

## Author's Proof

Before checking your proof, please see the instructions below.

- Carefully read the entire proof and mark all corrections in the appropriate place, using the Adobe Reader commenting tools ([Adobe Help](#)).
- Provide your corrections in a single PDF file or post your comments in the Production Forum making sure to reference the relevant query/line number. Upload or post all your corrections directly in the Production Forum to avoid any comments being missed.
- We do not accept corrections in the form of edited manuscripts nor via email.
- Before you submit your corrections, please make sure that you have checked your proof carefully as once you approve it, you won't be able to make any further corrections.
- To ensure the timely publication of your article, please submit the corrections within 48 hours. After submitting, do not email or query asking for confirmation of receipt.

Do you need help? Visit our [Production Help Center](#) for more information. If you can't find an answer to your question, contact your Production team directly by posting in the Production Forum.

### Quick Check-List

- Author names** - Complete, accurate and consistent with your previous publications.
- Affiliations** - Complete and accurate. Follow this style when applicable: Department, Institute, University, City, Country.
- Tables** - Make sure our formatting style did not change the meaning/alignment of your Tables.
- Figures** - Make sure we are using the latest versions.
- Funding and Acknowledgments** - List all relevant funders and acknowledgments.
- Conflict of Interest** - Ensure any relevant conflicts are declared.
- Supplementary files** - Ensure the latest files are published and that no line numbers and tracked changes are visible.  
Also, the supplementary files should be cited in the article body text.
- Queries** - Reply to all typesetters queries below.
- Content** - Read all content carefully and ensure any necessary corrections are made.

## Author Queries Form

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response
<b>Q1</b>	The citation and surnames of all of the authors have been highlighted. Check that they are correct and consistent with the authors' previous publications, and correct if need be. Please note that this may affect the indexing of your article in repositories such as PubMed.	
<b>Q2</b>	Confirm whether the insertion of the article title is correct.	
<b>Q3</b>	Check that the amendments to the title are fine.	

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response
<b>Q4</b>	<p>Please ask the following authors to register with Frontiers (at <a href="https://www.frontiersin.org/Registration/Register.aspx">https://www.frontiersin.org/Registration/Register.aspx</a>) if they would like their names on the article abstract page and PDF to be linked to a Frontiers profile. Please ensure to provide us with the profile link(s) when submitting the proof corrections. Non-registered authors will have the default profile image displayed.</p> <p>"Patricio Plisoff."</p>	
<b>Q5</b>	<p>Confirm that all author affiliations are correctly listed. Note that affiliations are listed sequentially as per journal style and requests for non-sequential listing will not be applied. Note that affiliations should reflect those at the time during which the work was undertaken.</p>	
<b>Q6</b>	<p>Provide the department details for Affiliations [6,7].</p>	
<b>Q7</b>	<p>Confirm that the email address in your correspondence section is accurate.</p>	
<b>Q8</b>	<p>Confirm that the keywords are correct and keep them to a maximum of eight and a minimum of five. (Note: a keyword can be comprised of one or more words.) Note that we have used the keywords provided at Submission. If this is not the latest version, please let us know.</p>	
<b>Q9</b>	<p>If you decide to use previously published, <a href="#">copyrighted figures</a> in your article, please keep in mind that it is your responsibility, as the author, to obtain the appropriate permissions and licenses and to follow any citation instructions requested by third-party rights holders. If obtaining the reproduction rights involves the payment of a fee, these charges are to be paid by the authors.</p>	
<b>Q10</b>	<p>Check if the section headers (i.e., section leveling) were correctly captured.</p>	
<b>Q11</b>	<p>Confirm that the short running title is correct, making sure to keep it to a maximum of five words.</p>	
<b>Q12</b>	<p>Ensure that all the figures, tables and captions are correct, and that all figures are of the highest quality/resolution. Please note that Figures and Tables must be cited sequentially.</p>	
<b>Q13</b>	<p>Verify that all the equations and special characters are displayed correctly.</p>	
<b>Q14</b>	<p>Reference citation for the following references with match the entry in the reference list. Please check if this change is appropriate.</p> <p>"Blair et al., 1996" with "Blair, 1996"</p> <p>"Hosannah et al., 2014" with "Hosannah and Gonzalez, 2014"</p> <p>"Guerrero et al., 2018" with "Guerrero Valdebenito and Alarcon Rodriguez, 2018"</p> <p>"Abarca-Alvarez et al., 2018" with "Abarca-Álvarez et al., 2018"</p> <p>"Reyes-Lopez and Carpintero, 2014" with "Reyes-López and Carpintero, 2014"</p> <p>"Surjan et al., 2008" with "Surjan and Shaw, 2008"</p> <p>"Clarkson, 1996" with "Clarkson et al., 1996"</p>	

Query No.	Details Required	Author's Response
<b>Q15</b>	<p>Cite the following references inside the text.</p> <p>“Alberti, 2005; Burke et al., 2001; Capaldo et al., 2000; Childers et al., 2015; Costanza et al., 1990; Cui and Yuan, 2009; Dodman, 2009; Grisafi et al., 2016; Holt et al., 2015; Jacobs, 2011; Johansson and Emmanuel, 2006; McDonald et al., 2019; Musacchio, 2009; Oliveira et al., 2019; Pinho and Orgaz, 2000; Purvis et al., 2015; Ramalho and Hobbs, 2012; Schwartz et al., 2013; Videla and Herrera, 2017; Wickham et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016; Winzer et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2017.”</p>	
<b>Q16</b>	<p>Confirm that the Data Availability statement is accurate. Note that we have used the statement provided at Submission. If this is not the latest version, please let us know.</p>	
<b>Q17</b>	<p>Confirm that the details in the “Author Contributions” section are correct.</p>	
<b>Q18</b>	<p>Ensure to add all grant numbers and funding information, as after publication this will no longer be possible. All funders should be credited and all grant numbers should be correctly included in this section.</p>	
<b>Q19</b>	<p>Provide the complete details for the following references.</p> <p>“James et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2018; Barragan and Andres, 2015; Gasper et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2016.”</p>	
<b>Q20</b>	<p>Provide the page range and doi for “Jacobs, 2011.”</p>	
<b>Q21</b>	<p>Provide the page range and name of the editor for “Morris et al., 2019.”</p>	
<b>Q22</b>	<p>Provide the page range and volume number for “Pinheiro and Hokugo, 2019.”</p>	
<b>Q23</b>	<p>Provide the volume number and doi for “Su et al., 2019.”</p>	
<b>Q24</b>	<p>Provide the volume number for “Villagra et al., 2016.”</p>	
<b>Q25</b>	<p>Provide the city name for the following references.</p> <p>“Arif, 2017; Conticelli and Tondelli, 2018; Cui and Yuan, 2009; Giovene di Girasole, 2014; Juchimiuk and Januszkiwicz, 2019; Peng et al., 2011; Pizarro, 2008; United Nations, 2014; United Nations, 2017; United Nations, 2018; Wang, 2010; Watson and Adams, 2010; Wickham, 2017b; Wickham et al., 2015; Williams et al., 2016.”</p>	
<b>Q26</b>	<p>Provide the city name and publisher name for the following references.</p> <p>“Auguie, 2016; Daily, 2003; Henry and Wickham, 2017; Robinson, 2017; Wickham and Wickham, 2017; Zhang et al., 2014.”</p>	
<b>Q27</b>	<p>Provide the name of the editors for the following references.</p> <p>“Marzluff, 2001; McDonnell et al., 1993; Race et al., 2010; Sukopp, 1998; Wong, 2011.”</p>	
<b>Q28</b>	<p>Provide the doi for the following references.</p> <p>“Sainz-Borgo et al., 2016; Watson, 2015.”</p>	

<b>Query No.</b>	<b>Details Required</b>	<b>Author's Response</b>
<b>Q29</b>	Provide the city name and name of the editor for “Videla and Herrera, 2017.”	
<b>Q30</b>	Confirm if the text included in the Conflict of Interest statement is correct.	
<b>Q31</b>	The image used in Figure 3 does not have any part labels; however, “Figures 3A–D” are cited in the article. Could you clarify this? Provide revised files if necessary.	
<b>Q32</b>	Confirm whether the formatting of the “Table 1” is fine.	
<b>Q33</b>	We have used [Juan L. Celis-Diez, Nelson A. Lagos] instead of [Juan L Celis, Nelson Alejandro Lagos]. Please confirm that it is correct.	
<b>Q34</b>	Provide the Systematic Review Registration details, if applicable.	



# A Review on Coastal Urban Ecology: Research Gaps, Challenges, and Needs

Giorgia Graells<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Nao Nakamura<sup>4</sup>, Juan L. Celis-Diez<sup>5</sup>, Nelson A. Lagos<sup>3,6</sup>, Pablo A. Marquet<sup>1,7</sup>, Patricio Pliscott<sup>1</sup> and Stefan Gelcich<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile,

<sup>2</sup> Center of Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES), Santiago, Chile, <sup>3</sup> Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies, James Cook University, Townsville, QLD, Australia, <sup>4</sup> Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Escuela de Agronomía, Quillota, Chile, <sup>5</sup> Centro de Investigación e Innovación para el Cambio Climático, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Santo Tomás, Santiago, Chile, <sup>6</sup> Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), Santiago, Chile, <sup>7</sup> Instituto Milenio en Socio-Ecología Costera (SECOS), Santiago, Chile

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited by:

Iris Eline Hendriks,  
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones  
Científicas (CSIC), Spain

### Reviewed by:

Robert Francis,  
King's College London,  
United Kingdom  
Joshua Cousins,  
SUNY College of Environmental  
Science and Forestry, United States

### \*Correspondence:

Giorgia Graell  
gygraell@uc.cl

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Global Change and the Future Ocean,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Marine Science

Received: 15 October 2020

Accepted: 23 April 2021

Published: xx April 2021

### Citation:

Graells G, Nakamura N, Celis-Diez JL, Lagos NA, Marquet PA, Pliscott P and Gelcich S (2021) A Review on Coastal Urban Ecology: Research Gaps, Challenges, and Needs. *Front. Mar. Sci.* 8:617897.  
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2021.617897

Coastal urban areas have dramatically increased during the last decades, however, coastal research integrating the impacts and challenges facing urban areas is still scarce. To examine research advances and critical gaps, a review of the literature on coastal urban ecology was performed. Articles were selected following a structured decision tree and data were classified into study disciplines, approaches, type of analysis, main research objectives, and Pickett's paradigms *in-*, *of-*, and *for- the city*, among other categories. From a total of 237 publications, results show that most of the research comes from the USA, China, and Australia, and has been carried out mostly in large cities with populations between 1 and 5 million people. Focus has been placed on ecological studies, spatial and quantitative analysis and pollution in coastal urban areas. Most of the studies on urban ecology in coastal zones were developed at nearshore terrestrial environments and only 22.36% included the marine ecosystem. Urban ecological studies in coastal areas have mainly been carried out under the paradigm *in the city* with a focus on the disciplines of biology and ecology. Results suggest a series of disciplinary, geographical, and approach biases which can present a number of risks. Foremost among these is a lack of knowledge on social dimensions which can impact on sustainability. A key risk relates to the fact that lessons and recommendations of research are mainly from developed countries and large cities which might have different institutional, planning and cultural settings compared to developing and mid-income countries. Scientific research on coastal urban areas needs to diversify toward an ecology *of and for the cities*, in order to support coastal development in a diversity of countries and settings.

**Keywords:** coastal urban ecology, marine urbanization, coastal cities, urban ecology paradigms, coastal urbanization

## INTRODUCTION

The world's population is increasing annually. In 2018, 55% of the human population lived in urban areas and cities have been constantly growing in number and size, forming large cities. The so-called megacities have reached over 10 million inhabitants (according to the United Nations, 2018, presenting 33 settlements). The high levels of urbanization during the last decades have triggered

increasing research and policy interest on the impacts and sustainability of these human-dominated ecosystems (Grimm et al., 2000; Griggs et al., 2013). Initial research hypothesized urban areas were not able to sustain wildlife and complex ecological processes. However, this began changing in the first part of the '70s when urban ecology began studying species distributions in cities and its drivers (Noyes and Progulske, 1974; Dorney et al., 1984; Sukopp, 1998; Grimm et al., 2008). Since then, urban ecology research topics have evolved to include ecological and social science approaches (Grimm et al., 2000) and currently, urban ecosystems are recognized as a complex coupling of ecological processes and human dynamics, as defined by Alberti (2008) and Pickett et al. (2008). Research on urban ecology is diverse and includes studies on biodiversity patterns [e.g., urban biodiversity in Faeth et al. (2011); biotic homogenization in McKinney, 2006], species distributions (e.g., birds in Marzluff, 2001), ecosystem functions (Groffman et al., 2004; Rosenzweig et al., 2018), development processes (e.g., Antrop, 2004), drivers of change (e.g., Grimm et al., 2008), ecosystem services (Bolund and Hunhammar, 1999; Daily, 2003), human well-being (Pacione, 2003; Van Kamp et al., 2003; Dallimer et al., 2012), social-ecological systems (Barthel et al., 2010; Grimm et al., 2013), and sustainability (Wu, 2008, 2014).

Pickett et al. (2016) introduced three phases in the way urban ecology has evolved. They provide a typology of paradigms for urban ecology, which are termed: *in*, *of*, and *for the city*. Each one of these paradigms exposes historical differences according to changes in urban ecology research, and resulted by the comparison of three variables: chronology, model approach, and complexity. Studies under the paradigm *in the city* fall mainly into using ecological approaches, studies *of the city* are mainly based on social-ecological interactions, and studies *for the city* represent research about environmental policies and planning. The urban ecology paradigms also represent increasing level of complexity of the system studied, where research which subscribe to the *of the city* paradigm include interdisciplinary research; the urban ecology *for the city* is more intricate and includes *in* and *of the city* studies, engaging scientific knowledge in practice for action (Pickett et al., 2016).

