity.

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF DIRECTIVE COMPONENTS
FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN
THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt
3-22-2025

e-SMART DIRECTIVE REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF OPTION COMPONENTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART OPTION REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF WEAK PHRASE COMPONENTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART WEAK PHRASE REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

normal # 1: In Line No. 26, ParNo. 3.2.1.1, at Depth 4

3.2.1.1 The web interface shall respond to user actions within 2 seconds under NORMAL load (<100 concurrent users).

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF INCOMPLETE COMPONENTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART INCOMPLETE REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

PENN STATE
SOFTWARE ENGINEERING
RESEARCH GROUP

ENHANCEMENT OF SYSTEM MISSION ASSURANCE REQUIREMENT TOOL (e-SMART)

Reproduction of the e-SMART TOOL NASA STD 1.0 Version 1.0

By Nathan Carlson, May 2013

THE DETAIL REPORT OF SUBJECTS

FOR SPECIFICATIONS CONTAINED IN

THE FILE NAME FR-NFR.txt

3-22-2025

e-SMART SUBJECTS REPORT for FR-NFR.txt on 3-22-2025, at 18:53, Page - 2

Unique subject 1 : only registered patients
Unique subject 2 : audit logs
Unique subject 3 : the web interface
Unique subject 4 : patients
Unique subject 5 : the user profile management system
Unique subject 6 : the system
Unique subject 7 : each consultation request
Unique subject 8 : closed requests
Unique subject 9 : all data at rest and in transit
Unique subject 10 : the architecture
Unique subject 11 : access to api endpoints
Unique subject 12 : automatic backups of the database
Unique subject 13 : notification delivery
Unique subject 14 : upon opening a request, the system
Unique subject 15 : all code modules
Unique subject 16 : doctors