Most of the theoretical and empirical developments in urban ecology have used green areas (e.g., Chiesura, 2004; Tzoulas et al., 2007; Wolch et al., 2014), freshwater streams (e.g., Allan et al., 1997; Paul and Meyer, 2001; Walsh et al., 2005), and organisms such as birds (e.g., Blaauw, 1996; Chace and Walsh, 2006) or plants (e.g., Ulrich, 1984; Donovan and Prestemon, 2012; Donovan et al., 2013) as their preferred research subjects. Coastal settings and species have not received the attention they deserve, and only 5% of urban ecology research in Web of Science is focused in coastal or marine ecosystems. This is unfortunate because coastal cities present a variety of environments, including the land-marine ecotone interaction, and they are an important place for people to settle (Weinstein, 2009). According to the (United Nations, 2017), 40% of the world's population live <100 Km from the sea, and these cities have increased their population 6.6 times between 1945 and 2012 (Barragán and de Andrés, 2015). These factors and specific features such as interactions with watersheds in estuaries, the establishment of structures in

ports (Cadenasso et al., 2006), and the social importance of access to the waterfront (Sairinen and Kumpulainen, 2006) reflect a particular vulnerability for coastal urban areas. During recent decades, studies on risks have increased due to predicted changes in winds, waves or sea-level rise due to climate change (Torresan et al., 2008; Kumar et al., 2010; Benveniste et al., 2019). Despite recent interest on vulnerabilities, research has mainly focused on geomorphological contexts (Arns et al., 2017; Vitousek et al., 2017; Luijendijk et al., 2018; Benveniste et al., 2019).


This article reviews scientific publications of coastal urban ecology with the aim of examining spatial and temporal changes in time and evaluating the evolution of urban ecology in these vulnerable areas through identifying the interconnection in existing literature given by the urban ecology paradigms (Pickett et al., 2016). Here, studies are classified according to theoretical and empirical dimensions of urban ecology. Biases in the literature are highlighted as a way to call attention to the needs for developing coastal urban ecology studies that can inform ongoing urbanization trends, especially in developing and mid-income countries.

## METHODS

A review of the literature was performed through the Web of Science database (<https://webofknowledge.com/>). Eligibility criteria included any publication following keywords in the topic, using Boolean operators to combine concepts and keywords: ("urban ecology" or "urban environment\*") and (coast\* or marine). The period of the search included from 1975 until December 2019. We based our search on systematic mapping in order to collate, describe and catalog available evidence relating to the topic, allowing to address open-frame or closed-frame questions (James et al., 2014). Selection of articles was made with a decision tree (Figure 1), where the urban center, marine studies, and biodiversity approach had to be checked for any articles to be included. Fulfilling the requirement for inclusion, publications were classified in ecology *in the city*, ecology *of the city* or ecology *for the city* following the paradigms established by Pickett et al. (2016). Studies were counted just once for each paradigm. Gray-literature was not incorporated in the selection.

Each article collected was categorized by publication year, author's name, type of publication, author's affiliation country, study country, and study city. After examining each paper they were categorized according to disciplinary focus, study approach, type of analysis, main research object, study model, and coastal environment. A list of categories, their definitions and example references can be found in Table 1. Articles were classified by two of the authors independently. Results were then compared and discrepancies resolved with the participation of a third author. For each category, articles were counted just once.

City's population data were obtained from the United Nations (2019) compendium. Urban centers classification was modified from the United Nations (2014) and Barragán and de Andrés (2015). This classification includes: (1) Non-urban areas, which have <100,000 inhabitants, (2) small cities, between 100,000 and 500,000 inhabitants, (3) medium cities, between 500,000 and 1



**FIGURE 1 |** Decision tree of articles selected based on a PRISMA flow diagram. Description of the selection process for articles in coastal urban ecology review. After four passes for selection filters, the remaining 237 studies were classified in three categories: ecological paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for the cities*.

343 million, (4) large cities, between 1 and 5 million, (5) very large  
 344 cities, between 5 and 10 million, and (6) megacities, with more  
 345 than 10 million.

346 Characterization of articles according to urban ecology  
 347 paradigms included the number of studies found for each  
 348 paradigm, countries, year of publications, disciplinary focus,  
 349 research approach, type of analysis, and main research objective.  
 350 To examine the interaction among articles' paradigms, we  
 351 analyzed the co-citations to other articles in our data  
 352 base using the Web of Science database, and carried out  
 353 a descriptive analysis of the network. We did not used  
 354 topological measurements of the network, but rather describe  
 355 its directionality. This analysis was developed with package  
 356 bibliometrix (Aria and Cuccurullo, 2017), which allowed  
 357 modifications in the code to create a new relationship between  
 358 articles and their co-citations. The analysis included extracting  
 359 every reference from each article that was selected in this review  
 360 and the selection of cited articles that were already part of  
 361 the article selection. Consequently, there was a tagging for each  
 362 article cited with corresponding paradigm classification and these  
 363 were plotted to unveil the relationship among paradigms used.

364 Classification, data analysis, and figures were prepared  
 365 in R Core Team (2020) using RStudio Team (2019). For  
 366 data analysis, packages *tidyverse* (Wickham and Wickham,  
 367 2017), *gridExtra* (Wickham and Wickham, 2017), *plotr* (Haley  
 368 and Wickham, 2017), *brookm* (Robinson, 2017), and *stringr*  
 369 (Wickham, 2017) were used. Graphs and maps were plotted with  
 370 *ggplot2* (Wickham, 2009) and *gridextra* (Auguie, 2016).

## 372 RESULTS

### 374 Coastal Urban Ecology Tendencies

375 Coastal urban ecology studies that met selection criteria included  
 376 a total of 237 articles (Figure 1) from 51 countries, involving  
 377 137 different coastal cities. Most of the research was carried  
 378 out in three countries: USA presenting 38 articles published,  
 379 which included 20 different cities, China with 20 articles from  
 380 10 different cities, and Australia also with 20 articles, including  
 381 10 different cities (Figure 2). The timeline of publications shows  
 382 that urban ecology in coasts appeared for the first time with  
 383 Barcelona in 1979, however, it was not until 1995 that another  
 384 study related to the field was published with Punda-Polić et al.  
 385 (1995). Between 1995 and 2005, the number of publications was  
 386 below five articles per year (Figure 3). After 2005 more articles  
 387 can be found, particularly in years 2016, 2018, and 2019, which  
 388 showed more than 20 publications per year. According to the type  
 389 of publication found at the Web of Science database, publications  
 390 are mostly journal articles with 84.97% of the total, proceedings  
 391 papers represented 9.7% (e.g., Kulkova et al., 2011; Giovene di  
 392 Girasole, 2014; Fu et al., 2018), indexed book chapters 2.11%  
 393 (e.g., Race et al., 2010; Wong, 2011; Juchimiuk and Januszkiewicz,  
 394 2019), and reviews 2.11% (e.g., Garden et al., 2006; Cohen et al.,  
 395 2013; Branoff, 2017).


396 General findings and tendencies since 1995 are shown in  
 397 Figure 3. The main disciplinary focus of research has consistently  
 398 come from ecology with an average representation of 48.79% of  
 399 studies for the whole study period. Among ecological studies,

400 those where coastal urbanization have modified ecological  
 401 patterns stand out. For example Way et al. (2004), tracked  
 402 movement and activity patterns of coyotes in northeastern  
 403 North America, demonstrating that these mammals used more  
 404 urbanized areas than natural ones. Other studies have assessed  
 405 diets and feeding habitats of coastal birds to assess the interplay  
 406 between natural and anthropogenic factors in determining  
 407 diversity patterns (Washburn et al., 2013). Social-ecological  
 408 studies were the second most common disciplinary focus with  
 409 24.47% (an average 2.2 publications per year between 2005 and  
 410 2009, 4.4 between 2010 and 2014, and 3.8 between 2015 and 2019;  
 411 Figure 3A). Social-ecological studies include those which focus  
 412 on biodiversity and natural environment perceptions and human  
 413 well-being. For instance, White et al. (2013) assessed human  
 414 emotions toward different coastal environments and Burger  
 415 et al. (2017) assessed human preferences toward protection  
 416 and restoration. Environmental policy and planning studies  
 417 have focused on developing guidelines for planning in order  
 418 to contribute to the sustainability of the urban environment.  
 419 For instance Alcoforado et al. (2009) identified climatic needs  
 420 in a coastal city and discussed problems that arise when  
 421 applying climatic knowledge to urban planning. Disciplinary  
 422 focus of research showed high number of publications on  
 423 traditional ecology, centered on how biodiversity patterns  
 424 are affected by cities. However, despite noticeable exceptions,  
 425 focus on human dimensions, such as studies which deal with  
 426 perceptions and well-being associated to urban development and  
 427 environmental footprint have received less attention. In addition  
 428 multidisciplinary research on defining and designing solutions,  
 429 associated to various forms of participatory approaches in order  
 430 to move toward urban sustainability, are still scarce, showing a  
 431 gap in knowledge.

432 Coastal ecology research has mainly considered spatial  
 433 approaches searching for patterns based on differences in urban  
 434 morphology. These spatial patterns include land cover and land  
 435 use. For instance, research based on beach width and coastal  
 436 slope that determine the most critical physical parameters to  
 437 create coastal vulnerability maps (Kantamaneni et al., 2019).  
 438 Study approaches have slowly included temporal dimensions  
 439 (Figure 3B). Spatio-temporal studies included articles such  
 440 as Grossmann (2008) who discusses the consequences of  
 441 current global technological, organizational and economic  
 442 developments for a port. Temporal studies included Priestley  
 443 et al. (2018) who assessed inorganic and organic compounds  
 444 and their relation to photolysis and Martin et al. (2007)  
 445 who studied management approaches for a coastal urban  
 446 pest (White Ibis) along the east coast of Australia. Studies  
 447 which use experimental approaches through time represent  
 448 <1% of the articles. They included articles such as the study  
 449 of Leclerc and Viard (2018), who studied how swimming  
 450 predators influenced the early development of fauna associated  
 451 with floating pontoons in marinas. A significant higher  
 452 number of publications considering spatial instead of temporal  
 453 approaches could generate a static representation of what  
 454 happens in coastal cities. This is particularly relevant in the  
 455 face of climate change scenarios, where temporal variability  
 456 becomes important.

**Q12** 457 **TABLE 1 |** Classification of articles in coastal urban ecology.


<b>Q32</b> 458 <b>Category</b>	<b>Classification</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Examples</b>	
460 Disciplinary focus	Ecological	Study of relationships and interaction between organisms and their coastal urban environment.	Tait et al., 2005; Smith and Munro, 2010	514 515 516 517 518 519 520 521 522 523 524 525 526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 <b>Q14</b>
461	Social	Study of social behavior, including its origin, evolution and organization within a coastal urban environment.	Abarca-Álvarez et al., 2018	
462	Social-ecological	Study of interaction between humans and their coastal urban environment, using multidisciplinary approaches including anthropology, geography, sociology and ecology.	White et al., 2013; Burger et al., 2017	
463	Environmental policy	Study of the environment with a focus in organization, law, regulations or policy solutions.	Alcoforado et al., 2009; Vye and Rousseaux, 2010	
464	Social policy	Provides practical guidelines and principles to improve human welfare.	Jonkman et al., 2013; Guerrero Valdebenito and Alarcon Rodriguez, 2018	
465	Study approach	Spatial	Garden et al., 2006; Yamazaki et al., 2007	
466	Spatiotemporal	Focus on landscape, land cover, or urban geomorphology changes.	Grossmann, 2008; Li et al., 2011	
467	Temporal	Landscape, land cover, or urban geomorphology changes, including some changes over time on small scale.	Semadeni-Davies et al., 2008; Yu et al., 2019	
468	Temporal experiment	Focus in changes over time.	Chabas et al., 2015; Leclerc and Viard, 2018	
469	Experimental	Focus in changes over time in a controlled environments and simulations.	Zhen et al., 2007; Charalambous et al., 2012	
470	Type of analysis	Qualitative analysis	Gardner, 2003; Arif, 2017	
471	Quantitative analysis	Collection and evaluation of measurable data of either social or environmental aspects.	Galimany et al., 2013; Branoff, 2017	
472	Main research object	Modeling studies	Sahal et al., 2013; Santos and Freire, 2015	
473	City design	Mostly computational simulations.	Alcoforado et al., 2009; Kantamaneni et al., 2019	
474	Demographic change	Mainly urban planning.	Race et al., 2010; Abarca-Álvarez et al., 2018	
475	Habitat use	Variation in the population in terms of size, average age, life expectancy, family structures, or birth rates, among others.	Lim and Sodhi, 2004; Reyes López and Carpintero, 2014	
476	Human adaptation	Variation in the distribution of species within cities.	Weinstein, 2009; Chen et al., 2015	
477	Natural disaster	People's reaction to urban changes and creation of new spaces	Yin et al., 2016; Su et al., 2019	
478	Pollution and human impacts	City's risks or damage associated to floods, hurricanes, storms, tsunamis, or another geophysical process.	Ip et al., 2007; Arruti et al., 2011	
479	Shoreline changes	Effects of city growth and/or increase in urbanization as a measurement of contamination.	Wu, 2007; Alberico et al., 2018	
480	Study model	New infrastructure in the shoreline, waterfronts and other constructions.	Pollutants: Pallarés et al., 2019 Remote sensing: Peng et al., 2017	
481	Physical	Physical space comprises research with aerosol, geomorphological elements, land structures, meteorological elements, pollutants, remote sensing data, risk models, seawater, surface deterioration, and water resources.		
482	Social-ecological-technological.	Includes marine and green structures, eco-cities, and sustainable cities.	Marine structures: Gümüşay et al., 2016	
483	Social	Social space comprises bioclimatic comfort, demographic, human activities and cultural heritage, perceptions, public health, and sustainable development.	Eco-cities: Surjan and Shaw, 2009 Human activities and cultural heritage: Cleland et al., 2015	
484	Biological-species	Biological in terms of studied organisms or their parts, including algae, antibiotics, bacteria, birds, fishes, invertebrates, lichens, mammals, and plants.	Perceptions: Nunkoo and Ramkisson, 2010 Birds: Belant, 1997 Fishes: Naidoo et al., 2016	
485	Biological-ecosystems	Biological in terms of studied ecosystems, including studies in diversity, ecological processes and patterns, ecosystems, and environmental management.	Environmental management: Tu and Shi, 2006 Ecosystems: Branoff, 2017	
486	Study habitat	Near-shore terrestrial	Watson, 2015; Whisson et al., 2015	
487		Intertidal	Jonkman et al., 2013; Kuwae et al., 2016	
488		Near-shore coastal benthic	Eddy and Roman, 2016; Bolton et al., 2017	
489		Coastal pelagic	Zhen et al., 2007; Wang, 2010	
490		Coastal atmosphere	Clarkson et al., 1996; Dominick et al., 2018	
491			<b>Q14</b>	



Quantitative studies have dominated the literature during the past 20 years and have focused on ecological approaches. For example Tzortzakaki et al. (2018) studied the effect of the different land-cover types on bird species richness and abundance and concluded that open green spaces are the most significant factor favoring bird diversity in a coastal city in Greece. Qualitative studies represent 20% of the articles. These mainly use a social-ecological approach. Studies use qualitative interviews to assess urban coastal environmental constructs and preferences (Cleland et al., 2015). Other qualitative studies have been used to design green infrastructure in urban cities (Chen et al., 2015). Policy studies such as Guerrero Valdebenito and Alarcon Rodriguez (2018) used qualitative approaches to assess tensions and threats to traditional small-scale artisanal fishers coexisting with larger urbanized systems such as mega infrastructures, ports and cities. Modeling studies which include simulation of urban conditions, have begun to emerge in the past 6 years (Figure 3) to address a wide variety of issues. For example, Stathopoulou and Cartalis (2007) modeled the thermal urban environment and urban heat island phenomenon in major urban areas in Greece. Su et al. (2019) used modeling to determine realistic flooding scenarios. Other modeling studies have contributed to assess social-ecological dimensions. Sahal et al. (2013) used macro-simulators and micro-simulators with multi-agent-based modeling to select shelter points and choose evacuation routes for future tsunamis. Song et al. (2016) modeled urban environmental benefits, such as green infrastructure, industrial upgrade, and environmental management, in China,

testing social-economic and demographic variables. The use of modeling to inform environmental policies has been approached mainly through mapping and risk assessments. For instance, Storch and Downes (2011) quantified and mapped current and future city-wide flood risks, combining climate change scenarios with urban land use scenarios. A key finding is that qualitative research approaches have been underrepresented. This has important consequences especially when assessing how the general public engage with urbanization and its impacts in coastal zones. Accordingly, there are important gaps of knowledge in this area.

When looking at the main research objectives it is interesting to note that the study of pollution and human impacts have dominated the literature (Figure 3). These articles mainly focus on the effects of stressors over coastal urban ecosystems and cities. For instance, Jartun and Pettersen (2010) collected sediments from urban stormwater runoff and analyzed various contaminants, showing that several active pollution sources are supplying the runoff systems. Studies that focus on habitat use in urban areas are also common. For example, studies have focused on differences in communities between fixed and floating structures (Holloway and Connell, 2002) or on abundance and survival rates of charismatic species in highly industrialized bays (Eguchi et al., 2010). Studies which focus on different aspects of city design are less frequent, but they have been increasing in the last 10 years. Some of these studies have developed climatic guidelines for planning and identifying climatic needs in coastal cities (e.g Alcoforado et al., 2009),




**FIGURE 3 |** Temporal distribution of categories. Articles in coastal urban ecology were categorized according to disciplinary focus, research approach, type of analysis, and main research objectives.

others have applied multi-criteria decision analysis to explore local stakeholders' perceptions in terms of priority actions for waterfront development (Papatheochari and Coccossis, 2019).

According to study models used (Figure 4), a significant number of publications focused on physical aspects (48.10%) such as pollutants and risk toward natural hazards (Buggy and Tobin, 2008; Dominick et al., 2018). The second most frequent

study model was biological, centered on specific species (21.94%). In this group, birds were the most studied (e.g., Kalinowski and Johnson, 2010, studying a suburban bird community; Sainz-Borgo et al., 2016, studying the house sparrow; Blight et al., 2019, studying an urban-nesting gull population). Invertebrates were the second most studied group including marine (Galimany et al., 2013; Eddy and Roman, 2016); and terrestrial species (Bizzo et al.,



**FIGURE 4 |** Distribution of articles, according to study models of research. Coastal urban ecology models were grouped by Physical, Social-Ecological-Technological, Social, Biological-Ecosystem, and Biological-species.


2010; Reyes-López and Carpintero, 2014). While other marine species such as fishes received less attention, some noticeable examples include the study by Bolton et al. (2017) of fish communities. Studies centered on specific ecosystems (Ehrenfeld, 2000; Branoff, 2017) or social-eco-technological systems showed <10 articles published. Foremost among these are those on eco-cities (e.g., Wong, 2011). This evidence indicates that study models have been frequently replicated. The dominance of certain study models has allowed progress in the field on certain issues. But at the same time, there are some fields that have not progressed at all such as those that include organisms like algae or marine microorganism.

Most of the articles published in coastal urban ecology have been developed in large cities of 1 to 5 million inhabitants (41%), while other city categories do not exceed 18%. More than 55% of articles were carried out in cities with more than 1 million people, including very large cities such as Los Angeles in USA (Barcelona, 1979), Osaka in Japan (Yamazaki et al., 2007), Tianjin in China (Peng et al., 2011), Bangkok in Thailand (Burnett et al., 2007), and megacities with more than 10 million people such as Shanghai in China (Li et al., 2018), Tokyo in Japan (Krishnan et al., 2019), New York in USA (Washburn et al., 2013), Buenos Aires in Argentina (Cardo et al., 2014). Coastal areas with <100,000 inhabitants presented only 10% of articles. These are dominated by articles from the USA (e.g., Kalinowski and Johnson, 2010; Wolsko and Marino, 2016). There is a clear focus on large cities and important knowledge gaps remain in studying urbanization processes and differences associated to growth of smaller cities and the social-ecological complexities they confront.

Research in coastal urban ecology has focused mostly in near-shore terrestrial environments, presenting more than 68% of articles. These have focused on urban environments (e.g., Parzych et al., 2016), anthropogenic constructions (Günel, 2018), green

areas (Callaghan et al., 2018), and urban watersheads (Pinheiro and Hokugo, 2019). Intertidal areas presented 17.30% of the publications. Some of these focused on coastal defenses (e.g., Jonkman et al., 2013), estuarine and shallow coastal systems (Kuwae et al., 2016), estuarine mullet in an urban harbor (Naidoo et al., 2016) and predation on a threatened coastal seabird (Greenwell et al., 2019). Near-shore coastal benthic habitats accounted for 3.38% and included studies such as those which assess community structure (e.g., Holloway and Connell, 2002; Eddy and Roman, 2016), impacts of light on communities (Bolton et al., 2017) and spatial distribution patterns [e.g., Heery et al., 2018 for the giant Pacific octopus (*Enteroctopus dofleini*)]. Studies which focus on pelagic environments near the coast account for only 1.69%. These relate mostly to sea water studies such as Zhen et al. (2007) and ocean thermal energy (Wang, 2010). Coastal atmosphere showed 8.86% of total articles published (e.g., aerosol: Castro et al., 1999; PM10 pollution episodes: Vicente et al., 2012; atmospheric deposition: Shanquan et al., 2016; and chemical composition of fine-aerosol fraction: Theodosi et al., 2018).

Current research evidence suggests studies in coastal urban systems deal greatly with pollutants and ecological implications, with emerging interests in planning and social interactions and responses. The ways in which these areas interact in time and space and across different city sizes and configurations could provide to be particularly novel research endeavors. Important issues such as the environmental footprint and social-ecological tradeoffs of coastal configurations of few large or a series of smaller cities settled along the coast are some key areas which need further development (Weinstein, 2009; Kaniewski et al., 2013; Barragan and Andres, 2015). Ultimately, coastal urban ecology still has important research opportunities in addressing the interactive effects of urban



**FIGURE 5 |** Contribution of countries by paradigms. Coastal urban ecology studies ascribed to Pickett's paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for the city* (presented in blue colors from light to dark); Countries that not present coastal urban ecological articles are show in gray.


drivers, social-ecological responses and how planning processes accommodate these complex system dynamics.

## Coastal Urban Ecology *in, of, and for the City*

Paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for the city* have been addressed globally (**Figure 5**). The focus *in the city* is represented in more than 60% of articles, including 37 countries. The US showed the highest number of articles with 29 publications (e.g., Way et al., 2004; Eddy and Roman, 2016; Maguire and Fulweiler, 2019). The focus *of the city* is shown at a lower percentage than the previous paradigm, with 20.25% of publications and performed

in 21 countries. The US also dominated this paradigm with 9 articles (e.g., Gaspe et al., 2011; Douglas et al., 2012; Burger et al., 2017). Research addressing the *for the city* paradigm represented 19.41% of total articles and came from 25 different countries. China presents six articles (e.g., Li et al., 2011, 2017; Peng et al., 2011), which is the highest number of papers in a country which addresses this paradigm. This result indicates that coastal urban ecology is dominated by research with a focus *in the city* and only few countries have attended to develop the three paradigms.

Paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for the cities* have shown differences, not only in the total number of articles published (143, 48, and 46, respectively) but also in their first year of publishing and



**FIGURE 6 |** Paradigms' temporal changes. Number of articles published considering paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for* the cities. Trend lines represent quadratic regression fit (*in* the city  $R^2 = 0.656$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , *of* the city  $R^2 = 0.382$ ,  $p < 0.05$ , *for* the city  $R^2 = 0.460$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ); color areas represent the 95% confidence interval.

subsequent tendencies (Figure 6). In this way, it is not until 2004 that the paradigm *for the city* appeared in coastal urban ecology studies (Patz et al., 2004). Before that, the paradigm *in the city* (since the beginning with Barcelona, 1979) dominated this research area, with some occurrence of the paradigm *of the city* since 1997 (Belant, 1997). The three paradigms show to be increasing in the number of publications during the last decade, although the paradigm *in the city* is doing it faster than the others. This could result in an even larger gap between the number of publications focused on each paradigm.


Evidence suggests that the three paradigms are different according to disciplinary focus, research approach, type of analysis, and the main research objectives presented in their articles (Figure 7). As expected, categorization by discipline showed that the paradigm *in the city* is mostly focused in ecological research, the paradigm *of the city* in social-ecological research, and paradigm *for the city* in environmental policies, and also some social-ecological and social policies. Research approaches are similar among paradigms, the spatial approach of studies is the most common (e.g., *ecology in the city*: Hosch and Gonzalez, 2014; *ecology of the city*: Bulleri, 2006; *ecology for the city*: Santos and Freire, 2015), followed by spatio-temporal approach (e.g., *ecology in the city*: Castro et al., 1999; *ecology of the city*: Serre et al., 2010; *ecology for the city*: Storch and Downes 2011). Experiments and the interplay with temporal approaches are poorly represented in coastal urban ecology studies. Studies *in the city* presented mostly quantitative assessments, however, studies presented under paradigms *of* and *for the city* showed similar proportions between quantitative and qualitative analysis. The paradigm *of the city* has centered research on themes related to human adaptation (e.g., Wolsko and Marino, 2016, integrated research on disasters and climate change-induced migration with environmental psychology and the psychology of natural disasters), this topic also appears in the paradigm *for the city* in

combination with city design, a consequence of the predominant focus on policy and planning implications of these studies. An example of human adaptations can be found in Villagra et al. (2016) who described the “resilience thinking” approach in urban planning, in order for a coastal city to adapt to extreme natural events such as tsunamis. Also, Conticelli and Tondelli (2018) proposed an urban regeneration of a coastal territory considering the local coastal landscape as a key element for boosting local sustainable growth.

When analyzing the whole database of coastal urban ecology articles, only 34 publications showed connections among citations, presenting a total of 24 interactions (Figure 8). These interactions varied in strength from one article citing a single article of the one included in our study, two cited the same article (Lopes et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2018), three cited the same article (Campbell, 2010; Washburn et al., 2013; Shepard et al., 2016), or four cited the same article (Campbell, 2010; Bertocci et al., 2017; Heery et al., 2018; Leclerc and Viard, 2018; Bugnot et al., 2019). Network analysis showed a marginal interaction among articles' paradigms. Here the paradigm *in the city* cited only seven *in the city* articles from a total of 16 citations, the paradigm *of the city* cited three articles *in the city* and one *of the city* from a total of seven citations, paradigm *for the city* cited only one article under the paradigm *of the city*. These results suggest that coastal urban ecology article citation have a subtle connection among publications, and it is not reinforced when the three paradigms are considered.

## DISCUSSION

Coastal urban ecology encompasses a diversity of disciplines and research models aimed at understanding the links between the natural and built environments. Results show that coastal urban ecology has focused primarily on ecological studies and




**FIGURE 7 |** Proportional contribution of categories. Articles in coastal urban ecology were categorized according to disciplinary focus, research approach, type of analysis, and main research objectives ascribed to Pickett's paradigms *in*, *of*, and *for the cities*.

those studying physical characteristics of urban centers in coastal areas, dominated by research on pollution. However, there is an increasing contribution of studies on social dimensions. Studies that address coastal urban ecology from an *in the city* perspective have significantly increased during the last three decades. Interestingly, results show that coastal urban ecology is beginning to address issues which relate to planners and policy makers through some key studies on green infrastructure (Chen

et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Conticelli and Tondelli, 2018), eco-cities (Surjan and Shaw, 2008; Wong, 2011), and sustainable cities (Pizarro, 2008; Song et al., 2016; Arif, 2017). Despite the diversity of research on coastal urban ecology, there are still important geographic and disciplinary gaps in research foci.

Coastal urban ecology research has drawn from ecological studies more than any other discipline (Figure 3). Even when it seems that social dimensions have been integrated slowly



**FIGURE 8 |** Network analysis. Analysis for co-citations of articles presented in this coastal urban ecology review, considering the three paradigms proposed. Each dot represents a study and the color indicates the paradigms (*in*, *of*, and *for the cities*). Directed edges go from the article citing to the article being cited.

during the years under the knowledge of human-nature coupling (Liu et al., 2007a,b) and the importance to include people and their relationship with the urban environment (Redman et al., 2004), interdisciplinary studies are still infrequent. An interesting interdisciplinary line of research is emerging associated with designing new infrastructures in coastal cities aimed at the provision of sustainable alternatives as new habitats for protection and even promotion of biodiversity (Kates et al., 2001; Perkol-Finkel et al., 2018; Burt and Bartholomew, 2019). However, these interdisciplinary efforts have been performed in a few coastal areas (Morris et al., 2019), showing similar geographical bias.

Coastal urban ecology has centered mainly in understanding spatial patterns and variability, showing a bias toward short time scale research (Figure 3). Consequently, there is a shortfall in long-term dynamic perspectives in the study of coastal cities. Results demonstrate research is also biased toward quantitative approaches with few qualitative analyses (e.g., Giovene di Girasole, 2014; Land et al., 2015; Villagra et al., 2016; Guerrero Valdebenito and Alarcon Rodriguez, 2018). This supports the results which show little social science research based on methods such as grounded theory or ethnography, among others (Creswell et al., 2007). Coastal urban ecology would benefit from encouraging these long-term and disciplinary dimensions.

Many coastal urban ecology studies focus on pollutants. The focus on pollution has been maintained during the whole period analyzed, with 35% of total articles dealing with this issue. Accordingly, the effects of urbanization over sea breeze and the reactions of aerosols have had an important increase in this line of research (Castro et al., 1999; Mejia and Morawska, 2009; Shanquan et al., 2016; Pushpawela et al., 2018). A predominant focus on pollution is not difficult to understand in coastal urban ecology given urbanization and increases in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Cole and Neumayer, 2004). Water pollution also has an important number of articles published (27.7% from the total of articles that mentioned pollution), considering marine (23 articles: e.g.,

Noble et al., 2006; Wang, 2010) and river basin pollution (four articles: e.g., Abdul-Aziz and Ahmed, 2019; Mgelwa et al., 2019), both important elements in coastal environments.

Risk assessments toward natural disasters and particularly flooding represented approximately 18% of the studies (Figure 4; e.g., Goh, 2019; Patel et al., 2019), which were carried out mainly in the USA and Japan. Expansion of coastal cities undermine natural protection (Sherbinin et al., 2007), hence an increase in natural disasters and city's vulnerability (Chang and Huang, 2015). While research has been performed in developed countries, developing ones are the most vulnerable in terms of natural disasters in coastal zones, such as flooding events (Ogie et al., 2020) or in specific areas under risk of tsunamis (Villagra et al., 2016). This same tendency is repeated in relation to studies which address mitigation strategies, with projections to make cities more resilient to natural disasters (Serre et al., 2010; Watson and Adams, 2010; Aerts et al., 2014; Sutton-Grier et al., 2015; Morris et al., 2020) and even ecoengineered shoreline strategies as nature-based alternative design (Bergen et al., 2001; Mitsch, 2012; Morris et al., 2019; O'Shaughnessy et al., 2020). As a consequence, there is an urgent need to extend this type of research toward developing and mid-income countries.

Our review shows that research on coastal urban ecology has mainly focused in cities between 1 and 5 million people in 15 different countries. However, more than a half of articles have been performed in the USA, China and Australia (Figure 2). While results from these specific studies can be important to develop theoretical frameworks and assess specific impacts, the focus on these high GDP countries makes it hard to extend insights to other cities in developing and mid-income countries, where growth dynamics, institutional support and adaptive capacity are very different (Chauvin et al., 2017; Nagendra et al., 2018). For example, urban concentration (when country resources are over-concentrated in one or two large cities, raising cost of production of goods) is described as part of country development, and decreases as income rises (Davis and Henderson, 2003). This phenomenon is often presented in coastal cities, where there is a physical infrastructure capital. Urban concentration can be affected significantly by a range of political variables, including democratization, federalism, and whether a country was a former planned economy (Davis and Henderson, 2003). We therefore strongly advocate for the need to support programs for coastal urban ecology research in these settings. Research in cities smaller than 1 million inhabitants would extend the variety of conditions in terms of the size of the human group, transitioning to bigger cities, and configuration of environmental variables, considering by 2017 more than 60% of cities in the world have between 100,000 and 1 million inhabitants (United Nations, 2019, data compilation).

Research has been mainly performed in near-shore terrestrial environments, resulting in a lack of information in coastal-marine urban environments that reveals the limited integration in the coastal urban interface (seawater-land configuration and dimensionality). This bias can have negative consequences such as generating false dichotomies for conservation, where marine and terrestrial ecosystems could meet as two isolated systems (Bulleri, 2006), which can undermine the effectiveness and

need for healthy marine ecosystems in urban areas (Bulleri, 2006; Shochat et al., 2006). It is key to extend research on the interaction between marine and terrestrial realms associated with urbanization.

Results show that more than half of the reviewed articles can be classified as belonging to the paradigm *in the cities*. Studies contributing to this paradigm have been growing in number, faster than the others, during the last years (Figure 6). This result synthesizes the main biases found in this review which relate to the predominant focus on ecological research, understanding urban impacts such as pollution, the non-human components, and in spatial and quantitative analysis (Figure 7). Only 20% of the articles in coastal urban ecology focused on interdisciplinary research such as social-ecological studies (included in the paradigm *of the city*). This represents an important research gap associated to the lack of social knowledge in a system where humans are both objects and subjects of urbanization, who use space to live, extract subsistence and non-subsistence resources, perform recreational activities, and deposit waste, among other activities (Weinstein, 2009). Because of that, a lack of research on people with nature represents the loss of understanding an integral part of the ecosystem (McDonnell et al., 1993; Rees, 1997; Collins et al., 2000), decoupling human dynamics and ecological processes of this urban ecosystem (Alberti, 2008). Lessons from urban ecology in other systems have shown the importance of transitioning toward these interdisciplinary dimensions. Accordingly, coastal research in urban areas must advance toward an urban sustainability-centered perspective, transdisciplinary in terms of focuses and approaches, with the ability to inform urban design and planning (Wu, 2014). Current imbalance among paradigms and the lack of interaction among research paradigms (Figure 8) can undermine urban coastal sustainability. Under Pickett's complexity of paradigms (Pickett et al., 2016), ecology *for the city* should include the knowledge generated by both *ecology in* and *ecology of the city*. In order to understand coastal urban ecological systems, coastal urban ecological paradigms need to build upon literature from each other.

While biophysical and ecological approaches to coastal urban systems are important, urban ecology necessarily operates in

a human context. Results highlight the need for coastal cities to be seen from the point of view of people, their interaction with the environment and the implementation of concepts that contribute to sustainability in cities through public policies and planning. Developing regional learning platforms to address these dimensions should be a priority. Results of this review also recommend research needs to focus on the three paradigms equally. In addition, better consideration of the diversity of cities, the integration across marine and terrestrial ecosystems, and the inclusion of developing country coastal urban areas will allow to support ongoing urbanization trends and cultural settings in coastal zones across the globe. Clear research agendas that include trans-disciplinary collaborations will provide the opportunity to fill these knowledge gaps.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets presented in this study can be found in open repositories. The names of the repository/repositories and accession number(s) can be found below: <https://github.com/GiorgiaGraells/CoastalReviewGitandlt>.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GG and SG contributed to design of the study. GG and NN organized the database. GG performed the statistical analysis and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. SG, NN, JC-D, NL, PP, and PM wrote sections of the manuscript. All authors contributed to conception and manuscript revision, read, and approved the submitted version.

## FUNDING

This work was supported by the National Agency for Research and Development (ANID) Scholarship Program, National graduate scholarship [21171829, 2017], ANID—Millennium Science Initiative Program [Code ICN2019\_015], Center of Applied Ecology and Sustainability (CAPES) [ANID PIA/BASAL FB0002], and Fondecyt 1190109.

## REFERENCES

- Abarca-Álvarez, F. J., Campos-Sánchez, F. S., and Reinoso-Bellido, R. (2018). Signs of gentrification using Artificial Intelligence: identification through the Dwelling Census. *Bitác. Urban. Territ.* 28, 103–114. doi: 10.15446/bitacora.v28n2.70145
- Abdul-Aziz, O. I., and Ahmed, S. (2019). Evaluating the emergent controls of stream water quality with similitude and dimensionless numbers. *J. Hydrol. Eng.* 24:04019010. doi: 10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0001769
- Aerts, J. C., Botzen, W. W., Emanuel, K., Lin, N., De Moel, H., and Michel-Kerjan, E. O. (2014). Evaluating flood resilience strategies for coastal megacities. *Science* 344, 473–475. doi: 10.1126/science.1248222
- Alberico, I., Cavuoto, G., Di Fiore, V., Punzo, M., Tarallo, D., Pelosi, N., et al. (2018). Historical maps and satellite images as tools for shoreline variations and territorial changes assessment: the case study of Volturno Coastal Plain (Southern Italy). *J. Coastal Conserv.* 22, 919–937. doi: 10.1007/s11852-017-0573-x
- Alberti, M. (2005). The effects of urban patterns on ecosystem function. *Int. Reg. Sci. Rev.* 28, 168–192. doi: 10.1177/0160017605275160
- Alberti, M. (2008). *Advances in Urban Ecology: Integrating Humans and Ecological Processes in Urban Ecosystems* (No. 574.5268 A4). New York: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-75510-6
- Alcoforado, M. J., Andrade, H., Lopes, A., and Vasconcelos, J. (2009). Application of climatic guidelines to urban planning: the example of Lisbon (Portugal). *Landscape Urban Plan.* 90, 56–65. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2008.10.006
- Allan, D., Erickson, D., and Fay, J. (1997). The influence of catchment land use on stream integrity across multiple spatial scales. *Freshwater Biol.* 37, 149–161. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2427.1997.d01-546.x
- Antrop, M. (2004). Landscape change and the urbanization process in Europe. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 67, 9–26. doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(03)0026-4
- Aria, M., and Cuccurullo, C. (2017). Bibliometrix: An r-tool for comprehensive science mapping analysis. *J. Inform.* 11, 959–975. doi: 10.1016/j.joi.2017.08.007

- 1483 Arif, A. A. (2017). "Green city Banda Aceh: city planning [Q25]roach  
1484 and environmental aspects," in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol. 56) (IOP Publishing), 012004.  
1485 doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/56/1/012004
- 1486 Arns, A., Dangendorf, S., Jensen, J., Talke, S., Bender, J., and Pattiaratchi, C. (2017).  
1487 Sea-level rise induced amplification of coastal protection design heights. *Scient. Rep.* 7, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/srep40171
- 1488 Arruti, A., Fernández-Olmo, I., and Irabien, A. (2011). Regional evaluation of  
1489 particulate matter composition in an Atlantic coastal area (Cantabria region,  
1490 northern Spain): Spatial variations in different urban and rural environments.  
1491 *Atmosph. Res.* 101, 280–293. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.03.001
- 1492 Auguie, B. (2016). *GridExtra: Miscellaneous Functions for "Grid" Graphics*.  
1493 Barcelona, M. J. (1979). Human exposure to chloroform in a coastal  
1494 urban environment. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A*. 14, 267–283.  
1495 doi: 10.1080/10934527909374879
- 1496 Barragán, J. M., and de Andrés, M. (2015). Analysis and trends of the  
1497 world's coastal cities and agglomerations. *Ocean Coastal Manag.* 114, 11–20.  
1498 doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2015.06.004
- 1499 Barthel, S., Folke, C., and Colding, J. (2010). Social–ecological memory in urban  
1500 gardens—Retaining the capacity for management of ecosystem services. *Global  
1501 Environ. Change*. 20, 255–265. doi: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.01.001
- 1502 Belant, J. L. (1997). Gulls in urban environments: landscape-level  
1503 management to reduce conflict. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 38, 245–258.  
1504 doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(97)00037-6
- 1505 Benveniste, J., Cazenave, A., Vignudelli, S., Fenoglio-Marc, L., Shah, R., Almar, R.,  
1506 et al. (2019). Requirements for a coastal hazards observing system. *Front. Mar.  
1507 Sci.* 6:348. doi: 10.3389/fmars.2019.00348
- 1508 Bergen, S. D., Bolton, S. M., and Fridley, J. L. (2001). Design  
1509 principles for ecological engineering. *Ecol. Eng.* 18, 201–210.  
1510 doi: 10.1016/S0925-8574(01)00078-7
- 1511 Bertocci, I., Arenas, F., Cacabelos, E., Martins, G. M., Seabra, M. I.,  
1512 Álvaro, N. V., et al. (2017). Nowhere safe? Exploring the influence  
1513 of urbanization across mainland and insular seashores in continental  
1514 Portugal and the Azorean Archipelago. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 114, 644–655.  
1515 doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2016.10.045
- 1516 Bizzo, L., Gottschalk, M. S., Toni, D. C. D., and Hofmann, P. R. (2010).  
1517 Seasonal dynamics of a drosophilid (Diptera) assemblage and its potential  
1518 as bioindicator in open environments. *Iheringia. Série Zool.* 100, 185–191.  
1519 doi: 10.1590/S0073-47212010000300001
- 1520 Blair, R. B. (1996). Land use and avian species diversity along an urban gradient.  
1521 *Ecol. Appl.* 6, 506–519. doi: 10.2307/2269387
- 1522 Blight, L. K., Bertram, D. F., and Kroc, E. (2019). Evaluating UAV-based  
1523 techniques to census an urban-nesting gull population on Canada's  
1524 Pacific coast. *J. Unmanned Vehicle Sys.* 7, 312–324. doi: 10.1139/juvs-  
1525 2019-0005
- 1526 Bolton, D., Mayer-Pinto, M., Clark, G. F., Dafforn, K. A., Brassil, W. A.,  
1527 Becker, A., et al. (2017). Coastal urban lighting has ecological consequences  
1528 for multiple trophic levels under the sea. *Sci. Total Environ.* 576, 1–9.  
1529 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.037
- 1530 Bolund, P., and Hunhammar, S. (1999). Ecosystem services in urban areas. *Ecol.  
1531 Eco.* 29, 293–301. doi: 10.1016/S0921-8009(99)00013-0
- 1532 Branoff, B. L. (2017). Quantifying the influence of urban land use on mangrove  
1533 biology and ecology: a meta-analysis. *Global Ecol. Biogeogr.* 26, 1339–1356.  
1534 doi: 10.1111/geb.12638
- 1535 Buggy, C. J., and Tobin, J. M. (2008). Seasonal and spatial distribution of  
1536 metals in surface sediment of an urban estuary. *Environ. Poll.* 155, 308–319.  
1537 doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2007.11.032
- 1538 Bugnot, A. B., Hose, G. C., Walsh, C. J., Floerl, O., French, K., Dafforn,  
1539 K. A., et al. (2019). Urban impacts across realms: making the case for  
1540 inter-realm monitoring and management. *Sci. Total Environ.* 648, 711–719.  
1541 doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.08.134
- 1542 Bulleri, F. (2006). Is it time for urban ecology to include the marine realm? *Trends  
1543 Ecol. Evolut.* 21, 658–659. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.004
- 1544 Burger, J., Tsipoura, N., Simnor, A., Pittfield, T., Jeitner, C., Mizrahi, D.,  
1545 et al. (2017). Perceptions of Caucasian users about avian resources and  
1546 beach restoration following hurricane Sandy. *Urban Ecosyst.* 20, 363–373.  
1547 doi: 10.1007/s11252-016-0598-1
- 1548 Burke, L., Kura, Y., Revenga, C., Spalding, M., and Mcallister, D. (2001). *Pilot  
1549 Analysis of Global Ecosystems: Coastal Ecosystems*. Washington, DC: World  
1550 Resources Institute, 13.
- 1551 Burnett, W. C., Wattayakorn, G., Taniguchi, M., Dulaiova, H., Sojisuporn, P.,  
1552 Rungsupa, S., et al. (2007). Groundwater-derived nutrient inputs to the Upper  
1553 Gulf of Thailand. *Conti. Shelf Res.* 27, 176–190. doi: 10.1016/j.csr.2006.09.006
- 1554 Burt, J. A., and Bartholomew, A. (2019). Towards more sustainable  
1555 coastal development in the Arabian Gulf: Opportunities for ecological  
1556 engineering in an urbanized seascape. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 142, 93–102.  
1557 doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.03.024
- 1558 Cadenasso, M. L., Pickett, S. T., and Grove, M. J. (2006). Integrative approaches to  
1559 investigating human–natural systems: the Baltimore ecosystem study. *Nat. Sci.  
1560 Soc.* 14, 4–14. doi: 10.1051/ns:2006002
- 1561 Callaghan, C. T., Major, R. E., Lyons, M. B., Martin, J. M., and Kingsford, R. T.  
1562 (2018). The effects of local and landscape habitat attributes on bird diversity in  
1563 urban greenspaces. *Ecosphere* 9:e02347. doi: 10.1002/ecs2.2347
- 1564 Campbell, M. (2010). An animal geography of avian foraging competition on the  
1565 Sussex coast of England. *J. Coastal Res.* 26, 44–52. doi: 10.2112/08-1087.1
- 1566 Capaldo, K. P., Pilinis, C., and Pandis, S. N. (2000). A computationally efficient  
1567 hybrid approach for dynamic gas/aerosol transfer in air quality models.  
1568 *Atmosph. Environ.* 34, 3617–3627. doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00092-3
- 1569 Cardo, M. V., Vezzani, D., Rubio, A., and Carboja, A. E. (2014). Integrating  
1570 demographic and meteorological data in urban ecology: a case study of  
1571 container-breeding mosquitoes in temperate Argentina. *Area* 46, 18–26.  
1572 doi: 10.1111/area.12071
- 1573 Castro, L. M., Pio, C. A., Harrison, R. M., and Smith, D. J. T. (1999).  
1574 Carbonaceous aerosols in urban and rural European atmospheres: estimation  
1575 of secondary organic carbon concentrations. *Atmosph. Environ.* 33, 2771–2781.  
1576 doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00331-8
- 1577 Chabas, A., Fouqueau, A., Attoui, M., Alfaro, S. C., Petitmangin, A.,  
1578 Bouilloux, A., et al. (2015). Characterisation of CIME, an experimental  
1579 chamber for simulating interactions between materials of the cultural  
1580 heritage and the environment. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 22, 19170–19183.  
1581 doi: 10.1007/s11356-015-5083-5
- 1582 Chace, J. F., and Walsh, J. J. (2006). Urban effects on native avifauna: a review.  
1583 *Landscape Urban Plan.* 74, 46–69. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2004.08.007
- 1584 Chang, L. F., and Huang, S. L. (2015). Assessing urban flooding vulnerability  
1585 with an energy approach. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 143, 11–24.  
1586 doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.06.004
- 1587 Charalambous, K., Bruggeman, A., and Lange, M. A. (2012). Assessing the urban  
1588 water balance: the Urban Water Flow Model and its application in Cyprus.  
1589 *Water Sci. Technol.* 66, 635–643. doi: 10.2166/wst.2012.188
- 1590 Chauvin, J. P., Glaeser, E., Ma, Y., and Tobio, K. (2017). What is different about  
1591 urbanization in rich and poor countries? Cities in Brazil, China, India and the  
1592 United States. *J. Urban Eco.* 98, 17–49. doi: 10.1016/j.jue.2016.05.003
- 1593 Chen, Y.-C., Pei, L., and Shiao, Y. C. (2015). Application of coastal vegetation to  
1594 green roofs of residential buildings in Taiwan. *Artif. Life Robotics.* 20, 86–91.  
1595 doi: 10.1007/s10015-015-0199-8
- 1596 Chen, Y.-C., Yao, C.-K., Honjo, T., and Lin, T.-P. (2018). The application of a  
1597 high-density street-level air temperature observation network (hisan): dynamic  
1598 variation characteristics of urban heat island in tainan, taiwan. *Sci. Total  
1599 Environ.* 626, 555–566. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.059
- 1600 Chiesura, A. (2004). The role of urban parks for the sustainable city. *Landscape  
1601 Urban Plan.* 68, 129–138. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2003.08.003
- 1602 Childers, D. L., Cadenasso, M. L., Grove, J. M., Marshall, V., McGrath, B., and  
1603 Pickett, S. T. (2015). An ecology for cities: a transformative [Q15] nexus of design  
1604 and ecology to advance climate change resilience and urban sustainability.  
1605 *Sustainability* 7, 3774–3791. doi: 10.3390/su7043774
- 1606 Clarkson, T. S., Martin, R. J., Rudolph, J., and Graham, B. W. L. (1996).  
1607 Benzene and toluene in New Zealand air. *Atmosph. Environ.* 30, 569–577.  
1608 doi: 10.1016/1352-2310(95)00312-6
- 1609 Cleland, V., Hughes, C., Thornton, L., Venn, A., Squibb, K., and Ball, K. (2015).  
1610 A qualitative study of environmental factors important for physical activity in  
1611 rural adults. *PLoS ONE* 10:140659. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140659
- 1612 Cohen, P., Potchter, O., and Matzarakis, A. (2013). Human thermal perception  
1613 of Coastal Mediterranean outdoor urban environments. *Appl. Geogr.* 37, 1–10.  
1614 doi: 10.1016/j.apgeog.2012.11.001

- 1597 Cole, M. A., and Neumayer, E. (2004). Examining the impact of  
1598 demographic factors on air pollution. *Popul. Environ.* 26, 5–21.  
1599 doi: 10.1023/B:POEN.0000039950.85422.eb
- 1600 Collins, J. P., Kinzig, A., Grimm, N. B., Fagan, W. F., Hope, D., Wu, J., et al.  
1601 (2000). A new urban ecology: modeling human communities as integral parts  
1602 of ecosystems poses special problems for the development and testing of  
1603 ecological theory. *Am. Sci.* 88, 416–425. doi: 10.1511/2000.5.416
- 1604 Condon, E., and Tondelli, S. (2018). *Regenerating With the Green: A Proposal for  
the Coastal Land-Scale of Sicilia*. Direttore Scientifico/Editor-in-Chief, 91.
- 1605 Costanza, R., Sklar, E., and White, M. L. (1990). Modeling coastal landscape  
1606 dynamics. *BioScience* 40, 91–107. doi: 10.2307/1311342
- 1607 Creswell, J. W., Hanson, W. E., Clark Plano, V. L., and Morales, A. (2007).  
1608 Qualitative research designs: selection and implementation. *Counsel. Psychol.*  
1609 35, 236–264. doi: 10.1177/0011000006287390
- 1610 Cui, H., and Yu, Y. (2009). "Study on thermal environmental distribution in  
1611 coastal city using ASTER data," in 2009 Joint Urban Remote Sensing Event  
1612 (IEEE), 1–6.
- 1613 Daily, G. (2003). What are ecosystem services. *Global Environmental Challenges  
for the Twenty-First Century: Resources, Consumption and Sustainable  
Solutions*, 227–231.
- 1614 Dallimer, M., Irvine, K. N., Skinner, A. M., Davies, Z. G., Rouquette, J. R.,  
1615 Maltby, L. L., et al. (2012). Biodiversity and the feel-good factor: understanding  
1616 associations between self-reported human well-being and species richness.  
*BioScience* 62, 47–55. doi: 10.1525/bio.2012.62.1.9
- 1617 Davis, J. C., and Henderson, J. V. (2003). Evidence on the political  
1618 economy of the urbanization process. *J. Urban Eco.* 53, 98–125.  
doi: 10.1016/S0094-1190(02)00504-1
- 1619 Decelis, R., and Vella, A. J. (2007). Contamination of outdoor settled dust by  
1620 butyltins in Malta. *Appl. Organomet. Chem.* 21, 239–245. doi: 10.1002/aoc.1207
- 1621 Dodman, D. (2009). Globalization, tourism and local living conditions  
1622 on Jamaica's north coast. *Singapore J. Trop. Geogr.* 30, 204–219.  
doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9493.2009.00362.x
- 1623 Dominick, D., Wilson, S. R., Paton-Walsh, C., Humphries, R., Guérette, E. A.,  
1624 Keywood, M., et al. (2018). Characteristics of airborne particle number size  
1625 distributions in a coastal-urban environment. *Atmosph. Environ.* 186, 256–265.  
doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2018.05.031
- 1626 Donovan, G. H., Butry, D. T., Michael, Y. L., Prestemon, J. P., Liebhold, A. M.,  
1627 Gatzilis, D., et al. (2013). The relationship between trees and human health:  
1628 evidence from the spread of the emerald ash borer. *Am. J. Prevent. Med.* 44,  
1629 139–145. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2012.09.066
- 1630 Donovan, G. H., and Prestemon, J. P. (2012). The effect of trees on crime in  
1631 Portland, Oregon. *Environ. Behav.* 44, 3–30. doi: 10.1177/0013916510383238
- 1632 Dorney, J. R., Guntenspergen, G. R., Keough, J. R., and Stearns, F. (1984).  
1633 Composition and structure of an urban woody plant community. *Urban Ecol.*  
8, 69–90. doi: 10.1016/0304-4009(84)90007-X
- 1634 Douglas, E. M., Kirshen, P. H., Paoliso, M., Watson, C., Wiggin, J., Enrici,  
1635 A., et al. (2012). Coastal flooding, climate change and environmental justice:  
1636 Identifying obstacles and incentives for adaptation in two metropolitan Boston  
1637 Massachusetts communities. *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Global Change* 17, 537–562.  
doi: 10.1007/s11027-011-9340-8
- 1638 Eddy, E. N., and Roman, C. T. (2016). Relationship between epibenthic  
1639 invertebrate species assemblages and environmental variables in  
1640 Boston Harbor's intertidal habitat. *Northeastern Natur.* 23, 45–66.  
1641 doi: 10.1656/045.023.0104
- 1642 Eguchi, T., Seminoff, J. A., LeRoux, R. A., Dutton, P. H., and Dutton, D. L.  
1643 (2010). Abundance and survival rates of green turtles in an urban environment:  
1644 coexistence of humans and an endangered species. *Mar. Biol.* 157, 1869–1877.  
doi: 10.1007/s00227-010-1458-9
- 1645 Ehrenfeld, J. G. (2000). Evaluating wetlands within an urban context. *Urban  
Ecosyst.* 4, 69–85. doi: 10.1023/A:1009543920370
- 1646 Faeth, S. H., Bang, C., and Saari, S. (2011). Urban biodiversity:  
1647 patterns and mechanisms. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 1223, 69–81.  
1648 doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05925.x
- 1649 Galimany, E., Rose, J. M., Dixon, M. S., and Wikfors, G. H. (2013). Quantifying  
1650 feeding behavior of ribbed mussels (*Geukensia demissa*) in two urban sites  
1651 (Long Island Sound, USA) with different seston characteristics. *Estuaries  
Coasts.* 36, 1265–1273. doi: 10.1007/s12237-013-9633-0
- 1652 Garden, J., McAlpine, C., Peterson, A. N. N., Jones, D., and Possingham, H. (2006).  
1653 Review of the ecology of Australian urban fauna: a focus on spatially explicit  
1654 processes. *Austral Ecol.* 31, 126–148. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-9993.2006.01578.x
- 1655 Gardner, E. A. (2003). Some examples of water recycling in Australian urban  
1656 environments: a step towards environmental sustainability. *Water Sci. Techn.*  
1657 *Water Supply* 3, 21–31. doi: 10.2166/ws.2003.0043
- 1658 Giovene di Girasole, E. (2014). "The hinge areas for urban generation  
1659 in seaside cities: the High Line in Manhattan, NYC," in *Advanced  
1660 Engineering Forum* (Vol. 11) (Trans Tech Publications Ltd), 102–108.  
1661 doi: 10.4028/www.scientific.net/AEF.11.102
- 1662 Goh, K. (2019). Urban waterscapes: the hydropolitics of flooding in a sinking city.  
1663 *Int. J. Urban Region. Res.* 43, 250–272. doi: 10.1111/1468-2427.12756
- 1664 Greenwell, C. N., Calver, M. C., and Loneragan, N. R. (2019). Cat gets its tern:  
1665 a case study of predation on a threatened coastal seabird. *Animals* 9:445.  
doi: 10.3390/ani9070445
- 1666 Griggs, D., Stafford-Smith, M., Gaffney, O., Rockström, J., Öhman, M. C.,  
Shyamsundar, P., et al. (2013). Policy: Sustainable development goals for people  
1667 and planet. *Nature* 495:305. doi: 10.1038/495305a
- 1668 Grimm, N. B., Faeth, S. H., Golubiewski, N. E., Redman, C. L., Wu, J., Bai, X.,  
et al. (2008). Global change and the ecology of cities. *Science* 319, 756–760.  
doi: 10.1126/science.1150195
- 1669 Grimm, N. B., Grove, J. G., Pickett, S. T., and Redman, C. L. (2000).  
1670 Integrated approaches to long-term studies of urban ecological systems: Urban  
1671 ecological systems present multiple challenges to ecologists—pervasive human  
1672 impact and extreme heterogeneity of cities, and the need to integrate social  
1673 and ecological approaches, concepts, and theory. *BioScience* 50, 571–584.  
doi: 10.1641/0006-3568(2000)050[0571:IATLTO]2.0.CO;2
- 1674 Grimm, N. B., Redman, C. L., Boone, C. G., Childers, D. L., Harlan, S. L., and  
1675 Turner, B. L. (2013). "Viewing the urban socio-ecological system through a  
1676 sustainability lens: Lessons and prospects from the central Arizona–Phoenix  
1677 LTER programme," in *Long Term Socio-Ecological Research* (Dordrecht:  
Springer), 217–246. doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-1177-8\_10
- 1678 Grisafi, F., Oddo, E., Gargani, L., Inzerillo, S., Russo, G., and Venturella,  
1679 G. (2016). *Tamarix arborescens* arborea and *Tamarix parviflora*: two species  
1680 valued for their adaptability to stress conditions. *Acta Biol. Hung.* 67, 42–52.  
doi: 10.1556/018.67.2016.1.3
- 1681 Grossmann, I. (2008). Perspectives for Hamburg as a port city in the  
1682 context of a changing global environment. *Geoforum* 39, 2062–2072.  
doi: 10.1016/j.geoforum.2008.04.011
- 1683 Guerrero Valdebenito, R. M., and Alarcón Rodríguez, M. L. (2018). Neoliberalism  
1684 and socio-spatial transformations in urban cores of the Metropolitan Area of  
1685 Concepción. The cases of Caleta Los Bagres and Caleta Cocholgue, Tome. *Rev.  
Urban.* 38:48666. doi: 10.5354/0717-5051.2018.48666
- 1686 Gumusay, M. U., Koseoglu, G., and Bakirman, T. (2016). An assessment  
1687 of site suitability for marina construction in Istanbul, Turkey, using GIS  
1688 and AHP multicriteria decision analysis. *Environ. Monitor. Assess.* 188:677.  
doi: 10.1007/s10661-016-5677-5
- 1689 Günel, G. (2018). Air conditioning the arabian peninsula. *Int. J. Middle East  
Studies* 50, 573–579. doi: 10.1017/S0020743818000570
- 1690 Heery, E. C., Olsen, A. Y., Feist, B. E., and Sebens, K. P. (2018). Urbanization-  
1691 related distribution patterns and habitat-use by the marine mesopredator,  
1692 giant pacific octopus (*enteroctopus dofleini*). *Urban Ecosyst.* 21, 707–719.  
doi: 10.1007/s11252-018-0742-1
- 1693 Henry, L., and Wickham, H. (2017). *Purr: Functional programming Tools*.
- 1694 Holloway, M. G., and Connell, S. D. (2002). Why do floating structures create  
1695 novel habitats for subtidal epibiotia? *Mar. Ecol. Progress Series* 235, 43–52.  
doi: 10.3354/meps235043
- 1696 Holt, A. R., Mears, M., Maltby, L., and Warren, P. (2015). Understanding spatial  
1697 patterns in the production of multiple urban ecosystem services. *Ecosyst. Serv.*  
1698 16, 33–46. doi: 10.1016/j.ecoser.2015.08.007
- 1699 Hosannah, N., and Gonzalez, J. E. (2014). Impacts of aerosol particle size  
1700 distribution and land cover land use on precipitation in a coastal urban  
1701 environment using a cloud-resolving mesoscale model. *Adv. Meteorol.*  
2014:904571. doi: 10.1155/2014/904571
- 1702 1703 1704 1705 1706 1707 1708 1709 1710

- 1711 Ip, C. C., Li, X. D., Zhang, G., Wai, O. W., and Li, Y. S. (2007).  
 1712 Trace metal distribution in sediments of the Pearl River Estuary and  
 1713 the surrounding coastal area, South China. *Environ. Pollut.* 147, 311–323.  
 1714 doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2006.06.028
- 1715 Jacobs, J. C. J. (2011). The Rotman approach: connecting water with  
 1716 opportunities. *Water Sensit. Cities* 251.
- 1717 Jartun, M., and Pettersen, A. (2010). Contaminants in urban runoff to Norwegian  
 1718 fjords. *J. Soils Sediments.* 10, 155–161. doi: 10.1007/s11368-009-0181-y
- 1719 Johansson, E., and Emanuel, R. (2006). The influence of urban design on  
 1720 outdoor thermal comfort in the hot, humid city of Colombo, Sri Lanka. *Int. J. Biometeorol.* 51, 119–133. doi: 10.1007/s00484-006-0047-6
- 1721 Jonkman, S. N., Hillen, M. M., Nicholls, R. J., Kanning, W., and van  
 1722 Ledden, M. (2013). Costs of adapting coastal defences to sea-level rise—  
 1723 new estimates and their implications. *J. Coastal Res.* 29, 1212–1226.  
 doi: 10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-12-00230.1
- 1724 Juchimiuk, J., and Januszkiewicz, K. (2019). “Fostering infrastructure to reduce  
 1725 disaster’s impact to cities during the climate change area being elements of  
 1726 smart cities,” in *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* (Vol.  
 1727 214) (IOP Publishing), 012141. doi: 10.1088/1755-1315/214/1/012141
- 1728 Kalinowski, R. S., and Johnson, M. D. (2010). Influence of suburban habitat  
 1729 on a wintering bird community in coastal northern California. *Condor.* 112,  
 274–282. doi: 10.1525/cond.2010.090037
- 1730 Kaniewski, D., Van Campo, E., Morhange, C., Guiot, J., Zviely, D., Shaked, I., et al.  
 1731 (2013). Early urban impact on Mediterranean coastal environments. *Scient. Rep.* 3, 1–5. doi: 10.1038/srep03540
- 1732 Kantamaneni, K., Gallagher, A., and Du, X. (2019). Assessing and mapping  
 1733 regional coastal vulnerability for port environments and coastal cities. *J. Coastal  
 Conserv.* 23, 59–70. doi: 10.1007/s11852-018-0636-7
- 1734 Kates, R. W., Clark, W. C., Corell, R., Hall, J. M., Jaeger, C. C., Lowe, I., et al. (2001).  
 1735 Sustainability science. *Science* 292, 641–642. doi: 10.1126/science.1059386
- 1736 Krishnan, S., Lin, J., Simanjuntak, J., Hooimeijer, F., Bricker, J., Daniel, M., et al.  
 1737 (2019). Interdisciplinary design of vital infrastructure to reduce flood risk in  
 1738 tokyo’s edogawa ward. *Geosciences* 9:357. doi: 10.3390/geosciences9080357
- 1739 Kulkova, M., Chadov, F., and Davidochkina, A. (2011). Radiocarbon in vegetation  
 1740 of coastal zone of Finnish Bay (Russia). *Procedia Environ. Sci.* 8, 375–381.  
 doi: 10.1016/j.proenv.2011.10.059
- 1741 Kumar, T. S., Mahendra, R. S., Nayak, S., Radhakrishnan, K., and Sahu, K. C.  
 1742 (2010). Coastal vulnerability assessment for Orissa State, east coast of India.  
*J. Coastal Res.* 26, 523–534. doi: 10.2112/09-1186.1
- 1743 Kuwae, T., Kanda, J., Kubo, A., Nakajima, F., Ogawa, H., Sohma, A., et al. (2016).  
 1744 Blue carbon in human-dominated estuarine and shallow coastal systems.  
*Ambio* 45, 290–301. doi: 10.1007/s13280-015-0725-x
- 1745 Leclerc, J. C., and Viard, F. (2018). Habitat formation prevails over  
 1746 predation in influencing fouling communities. *Ecolo. Evolut.* 8, 477–492.  
 doi: 10.1002/ece3.3654
- 1747 Li, Y., Qiu, J., Zhao, B., Pavao-Zuckerman, M., Bruns, A., Qureshi, S., et al. (2017).  
 1748 Quantifying urban ecological governance: a suite of indices characterizes the  
 1749 ecological planning implications of rapid coastal urbanization. *Ecol. Indic.* 72,  
 225–233. doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2016.08.021
- 1750 Li, Y., Yang, X., Zhu, X., Mulvihill, P. R., Matthews, H. D., and Sun, X. (2011).  
 1751 Integrating climate change factors into China’s development policy: adaptation  
 1752 strategies and mitigation to environmental change. *Ecol. Compl.* 8, 294–298.  
 doi: 10.1016/j.ecocom.2011.08.001
- 1753 Li, Z., Zhou, C., Yang, X., Chen, X., Meng, F., Lu, C., et al. (2018). Urban landscape  
 1754 extraction and analysis in the mega-city of China’s coastal regions using high-  
 1755 resolution satellite imagery: a case of Shanghai, China. *Int. J. Appl. Earth Observ.  
 Geoinform.* 72, 140–150. doi: 10.1016/j.jag.2018.03.002
- 1756 Lim, H. C., and Sodhi, N. S. (2004). Responses of avian guilds to  
 1757 urbanisation in a tropical city. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 66, 199–215.  
 doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(03)00111-7
- 1758 Liu, J., Dietz, T., Carpenter, S. R., Alberti, M., Folke, C., Moran, E., et al. (2007a).  
 1759 Complexity of coupled human and natural systems. *Science* 317, 1513–1516.  
 doi: 10.1126/science.1144004
- 1760 Liu, J., Dietz, T., Carpenter, S. R., Folke, C., Alberti, M., Redman, C. L.,  
 1761 et al. (2007b). Coupled human and natural systems. *AMBIO* 36, 639–649.  
 doi: 10.1579/0044-7447(2007)36[639:CHANS]2.0.CO;2
- 1762 Lopes, A., Lopes, S., Matzarakis, A., and Alcoforado, M. J. (2011). The influence  
 1763 of the summer sea breeze on thermal comfort in funchal (madeira). A  
 1764 contribution to tourism and urban planning. *Meteorol. Zeitschrift* 20, 553–564.  
 doi: 10.1127/0941-2948/2011/0248
- 1765 Luijendijk, A., Hagenars, G., Ranasinghe, R., Baart, F., Donchyts, G., and  
 1766 Aarninkhof, S. (2018). The state of the world’s beaches. *Scient. Rep.* 8, 1–11.  
 doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-24630-6
- 1767 Maguire, T. J., and Fulweiler, R. W. (2019). Urban groundwater dissolved silica  
 1768 concentrations are elevated due to vertical composition of historic land-filling.  
*Sci. Total Environ.* 684, 89–95. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.05.272
- 1769 Marshall, F. E., Banks, K., and Cook, G. S. (2014). Ecosystem  
 1770 indicators for Southeast Florida beaches. *Ecol. Indic.* 44, 81–91.  
 doi: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.12.021
- 1771 Martin, J. M., French, K., and Major, R. E. (2007). The pest status of  
 1772 Australian white ibis (*Threskiornis molucca*) in urban situations and the  
 1773 effectiveness of egg-oil in reproductive control. *Wildlife Res.* 34, 319–324.  
 doi: 10.1071/WR07005
- 1774 Marzluff, J. M. (2001). “Worldwide urbanization and its effect on birds,” in *Avian  
 1775 Ecology and Conservation in an Urbanizing World* (Boston: Springer), 19–47.  
 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4615-1531-9
- 1776 McDonald, R. I., Marques, A. V., Ascensão, F., Crossman, K., Elmquist, T.,  
 1777 Gonzalez, A., et al. (2019). Research gaps in knowledge of the impact of urban  
 1778 growth on biodiversity. *Nat. Sust.* 3, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41893-019-0436-6
- 1779 McDonnell, M. J., Pickett, S. T., and Pouyat, R. V. (1993). “The application  
 1780 of the ecological gradient paradigm to the study of urban effects,” in *Humans as Components of Ecosystems* (New York, NY: Springer), 175–189.  
 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0905-8\_15
- 1781 McKinney, M. L. (2006). Urbanization as a major cause of biotic homogenization.  
*Biol. Conserv.* 127, 247–260. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2005.09.005
- 1782 Mejia, J. F., and Morawska, L. (2009). An investigation of nucleation events in a  
 1783 coastal urban environment in the Southern Hemisphere. *Atmosph. Chem. Phys.*  
 1784 9, 2195–2222. doi: 10.5194/acp-9-2195-2009
- 1785 Mgelwa, A. S., Hu, Y. L., Liu, J. F., Qiu, Q., Liu, Z., and Ngaba, M. J. Y. (2019).  
 1786 Differential patterns of nitrogen and 815N in soil and foliar along two urbanized  
 1787 rivers in a subtropical coastal city of southern China. *Environ. Pollut.* 244,  
 1788 907–914. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2018.10.083
- 1789 Mitsch, W. J. (2012). What is ecological engineering?. *Ecol. Eng.* 45, 5–12.  
 doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.04.013
- 1790 Morris, R. L., Boxshall, A., and Sweare, S. E. (2020). Climate-resilient  
 1791 coasts require diverse defence solutions. *Nat Climate Change* 10, 1–3.  
 doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0798-9
- 1792 Morris, R. L., Heery, E. C., Loke, L. H., Lau, E., Strain, E., Airolidi, I., et al.  
 1793 (2019). “H4 design options, implementation issues and evaluating success  
 1794 of ecologically engineered shorelines,” in *Oceanography and Marine Biology*  
 1795 (Taylor and Francis). doi: 10.1201/9780429026379-4
- 1796 Musacchio, L. R. (2009). The scientific basis for the design of landscape  
 1797 sustainability: a conceptual framework for translation landscape research and  
 1798 practice of designed landscapes and the six Es of landscape sustainability.  
*Landscape Ecol.* 24:993. doi: 10.1007/s10980-009-9396-y
- 1799 Nagendra, H., Bai, X., Brondizio, E. S., and Lwasa, S. (2018). The urban  
 1800 south and the predicament of global sustainability. *Nat. Sust.* 1, 341–349.  
 doi: 10.1038/s41893-018-0101-5
- 1801 Naidoo, T., Smit, A. J., and Glassom, D. (2016). Plastic ingestion by estuarine  
 1802 mullet *Mugil cephalus* (Mugilidae) in an urban harbour, KwaZulu-Natal,  
 1803 South Africa. *African J. Mar. Sci.* 38, 145–149. doi: 10.2989/1814232X.2016.11  
 1804 59616
- 1805 Noble, R. T., Griffith, J. F., Blackwood, A. D., Fuhrman, J. A., Gregory, J. B.,  
 1806 Hernandez, X., et al. (2006). Multitiered approach using quantitative PCR  
 1807 to track sources of fecal pollution affecting Santa Monica Bay, California.  
*Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 1604–1612. doi: 10.1128/AEM.72.2.1604-16  
 1808 12.2006
- 1809 Noyes, J. H., and Progulske, D. R. (Eds.). (1974). *A Symposium on Wildlife  
 1810 in an Urbanizing Environment, November 27–29*. Springfield, MA: (No. 28).
- 1811 Cooperative Extension Service, University of Massachusetts.
- 1812 Nunkoo, R., and Ramkissoon, H. (2010). Small island urban tourism: a residents’  
 1813 perspective. *Curr. Issues Tour.* 13, 37–60. doi: 10.1080/13683500802499414
- 1814 Ogie, R. I., Adam, C., and Perez, P. (2020). A review of structural approach  
 1815 to flood management in coastal megacities of developing nations: current  
 1816 research and future directions. *J. Environ. Plann. Manag.* 63, 127–147.  
 doi: 10.1080/09640568.2018.1547693
- 1817
- 1818
- 1819
- 1820
- 1821
- 1822
- 1823

- 1825 Oliveira, W., e Silva, J. L. S., de Oliveira, M. T. P., Cruz-Neto, O., da Silva,  
 1826 L. A. P., Borges, L. A., et al. (2019). Reduced reproductive success of the  
 1827 endangered tree brazilwood (*Paubrasilia echinata*, Leguminosae) in urban  
 1828 ecosystem compared to Atlantic forest remnant: lessons for tropical urban  
 1829 ecology. *Urban Forest. Urban Greening* 41, 303–312. doi: 10.1016/j.ufug.2019.  
 04.020
- 1830 O'Shaughnessy, K. A., Hawkins, S. J., Evans, A. J., Hanley, M. E., Lunt, P.,  
 1831 Thompson, R. C., et al. (2020). Design catalogue for eco-engineering of coastal  
 1832 artificial structures: a multifunctional approach for stakeholders and end-users.  
*Urban Ecosyst.* 23, 431–443. doi: 10.1007/s11252-019-00924-z
- 1833 Pacione, M. (2003). Urban environmental quality and human wellbeing—  
 1834 a social geographical perspective. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 65, 19–30.  
 1835 doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00234-7
- 1836 Pallarés, S., Gómez, E., Martínez, A., and Jordán, M. M. (2019). The  
 1837 relationship between indoor and outdoor levels of PM10 and its chemical  
 1838 composition at schools in a coastal region in Spain. *Heliyon* 5:e02270.  
 1839 doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2019.e02270
- 1840 Papatheochari, T., and Coccossis, H. (2019). Development of a waterfront  
 1841 regeneration tool to support local decision making in the context of  
 1842 integrated coastal zone management. *Ocean Coastal Manag.* 169, 284–295.  
 1843 doi: 10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.12.013
- 1844 Parzych, A., Astel, A., Zduńczyk, A., and Surowiec, T. (2016). Evaluation of  
 1845 urban environment pollution based on the accumulation of macro-and trace  
 1846 elements in epiphytic lichens. *J. Environ. Sci. Health Part A* 51, 297–308.  
 1847 doi: 10.1080/10934529.2015.1109387
- 1848 Patel, P., Ghosh, S., Kaginalkar, A., Islam, S., and Karmakar, S. (2019). Performance  
 1849 evaluation of WRF for extreme flood forecasts in a coastal urban environment.  
*Atmosph. Res.* 223, 39–48. doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2019.03.005
- 1850 Patz, J. A., Daszak, P., Tabor, G. M., Aguirre, A. A., Pearl, M., Epstein, J., et al.  
 1851 (2004). Unhealthy landscapes: policy recommendations on land use change  
 1852 and infectious disease emergence. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 112, 1092–1098.  
 1853 doi: 10.1289/ehp.6877
- 1854 Paul, M. J., and Meyer, J. L. (2001). Streams in the urban landscape. *Ann. Rev. Ecol.*  
*Syst.* 32, 333–365. doi: 10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.32.081501.114040
- 1855 Peng, C., Wang, S., Zhang, J., Lim, C. C., and Ooi, L. K. (2011). “Sustainable  
 1856 in-situ water resource management strategies in water scarce urban  
 1857 environment: a case study of sino-singapore tianjin ecocity,” in 2011  
 1858 *Asia-Pacific Power and Energy Engineering Conference* (IEEE), 1–4.  
 1859 doi: 10.1109/APPEEC.2011.5748622
- 1860 Peng, F., Wong, M. S., Wan, Y., and Nichol, J. E. (2017). Modeling of urban wind  
 1861 ventilation using high resolution airborne LiDAR data. *Comp. Environ. Urban*  
 1862 *Syst.* 64, 81–90. doi: 10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2017.01.003
- 1863 Perkol-Finkel, S., Hadary, T., Rella, A., Shirazi, R., and Sella, I. (2018).  
 1864 Seascapes architecture—incorporating ecological considerations in  
 1865 design of coastal and marine infrastructure. *Ecol. Eng.* 120, 645–654.  
 1866 doi: 10.1016/j.ecoleng.2017.06.051
- 1867 Pickett, S. T., Cadenasso, M. L., Childers, D. L., McDonnell, M. J., and  
 1868 Zhou, W. (2016). Evolution and future of urban ecological science: ecology  
 1869 in, of, and for the city. *Ecosyst. Health Sust.* 2:e01229. doi: 10.1002/ehs.  
 2.1229
- 1870 Pickett, S. T., Cadenasso, M. L., Grove, J. M., Groffman, P. M., Band, L. E.,  
 1871 Boone, C. G., et al. (2008). Beyond urban legends: an emerging framework of  
 1872 urban ecology, as illustrated by the Baltimore Ecosystem Study. *BioScience* 58,  
 1873 139–150. doi: 10.1641/B580208
- 1874 Pinheiro, A. T. K., and Hokugo, A. (2019). Effectiveness of early warning and  
 1875 community cooperation for evacuation preparedness from mega-risk type  
 1876 coastal hazard in childcare centers. *Int J. Disaster Resilience Built Environ.*  
 1877 doi: 10.1108/IJDRBE-05-2019-0023
- 1878 Pinho, O., and Orgaz, M. M. (2000). The urban heat island in a small city in  
 1879 coastal Portugal. *Int. J. Biomete.* 44, 198–203. doi: 10.1007/s004840000063
- 1880 Pizarro, R. E. (2008). *Sustainable Planning for Poor Communities: Urban Design*  
 1881 *Studies as a Catalyst for Development in Colombia*. Dialogues in Urban  
 1882 Planning: Towards Sustainable Regions, 175.
- 1883 Priestley, M., Breton, M. L., Bannan, T. J., Worrall, S. D., Bacak, A., Smedley,  
 1884 A. R., et al. (2018). Observations of organic and inorganic chlorinated  
 1885 compounds and their contribution to chlorine radical concentrations in an  
 1886 urban environment in northern Europe during the wintertime. *Atmosph. Chem.*  
 1887 *Phys.* 18, 13481–13493. doi: 10.5194/acp-18-13481-2018
- 1888 Punda-Polić, V., Bradarić, N., Klišmanić-Nuber, Z., Mrljak, V., and Giljanović, M.  
 1889 (1995). Antibodies to spotted fever group rickettsiae in dogs in Croatia. *Europ.*  
*J. Epidemiol.* 11, 389–392. doi: 10.1007/BF01721222
- 1890 Purvis, K. G., Gramlin, J. I., and Murren, C. J. (2015). Assessment of beach access  
 1891 paths on dune vegetation: diversity, abundance, and cover. *J. Coastal Res.* 31,  
 1892 1222–1228. doi: 10.2112/JCOASTRES-D-13-00198.1
- 1893 Pushpawela, B., Jayaratne, R., and Morawska, L. (2018). Differentiating between  
 1894 particle formation and growth events in an urban environment. *Atmosph.*  
*Chem. Phys.* 18, 11171–11183. doi: 10.5194/acp-18-11171-2018
- 1895 R Core Team. (2020). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*.  
 Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available online  
 1896 at: <https://www.R-project.org/>
- 1897 Race, D., Luck, G. W., and Black, R. (2010). “Patterns, drivers and  
 1898 implications of demographic change in rural landscapes,” in *Demographic*  
*Change in Australia's Rural Landscapes* (Dordrecht: Springer), 1–22.  
 1899 doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-9654-8\_1
- 1900 Ramalho, C. E., and Hobbs, R. J. (2012). Time change: dynamic urban ecology.  
*Trends Ecol. Evol.* 27, 179–188. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2011.10.008
- 1901 Redman, C. L., Grove, J. M., and Kuby, L. H. (2004). Integrating social science  
 1902 into the long-term ecological research (LTER) network: social dimensions of  
 1903 ecological change and ecological dimensions of social change. *Ecosystems* 7,  
 1904 161–171. doi: 10.1007/s10021-003-0215-z
- 1905 Rees, W. E. (1997). Urban ecosystems: the human dimension. *Urban Ecosyst.* 1,  
 1906 63–75. doi: 10.1023/A:1014380105620
- 1907 Reyes-López, J., and Carpintero, S. (2014). Comparison of the exotic and  
 1908 native ant communities (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in urban green areas  
 1909 at inland, coastal and insular sites in Spain. *Europ. J. Entomol.* 111:421.  
 1910 doi: 10.14411/eje.2014.044
- 1911 Robinson, D. (2017). Convert Statistical Analysis Objects Into Tidy  
 1912 Data Frames.
- 1913 Rosenzweig, B. R., Groffman, P. M., Zarnoch, C. B., Branco, B. F., Hartig,  
 1914 E. K., Fitzpatrick, J., et al. (2018). Nitrogen regulation by natural systems  
 1915 in “unnatural” landscapes: denitrification in ultra-urban coastal ecosystems.  
*Ecosyst. Health Sust.* 4, 205–224. doi: 10.1080/20964129.2018.1527188
- 1916 RStudio Team (2019). *RStudio: Integrated Development for R*. Boston, MA:  
 1917 RStudio, Inc. Available online at: <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
- 1918 Sahal, A., Leone, F., and Péroche, M. (2013). Complementary methods to plan  
 1919 pedestrian evacuation of the French Riviera's beaches in case of tsunami threat:  
 1920 graph-and multi-agent-based modelling. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.* 13,  
 1921 1735–1743. doi: 10.5194/nhess-13-1735-2013
- 1922 Sainz-Borgo, C., Giner, S., González-Carcácia, J. A., , Fernández-Ordóñez,  
 1923 J. C., Hernández, C., et al. (2016). Current distribution, habitat use, and  
 1924 breeding records of the house sparrow (*Passer domesticus*) in Venezuela.  
*Ornitol. Neotrop.* 27, 267–273.
- 1925 Sairinen, R., and Kumpulainen, S. (2006). Assessing social impacts in  
 1926 urban waterfront regeneration. *Environ. Impact Assess. Rev.* 26, 120–135.  
 1927 doi: 10.1016/j.eiar.2005.05.003
- 1928 Santos, T., and Freire, S. (2015). Testing the contribution of Worldview-  
 1929 2 improved spectral resolution for extracting vegetation cover  
 1930 in urban environments. *Canad. J. Remote Sensing.* 41, 505–514.  
 1931 doi: 10.1080/07038992.2015.1110011
- 1932 Schwartz, M. W., Smith, L. M., and Steel, Z. L. Conservation  
 1933 investment for rare plants in urban environments. *PLoS ONE* 8:83809.  
 1934 doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0083809
- 1935 Semadeni-Davies, A., Hernebring, C., Svensson, G., and Gustafsson, L. G.  
 1936 (2008). The impacts of climate change and urbanisation on drainage in  
 1937 Helsingborg, Sweden: Combined sewer system. *J. Hydrol.* 350, 100–113.  
 1938 doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.05.028
- 1939 Serre, D., Barroca, B., and Diab, Y. (2010). “Urban flood mitigation: Sustainable  
 1940 options,” in *The Sustainable City VI, Urban Regenerations and Sustainability*,  
 1941 Vol 129 (Southampton: WIT press), 299–309. doi: 10.2495/SC100261
- 1942 Shanquan, L., Zhang, G., Yang, J., and Nan, J. (2016). Multi-source  
 1943 characteristics of atmospheric deposition in Nanjing, China, as controlled  
 1944 by East Asia monsoons and urban activities. *Pedosphere* 26, 374–385.  
 1945 doi: 10.1016/S1002-0160(15)60050-9
- 1946 Shepard, E. L., Williamson, C., and Windsor, S. P. (2016). Fine-scale flight strategies  
 1947 of gulls in urban airflows indicate risk and reward in city living. *Philosoph. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 371:20150394. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2015.0394

- 1939 Sherbinin, A. D., Carr, D., Cassels, S., and Jiang, L. (2007). Population  
1940 and environment. *Ann. Rev. Environ. Resour.* 32, 345–373.  
doi: 10.1146/annurev.energy.32.041306.100243
- 1941 Shochat, E., Warren, P. S., and Faeth, S. H. (2006). Future directions in urban  
1942 ecology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 21, 661–662. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2006.09.007
- 1943 Smith, A. C., and Munro, U. (2010). Seasonal population dynamics of the  
1944 Australian White Ibis (*Threskiornis molucca*) in urban environments. *Emu* 110,  
1945 132–136. doi: 10.1071/MU09072
- 1946 Song, X., Chang, K. T., Yang, L., and Scheffran, J. (2016). Change in environmental  
1947 benefits of urban land use and its drivers in Chinese cities, 2000–2010. *Int. J.  
Environ. Res. Public Health.* 13:535. doi: 10.3390/ijerph13060535
- 1948 Stathopoulou, M., and Cartalis, C. (2007). Daytime urban heat islands from  
1949 Landsat ETM+ and Corine land cover data: An application to major cities in  
1950 Greece. *Solar Energy* 81, 358–368. doi: 10.1016/j.solener.2006.06.014
- 1951 Storch, H., and Downes, N. K. (2011). A scenario-based approach to assess Ho Chi  
1952 Minh City's urban development strategies against the impact of climate change.  
*Cities* 28, 517–526. doi: 10.1016/j.cities.2011.07.002
- 1953 Su, X., Liu, T., Beheshti, M., and Prigobbe, V. (2019). Relationship between  
1954 infiltration, sewer rehabilitation, and groundwater flooding in coastal urban  
1955 areas. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 1–11.
- 1956 Sukopp, H. (1998). “Urban ecology—scientific and practical aspects,” in *Urban  
Ecology* (Berlin: Springer), 3–10. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-88583-9\_1
- 1957 Surjan, A. K., and Shaw, R. (2008). ‘Eco-city’ to ‘disaster-resilient eco-community’: a  
1958 concerted approach in the coastal city of Puri, India. *Sust. Sci.* 3, 249–265.  
doi: 10.1007/s11625-008-0051-3
- 1959 Sutton-Grier, A. E., Wowk, K., and Bamford, H. (2015).. Future of our coasts:  
1960 the potential for natural and hybrid infrastructure to enhance the resilience of  
1961 our coastal communities, economies and ecosystems. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 51,  
1962 137–148. doi: 10.1016/j.envsci.2015.04.006
- 1963 Tait, C. J., Daniels, C. B., and Hill, R. S. (2005). Changes in species assemblages  
1964 within the Adelaide metropolitan area, Australia, 1836–2002. *Ecol. Appl.* 15,  
1965 346–359. doi: 10.1890/04-0920
- 1966 Theodosi, C., Tsagkaraki, M., Zarpas, P., Grivas, G., Liakakou, E.,  
1967 Paraskevopoulou, D., et al. (2018). Multi-year chemical composition of  
1968 the fine-aerosol fraction in Athens, Greece, with emphasis on the contribution  
1969 of residential heating in wintertime. *Atmosph. Chem. Phys.* 18, 14371–14391.  
doi: 10.5194/acp-18-14371-2018
- 1970 Torresan, S., Critto, A., Dalla Valle, M., Harvey, N., and Marcomini, A. (2008).  
Assessing coastal vulnerability to climate change: comparing segmentation at  
1971 global and regional scales. *Sust. Sci.* 3, 45–65. doi: 10.1007/s11625-008-0045-1
- 1972 Tu, W., and Shi, C. (2006). Urban environmental management in Shanghai:  
achievements, problems, and prospects. *Environ. Manag.* 37, 307–321.  
doi: 10.1007/s00267-003-0155-6
- 1973 Tzortzakaki, O., Kati, V., Kassara, C., Tietze, D. T., and Giokas, S. (2018). Seasonal  
1974 patterns of urban bird diversity in a Mediterranean coastal city: the positive role  
1975 of open green spaces. *Urban Ecosyst.* 21, 27–39. doi: 10.1007/s11252-017-0695-9
- 1976 Tzoulas, K., Korpela, K., Venn, S., Yli-Pelkonen, V., Kazmierczak, A., Niemela,  
1977 J., et al. (2007). Promoting ecosystem and human health in urban areas using  
1978 Green Infrastructure: a literature review. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 81, 167–178.  
doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2007.02.001
- 1979 Ulrich, R. S. (1984). View through a window may influence recovery from surgery.  
*Science* 224, 420–421. doi: 10.1126/science.6143402
- 1980 United Nations. (2011). *Department of Economics and Social Affairs Population  
Dynamics. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2014 Revision. Highlights.*
- 1981 United Nations. (2017). *Concept Paper. Partnership Dialogue 2: Managing,  
Protecting, Conserving and Restoring Marine and Coastal Ecosystems.*
- 1982 United Nations. (2018). *Department of Economics and Social Affairs Population  
Dynamics. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2018 Revision. Key facts.*
- 1983 United Nations. (2019). *Department of Economics and Social Affairs Population  
Dynamics. World population prospects: Download Files.* Available online  
1984 at: <https://population.un.org/wpp/Download/Standard/CSV/>
- 1985 Van Kamp, I., Leidelmeijer, K., Marsman, G., and De Hollander, A. (2003). Urban  
1986 environmental quality and human well-being: towards a conceptual framework  
1987 and demarcation of concepts; a literature study. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 65,  
1988 5–18. doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00232-3
- 1989 Vicente, A. B., Sanfeliu, T., and Jordan, M. M. (2012). Assesment of PM10  
1990 pollution episodes in a ceramic cluster (NE Spain): proposal of a new  
1991 quality index for PM10, As, Cd, Ni and Pb. *J. Environ. Manag.* 108, 92–101.  
doi: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2012.04.032
- 1992 Videla, H. A., and Herrera, L. K. (2017). “A comparative study on biodeterioration  
1993 and weathering effects on three sites of the Latin American cultural  
1994 heritage,” in *Molecular Biology and Cultural Heritage* (Routledge), 253–258.  
doi: 10.1201/9780203746578-34
- 1995 Villagra, P., Herrmann, G., Quintana, C., and Sepúlveda, P. D. (2016).  
Resilience thinking and urban planning in a coastal environment at risks of  
1996 tsunamis: the case study of Mehuín, Chile. *Rev Geogr Norte Grande* 63–82.  
doi: 10.4067/S0718-34022016000200006
- 1997 Vitousek, S., Barnard, P. L., Fletcher, C. H., Frazer, N., Erikson, L., and Storlazzi,  
1998 C. D. (2017). Doubling of coastal flooding frequency within decades due to  
1999 sea-level rise. *Scient. Rep.* 7, 1–9. doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-01362-7
- 2000 Vye, D., and Rousseaux, F. (2010). Evaluation of urban planning strategies with  
2001 a versatile urban growth model. *Sust. City VI Urban Regener. Sust.* 6:227.  
doi: 10.2495/SC100201
- 2002 Walsh, C. J., Roy, A. H., Fominella, J. W., Cottingham, P. D., Groffman, P. M., and  
2003 Morgan, R. P. (2005). The urban stream syndrome: current knowledge and the  
2004 search for a cure. *J. North Am. Benthol. Soc.* 24, 706–723. doi: 10.1899/04-028.1
- 2005 Wang, Z. (2010). *Mechanisms of Cadmium Toxicity to Various Trophic Saltwater  
Organisms*. Nova Science Publishers.
- 2006 Washburn, B. E., Bernhardt, G. E., Kutschbach-Brohl, L., Chipman, R. B., and  
2007 Francoeur, L. C. (2013). Foraging ecology of four gull species at a coastal-urban  
2008 interface: ecología de forrajeo de cuatro especies de gaviota en una interfaz  
2009 costera-urbana. *Condor* 115, 67–76. doi: 10.1525/cond.2013.110185
- 2010 Watson, D., and Adams, M. (2010). *Design for Flooding: Architecture, Landscape,  
and Urban Design for Resilience To Climate Change*. John Wiley & Sons.  
doi: 10.1002/9781118259870
- 2011 Watson, J. (2015). Practical precautions, reasonable responses: how South  
2012 Australia's planning regime adapts to the coastal impacts of climate change.  
*Environ. Plann. Law J.* 32, 256–277.
- 2013 Way, J. G., Ortega, I. M., and Strauss, E. G. (2004). Movement and activity patterns  
2014 of eastern coyotes in a coastal, suburban environment. *Northeast. Natur.* 11,  
2015 237–254. doi: 10.1656/1092-6194(2004)011[0237:MAPOE]2.0.CO;2
- 2016 Weinstein, M. P. (2009). The road ahead: The sustainability transition and coastal  
2017 research. *Estuaries Coasts* 32, 1044–1053. doi: 10.1007/s12237-009-9221-5
- 2018 Whisson, D. A., Weston, M. A., and Shannon, K. (2015). Home range, habitat  
2019 use and movements by the little raven (*Corvus mellori*) in a coastal peri-urban  
2020 landscape. *Wildlife Res.* 42, 500–508. doi: 10.1071/WR15039
- 2021 White, M. P., Pahl, S., Ashbullby, K., Herbert, S., and Depledge, M. H. (2013).  
Feelings of restoration from recent nature visits. *J. Environ. Psychol.* 35, 40–51.  
doi: 10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.04.002
- 2022 Wickham, H. (2009). *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. New York, NY:  
Springer-Verlag New York. doi: 10.1007/978-0-387-81270-1-3
- 2023 Wickham, H. (2017b). *stringr: Simple, Consistent Wrappers for Common String  
Operations*. R package version, 1.
- 2024 Wickham, H., and Wickham, M. H. (2017). *Package Tidyverse. Easily Install and  
Load the 'Tidyverse'*.
- 2025 Wickham, H., Francois, R., Hahnel, M., and Müller, K. (2015). *Dplyr: A Grammar of  
Data Manipulation*. R package version, 0.5.0.
- 2026 Williams, C. F., Lloyd, D., Lees, J., Pirog, A., Geroni, G. M., Pastre, J.,  
2027 et al. (2017). “What the deep sea can tell us about microwaves,” in  
2028 *2016 IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium (IMS)* (IEEE), 1–4.  
doi: 10.1109/MWSYM.2016.7540432
- 2029 Winzer, L. F., Berthon, K. A., Carnegie, J., Pegg, G. S., and Leishman, M. R. (2019). *Austropuccinia psidii* on coffee: survey based insights to its  
2030 geographical distribution, host species, impacts and management in Australia.  
*Biol. Invas.* 21, 1215–1225. doi: 10.1007/s10530-018-1891-0
- 2031 Wolch, J. R., Byrne, J., and Newell, J. P. (2014). Urban green space, public health,  
2032 and environmental justice: The challenge of making cities ‘just green enough’.  
*Landscape Urban Plan.* 125, 234–244. doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.01.017
- 2033 Wolsko, C., and Marino, E. (2016). Disasters, migrations, and the unintended  
2034 consequences of urbanization: What’s the harm in getting out of harm’s way?  
*Popul. Environ.* 37, 411–428. doi: 10.1007/s11111-015-0248-1
- 2035 Wong, T. C. (2011). “Eco-cities in China: Is there a silver lining in the sea of degrading  
2036 urban environments?”, in *Eco-City Planning* (Dordrecht: Springer), 131–150.  
doi: 10.1007/978-94-007-0383-4\_7



- 2053 Wu, J. (2014). Urban ecology and sustainability: The state-of-the-  
2054 science and future directions. *Landscape Urban Plan.* 125, 209–221.  
2055 doi: 10.1016/j.landurbplan.2014.01.018
- 2056 Wu, J. J. (2008). Making the case for landscape ecology an effective approach to  
2057 urban sustainability. *Landscape J.* 27, 41–50. doi: 10.3388/lj.27.1.41
- 2058 Wu, W. (2007). Coastline evolution monitoring and estimation—a case study  
2059 in the region of Nouakchott, Mauritania. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* 28, 5461–5484.  
2060 doi: 10.1080/01431160701227612
- 2061 Yamazaki, K., Kitamoto, T., Yariyama, Y., and Sugiura, S. (2007). An  
2062 analysis of spatial distribution in the exotic slug caterpillar *Parasa lepida*  
2063 (Cramer) (Lepidoptera: Limacodidae) at an urban coastal site in central Japan.  
*Pan-Pacific Entomol.* 83, 193–199. doi: 10.3956/0031-0603-83.3.193
- 2064 Yin, J., Lin, N., and Yu, D. (2016). Coupled modeling of storm surge and coastal  
2065 inundation: a case study in New York City during Hurricane Sandy. *Water  
Resour. Res.* 52, 8685–8699. doi: 10.1002/2016WR019102
- 2066 Yu, W., Zhang, Y., Zhou, W., Wang, W., and Tang, R. (2019). Urban expansion  
2067 in Shenzhen since 1970s: A retrospect of change from a village to a  
2068 megacity from the space. *Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C.* 110, 21–30.  
doi: 10.1016/j.pce.2019.02.006
- 2069 Zhang, L., Xia, Y. P., Wu, Q., She, L. F., Li, H., and Ruan, T. L. (2014). “Original  
2070 design and ecological recreation: a comparative analysis of wetland parks in  
2071  
2072  
2073  
2074  
2075  
2076  
2077  
2078  
2079  
2080  
2081  
2082  
2083  
2084  
2085  
2086  
2087  
2088  
2089  
2090  
2091  
2092  
2093  
2094  
2095  
2096  
2097  
2098  
2099  
2100  
2101  
2102  
2103  
2104  
2105  
2106  
2107  
2108  
2109

the Yangtse River Delta area,” in *XXIX International Horticultural Congress on  
Horticulture: Sustaining Lives, Livelihoods and Landscapes (IHC2014): V 1108,*  
2110 Q15  
2111 Q26  
2112  
2113  
2114  
2115  
2116  
2117  
2118  
2119 Q30  
2120  
2121  
2122  
2123  
2124  
2125  
2126  
2127  
2128  
2129  
2130  
2131  
2132  
2133  
2134  
2135  
2136  
2137  
2138  
2139  
2140  
2141  
2142  
2143  
2144  
2145  
2146  
2147  
2148  
2149  
2150  
2151  
2152  
2153  
2154  
2155  
2156  
2157  
2158  
2159  
2160  
2161  
2162  
2163  
2164  
2165  
2166

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the  
absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a  
potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Graells, Nakamura, Celis-Diez, Lagos, Marquet, Pliscoff and  
Gelcich. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative  
Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in  
other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s)  
are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance  
with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted  
which does not comply with these terms.